

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
STATE OF MISSOURI
TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
PROCEDURAL CONFERENCE

In the Matter of the)
Application of Dave Eversole)
for Change of Supplier from)
Union Electric Company d/b/a) Case No.: EO-2026-0208
Ameren Missouri to Co-Mo)
Electric Cooperative, Inc.)

MONDAY, JUNE 1, 2026
2:00 p.m.

Jefferson City, MO
via WebEx

VOLUME 1

KEN SEYER, Presiding
SENIOR REGULATORY LAW JUDGE

KAYLA HAHN, Chair,
MAIDA J. COLEMAN,
GLEN KOLKMEYER,
JOHN MITCHELL,
COMMISSIONERS

Transcribed by:
Sangeeta Swapanam

1 THE COURT: Good afternoon, everyone this
2 is Judge Seyer. Before we get too deep into this, Mr.
3 Elliott, are you here representing Co-Mo?

4 MR. ELLIOT: No, I'm here on behalf of
5 Ameren.

6 THE COURT: Ameren. Okay.

7 MS. HERNANDEZ: Yeah, Judge, yeah, he's
8 one of my technical experts.

9 THE COURT: Got it. So do we have a
10 Co Mo representative? All right, I'm seeing a Jason
11 Haynam. Mr. Haynam, what is your role in this case?

12 MR. HAYNAM: I'm for Ameren as well.

13 THE COURT: Okay. So let's go ahead and
14 wait on an attorney for Co-Mo before we get too far into
15 this. So everybody sit tight. Okay, let's get started.

16 MR. EVERSOLE: Hi, this is Dave Eversole
17 I just contacted Megan with the law firm for Co-Mo. She
18 couldn't find the info. I just forwarded it to her, so
19 she should be joining here very shortly.

20 THE COURT: I can -- I can see. She is
21 on this.

22 MS. McCORD: I'm here. I apologize.

23 THE COURT: That's no problem.

24 MS. MCCORD: Yes, I am so sorry for
25 everybody waiting.

1 THE COURT: All right. We don't have a
2 court reporter today recording this, but we are
3 recording it, the video and the audio through WebEx. So
4 if you're not speaking, I just ask you to mute your
5 microphone. So let's go on the record.

6 We're on the record. Today is June 1st,
7 2026. The time is 2:10 p.m. The Commission has set
8 this time for a procedural conference in the case
9 captioned. In the Matter of the Application of Dave
10 Eversole for Change of electric supplier from Union
11 Electric Company doing business as Ameren Missouri to
12 Co-Mo Electric Cooperative Incorporated. It is
13 Commission Case Number EO-2026-0208.

14 My name is Ken Seyer, and I'm the
15 regulatory law judge in this matter. Mr. Eversole, if
16 your case proceeds to an evidentiary hearing, I will be
17 the judge conducting that hearing, but it is the four
18 commissioners who will decide whether to grant your
19 request for a change of electric supplier.

20 Also understand that you as the applicant
21 have the burden, burden of proof in your case. That is,
22 you must provide evidence, whether that be testimony,
23 documentation, etc., that convinces the commissioners to
24 grant your request.

25 Having said that, the purpose of today's

1 meeting is not to litigate your case. I set this
2 procedural conference today to clarify the parties'
3 positions, make sure you know the options, and to
4 discuss how the parties would like to proceed. But
5 before we get into that, for the sake of the record, I,
6 I'd like Mr. Eversole and the representatives of the
7 other parties to introduce themselves. So, Mr.
8 Eversole, you are Dave Eversole. The applicant in this
9 case, correct?

10 MR. EVERSOLE: That is correct.

11 THE COURT: And you do.

12 MR. EVERSOLE: Yeah?

13 THE COURT: And you do not have an
14 attorney representing you in this matter. Is that
15 correct?

16 MR. EVERSOLE: I do not -- do not.

17 THE COURT: Okay. And then I would like
18 the attorneys for the other parties to introduce
19 themselves, starting with Ameren Missouri.

20 MS. HERNANDEZ: Good afternoon. Jennifer
21 Hernandez representing Ameren Missouri.

22 THE COURT: Thank you. For Co-Mo
23 Electric Cooperative?

24 MS. MCCORD: This is Megan McCord
25 representing Co-Mo Electric Cooperative.

1 THE COURT: And for the staff of the
2 commission?

3 MR. VANDERGRIFF: Good afternoon. I'm
4 Eric Vandergriff representing staff.

5 THE COURT: And then for the Office of
6 the Public Counsel?

7 MR. WILLIAMS: Nathan Williams, chief
8 deputy public counsel, representing the Office of the
9 Public Counsel and the public.

10 THE COURT: Thank you. Now, Mr.
11 Eversole, one of the things I wanted to bring up today
12 was in your application that was filed back in February.
13 You stated that your plan was to demolish the main
14 hospital building and possibly the office building. Is
15 that still your plan as it stands today?

16 MR. EVERSOLE: Plan today is to demolish
17 the hospital. It's been -- testing done and there is
18 asbestos in the hospital and it's been vandalized. So
19 that is scheduled to be tore down. I shouldn't say
20 scheduled. We're taking bids for demolition. The
21 office building, we are still in the process of trying
22 to determine what to do there. The vandals have been in
23 there as well, so.

24 THE COURT: Okay. And there's a parking
25 garage as well?

1 MR. EVERSOLE: There is a garage in the
2 back that will not be demolished. That's in pretty good
3 shape.

4 THE COURT: Okay. So because, because
5 there's still at least one of the three structures that
6 you would like to have electric service -- one of the
7 existing structures that you would like to have electric
8 service for. Then we're kind of at this, this stage of
9 the proceedings where the parties don't agree who should
10 provide that electrical service, so.

11 MR. EVERSOLE: If I can interject here
12 real quick, the electric service for that so-called
13 garage goes into the hospital that's going to be
14 demolished. That's, that's somewhat of an issue.

15 THE COURT: So you're saying if that
16 hospital is demolished, then there is no way to -- as it
17 stands now, for that parking garage to get electricity.

18 MR. EVERSOLE: That is correct.

19 THE COURT: Okay.

20 MR. EVERSOLE: When we say parking
21 garage, there's a four garage doors. I mean, it's, it's
22 sort of -- it was sort of an ambulance. It's an
23 ambulance garage. So, you know, it will no longer be
24 served as an ambulance garage.

25 THE COURT: Got it. I see. All right.

1 So the way I -- the way I look at this case at this
2 point is that the parties do not agree on what the
3 proper resolution of the case is, so I think we're at a
4 stage where I would want the parties to submit a
5 proposed procedural schedule.

6 Would the parties like to -- I don't know
7 if there's anything else that I wanted to discuss today.
8 So would the parties like to stay on the line and, and
9 have me drop off so that you can discuss that? Or do
10 you need -- do you need exclusionary dates from me?

11 MR. WILLIAMS: May I make a suggestion?

12 MS. HERNANDEZ: Go ahead.

13 THE COURT: Sure, Mr. Williams.

14 MR. WILLIAMS: Mr. Eversole, is there
15 some deadline you have in mind in terms of a time frame?

16 MR. EVERSOLE: I do not. There is no
17 service currently. I purchased this property out of
18 bankruptcy last May. So, I mean, I would, if we're
19 going to, you know, do something, I would like to get
20 service somehow to the property, but right now there is
21 no timeline, but, you know, sooner the better for me, if
22 that's possible. It's not had service for -- and Ameren
23 can correct me on this, but I don't think it's had
24 service since 2023.

25 MR. WILLIAMS: No, my question was

1 whether there was a time frame by which you wanted to
2 have this resolved so you can get your service taken
3 care of. I understand you would like it sooner rather
4 than later, but I didn't know if there was, you know,
5 like six months is good enough or a year?

6 MR. EVERSOLE: Take this August -- is
7 August 1st too soon?

8 MR. WILLIAMS: I don't know.

9 THE COURT: I can say that August 1st is
10 probably too soon as far as being able to set up a
11 hearing here at the commission.

12 MR. WILLIAMS: Judge, did you have any
13 hearing dates in mind?

14 THE COURT: Not particularly. I can tell
15 you that in August, August 5th and August 19th, I know
16 we are unavailable. And then in September, September
17 9th. And then October the 5th through the 9th, the 13th
18 through the 16th, the 20th and the 22nd are unavailable.
19 And then if we get into November, November 18th is
20 unavailable.

21 MR. WILLIAMS: But I -- you said August
22 1st you thought was too quick, but you think some date
23 in August might work potentially?

24 THE COURT: Yes, I do.

25 MR. WILLIAMS: Okay. That's helpful.

1 THE COURT: So would you all like to stay
2 on and, and have me drop off while you discuss potential
3 dates?

4 MS. HERNANDEZ: Yeah, I was going to
5 suggest it might be helpful to, to stay on and discuss
6 amongst the parties.

7 THE COURT: Okay, okay. Including me or
8 not including me?

9 MS. HERNANDEZ: Oh, not, not including
10 you.

11 THE COURT: Okay.

12 MS. HERNANDEZ: Off the record. Off the
13 record. Like I want to include you but I guess in this
14 case we shouldn't.

15 THE COURT: All right. Is there anything
16 else that the parties would like to bring up before I do
17 go off the record? All right.

18 MS. MCCORD: Not for Co-Mo, Judge.

19 THE COURT: Okay. All right. Then I
20 will adjourn the conference and the hearing, and we'll
21 go off the record.

22 MR. WILLIAMS: Thank you.

23 THE COURT: All right.

24 MS. HERNANDEZ: Thank you very much. All
25 right, I think that looks like the judge has left.

1 MR. WILLIAMS: There's not really any
2 factual dispute, is there?

3 MS. HERNANDEZ: Well, I guess, I mean, we
4 hadn't heard.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 (End of audio recording.)

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25


CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIPTIONIST

I, SANGEETA SWAPANAM, do hereby certify:

That said audio transcription is a true record as reported by me, a disinterested person.

I further certify that I am not interested in the outcome of said action, nor connected with, nor related to any of the parties in said action, nor to their respective counsel.

IN WITNESS THEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 8th day of June, 2026.



Sangeeta Swapanam

1	agree 6:9 7:2	August 8:6,7, 9,15,21,23	change 3:10, 19	24 6:4,15,19, 25 7:13 8:9, 14,24 9:1,7, 11,15,19,23
13th 8:17	ahead 2:13 7:12	B	chief 5:7	currently 7:17
16th 8:18	all 2:10 3:1 6:25 9:1,15, 17,19,23,24	back 5:12 6:2	clarify 4:2	
18th 8:19	Also 3:20	bankruptcy 7:18	Co 2:10	D
19th 8:15	ambulance 6:22,23,24	because 6:4	Co-mo 2:3,14, 17 3:12 4:22, 25 9:18	date 8:22
1st 3:6 8:7,9, 22	Ameren 2:5,6, 12 3:11 4:19, 21 7:22	before 2:2,14 4:5 9:16	commission 3:7,13 5:2 8:11	dates 7:10 8:13 9:3
2	amongst 9:6	behalf 2:4	commissioner s 3:18,23	Dave 2:16 3:9 4:8
2023 7:24	any 8:12 10:1 11:10	better 7:21	Company 3:11	day 11:14
2026 3:7 11:14	anything 7:7 9:15	bids 5:20	conducting 3:17	deadline 7:15
20th 8:18	apologize 2:22	bring 5:11 9:16	conference 3:8 4:2 9:20	decide 3:18
22nd 8:18	applicant 3:20 4:8	building 5:14, 21	connected 11:9	deep 2:2
2:10 3:7	application 3:9 5:12	burden 3:21	contacted 2:17	demolish 5:13,16
5	as 2:12 3:11, 20 5:15,23,25 6:16,24 8:10 11:6	business 3:11	convinces 3:23	demolished 6:2,14,16
5th 8:15,17	asbestos 5:18	but 3:2,17 4:4 7:20,21,23 8:4,21,22 9:13	Cooperative 3:12 4:23,25	demolition 5:20
8	ask 3:4	by 8:1 11:6	correct 4:9, 10,15 6:18 7:23	deputy 5:8
8th 11:14	at 6:5,8 7:1,3 8:11	C	couldn't 2:18	determine 5:22
9	attorney 2:14 4:14	captioned 3:9	Cooperative 3:12 4:23,25	discuss 4:4 7:7,9 9:2,5
9th 8:17	attorneys 4:18	care 8:3	contacted 2:17	disinterested 11:6
A	audio 3:3 10:15 11:5	case 2:11 3:8, 13,16,21 4:1, 9 7:1,3 9:14	convinces 3:23	dispute 10:2
able 8:10		CERTIFICATE 11:1	connected 11:9	documentation 3:23
action 11:9,10		certify 11:3,8	contacted 2:17	doing 3:11
adjourn 9:20			convinces 3:23	done 5:17
afternoon 2:1 4:20 5:3			Cooperative 3:12 4:23,25	doors 6:21

down 5:19	experts 2:8	7:19 9:4		Jennifer 4:20
drop 7:9 9:2		good 2:1 4:20 5:3 6:2 8:5	I	joining 2:19
E	F	Got 2:9 6:25	if 3:4,15 6:11, 15 7:7,18,21 8:4,19	judge 2:2,7 3:15,17 8:12 9:18,25
electric 3:10, 11,12,19 4:23,25 6:6,7, 12	factual 10:2	grant 3:18,24	in 2:11 3:8,9, 15,21 4:8,14 5:12,18,21,22 6:1,2 7:15 8:13,15,16,23 9:13 11:9,10, 13	June 3:6 11:14
electrical 6:10	far 2:14 8:10	guess 9:13 10:3	H	just 2:17,18 3:4
electricity 6:17	February 5:12	hadn't 10:4	hand 11:14	K
ELLIOT 2:4	filed 5:12	hand 11:14	Having 3:25	Ken 3:14
Elliott 2:3	find 2:18	Haynam 2:11, 12	heard 10:4	kind 6:8
else 7:7 9:16	firm 2:17	hearing 3:16, 17 8:11,13 9:20	heard 10:4	know 4:3 6:23 7:6,19,21 8:4, 8,15
end 10:15	for 2:12,14, 17,24 3:8,10, 19 4:5,18,22 5:1,5,20 6:8, 12,17 7:21,22 9:18	helpful 8:25 9:5	include 9:13	last 7:18
enough 8:5	forwarded 2:18	here 2:3,4,19, 22 6:11 8:11	including 9:7, 8,9	later 8:4
EO-2026-0208 3:13	four 3:17 6:21	hereby 11:3	Incorporated 3:12	law 2:17 3:15
Eric 5:4	frame 7:15 8:1	hereunto 11:13	info 2:18	least 6:5
etc 3:23	from 3:10 7:10	Hernandez 2:7 4:20,21 7:12 9:4,9,12, 24 10:3	interested 11:9	left 9:25
Eversole 2:16 3:10,15 4:6,8, 10,12,16 5:11,16 6:1, 11,18,20 7:14,16 8:6	further 11:8	Hi 2:16	interject 6:11	let's 2:13,15 3:5
everybody 2:15,25	G	hospital 5:14, 17,18 6:13,16	into 2:2,14 4:5 6:13 8:19	like 4:4,6,17 6:6,7 7:6,8,19 8:3,5 9:1,13, 16,25
everyone 2:1	garage 5:25 6:1,13,17,21, 23,24	how 4:4	introduce 4:7, 18	line 7:8
evidence 3:22	get 2:2,14,15 4:5 6:17 7:19 8:2,19		issue 6:14	litigate 4:1
evidentiary 3:16	go 2:13 3:5 7:12 9:17,21		it 2:9,18 3:3, 12,17 5:15 6:16,22,23,25 8:3 9:5	longer 6:23
exclusionary 7:10	goes 6:13		it's 5:17,18 6:21,22 7:22, 23	look 7:1
existing 6:7	going 6:13		J	looks 9:25
			Jason 2:10	

M	much 9:24	14,21	problem 2:23	3 10:15
main 5:13	mute 3:4	on 2:4,14,21	procedural	regulatory
make 4:3 7:11	my 2:8 3:14	3:5,6 7:2,8,23	3:8 4:2 7:5	3:15
matter 3:9,15	7:25 11:14	9:2,5	proceed 4:4	related 11:10
4:14	N	one 2:8 5:11	proceedings	reported 11:6
Mccord 2:22,	name 3:14	6:5,6	6:9	reporter 3:2
24 4:24 9:18	Nathan 5:7	options 4:3	proceeds	representative
me 7:9,10,21,	need 7:10	other 4:7,18	3:16	2:10
23 9:2,7,8	no 2:4,23	out 7:17	process 5:21	representative
11:6	6:16,23 7:16,	outcome 11:9	proof 3:21	s 4:6
mean 6:21	21,25	P	proper 7:3	representing
7:18 10:3	nor 11:9,10,	p.m. 3:7	property 7:17,	2:3 4:14,21,
meeting 4:1	11	parking 5:24	20	25 5:4,8
Megan 2:17	not 3:4 4:1,13,	6:17,20	proposed 7:5	request 3:19,
4:24	16 6:2 7:2,16,	particularly	provide 3:22	24
microphone	22 8:14 9:8,9,	8:14	6:10	resolution 7:3
3:5	18 10:1 11:8	parties 4:4,7,	public 5:6,8,9	resolved 8:2
mind 7:15	November	18 6:9 7:2,4,	purchased	respective
8:13	8:19	6,8 9:6,16	7:17	11:11
Missouri 3:11	now 5:10 6:17	11:10	purpose 3:25	right 2:10 3:1
4:19,21	7:20	parties' 4:2	Q	6:25 7:20
Mo 2:10	Number 3:13	person 11:6	question 7:25	9:15,17,19,
months 8:5	O	plan 5:13,15,	8:22	23,25
Mr 2:2,4,11,	October 8:17	16	quick 6:12	role 2:11
12,16 3:15	of 2:4,8 3:9,	point 7:2	8:22	S
4:6,7,10,12,	10,19,21,25	positions 4:3	R	said 3:25 8:21
16 5:3,7,10,	4:5,6 5:1,5,8,	possible 7:22	rather 8:3	11:5,9,10
16 6:1,11,18,	11,21 6:5,6,8,	possibly 5:14	real 6:12	sake 4:5
20 7:11,13,	14,22 7:3,15,	potential 9:2	really 10:1	Sangeeta
14,16,25 8:6,	17 8:3 10:15	potentially	record 3:5,6	11:3,17
8,12,21,25	11:1,9,10,14	8:23	4:5 9:12,13,	say 5:19 6:20
9:22 10:1	off 7:9 9:2,12,	pretty 6:2	17,21 11:6	8:9
MS 2:7,22,24	17,21	probably 8:10	recording 3:2,	saying 6:15
4:20,24 7:12	office 5:5,8,			schedule 7:5
9:4,9,12,18,				
24 10:3				

scheduled 5:19,20	sorry 2:24	3:22	2,3,4,7,18 5:11,13,16, 19,22 6:6,7, 13,16,17 7:4, 6,7,8,19,20 8:1,10 9:1,4, 5,13,16 11:10,11	5:3,4
see 2:20 6:25	sort 6:22	testing 5:17		very 2:19 9:24
seeing 2:10	speaking 3:4	than 8:4		video 3:3
September 8:16	staff 5:1,4	Thank 4:22 5:10 9:22,24		<hr/>
served 6:24	stage 6:8 7:4	that's 2:23 6:2,13,14 7:22 8:25		W
service 6:6,8, 10,12 7:17, 20,22,24 8:2	stands 5:15 6:17	themselves 4:7,19	today 3:2,6 4:2 5:11,15, 16 7:7	wait 2:14
set 3:7 4:1 8:10 11:13	started 2:15	then 4:17 5:5 6:8,16 8:16, 17,19 9:19	today's 3:25	waiting 2:25
Seyer 2:2 3:14	starting 4:19	there's 5:24 6:5,21 7:7 10:1	too 2:2,14 8:7, 10,22	want 7:4 9:13
shape 6:3	stated 5:13	THEREOF 11:13	tore 5:19	wanted 5:11 7:7 8:1
shortly 2:19	stay 7:8 9:1,5	things 5:11	transcription 11:5	way 6:16 7:1
should 2:19 6:9	still 5:15,21 6:5	think 7:3,23 8:22 9:25	TRANSCRIPTI ONIST 11:1	we 2:2,9,14 3:1,2 4:5 5:21 6:20 8:16,19 9:14 10:3
shouldn't 5:19 9:14	structures 6:5,7	this 2:1,2,11, 15,16,21 3:2, 8,15 4:1,8,14, 24 6:8 7:1,17, 23 8:2,6 9:13 11:14	true 11:5	we'll 9:20
since 7:24	submit 7:4	thought 8:22	trying 5:21	we're 3:6 5:20 6:8 7:3,18
sit 2:15	suggest 9:5	three 6:5	<hr/>	Webex 3:3
six 8:5	suggestion 7:11	through 3:3 8:17,18	U	well 2:12 5:23,25 10:3
so-called 6:12	supplier 3:10, 19	tight 2:15	unavailable 8:16,18,20	what 2:11 5:22 7:2
some 7:15 8:22	sure 4:3 7:13	time 3:7,8 7:15 8:1	understand 3:20 8:3	When 6:20
somehow 7:20	Swapanam 11:3,17	timeline 7:21	Union 3:10	where 6:9 7:4
something 7:19	<hr/>	to 2:18 3:4,11, 16,18,23 4:1,	up 5:11 8:10 9:16	whether 3:18, 22 8:1
somewhat 6:14	T	through 3:3 8:17,18	<hr/>	which 8:1
soon 8:7,10	Take 8:6	tight 2:15	V	while 9:2
sooner 7:21 8:3	taken 8:2	time 3:7,8 7:15 8:1	vandalized 5:18	who 3:18 6:9
	taking 5:20	timeline 7:21	vandals 5:22	will 3:16,18 6:2,23 9:20
	technical 2:8	to 2:18 3:4,11, 16,18,23 4:1,	Vandergriff	Williams 5:7 7:11,13,14,25 8:8,12,21,25
	tell 8:14			
	terms 7:15			
	testimony			

9:22 10:1

with 2:17 4:19
11:10

WITNESS
11:13

work 8:23

would 4:4,17
6:6,7 7:4,6,8,
18,19 8:3 9:1,
16

Y

yeah 2:7 4:12
9:4

year 8:5

Yes 2:24 8:24