

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI**

In the Matter of)
Kansas City Power & Light Company's) File No. ER-2012-0174
Request for Authority to Implement) Tracking No. YE-2012-0404
a General Rate Increase for Electric Service)

and

In the Matter of)
KCP&L Greater Missouri Operations Company's) File No. ER-2012-0175
Request for Authority to Implement a) Tracking No. YE-2012-0405
General Rate Increase for Electric Service)

NOTICE ACKNOWLEDGING RESPONSE

Issue Date: October 11, 2012

The Missouri Public Service Commission is acknowledging Staff's *Response to Commission Order Regarding Reconciliation*¹ ("response") as follows.

The Commission's order dated October 10² requires less information than the statutes:

In any proceeding resulting in the establishment of new rates for a public utility . . . , the commission shall cause to be prepared . . . a detailed reconciliation containing the dollar value and rate or charge impact of each contested issue decided by the commission, and the customer class billing determinants used by the commission to calculate the rates and charges approved by the commission in such proceeding. Such information shall be sufficient to permit a reviewing court and the commission on remand from a reviewing court to determine how the public utility's rates and charges, including the rates and charges for each customer class, would need to be temporarily and, if applicable, permanently adjusted to provide customers or the public utility with any monetary relief that may be due in accordance with the procedures set forth in section 386.520.

¹ Filed on October 10. All dates are in 2012.

² *Order Granting Motion for Additional Time to File List of Issues, Order of Cross-Examination, Order of Openings, List of Witnesses, and Reconciliation*, issued on October 10, emphasis added.

In the event there is any dispute over the value of a particular issue or the correctness of a billing determinant, the commission shall also include in the reconciliation a quantification of the dollar value and rate or charge impact associated with the dispute.^{3]}

Only the underscored provisions describe the information required in the Commission's order dated October 10.⁴ Those provisions describe the consequences of the Commission's ruling on a given issue. Therefore, a quantification of each party's position is necessary.

But no requirement of "multiple permutations of reconciled amounts to demonstrate the alternative resolutions presented by the multiple parties"⁵ appears in the statute or the format cited in the October 10 order. The format simply compares proposed amounts by line item: the utility's and the other parties'. The Commission refers to that format as guidance for Staff because the Commission has found it helpful.

BY THE COMMISSION



**Steven C. Reed
Secretary**

(S E A L)

Daniel Jordan, Senior Regulatory Law Judge,
by delegation of authority pursuant to
Section 386.240, RSMo 2000.

Dated at Jefferson City, Missouri,
on this 11th day of October, 2012.

³ Section 386.420.4, RSMo Supp. 2011.

⁴ *Order Granting Motion for Additional Time to File List of Issues, Order of Cross-Examination, Order of Openings, List of Witnesses, and Reconciliation*, issued on October 10, emphasis added.

⁵ Response, page 2, paragraph 2.b.