BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

Brett Felber,	)	
	)	
Complainant,	)	
	)	
v.	)	**Case No. GC-2027-0114**
	)	
	)	
Spire Missouri Inc. d/b/a Spire,	)	
	)	
Respondent	)	

NOTICE OF REJECTED FILINGS

Issue Date: October 26, 2026 Effective Date: October 26, 2026

On October 19, 2026, Brett Felber (Complainant) filed a complaint (Complaint) with the Commission against Spire Missouri Inc. d/b/a Spire. On October 23, October 25, and October 26, 2026, Complainant submitted several items for filing. This Notice explains why they were rejected.

Submission 1 - *Notice of Acknowledgment and Demand for Restoration of Service and Request for Maximum Statutory Penalty*

On October 23, 2026, Complainant submitted a document titled *Notice of Acknowledgment and Demand for Restoration of Service and Request for Maximum Statutory Penalty* (Notice). The Notice attempts to introduce evidence prematurely.[1] The Notice also repeats arguments made in *Complainant's Expedited Motion for Immediate Restoration of Gas Service and for Production of Documents* filed a day earlier.

[1] *Notice of Rejected Filings*, issued October 22, 2026, p.2, stating that the Commission cannot consider evidence that Complainant submits which has not been properly admitted during an evidentiary hearing.

Repeated filing of the same motion violates 20 CSR 4240-2.080(6) in that the main purpose of a repetitive filing appears to have no purpose other than to harass or to cause a needless increase in the cost of litigation. Additionally, the Notice lacks a certificate of service as required by 20 CSR 4240-2.080(15), (16), and (17).

For the above reasons and pursuant to 20 CSR 4240-2.080(12), the Complainant's Notice was not accepted for filing.

Submission 2 - *Acknowledgment of Audio Recording and Supplemental Filing Regarding Personal Account Establishment and Notice Failures*

The second submission is a document titled *Acknowledgment of Audio Recording and Supplemental Filing Regarding Personal Account Establishment and Notice Failures* (Acknowledgment) and includes an audio recording purportedly between Complainant and Spire and what appears to be a letter from Spire to Complainant. The audio recording and letter are clearly meant to be introduced as evidence, which again, is premature. The Acknowledgment restates much of Complainant's argument in his Complaint and also restates Complainant's argument in his *Complainant's Reply to Spire's Response and Opposition to Motions to Dismiss*, filed on October 23, 2026. Additionally, the Acknowledgment lacks the required certificate of service.

For the above reasons and pursuant to 20 CSR 4240-2.080(12), the Complainant's Acknowledgment was not accepted for filing.

Submission 3 - *Complainant's Reply to Spire's Response and Motions to Dismiss*

Complainant submitted *Complainant's Reply to Spire's Response and Motions to Dismiss* (Reply). However, this submission was made several hours after the pleading titled *Complainant's Reply to Spire's Response and Opposition to Motions to Dismiss* was filed and accepted onto the docket. The Reply restates many of the arguments made in the Complaint and the already filed *Complainant's Reply to Spire's Response and Opposition to Motions to Dismiss*. Further, the Reply does not indicate that it is meant to replace the previously filed *Complainant's Reply to Spire's Response and Opposition to Motions to Dismiss*.

For the above reasons and pursuant to 20 CSR 4240-2.080(12), the Complainant's Reply was not accepted for filing.

Submission 4 - *Chronological History of the Missouri Public Service Commission "Shall Leave" Notice Requirement for Residential Utility Discontinuance 20 CSR 4240-13.050(9) (formerly 4 CSR 240-13.050)*

Complainant's fourth submission is titled *Chronological History of the Missouri Public Service Commission "Shall Leave" Notice Requirement for Residential Utility Discontinuance 20 CSR 4240-13.050(9) (formerly 4 CSR 240-13.050)* (History). The History is not a motion and makes no requests for Commission action.[2] It is better described as argument in favor of Complainant's position or evidence that is not yet ripe to be introduced. Additionally, the History does not include a certificate of service.

For the above reasons and pursuant to 20 CSR 4240-2.080(12), the Complainant's History was not accepted for filing.

[2] *Notice of Rejected Filings*, issued October 22, 2026, p.2, stating that each pleading is required to include a clear and concise statement of the relief requested, a specific reference to the statutory provision or other authority under which requested, and a concise statement of the facts entitling the party to relief.

Submission 5 - *Request for Immediate Restoration of Service Without Payment, Reconnection Fees, or Deposits Due to Unlawful Disconnection in Violation of 20 CSR 4240-13.050(9)*

Complainant filed *Request for Immediate Restoration of Service Without Payment, Reconnection Fees, or Deposits Due to Unlawful Disconnection in Violation of 20 CSR 4240-13.050(9)* (Request). The Request restates and reargues an earlier pleading titled *Complainant's Expedited Motion for Immediate Restoration of Gas Service and for Production of Documents*, filed on October 20, 2026, and responded to on October 23, 2026. Repeated filings of the same or similar motion will be rejected as explained above. Additionally, the Request does not include a certificate of service.

For the above reasons and pursuant to 20 CSR 4240-2.080(12), the Complainant's Request was not accepted for filing.

Submission 6 – *Untitled ("As another FYI…")*

Complainant filed an untitled document that begins, "As another FYI…" (Untitled Document). This submission restates and reargues the content of the earlier pleadings of the Complaint and *Complainant's Reply to Spire's Response and Opposition to Motions to Dismiss*. Repeated filings concerning the same issue and argument will be rejected as explained above. Additionally, the Untitled Document does not include a certificate of service.

For the above reasons and pursuant to 20 CSR 4240-2.080(12), the Complainant's Untitled Document was not accepted for filing.

BY THE COMMISSION

Nancy Dippell

Nancy Dippell
Secretary

Charles Hatcher, Senior Regulatory
Law Judge, by delegation of authority
pursuant to Section 386.240, RSMo (2016).

Dated at Jefferson City, Missouri,
on this 26th day of October, 2026.

STATE OF MISSOURI

OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Pursuant to 386.290, RSMo., I have compared the preceding copy with the original on file in this office and I do hereby certify the same to be a true copy therefrom and the whole thereof.

WITNESS my hand and seal of the Public Service Commission, at Jefferson City, Missouri, this 26th day of October, 2026.

Nancy Dippell
Secretary

MOPSC
Digitally signed by MOPSC
Date: 2026.10.26 10:58:18 -05'00'

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

October 26, 2026

Case No: GC-2027-0114

MO PSC Staff
Staff Counsel Department
200 Madison Street, Suite 800
P.O. Box 360
Jefferson City, MO 65102
staffcounselservice@psc.mo.gov

Office of the Public Counsel (OPC)
Marc Poston
200 Madison Street, Suite 650
P.O. Box 2230
Jefferson City, MO 65102
opcservice@opc.mo.gov

Brett Felber
Brett Felber
3911 Cleaveland Ave.
33748 SM #95460
San Diego, CA 92103
bfelber14@gmail.com

MO PSC Staff
Ray Cunneen
200 Madison Street
Jefferson City, MO 65101
ray.cunneen@psc.mo.gov

Spire
J. Antonio Arias
700 Market Street, 6th Floor
St. Louis, MO 63101
antonio.arias@spireenergy.com

Spire
Brendan Kloeppel
700 Market Street
Saint Louis, MO 63101
brendan.kloeppel@spireenergy.com

Enclosed find a certified copy of an Order or Notice issued in the above-referenced matter(s). [1]

Sincerely,

Nancy Dippell

Nancy Dippell
Secretary

[1] Recipients listed above with a valid e-mail address will receive electronic service. Recipients without a valid e-mail address will receive paper service.