FILED December 24, 2008 Data Center **Missouri Public** Service Commission

Exhibit No Case No Date Testimony Prepared June 16 2008

Issues Updated Billing Units Witness James R Pozzo Sponsonng Party Type of Exhibit Supplemental Direct Testimony ER-2008-0318

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

- 4

÷ _

CASE NO. ER-2008-0318

SUPPLEMENTAL DIRECT TESTIMONY

OF

JAMES R. POZZO

ON

BEHALF OF

UNION ELECTRIC COMPANY d/b/a AmerenUE

> St Louis, Missouri June, 2008

Amelen VE Exhibit No. <u>55</u> Case No(s). <u>FP-2008-0318</u> Date <u>2-12-08</u> Rptr_4F

1	SUPPLEMENTAL DIRECT TESTIMONY					
2		OF				
3		JAMES R. POZZO				
4		CASE NO. ER-2008-0318				
5	Q.	Please state your name and business address.				
6	А	James R Pozzo, Ameren Services Company ("Ameren Services"), One				
7	Ameren Plaza	, 1901 Chouteau Avenue, St Louis, Missouri 63103				
8	Q	Are you the same James R. Pozzo who previously filed testimony in				
9	this case?					
10	А	Yes				
11	Q.	What is the purpose of your supplemental direct testimony in this				
12	proceeding?					
13	А	My direct testimony in this case covered the test year consisting of the				
14	twelve month	s ending March 2008, and utilized nine months of actual billing usage for				
15	the period April 2007 through December 2007 and three months forecasted usage for the					
16	period Januar	y 2008 through March 2008 My direct testimony also contained a growth				
17	adjustment to March 2008 and then a growth adjustment to June 2008					
18	The p	surpose of my supplemental direct testimony is to update the development of				
19	the weather r	normalized billing units for 1) the customer classes of AmerenUE using				
20	actual data fo	or the 12 months ending March 2008 (by substituting actual data for forecast				
21	data for Janu	ary through March) and 2) to then adjust the normalized billing units for				
22	revenues and	I sales for customer growth to March 2008 and then to September 2008, to				
23	concide with	h the end of the true-up period in this case I am completing these updates in				

2 5

/

Supplemental Direct Testimony of Janies R Pozzo

-

1 1

.

1

ł

1

ı.

ł

į

H

ŀ

Ŀ

1	accordance with the Commission's Order Adopting Procedural Schedule and Test Year					
2	issued on May 29, 2008					
3	Q.	Other than using updated data, were there any other changes that				
4	should be no	ted that are different than in your direct testimony?				
5	А	Yes, in the supplemental billing units analysis presented with this				
6	testimony I h	nave made an adjustment to account for a change to cable television billing				
7	that has recently occurred This is an adjustment to the Small General Service rate class,					
8	and slightly reduces sales and revenues for that class					
9	Q.	Was the weather normalization method used for updating your				
10	analysis wit	h actual data for January to March 2008 the same methodology				
11	described in	your direct testimony in this case?				
12	А	Yes, the weather normalization method described in my direct testimony				
13	in this proce	eding is the same method I used to perform my updated analysis				
14	Q.	Was the customer growth adjustment method used for updating your				
15	analysis wit	h actual data for January to March 2008 the same methodology				
16	described ii	n your direct testimony in this case?				
17	А	Yes, the growth adjustment method described in my direct testimony in				
18	this proceeding is the same method I used to perform my updated analysis, except that I					
19	have update	d customer growth to September 2008, rather than to June 2008				
20	Q.	What were the results of your updated weather adjustment for the				
21	test year?					

_

2

Supplemental Direct Testimony of James R Pozzo

;

The weather adjustment for twelve months ending March 2008 is (\$74.4 1 A million) versus the (\$80 4 million) I had estimated using three months of forecasted data 2 3 at the time my direct testimony was filed What were the results of your updated growth adjustment for the test 4 Q. year? 5 6 The adjustment for customer growth through March 2008 is \$2.6 million, Α and for customer growth through September 2008 is \$20 6 million, for a total of \$23 2 7 8 million for customer growth through September 2008 The total customer growth 9 adjustment reflected in my direct testimony, using three months of forecasted data, was 10 \$13.9 million Taken together, how do the updated weather adjustment and updated 11 **Q**. 12 growth adjustment affect your original analysis filed on April 4, 2008 with your 13 direct testimony? 14 My original analysis, using three months of forecasted data and adjusting A 15 for customer growth through June, 2008, resulted in billing unit revenues of 16 \$2 074,567,547, as shown on Schedule JRP-E7 to my direct testimony My updated 17 analysis, using actual data for January through March 2008 with adjustments for normal 18 weather and for customer growth through September, 2008 reflects a net increase in 19 billing unit revenues of \$25.1 million, resulting in total billing unit revenues of 20 \$2,099,672,004 Schedule JRP-8 to this testimony shows the results of the updated study 21 for the twelve months ending March 2008, with customer growth through September 22 2008

3

Supplemental Direct Testimony of James R P0720

_

1

. .

1

1

Q. Does this conclude your supplemental direct testimony?

-

2 A Yes, it does

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

)

)

)

1

In the Matter of Union Electric Company d/b/a AmerenUE for Authority to File Tariffs Increasing Rates for Electric Service Provided to Customers in the Company's Missouri Service Area

Case No ER-2008-0318

AFFIDAVIT OF JAMES R. POZZO

STATE OF MISSOURI) \$\$ **CITY OF ST. LOUIS** ì

. .

James R Pozzo, being first duly sworn on his oath, states

1 My name is James R Pozzo I work in the City of St Louis, Missouri, and I am employed by Ameren Services Company as a Rate Engineer in Regulatory Policy

Attached hereto and made a part hereof for all purposes is my Supplemental 2

Direct Testimony on behalf of Union Electric Company d/b/a AmerenUE consisting of \mathcal{H} pages and Schedule JRP-E8, all of which have been prepared in written form for introduction into evidence in the above-referenced docket

3 I hereby swear and affirm that my answers contained in the attached testimony to the questions therein propounded are true and correct

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 3^{24} day of June, 2008

Kanielle R. MG

My commission expires

Danielle R Moskop Notary Public - Notary Seal STATE OF MISSOURI St Louis County My Commission Expires July 21, 2009 Commission # 05745027

AmerenUE - Missouri Weather Normalized-12 months ending March 2008 January-March Actual

-

_ - - - -

	Weather Normalization	March 2008 Growth	September 2008 Growth	Normal Adjusted Revenues
Residential	-\$54,247,918	-\$98,849	\$6,793,495	\$901,43 1,504
Small General Service	-\$7,741,288	\$1,602,707	\$3,344,457	\$242,382,935
Large General Service	-\$8,734,571	\$967,932	\$10,492,477	\$448,496,154
Small Primary Service	-\$2,627,622	\$97,5 37	-\$78	\$185,379,469
Large Primary Service	-\$1,082,356	\$0	\$0	\$162,744,098
Large Transmission Service	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$126,153,909
Large Transmission Line Losses	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$4,424,399
Lighting	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$28,605,874
MSD	\$0	_\$0	<u> </u>	\$53,664
Total	-\$74,433,755	\$2,569,327	\$20,630,351	\$2,099,672,004

-