1		I. INTRODUCTION
2	Q.	PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME, ADDRESS AND OCCUPATION.
3	A.	My name is John F. Finnegan. My address is 1875 Lawrence St., Denver, CO
4		80202. I am a Senior Policy Witness in AT&T's Law and Government Affairs
5		organization.
6 7 8	Q.	PLEASE PROVIDE YOUR BACKGROUND AND PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE AS THEY RELATE TO THE ISSUES IN THIS PROCEEDING.
9	A.	My education and relevant work experience are as follows. I have a B.S. in
10		Engineering from the Rutgers College of Engineering and an M.B.A. from the
11		University of Denver. I have worked for AT&T for over 20 years. After
12		graduating from Rutgers, I spent the next two years with Combustion Engineering
13		in Valley Forge, PA as a Project Engineer. In 1983, I joined AT&T as a
14		purchased product engineer. Over the next 12 years, I spent time with AT&T in a
15		variety of engineering, quality management, sales and marketing positions.
16		Almost half of that time was spent leading a supplier quality management
17		organization.
18		In 1995, I joined AT&T's New Markets Development Organization and was one
19		of the first employees in AT&T's Western Region to explore the opportunities
20		associated with providing local exchange services. In 1996, I began in my current
21		position of Senior Policy Witness. As a Senior Policy Witness, I am responsible
22		for developing and advocating AT&T's position on a wide range of issues.

1

1		During Qwest's attempt to obtain Section 271 relief, I concentrated my work
2		efforts on collaborating with Qwest, CLECs and state regulators on understanding
3		and evaluating Qwest's operational support system ("OSS") and developing
4		performance measurements supporting those OSS. I was AT&T's representative
5		in the Arizona and the Regional Oversight Committee's ("ROC") OSS tests since
6		their inception. Since the issuance of the Triennial Review Order, I have been
7		concentrating my efforts on the cross over point issue that is relevant to this
8		testimony, the batch hot cut process, including participation in industry
9		workshops addressing batch hot cuts, market definition and triggers.
10		I am a frequent panelist on ROC OSS and Triennial Review Order discussions. I
10		Tain a nequent parents on ROC 055 and Thenmar Review Order discussions. T
11		have testified in proceedings in Kansas, Iowa, Minnesota, Arizona, Montana,
12		Wyoming, Utah, Idaho, Colorado, Washington, North Dakota, South Dakota,
13		Nebraska, Oregon, and New Mexico.
14	Q.	WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY?
15	A.	The purpose of my testimony is to recommend an approach the Commission can
16		use to distinguish the mass market from the enterprise market, as directed by the
17		FCC. I also conduct a quantitative analysis that results in a recommendation in
18		the number of lines that distinguish the mass market from the enterprise market
19		(the cross over point).
20	I.	Summary
21	Q.	WHAT IS THE CROSSOVER POINT THAT YOU RECOMMEND THIS
	τ.	

## 21Q.WHAT IS THE CROSSOVER POINT THAT YOU RECOMMEND THIS22COMMISSION ADOPT?

A. I recommend that the commission adopt a cross over point of 13 lines.

1	Q.	HOW DID YOU ARRIVE AT THIS CONCLUSION?
---	----	--

2	A.	I arrived at this conclusion by determining where it made economic sense for a
3		competitive local exchange carrier ("CLEC") to serve a multi-line plain old
4		telephone service ("POTS") customer using a DS1 based service. In performing
5		the analysis to arrive at that conclusion, I identified all of the costs that are
6		incurred when serving a multi-line POTS customer with a DS1 based service and
7		divided that total cost by the cost of a single UNE-P line. The result of that
8		calculation rounded up to the next whole number is the cross over point.
9	II.	Introduction
10 11	Q.	PLEASE IDENTIFY THE FUNDAMENTAL CROSS OVER POINT ISSUE THE FCC ASKED STATE COMMISSIONS TO ADDRESS.
12	A.	The FCC tasked the state commissions with determining the point where it makes
13		economic sense for a multi-line customer to be served via a DS1 loop, termed by
14		the FCC as the "crossover point." <sup>1</sup> The purpose of making this determination is
15		to establish when a customer would be considered a "mass market" customer as
16		distinguished from the "enterprise market." The FCC identified the cross over
17		issue in the section of the TRO that is concerned with defining the market. <sup>2</sup>
18 19	Q.	DID THE FCC SUGGEST UNITS THAT COULD BE USED IN DISTINGUISHING THE MASS AND ENTERPRISE MARKETS?

- 20 A. Yes, it did. The FCC suggested that the number of DS0 lines a customer uses at a
- 21 particular location would be an appropriate unit for the cross over analysis.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> In the Matter of Review of the Section 251 Unbundling Obligations of Incumbent Local Exchange Carriers, Implementation of the Local Competition Provisions of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, and Deployment of Wireline Services Offering Advanced Telecommunications Capability, CC Docket Nos. 01-338, 96-98 & 98-147, Report and Order and Order on Remand and Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, FCC 03-36 (rel. Aug. 21, 2003) ("Triennial Review Order" or "TRO"). ¶ 497. <sup>2</sup> Id., ¶¶ 495 – 497.

1	Specifically, the FCC stated, "as part of the economic and operational analysis
2	discussed below, a state must determine the appropriate cut-off for multi-line DS0
3	customers as part of its more granular review." <sup>3</sup> The FCC asked the state
4	commissions to identify the number of DS0 lines needed at a particular customer
5	location before the customer crosses over from the mass market to the enterprise
6	market.

### Q. WHAT ARE THE CHARACTERISTICS OF MASS MARKET 8 CUSTOMERS?

9 A. The mass market customer base is: (a) primarily interested in basic voice POTS service<sup>4</sup>; (b) widely geographically dispersed<sup>5</sup>; and (c) unaccustomed to complex 10 or disruptive provisioning schemes.<sup>6</sup> The TRO recognizes each of these 11 12 characteristics when it distinguishes mass market from enterprise customers. For 13 purposes of the switching impairment analysis, the FCC stated "mass market 14 customers are analog voice customers that purchase only a limited number of POTS lines, and can only be economically served via DS0 lines."<sup>7</sup> Mass market 15 16 customers are not located exclusively in concentrated geographic locations such 17 as central business districts; rather residential and small business customers are 18 located across all urban, suburban, and rural locations. These customers expect 19 that using their telephone services, as well as changing service providers, should

<sup>6</sup> *Id*, n. 716.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> *Id.* ¶ 497.

 $<sup>^{4}</sup>$  Id.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> *Id.* ¶ 205.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> TRO ¶ 497. *See also* ¶ 127 ("Mass market customers consist of residential customers and very small business customers. Mass market customers typically purchase ordinary switched voice service (Plain Old Telephone Service or POTS) and a few vertical features.")

1 not be a complicated transaction. As the FCC described it, "mass market

customers demand reliable, easy-to-operate service and trouble-free installation."8 2

#### 3 Q. HOW DOES AN ENTERPRISE CUSTOMER DIFFER FROM A MASS 4 **MARKET CUSTOMER?**

5 A. Enterprise customers demand a level of service and capacity – particularly for 6 data services – quite different from the mass market customer. As the FCC put it, 7 "DS1 enterprise customers are characterized by relatively intense, often data 8 centric, demand for telecommunications services sufficient to justify service via 9 high-capacity loops at the DS1 capacity and above."<sup>9</sup>

10 Enterprise customers also require more sophisticated sales, marketing and 11 technical support than mass market customers. For example, local exchange 12 carriers can generally acquire POTS customers through inbound or outbound 13 telemarketing calls, direct mail or similar simplified marketing techniques. In 14 contrast, convincing a customer served by analog mass market loops to upgrade to 15 "enterprise" status using digital DS1-based service in order to change service 16 providers generally requires sales personnel to visit the customer on one or more 17 occasions. As explained below, such an upgrade requires that changes be made to 18 the customer's CPE at its premises. As a result, CLECs may also need to have 19 Systems Consultants visit with the customer. Consequently, it requires 20 considerably more sales and marketing activity, hence expense, to acquire an 21 enterprise customer than it does a mass market customer.

- <sup>8</sup> TRO ¶ 467. <sup>9</sup> TRO ¶ 451.

1		In addition, after the CLEC sales personnel visits with the customer, not every
2		customer will decide to take service with that CLEC. In that event, the sales and
3		marketing costs are expended by the CLEC without any accompanying revenue.
4 5	Q.	HOW MUCH MORE COSTLY IS IT TO MARKET TO AN ENTERPRISE CUSTOMER THAN TO A MASS MARKET CUSTOMER?
6	A.	Industry analysts have estimated that the cost to acquire a mass market customer
7		is \$125. <sup>10</sup> I estimate that, because of the additional activities and expertise
8		required, the costs to acquire an enterprise customer are six times higher than the
9		costs to acquire a mass market customer. For purposes of this analysis, I used a
10		marketing cost differential of \$625. <sup>11</sup>
11 12	Q.	HOW DOES THE TRIENNIAL REVIEW ORDER DISTINGUISH THE MASS AND ENTERPRISE MARKETS?
	<b>Q.</b> A.	
12	_	MASS AND ENTERPRISE MARKETS?
12 13	_	<b>MASS AND ENTERPRISE MARKETS?</b> The Triennial Review Order provides that a customer served by mass market
12 13 14	_	MASS AND ENTERPRISE MARKETS? The Triennial Review Order provides that a customer served by mass market loops is to be considered part of the enterprise market when "it is economically
12 13 14 15	_	MASS AND ENTERPRISE MARKETS? The Triennial Review Order provides that a customer served by mass market loops is to be considered part of the enterprise market when "it is economically feasible for a competitive carrier to provide voice service with its own switch
12 13 14 15 16	_	MASS AND ENTERPRISE MARKETS? The Triennial Review Order provides that a customer served by mass market loops is to be considered part of the enterprise market when "it is economically feasible for a competitive carrier to provide voice service with its own switch using a DS1 or above loop. We determine that this includes all customers that are
12 13 14 15 16 17	_	MASS AND ENTERPRISE MARKETS? The Triennial Review Order provides that a customer served by mass market loops is to be considered part of the enterprise market when "it is economically feasible for a competitive carrier to provide voice service with its own switch using a DS1 or above loop. We determine that this includes all customers that are served by the competing carrier using a DS1 or above loop and all customers

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> See Banc of America Securities, Research Brief Wireline Telecommunications, AT&T Corporation A Case for Consumer Services, April 30, 2003, p. 20. <sup>11</sup> Cost to market to an enterprise customer (\$750) – Costs to market to a mass market customer (\$125) =

<sup>\$625.</sup> <sup>12</sup> TRO ¶ 421, n.1296. <sup>13</sup> TRO ¶ 497.

# 1Q.WHAT IS THE CROSS OVER POINT FOR MULTI-LINE DS02CUSTOMERS?14

3	A.	This is the point at which ILECs are relieved of their obligation to provide
4		unbundled local switching to an individual customer location. <sup>15</sup> The purpose of
5		the cross over is to establish a governmentally drawn upper boundary to the mass
6		market – in effect, substituting the Commission's judgment of how a customer
7		should be served (via a DS-1), for the customer's judgment of how it has chosen
8		to be served (multiple POTS lines).
9 10	Q.	WHAT IS THE PRACTICAL IMPLICATION OF THE CROSS OVER POINT?
11	A.	In all but the most limited situations, an ILEC's unbundled local switching
12		network element is only used as part of a platform with all of the other unbundled
13		network elements known as UNE-P. The cross over point will decide the line
14		level at which a CLEC can and cannot serve customers using UNE-P.
15 16	Q.	DID THE FCC COME TO ANY PREVIOUS CONCLUSIONS ON DISTINGUISHING THE MASS FROM THE ENTERPRISE MARKET?
17	A.	Yes, it did. The FCC previously found that if a customer had four or more lines at
18		a single customer location in density zone 1 in one of the top 50 Metropolitan
19		Statistical Area ("MSAs") and the ILEC had provided non-discriminatory, cost-

20 based access to the enhanced extended link ("EEL"), then the ILEC had no

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> Id.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> It should be noted that if the Commission finds no impairment with respect to unbundled local switching, a Bell Operating Company would still have to provide access to that element (TRO  $\P$  653); however, it would not have to provide switching at the rates, terms and conditions mandated by section 252 of the Act (TRO  $\P$  656).

obligation to provide unbundled local switching.<sup>16</sup> However, that conclusion did 1 2 not apply in other than the top 50 MSAs or in density zones other than zone 1 in 3 the top 50 MSAs. This finding has become known as the "three line limit" or the 4 "switching carve-out."

#### 5 Q. WHAT FACTS DID THE FCC RELY ON IN SETTING THE "THREE 6 LINE LIMIT"?

7 A. Frankly, the evidence the FCC relied upon in reaching its three line limit was

8 minimal. It appears that the FCC based much of its finding on the presence of

9 CLEC local switches in density zone 1 in the top 50 MSAs. Specifically, the FCC

10 concluded, "exempting incumbent LECs from unbundling local circuit switching

- 11 in certain circumstances in the top 50 MSAs is reasonable because nearly all of
- 12 the top 50 MSAs contain a significant number of competitive switches."<sup>17</sup>
- 13 However, the FCC did not provide any meaningful explanation as to how that
- 14 finding translated into a three line (or any specific line) limit. Indeed, in his
- 15 Separate Statement, Commissioner Harold Furchtgott-Roth pointed out the
- 16 absence of evidence supporting a three line limit when he stated:

17 We have before us no clear evidence that there are *material*, switching-18 related differences in the cost of serving customers with different numbers 19 of lines. Certainly, there is no basis whatsoever for concluding there are 20 *material* differences in the cost of providing switching to customers with 21 three lines, rather than four.....From a technological and economic 22 perspective, there is no difference between a carrier that serves four one-23 line customers and a carrier that serves one four-line customer. There is 24

consequently no reason to discriminate between the two carriers by giving

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> Before the Federal Communications Commission, In the Matter of Implementation of the Local Competition Provisions of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, CC Docket No. 96-98, Third Report and Order and Fourth Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking ("UNE Remand Order"), Decision FCC 99-238, Released November 5, 1999, ¶ 278.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> *Id*,  $\P$  281.

the first access to local circuit switching, but denying such access to the 1 second 18 2 3

### 4 Q. DOES MERE EVIDENCE OF THE EXISTENCE OF COMPETITIVE 5 SWITCHES SUPPORT A THREE LINE LIMIT?

- 6 No. In considering the evidence regarding the number of competitive switches in A.
- 7 zone 1 in the top 50 MSAs, the FCC failed to consider what type of customers
- 8 were being served by the switches. What the FCC did not appreciate at the time
- 9 of the UNE Remand Order – and what it does appreciate now, is that competitive
- 10 switches are used to serve large business enterprise customers. Thus, as the FCC
- 11 found in the TRO:

12 We find that the extent of competitive LEC circuit switch deployment 13 varies tremendously in the enterprise and mass markets. In particular, we 14 find that the record demonstrates significant nationwide deployment of 15 switches by competitive providers to serve the enterprise market, but 16 extremely limited deployment of competitive LEC circuit switches to serve the mass market <sup>19</sup> 17

18

### 19 Q. SHOULD THE STATE COMMISSION SIMPLY ACCEPT THE FCC'S 20 THREE LINE AS THE APPROPRIATE CROSS OVER POINT?

- 21 A. Absolutely not. As discussed above, the FCC's initial line limit was not based
- 22 upon a factual analysis. More importantly, the FCC has directed the state
- 23 commissions to make a fact-based determination of the cross over point as part of
- 24 its granular analysis, using a cost/economic based review. It follows logically that
- 25 the FCC would not have delegated this review to the state commissions if it

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> Separate Statement of Commissioner Harold Furchtgott-Roth, Concurring in Part and Dissenting in Part, Re: Implementation of the Local Competition Provisions of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Third Report and Order and Fourth Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, CC Docket 96-98, FCC 99-238, pp. 2-3. <sup>19</sup> TRO, ¶ 435.

believed that its prior line determination was simply to be adopted by state
 commissions.

## Q. HOW SHOULD THIS COMMISSION DEVELOP THE CROSS OVER POINT?

A. I recommend that the analysis be based on the economic and operational factors
that a CLEC must face in deciding whether to serve a customer using multiple
UNE-P lines or lines that are aggregated onto one or more DS1 services. This
analysis compares the total costs to provision DS1 services at a customer's
location to the costs of serving that same customer via UNE-P.

10 The costs to provision DS1 service at a location are characterized by substantial,

11 upfront marketing, non-recurring and investment costs and monthly recurring

12 costs that are generally not dependent upon the number of lines served at the

13 customer's location. That is because it generally costs a CLEC roughly the same

- to serve a customer with a DS1 based service whether the customer has one line
   or twenty-four lines.<sup>20</sup>
- 16 In contrast, a CLEC's cost to order and provision UNE-P service include virtually
- 17 no investment or upfront non-recurring costs. The CLEC's monthly recurring
- 18 costs are directly related to the number of loops served at a location.<sup>21</sup> For
- 19 example, if the ILEC's rate for UNE-P service is \$20 per line per month, then the
- 20 total monthly cost to serve a customer with five lines is \$100.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> A DS1 loop can serve up to 24 voice grade equivalents.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> A CLEC that provides a customer with service using UNE-P will certainly incur some non-recurring expenses for activities such as creating an internal order once the customer has agreed to subscribe to the CLEC's service and submitting an order to the ILEC. However, those expenses would also occur if the CLEC served the customer using a DS1 based service. To simplify the analysis, CLEC costs to order either UNE-P or DS1 loops are excluded from the analysis.

1	To arrive at the recommended cross over point, I calculate the total monthly cost
2	to sell, install and maintain a DS1 based service at a customer's location and then
3	I divided that result by the monthly UNE-P costs of serving that same customer.
4	This result (rounded to the next higher whole number) yields the number of UNE-
5	P lines at which the CLEC should be economically indifferent between using
6	UNE-P or DS1 lines to serve that location. My analysis also generally compares
7	and contrasts the operational issues associated with using UNE-P and DS1
8	services.

# 9 Q. HOW DOES YOUR ANALYSIS ACCOUNT FOR THE DIFFERENT UNE 10 RATE ZONES IN THIS STATE?

- 11 A. The costs for a DS1-capable loop and UNE-P can vary substantially by rate zone.
- 12 Thus, there could conceivably be a different cross over point for each rate zone.
- 13 However, for the sake of simplicity and administrative efficiency, I recommend a
- 14 cross over point based upon a weighted average of the cross over points for the
- 15 individual zones.<sup>22</sup> The weighting is based on the percentage of unbundled loops
- 16 that are found in each zone.
- 17 III. UNE-P and DS1 Network Architectures

## 18 Q. DO THE RELATIVE NETWORK ARCHITECTURES OF UNE-P AND 19 DS1 SERVICE AFFECT THE COSTS USED IN THE ANALYSIS?

20 A. Yes. To understand the analysis, one must first understand the UNE-P and DS1

21 network architectures.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> Since the analysis determines rate zone-specific cross over points, the Commission can alternatively use it to establish cross over points for each rate zone. The analysis can also be used to develop weighted average cross over points if the Commission defines geographic market areas that include more than one rate zone.

### 1 Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE NETWORK ARCHITECTURE FOR UNE-P.

2 A. The network architecture for UNE-P is the same, simple POTS architecture that 3 ILECs use to provide retail service to their own end users. To obtain service, a 4 customer with one or more telephone lines merely plugs its analog telephone sets 5 into wall jacks. Each jack will be associated with one or two of the customer's telephone numbers.<sup>23</sup> The wall jacks are connected to the customer's inside 6 7 telephone wire. The inside wire for a premises terminates at the customer's 8 Network Interface Device ("NID"). For a residential customer, the NID is 9 generally located on the side of the customer's house. For small business 10 customers, the NID can be located on the side of the customer's building or inside 11 the customer's building in some type of equipment closet. For each POTS line at 12 a customer's location, an ILEC twisted copper loop is connected to the NID. The 13 copper loop provides the electrical current necessary to ring the customer's 14 telephone when an incoming call is received and to provide dial tone when the customer attempts to make a call.<sup>24</sup> Because all of the electrical current required 15 16 to make and receive telephone calls is provided over the copper loop, a 17 customer's telephone service will operate even when the customer has 18 experienced an electrical power outage.

19

20

Thus, in its simplest form, with a POTS architecture, each telephone line has its own separate connection from the customer's premises to the local circuit switch

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup> A telephone jack can be wired to support two different telephone lines with two different telephone numbers. This permits a customer to use both telephone lines with a single, two-line, analog telephone set.
<sup>24</sup> If the customer's cooper loop is connected directly to the circuit switch, the switch will provide the ringing current and dial tone. If the customer's loop has multiplexing equipment in the loop, the multiplexing equipment provides the ringing current and dial tone.

1 serving that customer. For example, a customer with eight POTS lines will have

2 eight separate loop connections to the local circuit switch serving those lines.

## Q. DOES A UNE-P ARCHITECTURE REQUIRE THE CLEC TO MAKE ANY INVESTMENT IN CPE OR NETWORK EQUIPMENT?

- 5 A. Generally speaking, a CLEC does not have to make any network or CPE
- 6 investments to serve a customer using UNE-P. A CLEC may, however, invest in
- 7 its own equipment to provide voice mail service or to provide its own operator or
- 8 directory assistance services. For purposes of this analysis, no CLEC investment
- 9 is assumed when the CLEC serves a customer using UNE-P.

## 10Q.WHAT NON-RECURRING UNE-P COSTS ARE CONSIDERED IN THE11ANALYSIS?

- 12 A. None. Ordinarily, my analysis would assume that a customer with POTS service
- 13 from the ILEC would be migrated to CLEC UNE-P service and I would include
- 14 such non-recurring migration costs in this analysis. However, in Missouri the
- 15 UNE-P migration recurring charge is \$1.05, per electronic LSR.<sup>25</sup> Because the
- 16 costs incurred by the ILEC to migrate a customer from retail to UNE-P are
- 17 relatively<sup>26</sup> low, I did not include them in the analysis. There are no other non-
- 18 recurring UNE-P costs that I considered in the analysis.

## 19Q.WHAT ARE THE MONTHLY RECURRING UNE-P COSTS THAT ARE20CONSIDERED IN THE ANALYSIS?

- 21 A. For purposes of this analysis, the following Missouri UNE-P monthly recurring
- 22 costs were used:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup> M2A, Appendix Pricing UNE, Schedule of Prices, 06/27/03.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>26</sup> This charge, when amortized over two years as discussed on page 22, would be pennies.

Rate Zone	Monthly UNE-P Rate
1	\$16.60
2	\$22.84
3	\$25.27
4	\$21.55

The UNE-P rate is comprised of the rate zone-specific unbundled analog loop cost, the monthly recurring switch port charge, and any applicable usage sensitive costs (e.g., switching, shared transport, signaling, databases, and Daily Usage File).<sup>27</sup>

1

### 6 Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE NETWORK ARCHITECTURE FOR THE DS1 7 SERVICE.

8 A. With DS1 service, instead of maintaining a separate connection (analog loop) 9 from the customer's premises to the local circuit switch for each telephone line, 10 special equipment at the customer's premises is used to aggregate the multiple 11 telephone lines onto a single connection (a DS1-capable loop) from the 12 customer's premises to the switch. As with the POTS architecture, with a DS1 13 architecture a customer with one or more telephone lines can plug analog 14 telephone sets into wall jacks that are connected to the customer's inside wire and 15 that inside wire will terminate at a NID. 16 In order to aggregate multiple, analog lines onto a common DS1 loop, the signals 17 from all of the customer's analog lines must be converted into digital signals and 18 then multiplexed. The equipment that must be installed at a customer's premises

19 to convert the multiple analog lines onto a single digital DS1 loop is called

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>27</sup> The usage sensitive charges assumed 1,668 minutes of combined originating and terminating local and toll calling.

1	channel bank equipment. If a customer does not already have such equipment
2	(and considering the circumstances being reviewed, there is no reason to assume
3	that it will), then the CLEC must provide it, because the customer would not be
4	willing to incur such costs simply because regulatory rules require that it be
5	moved from "mass market" to "enterprise" status.
6	With digital DS1 services, unlike analog POTS service, the electric current
7	necessary to ring the customer's telephones and provide dial tone cannot be
8	provided through the digital DS1 loop. Instead, they are provided by the CLEC
9	channel bank equipment at the customer's premises. The channel bank equipment
10	is typically installed inside a customer's premises, either on a wall or on the floor.
11	Although there are varying numbers of lines that may terminate on a single card,
12	the channel bank unit will typically have a 24-line capacity. <sup>28</sup> Examples of
13	frequently used channel bank units are Premisys SlimLine Channel Bank and the
14	Adtran Total Access 750 units.
15	To power the CLEC's channel bank equipment at the customer's premises, the
16	equipment must be plugged into the customer's commercial AC power. The
17	channel bank unit typically has the ability to convert the customer supplied AC
18	power to the DC power needed to run the customer's CPE. And, as noted above,
19	however, the DS1 loop architecture does not allow the electrical current needed
20	for ringing and dial tone to be provided from the carrier's switch. Thus, in order
21	to provide the customer with continuous service during power interruptions, the
22	CLEC must also provide DC battery back up. To do so, a separate power unit is

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>28</sup> This 24-line limit is a natural result in that the capacity of a DS1 loop is 24 voice grade channels.

1		required to manage the battery string to assure the batteries are fully charged and
2		can be accessed in the event of a power failure. For the purpose of this analysis,
3		an Adtran Total Access 750 Channel Bank with 24 analog line ports, an Adtran
4		AC/DC Power Supply and Battery Charger and an Adtran Battery Backup System
5		(Wall mount) is employed. <sup>29</sup> The backup battery system will provide power
6		during an interruption of commercial power for the channel bank for up to eight
7		hours. The list price of the Adtran channel bank equipment, AC/DC power
8		supply and battery charger and backup battery system is \$3,161. I assumed a
9		discount of 30% off of the list price of the Adtran CPE to account for discounts
10		that efficient CLECs would likely obtain from the supplier of the channel bank
11		equipment. The net CPE cost that I used for the analysis was \$2,212.70.
12		In sum, regardless of the variety of names applied to the CPE provided by
13		telecom equipment suppliers, the fundamental set of functionalities that must be
14		provided to support DS1 service are channel banks, power management and
15		battery backup.
16 17	Q.	WHAT MUST THE CLEC DO TO INSTALL THE CHANNEL BANK AND BATTERY BACKUP EQUIPMENT?
18	A.	To install the equipment, a CLEC must have a technician travel to the customer's
19		premises. To connect the inside copper wires from the individual telephone lines
20		to the channel bank equipment, the CLEC must either provide a wired connection
21		from the NID to the channel bank equipment, or disconnect the inside wires from
22		

22 the NID and reconnect them to the channel bank. For the purpose of this analysis

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>29</sup> Technical descriptions of the Adtran Total Access 750 and the associated AC/DC Power Supply and Battery Charger and Battery Backup System are provided in Attachment 1 to this Testimony.

- 1 a CLEC installation cost of \$94.89 was used. This cost assumes two hours for the
- 2 installation of the equipment at a rate of \$30.93 for the first half hour and \$21.32
- 3 for every hour thereafter.<sup>30</sup>

# 4 Q. WOULD THE INSTALLATION BE THE ONLY TIME THAT A CLEC 5 TECHNICIAN WOULD NEED TO SERVICE THE CHANNEL BANK 6 AND BATTERY BACKUP EQUIPMENT?

- A. No. A CLEC technician would also have to visit the customer's premises to
  service the equipment in the event that the equipment needed repair, or in the
  event that the customer discontinues service altogether or switches its service to
- 10 another provider.

## 11 Q. HOW OFTEN IS THE EQUIPMENT THE CLEC INSTALLS AT THE 12 CUSTOMER'S PREMISES IN NEED OF REPAIR?

13 A. It is difficult to pinpoint an exact failure frequency. However, for the purposes of

- 14 this exercise I would suggest that one visit by a CLEC technician to service the
- 15 CLEC equipment every three years would be a reasonable projection. Some
- 16 customers may require service sooner or later than once every three years. For
- 17 purposes of this analysis, I assume the costs of 1/3 of a repair visit during the
- 18 period that the CLEC serves the customer. I would also estimate a single visit
- 19 would require one hour to perform the repair work. For the purpose of this
- 20 analysis I used an average maintenance cost per year of \$17.42.<sup>31</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>30</sup> As a proxy for the CLEC technician labor rate for installing the CPE, I used the Maintenance of Service Charges – Basic Time of \$30.93 for the first half hour and \$21.32 for every half hour thereafter from the M2A Appendix Pricing UNE, June 27, 2003. While AT&T continues to believe that these rates are excessive, since they were approved by the Commission, I used them for this analysis.

 $<sup>^{31}</sup>$  1/3 of a visit \* 1.00 hour per visit \* (\$30.93 for the first half hour + \$21.32 for the second half hour).

### DOES YOUR ANALYSIS INCLUDE A COST FOR EQUIPMENT 1 Q. 2 **REMOVAL IN THE EVENT THAT THE CUSTOMER DISCONNECTS** 3 **ITS SERVICE WITH THE CLEC?**

4	A.	Yes, it does. If the customer stops obtaining service from the CLEC, the CLEC
5		would have to send a technician to the customer's premises to disconnect and
6		remove the CPE. For the purposes of the analysis, I estimated \$52.25 in
7		equipment removal costs. I estimate that the removal of the CLEC's equipment
8		from the customer's premises would take one hour at a rate of \$30.93 for the first
9		half hour and \$21.32 for the second. To account for the fact that the equipment
10		removal costs will take place in the future, I calculated the net present value
11		("NPV") of the \$52.25 expenditure assuming the customer will find a different
12		provider of DS1 service in two years. The ("NPV") of a \$52.25 expenditure made
13		two years into the future is \$41.42. <sup>32</sup>
14	Q.	HOW WOULD THE CONNECTION FROM THE CPE TO THE CLEC'S

15

### **SWITCH BE MADE?**

The connection from the channel bank to the CLEC's collocation is provided by a 16 A. 17 4-wire DS1-capable loop that terminates in the ILEC central office on a DSX-1 18 panel or its equivalent. The DS1 loop provides the connection between the CPE

- 19 and the ILEC's central office. Assuming that the ILEC had DS1-capable loops
- 20 available at the customer's location, the ILEC could install the DS1 loop in
- 21 parallel with the existing analog loops that the customer uses for its POTS service.
- 22 The ILEC installation would involve performing cross connections between the
- 23 DSX-1 panel and the CLEC's collocation. For purposes of this analysis, the non-

 $<sup>^{32}</sup>$  For the net present value calculation, I used a cost of capital of 12.32%. This figure was determined by adding 2% to the approved cost of capital for SBC in this state (10.32%) I added a 2% premium to the ILEC cost of capital to account for the additional risk lenders face in loaning money to a CLEC industry that is replete with bankrupt CLECs.

1	recurring and recurring costs for a DS1-capable loop and the non-recurring cost
2	for a DS1 cross connection were used. The non-recurring costs for a DS1 loop
3	from SBC include both the four wire digital loop cost and the central office access
4	charge. In Missouri, the non-recurring costs for installation of a DS1 unbundled
5	loop is \$123.77. <sup>33</sup> The recurring cost of a cross connection for a DS1 unbundled
6	loop is \$9.00 and the nonrecurring cost is \$45.03. <sup>34</sup>

### 7 Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE EQUIPMENT A CLEC USES IN ITS 8 COLLOCATION TO RECEIVE THE DS1 LOOP.

9 A. A multiplexer is required in the CLEC collocation in order to consolidate

10 individual DS1 loops onto a higher capacity DS3 transport facility connecting the

11 collocation to the CLEC switching node. The EdgeLink100 from Telco Systems

12 is a product that is frequently used to multiplex DS1 circuits onto a DS3 circuit.<sup>35</sup>

- 13 For the purposes of this analysis, I use a cost of \$3,600 for the Edgelink 100
- 14 multiplexer. Assuming that twenty-eight DS1 circuits are being multiplexed by
- 15 the multiplexers in the CLEC's collocation, a single DS1 loop would be

16 responsible for 1/28 of the \$3,600 cost of each multiplexer, or \$128.57.<sup>36</sup>

## 17 Q. HOW DOES THE MULTIPLEXED DS3 CIRCUIT REACH THE CLEC'S 18 LOCAL SWITCH?

19 A. The DS3 circuit would be backhauled from the CLEC's collocation in the ILEC

20 central office to the CLEC's local switch location. As previously discussed, at the

21 CLEC's switch location, the DS3 circuit must be demultiplexed back to individual

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>33</sup> M2A Appendix Pricing UNE, Schedule of Prices, June 27, 2003.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>34</sup> M2A Appendix Pricing UNE, Schedule of Prices, June 27, 2003.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>35</sup> A technical description of the Telco Systems EdgeLink 100 is provided in Attachment 2 to this Testimony.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>36</sup> The per DS1 loop investment assumed for this analysis was calculated as follows: 1 multiplexer \* 1/28 \* 3,600 = \$128.57.

1	DS1 circuits. The individual DS1 circuits are terminated at the CLEC's switch
2	into a DS1 switch port. The DS1 switch port is necessary whether the DS1 is
3	carrying one line of a customer's voice traffic or the maximum of 24 lines of a
4	customer's voice traffic. For the purposes of this analysis, I used a monthly
5	recurring cost of \$17.94 to backhaul the customer's DS1 service on the transport
6	DS3. <sup>37</sup> For the multiplexing at the CLEC's switching location, CLEC DS1
7	switching costs and the transport between the CLEC switch serving the customer
8	and other switches, I used a monthly recurring cost of \$40.60. <sup>38</sup> A diagram of the
9	DS1 based architecture can be found in Attachment 3.

### 10 IV. Operational Issues

# 11 Q. DOES THE MIGRATION OF A CUSTOMER'S SERVICE TO A DS1 12 BASED SERVICE INVOLVE A HOT CUT AND A LOSS OF SERVICE BY 13 THE CUSTOMER?

14 Yes, it does. For customers with existing POTS service, the process of the CLEC A. 15 connecting the customer's inside wire to the channel bank will require some 16 period of time when the customer is totally out of service and unable to make or 17 receive incoming telephone calls. In addition, even after the CLEC technician has 18 completed the process of installing the channel bank and other equipment, the 19 customer will be unable to receive telephone calls until the customer's telephone 20 numbers have been ported by the CLEC. The interval between when the CLEC 21 technician starts the conversion until the CLEC ports the customer's telephone 22 numbers can be over an hour.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>37</sup> The backhaul cost conservatively assumes the distance between the collocation and the CLEC's switch node is 3 miles and the backhaul is provided via ILEC special access.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>38</sup> The \$40.60 cost assumed 12 lines were being served at the customer's location. That cost includes the transmission equipment, the switch investment and transport facilities.

1		Much attention has been given lately to the hot cut process for individual
2		customer analog loops. In that process, the movement of the wires is done by the
3		ILEC in the ILEC central office. With a DS1 based service, a hot cut with the
4		accompanying loss of service is still necessary; the difference is that it is
5		performed by the CLEC at the customer's premises instead of the ILEC at the
6		ILEC central office.
7		The TRO may have created the mistaken impression that hot cuts are <u>unnecessary</u>
8		for customers served via a DS1 based service when it stated, "if a customer has
9		enough lines to justify the expense of purchasing multiplexing equipment and a
10		high-capacity line, it makes sense to aggregate the customer's loops at the
11		customer's premises, which avoids the need for hot cuts at the incumbent LEC's
12		central office." <sup>39</sup> While it is true that hot cuts at the incumbent LEC's central
13		office would be avoided, it is also true that since wires at the customer's location
14		must be disconnected and reconnected, a hot cut at the customer's premises would
15		be required.
16 17 18	Q.	DOES THE MIGRATION OF A CUSTOMER'S SERVICE TO A DS1- BASED SERVICE ALSO REQUIRE THE PORTING OF THE CUSTOMER'S TELEPHONE NUMBERS?
19	A.	Yes, it does. Virtually all customers - and certainly all business customers
20		want to retain their existing telephone numbers if they change their local service
21		provider. Thus, a customer served by a DS1 loop must still have its numbers
22		ported. The steps needed to port a customer's telephone number when the CLEC

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>39</sup> TRO, n. 1544.

uses a DS1 based service are the same as if the CLEC migrated multiple analog
 loops.<sup>40</sup>

# Q. ARE THERE ANY REASONS WHY A CUSTOMER USING MULTIPLE POTS LINES WOULD NOT BE INTERESTED IN A DS1-BASED SERVICE?

- A. Yes, there are several. First, the customer must set aside inside and protected
  floor or wall space to accommodate the CLEC's channel bank, power
- 8 management and backup battery equipment. With a POTS service such as UNE-
- 9 P (and even UNE-L), there is no need to install and maintain any CLEC
- 10 equipment at the customer's premises. Thus, it is likely that some customers will
- be unable or unwilling to set aside the protected space needed to accommodate
- 12 the required CLEC equipment. This, in turn, inherently limits the number of
- 13 customers that a CLEC could serve with a DS1 based service. At a minimum, it
- 14 takes additional sales and related support resources to convince a customer to
- 15 allow the CLEC to make the necessary changes at its premises.
- 16 Second, even if the customer were willing to devote protected space to house the
- 17 equipment needed to support a DS1 based service, it must also be subjected to a
- 18 premises visit by the CLEC technician and cope with a service outage. These
- 19 inconveniences will also limit the number of customers that are willing to change
- 20 from multiple ILEC-provided POTS lines to a CLEC-provided DS1 service. And
- 21

again, convincing the customer to subject itself to these inconveniences requires

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>40</sup> Therefore, the ILEC must still established the ten digit trigger for the ported numbers before the port, and the CLEC must still send the message to port the customer's telephone numbers to the Number Portability Administration Center ("NPAC") as soon as possible after the customer's inside wire has been connected to the channel bank.

1		considerably more sales support than a UNE-P order, which does not (or at least
2		should not) require the customer to suffer any inconveniences at all.
3	IV.	The Cost Analysis
4 5	Q.	WHAT TYPES OF COSTS ARE GENERALLY CONSIDERED IN THE ANALYSIS?
6	A.	Generally speaking, the analysis considered three types of costs. These include:
7		1) investment in customer premises equipment ("CPE") and network equipment,
8		2) non-recurring costs and 3) monthly recurring expenses.
9 10	Q.	HOW WERE THE CPE AND NETWORK INVESTMENT COSTS CONSIDERED?
11	A.	The investment costs were converted to an amortized monthly cost using the PMT
12		function in Microsoft Excel. The costs were amortized based on a CLEC cost of
13		capital of 12.32. <sup>41</sup> For the CPE, I used an economic life of ten years in the
14		amortization calculation. As previously discussed, the transmission equipment,
15		switching investment and the costs of the transport necessary to carry calls to and
16		from the DS1 customer was converted to a monthly recurring cost.
17	Q.	HOW WERE THE NON-RECURRING COSTS CONSIDERED?
18	A.	The non-recurring costs were converted to an amortized monthly cost again using
19		the PMT function in Microsoft Excel. The non-recurring costs were amortized

20 over a two-year period. I estimated that the expected time a CLEC would be

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>41</sup> See footnote 35, above.

1 serving an average customer would be two years.<sup>42</sup>

## 2 Q. HOW WERE THE MONTHLY RECURRING EXPENSES 3 CONSIDERED?

4 A. The monthly recurring expenses were used in the analysis without adjustment.

### 5 Q. HOW DID YOU ARRIVE AT THE TOTAL MONTHLY COST FOR THE 6 DS1 BASED SERVICE?

7 A. The analysis separately calculated the DS1 costs for each rate zone. In calculating 8 the DS1 costs, I first added the: 1) amortized monthly CPE investment, 2) 9 amortized monthly network equipment investment, 3) amortized monthly non-10 recurring costs and 4) monthly recurring expenses. Then, I divided that total by the rate zone specific monthly recurring costs for UNE-P.<sup>43</sup> This is the cross over 11 12 point because it represents the number of UNE-P lines that would create costs 13 equal to the monthly costs to provide a customer a DS1 service. After calculating 14 the cross over point for each rate zone. I next calculated a statewide weighted 15 average cross over point. The weighted average cross over point was based upon 16 the percentage of ILEC loops that were found in each rate zone and it was 17 rounded up to the next whole number. The spreadsheets supporting the analysis 18 are provided in Attachment 4

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>42</sup> Industry analysts have estimated an annual churn rate for CLECs of 42.8% of the customer base. *See* Banc of America Securities, Research Brief Wireline Telecommunications, *AT&T Corporation A Case for Consumer Services*, April 30, 2003, p. 10. Using that number, a CLEC will, on average, lose a customer within two years.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>43</sup> As discussed above, if the Commission seeks to establish rate zone-specific cross over points(s), the analysis would end here.

### 1 IV. Conclusion

### 2 Q. WHAT ARE YOUR OVERALL CONCLUSIONS?

3	A.	When a fact-based, quantitative analysis is performed using cost information from
4		this state, the point at which it is economically rational for a CLEC to use a DS1-
5		based service, rather than UNE-P, is when a customer has 13 or more lines. The
6		evidence used to arrive at this conclusion is objective and quantitative and the
7		analysis performed was granular and specific to this state. In addition, this
8		analysis is representative of how a CLEC would view a decision to serve a
9		customer with UNE-P versus a DS1-based service. As previously discussed, the
10		Commission can easily use the analysis to calculate cross over points for whatever
11		markets the Commission eventually identifies.

### 12 Q. DOES THAT CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY?

13 A. Yes, it does.