Exhibit No.:Issues:Demand-Side
Management ProgramsWitness:Brad J. FortsonSponsoring Party:MO PSC StaffType of Exhibit:Surrebuttal Testimony
Case No.:Case No.:EM-2016-0213Date Testimony Prepared:August 5, 2016

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

COMMISSION STAFF DIVISION

ENERGY RESOURCE DEPARTMENT

SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY

OF

BRAD J. FORTSON

THE EMPIRE DISTRICT ELECTRIC COMPANY, LIBERTY UTILITES (CENTRAL) CO., AND LIBERTY SUB CORP.

CASE NO. EM-2016-0213

Jefferson City, Missouri August 2016

1	SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY		
2	OF		
3	BRAD J. FORTSON		
4 5	THE EMPIRE DISTRICT ELECTRIC COMPANY, LIBERTY UTILITES (CENTRAL) CO., AND LIBERTY SUB CORP.		
6	CASE NO. EM-2016-0213		
7	Q. Please state your name and business address.		
8	A. My name is Brad J. Fortson, and my business address is Missouri Public		
9	Service Commission, P. O. Box 360, Jefferson City, Missouri 65102.		
10	Q. Are you the same Brad J. Fortson who filed rebuttal testimony on July 20,		
11	2016 in this case?		
12	A. Yes, I am.		
13	Q. What is the purpose of your surrebuttal testimony?		
14	A. My surrebuttal testimony will briefly address the testimonies of Missouri		
15	Department of Economic Development, Division of Energy ("DE") witness Mr. Martin R.		
16	5 Hyman and the Missouri Office of the Public Counsel ("OPC") witness Ms. Ara Azad.		
17	Q. Does DE make any specific recommendations for The Empire District Electric		
18	Company's ("Empire" or "EDE") future demand-side management ("DSM") portfolio?		
19	A. Yes. DE witness Mr. Hyman states, "DE supports a commitment by the		
20	Applicants to further strengthen EDE's future DSM portfolio through increased outreach to		
21	customers and additional programs and measures." ¹ Mr. Hyman also speaks to a stipulation		

¹ Rebuttal Testimony of Martin R. Hyman, pg. 7, filed July 20, 2016 in Case No. EM-2016-0213.

Surrebuttal Testimony of Brad J. Fortson

and agreement² that DE entered into with the Applicants that addresses Empire's future
DSM portfolio. The stipulation and agreement provides that Empire will, "evaluate additional
energy efficiency programs"³ and that it, "will apply for a DSM portfolio under the MEEIA
no later than one year after the Commission's finding of substantial compliance of the EDE
Integrated Resource Plan that follows Commission approval of a Statewide Technical
Reference Manual."⁴

7

8

Q. Does the Missouri Public Service Commission Staff ("Staff") agree with Mr. Hyman's recommendation?

9 Staff is supportive of further strengthening Empire's future DSM portfolio. A. 10 However, Staff recommends that any additional programs and measures included in Empire's 11 DSM portfolio, excluding low-income or general education programs, be cost-effective and 12 provide benefits to all customers in the customer class in which the programs are proposed. 13 Staff is also supportive of Empire applying for a DSM portfolio under the MEEIA, but Staff 14 recommends that any such portfolio be a part of Empire's adopted preferred resource plan in 15 its Integrated Resource Plan, or have been analyzed through the integration process required 16 by 4 CSR 240-22.060, and the portfolio and any demand side investment mechanism ("DSIM") submitted in the application be fully compliant with the MEEIA statute and 17 18 applicable regulations.

19

20

Q. Does OPC make any specific recommendations regarding energy efficiency for Empire?

² Stipulation and Agreement as to the Division of Energy and Renew Missouri, filed July 19, 2016 in Case No. EM-2016-0213.

³ Rebuttal Testimony of Martin R. Hyman, pg. 14, filed July 20, 2016 in Case No. EM-2016-0213.

⁴ *Ibid*, pg. 15.

Surrebuttal Testimony of Brad J. Fortson

Q.

- A. Yes. OPC witness Ms. Azad recommends as one of the conditions of the
 merger that, "Empire shall introduce an on-bill financing tariff for energy efficient upgrades
 for residential ratepayers in its next subsequent rate case."⁵
- 4

Does Staff agree with Ms. Azad's recommendation?

5 A. Staff does not recommend that Empire be obligated to introduce an on-bill 6 financing tariff for all residential customers in its next subsequent rate case. In Empire's most recent general rate case, ER-2016-0023, a stipulation and agreement ("Stipulation")⁶ was 7 8 filed, which provided for the development of four (4) new DSM programs, one of which 9 could potentially be an on-bill financing program targeted at low-income families.⁷ The 10 Commission has not yet ruled on the Stipulation and the signatories to the Stipulation are 11 currently investigating the feasibility of an on-bill financing program targeted at low-income 12 families. Staff is supportive of an on-bill financing program if it is found to be a practical and 13 viable option. It currently seems premature to obligate Empire to introduce an on-bill 14 financing tariff for all residential customers in its next subsequent rate case.

15

16

Q. Does that conclude your testimony?

Yes.

А.

⁵ Rebuttal Testimony of Ara Azad, pg. 21, filed July 20, 2016 in Case No. EM-2016-0213.

⁶ On June 20, 2016, a *Stipulation and Agreement* was filed in Case No. ER-2016-0023 in which all parties, with the exception of the Midwest Energy Users' Association ("MEUA"), agreed to settlement of all contested issues in the case. On June 21, 2016, a *Joinder in Settlement* was filed by MEUA to include them as a signatory to the *Stipulation and Agreement*.

⁷ Stipulation's paragraph13 states: Planned DSM / Energy Efficiency: The Signatories agree that between the effective date of this Stipulation and January 1, 2017, or as soon as possible after January 1, 2017, they will work together through the existing DSMAG to develop four (4) new DSM programs, namely, a Residential HVAC, a C&I custom rebate, a low-income multi-family, and either a non-low-income multi-family, single family low-income or an on-bill financing program targeted at low-income families.

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of The Empire District Electric) Company, Liberty Utilities (Central) Co.) And Liberty Sub Corp. Concerning an) Agreement and Plan of Merger and Certain) Related Transactions)

Case No. EM-2016-0213

AFFIDAVIT OF BRAD J. FORTSON

STATE OF MISSOURI)	
)	SS.
COUNTY OF COLE)	

COMES NOW Brad J. Fortson on his oath declares that he is of sound mind and lawful age; that he contributed to the foregoing Surrebuttal Testimony; and that the same is true and correct according to his best knowledge and belief.

Further the Affiant sayeth not.

BRAD J. FORFSON

JURAT

Subscribed and sworn before me, a duly constituted and authorized Notary Public, in and for the County of Cole, State of Missouri, at my office in Jefferson City, on this $\underline{444}$ day of August, 2016.

D. SUZIE MANKIN Notary Public - Notary Seal State of Missouri Commissioned for Cole County My Commission Expires: December 12, 2016 Commission Number: 12412070

Uankin Notary Public