

Exhibit No.:

Issues: Fuel and Purchased Power

Witness: Da

David W. Elliott

Sponsoring Party:

MO PSC Staff

Type of Exhibit:

Supplemental Direct

Testimony

Case No.:

ER-2007-0004

Date Testimony Prepared:

February 27, 2007

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION UTILITY OPERATIONS DIVISION

SUPPLEMENTAL DIRECT TESTIMONY

OF

DAVID W. ELLIOTT

AQUILA, INC. d/b/a AQUILA NETWORKS-MPS AND AQUILA NETWORKS-L&P

CASE NO. ER-2007-0004

Jefferson City, Missouri February

Denotes Highly Confidential Information

Case No(s). Fraction Reptr 45

NP

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the matter of Aquila, Inc. Networks-MPS and Aquila L&P, for authority to file tarif electric rates for the service customers in the Aquila Ne and Aquila Networks-L&P se	Networks- ffs increasing provided to etworks-MPS))))	Case No. ER-2007-0004	
AFFIDAVIT OF DAVID W. ELLIOTT				
STATE OF MISSOURI COUNTY OF COLE)) ss)			
preparation of the following form, consisting of 3 pathe above case, that the answ	Supplementages of Supplements in the followers in the followledge of the	I Direct Test emental Direct lowing Suppose matters se	es: that he has participated in the stimony in question and answer ect Testimony to be presented in plemental Direct Testimony were at forth in such answers; and that blief.	
			David W. Elliott	
Subscribed and sworn to before me this 4th day of February, 2007.				
SUSAN L SUNI My Commission September Callanary (Commission 8	on Expires 21, 2010 County	Su	Notary Public	
My commission avaisas	2-21-10			

1		SUPPLEMENTAL DIRECT TESTIMONY		
2		OF		
4 5		DAVID W. ELLIOTT		
6 7 8 9		AQUILA, INC. d/b/a AQUILA NETWORKS-MPS AQUILA NETWORKS-L&P		
11 12		CASE NO. ER-2007-0004		
13 14	Q.	Please state your name.		
15	A.	David W. Elliott.		
16	Q.	Are you the same David W. Elliott who has previously filed direct testimony in		
17	this case?			
18	A.	Yes, I am.		
19	Q.	What is the purpose of your supplemental direct testimony?		
20	A.	The purpose of my supplemental direct testimony is to provide the Missouri		
21	Public Service Commission Staff's (Staff) updated production cost simulation results that			
22	reflect: 1) changes to latan generating unit capacity; 2) changes to Jeffrey Energy Center			
23	generation; 3) updates to the spot purchased power prices and amounts; 4) updates to fuel			
24	prices; and 5) changes to the steam loads, and 6) changes to the hourly electric system load.			
25	The combination of these changes results in a revised electric joint fuel cost of			
26	** **, which is a decrease of ** ** from my previously filed			
27	amount. Fo	or allocation amounts please see schedule 1. This amount was supplied to Staff		
28	witness Charles R. Hyneman. For further discussion of how Staff annualized the overall fuel			
29	expense for this update filing, please refer to the supplemental direct testimony of Mr.			
30	Hyneman.			

22

PRODUCTION COST MODEL CHANGES

Why did Staff modify the capacity of the latan generating unit to decrease the Q. 3 capacity? During the prehearing discussions with Aquila, Staff learned that in February 4 A. of 2006, the majority owner of Iatan (Kansas City Power & Light Company) made a decision 5 to reduce the normal capacity rating of the unit in order ** 6 7 ** Based on its review of the 2006 actual operating data of Iatan, as well as support 8 documentation, Staff reduced the capacity from ** _____ ** MW in the production cost 9 10 model. Why did Staff modify the Jeffrey Energy Center unit capacity to increase the 11 O. 12 amount of its annual generation? During prehearing discussions with Aquila, Staff agreed to look at the capacity 13 Α. and the MWh output of the Jeffrey Energy Center (JEC) units. Based on its review of the last 14 15 six (6) years of historical generation data, the Staff adjusted the capacity of each of the three units from ** _____ ** in the production cost model. Even though this capacity is 16 greater than the contracted amount, the capacity of the JEC units in the model was changed in 17 18 order to increase the amount of JEC MWhs generation by the model so as to better match historical generation levels of MWhs. Please refer to schedule 2. Staff is willing to have 19 20 further discussions with Aquila and will review any additional information to determine 21 whether further modification of JEC capacity is warranted.

> Why did Staff update the spot purchased power? Q.



Supplemental Direct Testimony of David W. Elliott.

	A.	The Commission ordered that the case be updated through December 31, 2006.			
	I have updated the annual spot purchased power prices and MWs using the October 2006,				
	November 2006, and December 2006, data.				
	Q.	Why did Staff update fuel prices?			
	Α.	Please refer to Staff witness, Charles R. Hyneman's supplemental direct			
	testimony for an explanation.				
	Q.	Why did Staff update the steam loads?			
	A.	Please refer to Staff witness David C. Roos's supplemental direct testimony for			
an explanation.					
	Q.	Why did Staff update the hourly electric loads?			
	Α.	Please refer to Staff witness, Shawn E. Lange's supplemental direct testimony			
	for an explan	r an explanation.			
	Q.	Does this conclude your supplemental direct testimony?			
	Α.	Yes, it does.			

Schedules 1 & 2

Are Deemed

Highly Confidential

In Their

Entirety