Exhibit No.: Case No.:

Issues: Nuclear Decommissioning Fund Issues Witness: Jerre E. Birdsong Type of Exhibit: Direct Testimony Sponsoring Party: Union Electric Co.

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

CASE NO.

₹`´

٤١

DIRECT TESTIMONY

OF

JERRE E. BIRDSONG

St. Louis, Missouri November 2, 1995

Exhibit No. 28
Date 9-5-96 Case No. 50-96-149
Reporter KF

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

STATE OF MISSOURI

In the matter of the Application of Union Electric Company for an order authorizing: (1) certain merger transactions involving Union Electric Company; (2) the transfer of certain Assets, Real Estate, Leased Property, Easements and Contractual Agreements to Central Illinois Public Service Company; and (3) in connection therewith, certain other related transactions.

ŝ

Case No.

AFFIDAVIT OF JERRE E. BIRDSONG

STATE OF MISSOURI) SS.

CITY OF ST. LOUIS

Jerre E. Birdsong, being first duly sworn on his oath, states:

My name is Jerre E. Birdsong. I work in the City of St. Louis, 1. Missouri, and I am the Treasurer of Union Electric Company.

2. Attached hereto and made a part hereof for all purposes is my Direct Testimony consisting of pages 1 through 8, inclusive, all of which testimony has been prepared in written form for introduction into evidence in the above-referenced docket.

3. I hereby swear and affirm that my answers contained in the attached testimony to the questions therein propounded are true and correct.

Jerre E. Birdsong

Subscribed and sworn to before me this and day of To subscribed, 1995.

BARBARA LUNCWITZ Notary Public - Notary Seat STATE OF MISSOURL City of St. Louis My Commission Expires: September 2, 1999

Territorie Claused-

1	DIRECT TESTIMONY
2	OF
3	JERRE E. BIRDSONG
4	
5	Q. Please state your name, address, and occupation.
6	A. My name is Jerre E. Birdsong, and my business address is 1901
7	Chouteau Avenue, St. Louis, Missouri 63103.
8	Q. What is your position at Union Electric Company?
9	A. I am the Treasurer of Union Electric Company, a position I have held
10	since July 1, 1993.
11	Q. What are your principal duties?
12	A. My principal duties involve the planning of the Company's long-term
_ 3	capital structure; negotiation and completion of financings; securing sufficient short-
14	term funds for the day-to-day operation of the Company; and the management of the
15	investment of the Company's employee benefit and nuclear decommissioning trust
16	funds. In addition, the Company's cash management, dividend reinvestment stock
17	purchase program, first mortgage bond transfer and paying agency, investor services,
18	and capital budgeting are under my direction and supervision. In the performance
19	of these duties, I have on-going contact with investment bankers, commercial
20	bankers, pension fund managers, security analysts, rating agencies, and institutional
21	investors.
22	Q. Please describe your educational background.

•

.

5

۲

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1 `

12

13

14

15

16

17

A. I graduated Phi Beta Kappa with a Bachelor of Arts degree in Economics and Mathematics from Southwestern at Memphis (presently, Rhodes College). In 1977, I received a Master of Science degree in Management from the Krannert Graduate School of Management at Purdue University. My area of concentration in the Master's program was Managerial Applications of Mathematical Modeling.

0.

What is your work experience at Union Electric Company?

A. I was employed by Union Electric Company in August 1977 as an Economist in the Economic Research Department. In this position, I conducted various economic, financial, and statistical studies. In October, 1979, I began reporting to the Vice President of Rates and was responsible for the determination of the Company's cost of capital, marginal cost of service by customer class, and economic forecasts. While in this position, I also assumed responsibility for coordinating the Company's load research activities and assessing alternatives for the collection of monies to cover the decommissioning expenses which will arise at the end of the operating life of the Callaway nuclear plant.

In November, 1984, I was appointed Assistant Treasurer with primary responsibility for the investment of the Company's employee benefit and decommissioning funds. I was promoted to the position of Manager of the Financial Planning and Investments Department in August 1989 at which time the

responsibilities of planning the Company's long-term capital structure and of administering the justification of capital expenditures were added.

3

4

5

1

2

5

Do you have any professional affiliations? **Q.**

Α. Yes, I am a member of the Financial Executives Institute, the Association for Investment Management and Research, Financial Management 6 Association, and the St. Louis Treasurers and Pension Groups. I previously served 7 three terms on the Economics Committee of the Edison Electric Institute.

8

0. What is the purpose of your testimony?

9 'А. The purpose of my testimony is to address the effect that the proposed merger of Union Electric Company (UE) and CIPSCO will have on the 10 funding of the decommissioning of UE's single nuclear generating unit, the 11 Callaway Plant. 12

13

0. How is UE currently funding nuclear decommissioning?

A. UE's rates currently recognize nuclear decommissioning expenses in 14 its cost of service in all three of its electric jurisdictions -- Missouri, Illinois, and 15 Federal. The amounts included in cost of service are collected by UE and then 16 placed quarterly in an external qualified trust. This procedure has been used by . 17 UE since the Callaway plant was included in rate base in each jurisdiction. The 18 19 annual expense included in cost of service has generally been determined by levelizing the contribution which must be placed annually in the external qualified 20 trust through the end of the operating license of the Callaway plant (2024) in 21

order to provide fully for the total expenditures which are estimated to be needed for decommissioning the plant at that time. The cost of such decommissioning is currently estimated at \$433 million in 1995 dollars.

The annual amounts that are currently collected from customers and contributed to the external qualified trust are \$6,214,194 in the Missouri jurisdiction, \$355,000 in the Illinois jurisdiction, and \$122,000 in the FERC jurisdiction.

Q. Please describe the external qualified trust into which nuclear decommissioning funds are placed.

UE maintains a single, tax-qualified nuclear decommissioning trust A. 10 with three subaccounts. In the three subaccounts all balances, contributions, 11 earnings, and other activity are accounted for separately by jurisdiction. 12 Boatmen's Trust Company serves as trustee for the external qualified trust. 13 Boatmen's and Mississippi Valley Advisors (a wholly-owned subsidiary of 14 15 Mercantile Trust Co.) serve as investment managers. UE receives a tax deduction for the amount contributed to the trust for state and federal income tax purposes. 16 17 Income earned and realized capital gains on investments in the trust are taxable at the rate of 22% for federal income tax and 6.25% for Missouri state income 18 tax. The Federal income tax rate is slated to decline to 20% effective January 1, 19 1996. 20

21

1

2

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

Q. What effect will the proposed merger and transfer of Illinois

ĩ

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

distribution properties from UE to CIPS have on the funding and administration of the nuclear decommissioning trust?

A. The merger resulting in UE and CIPS becoming wholly-owned subsidiaries under a single holding company has no effect on the future funding and administration of the trust. However, the proposed transfer of UE's electric distribution properties to CIPS requires that some changes be made to current procedures. If UE and CIPS' proposals are accepted in all jurisdictions, none of these changes will affect Missouri PSC jurisdictional obligations or nuclear decommissioning expenses to be paid by Missouri customers.

With the proposal to transfer UE's electric distribution properties to 10 CIPS, we are also proposing a System Support Agreement which is subject to the 11 jurisdiction of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC). Therefore, 12 oversight of the nuclear decommissioning obligation of UE's current Illinois 13 electric jurisdiction would be shifted to the FERC jurisdiction. As jurisdictional 14 responsibilities for nuclear decommissioning costs are determined by demand 15 allocation methodology, we propose that the most current Illinois annual demand 16 simply be transferred to FERC in calculating the demand allocator to be applied 17 18 to nuclear decommissioning. There would thus be a shifting of responsibility from 19 Illinois to FERC, but Missouri would remain unchanged.

The Missouri jurisdiction's demand allocator for nuclear
 decommissioning will not be affected by the merger or property transfer. There is

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

no change in the decommissioning funding amount required from Missouri customers, and no action needs to be taken regarding nuclear decommissioning by the Commission in this proceeding.

When is the next time that this Commission must address UE's 0. nuclear decommissioning?

Α. UE is required to file a decommissioning cost study with this Commission on September 1, 1996. Based on this filing, annual decommissioning expense may be adjusted as described in the order in Docket No. ER-95-411.

Q. For informational purposes, what is being proposed regarding 10 nuclear decommissioning funding in UE's other jurisdictions, Illinois and wholesale? 1

A. Once the transfer is completed, UE will no longer have an Illinois 12 retail electric jurisdiction. Since the IRS requires that contributions into a 13 qualified trust must be included in that jurisdiction's cost of service, UE would no 14 longer be able to place Illinois' current \$355,000 annual contribution into the 15 16 qualified trust. Because FERC will have jurisdiction over the System Support Agreement, through which nuclear decommissioning costs will be recovered on 17 behalf of UE's current Illinois territory, we are proposing that, soon after the 18 effective time of the transfer of property, the balance in the Illinois subaccount 19 remain in the qualified trust be transferred in its entirety to a subaccount over 20 which FERC has jurisdiction. 21

. .

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

By adopting the procedure described above, jurisdictional obligations, assets, and liabilities will be defined consistently and may be directly compared at any point in time in the future to determine surpluses and/or deficits in required contributions by jurisdiction. Furthermore, the Missouri jurisdiction will not be affected.

Q. Does FERC place any restrictions on the management of funds under its jurisdiction?

Yes. FERC requires that funds make the same investments as any A. 8 reasonable or "prudent investor". Although the standard is fairly subjective, it is 9 nonetheless restrictive and forces the burden of proof of prudence upon the 10 investment manager, trustee, and sponsor of the trust. In my opinion, the current _1 12 investments in the Illinois subaccount meet the "prudent investor" standard as imposed by FERC. Therefore, our proposal in Illinois to transfer the current 13 investments to FERC jurisdiction would comply with FERC standards. This 14 transfer would also have no effect on the tax situation of the trust. 15

- Q. Please summarize your testimony regarding nuclear decommissioning
 in the proposed merger and property transfer.
- A. The proposed merger and property transfer will have no effect on the amounts paid for nuclear decommissioning by Missouri jurisdictional customers. No action is required by the Commission in this area. UE will make its regularly scheduled filing as required by the Commission on September 1, 1996, and the results

1

2

3

of that case will be administered as described in the Order in Docket No. ER-95-411.

Q. Does this conclude your direct testimony?

A. Yes it does.