Exhibit No.:

Issues: Dues and Donations,

Advertising, Bad Debt, Commission Assessment,

Customer Deposit

Interest, Maintenance &

Rate Base Offset

Witness: Randall D. Erickson

Sponsoring Party: Aquila Networks-MPS

& L&P

Case No.: ER-

Before the Public Service Commission of the State of Missouri

FILED

APR 2 8 2004

Direct Testimony

of

Salving Juni 11 115 en

Randall D. Erickson

Exhibit No. 24135

Case No(s). Fl-2004-0034

Date 2 | 23 | 64 | Rptr 45

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| COST OF SERVICE |
|---|
| |
| DUES AND DONATIONS |
| |
| ADVERTISING |
| BAD DEBT EXPENSE |
| A |
| COMMISSION ASSESSMENT4 |
| CUSTOMER DEPOSITS INTEREST5 |
| MAINTENANCE ADJUSTMENT-FERC ACCT 504300 AND 7303005 |
| · |
| RATE BASE OFFSET6 |
| CUSTOMER DEPOSITS6 |
| 7 |
| CUSTOMER ADVANCES7 |

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI DIRECT TESTIMONY OF RANDALL D. ERICKSON ON BEHALF OF AQUILA, INC. D/B/A AQUILA NETWORKS-MPS AND AQUILA NETWORKS-L&P CASE NO. ER-_____

| 1 | Q. | Please state your name and business address. | | | |
|----|----|--|--|--|--|
| 2 | A. | My name is Randall D. Erickson and my business address is 10700 East 350 Highway, | | | |
| 3 | | Kansas City, Missouri. | | | |
| 4 | Q. | By whom are you employed and in what capacity? | | | |
| 5 | A. | I am employed by Aquila, Inc., ("Aquila" or "Company") as a Regulatory Analyst. | | | |
| 6 | Q. | Please state your educational background and experience. | | | |
| 7 | A. | I attended MidAmerica Nazarene University in Olathe, KS, where I received Bachelor of | | | |
| 8 | | Arts Degrees in Accounting and Business Administration. I have eight years of finance | | | |
| 9 | | experience in the electric utility industry including two years of regulatory experience | | | |
| 10 | | with Aquila, Inc. | | | |
| 11 | Q. | What is the purpose of your testimony in this proceeding involving Aquila Networks - | | | |
| 12 | | MPS ("MPS") and Aquila Networks - L&P ("L&P") operating divisions? | | | |
| 13 | A. | The purpose of my testimony is to explain and support various adjustments made to Cost | | | |
| 14 | | of Service and Rate Base. | | | |
| 15 | Q. | Please identify the adjustments that you are sponsoring. | | | |
| 16 | A. | I am sponsoring seven cost of service adjustments: | | | |
| 17 | | Dues and Donations for MPS and L&P (Adjustment CS-60) | | | |
| 18 | | Advertising for MPS and L&P (Adjustment CS-65) | | | |

| 1 | | Bad Debt for MPS and L&P (Adjustment CS-35) |
|----|----|---|
| 2 | | Missouri Public Service Commission ("Commission") Assessment for MPS and |
| 3 | | L&P (Adjustment CS-40) |
| 4 | | Customer Deposit Interest for MPS and L&P (Adjustment CS-45) |
| 5 | | Maintenance Adjustment - Federal Energy Regulatory Commission ("FERC") |
| 6 | | Acct 504300 and 730300 for L&P (Adjustment CS-26) |
| 7 | | and two rate base offsets: |
| 8 | | Customer Deposits for MPS and L&P (Adjustment No. RBO-10) |
| 9 | | Customer Advances for MPS (Adjustment No. RBO-20) |
| 10 | | COST OF SERVICE |
| 11 | | DUES AND DONATIONS |
| 12 | Q. | Please explain Adjustment No. CS-60. |
| 13 | A. | This adjustment eliminates all dues and donations charged above-the-line to MPS and L&P |
| 14 | | electric operations except Edison Electric Institute ("EEI") dues. The expenses relating to |
| 15 | | EEI have been included in the cost of service because they provide a benefit to ratepayers. |
| 16 | Q. | What benefit does EEI provide to ratepayers? |
| 17 | A. | EEI fosters the exchange of information on topics such as utility operations and |
| 18 | | environmental legislation. Member utilities and other interested parties rely upon EEI for |
| 19 | | authoritative analysis and critical industry data. EEI also conducts forums for member |
| 20 | | company representatives to discuss issues and strategies to advance the industry and to |
| 21 | | ensure a competitive position in a changing marketplace. |
| 22 | Q. | Have any lobbying costs associated with EEI been eliminated from this adjustment? |

| 1 | A. | Yes. Percentages were obtained from EEI and used to calculate the disallowance of |
|----|----|---|
| 2 | | lobbying expenditures for the test year ended December 31, 2002. The percentages are |
| 3 | | based on EEI's actual lobbying expenditures for calendar year 2001 which were identified |
| 4 | | as lobbying and political expenditures under the Lobbying Disclosure Act of 1995. |
| 5 | | <u>ADVERTISING</u> |
| 6 | Q. | Please explain Adjustment No. CS-65. |
| 7 | A. | This adjustment eliminates all advertising expenses recorded to above-the-line accounts for |
| 8 | | the test year ending December 31, 2002 except those expenses for informational and safety |
| 9 | | advertisements that directly benefit MPS and L&P electric customers. |
| 0 | Q. | What do the informational and safety advertisements consist of? |
| 1 | A. | The informational and safety advertising expenses remaining in operating expenses relate |
| 12 | | to news releases, customer bill inserts, newspaper advertisements, and newsletters. News |
| 13 | | releases, customer bill inserts and newspaper advertisements regarding safety and |
| 14 | | Company information were distributed twice in the test year. |
| 15 | Q. | Please describe generally the content of these items. |
| 16 | A. | These advertisements inform the public of Dig-Rite and Call Before You Dig programs |
| 17 | | that help residents avoid potential expense and the possibility of serious or fatal injury. |
| 18 | | BAD DEBT EXPENSE |
| 19 | Q. | What is the purpose of a bad debt adjustment in CS-35? |
| 20 | A. | A bad debt adjustment updates MPS' and L&P's electric jurisdictional per book bad debt |
| 21 | | expense to be in line with MPS' and L&P's new weather normalized electric jurisdictional |
| 22 | | revenue level. The first step annualizes MPS' and L&P's uncollectible account via net |

| 1 | | write-offs to an annualized level for the test year. The annualized level of bad debt expense |
|----|----|---|
| 2 | | is calculated by multiplying the actual average net write-off rate times the adjusted test year |
| 3 | | level of jurisdictional electric operating revenues. |
| 4 | Q. | What is the actual average net write-off rate? |
| 5 | A. | An average rate is used to provide the most accurate representation of the current bad debt |
| 6 | | trend. With this considered, a five-year average (1998-2002) was used for L&P and a three- |
| 7 | | year average (2000-2002) was used for MPS. |
| 8 | Q. | Please continue. |
| 9 | A. | Next, the new electric jurisdictional bad debt level is compared with the electric |
| 10 | | jurisdictional per books bad debt expense for MPS and L&P. The difference is the MPS |
| 1 | | and L&P electric jurisdictional bad debt adjustment. |
| 2 | | COMMISSION ASSESSMENT |
| 13 | Q. | Please explain the purpose of Adjustment No. CS-40. |
| 4 | A. | Adjustment No. CS-40 annualizes the Commission's assessment for the fiscal year |
| 15 | | beginning July 1, 2002 through June 30, 2003. |
| 16 | Q. | How was the annualized assessment computed? |
| 17 | A. | The actual assessment for the fiscal year beginning July 1, 2002 was obtained from the |
| 18 | | Commission's letter of assessment notice. The total electric assessment, as stated on the |
| 19 | | letter of assessment notice, was compared to per books data for the test year ending June 30, |
| 20 | | 2002. Since it is known that this cost will be incurred, an adjustment was made for the |
| 21 | | difference to account for the increase over the prior year's assessment. Current assessments |
| 22 | | are known and measurable and should be reflected in the rates established in this case. |

Direct Testimony: Randall D. Erickson

| 1 | | CUSTOMER DEPOSITS INTEREST |
|----|----|---|
| 2 | Q. | How is the customer deposits interest, Adjustment No. CS-45 calculated? |
| 3 | A. | Customer deposits interest is calculated by multiplying an interest percentage by the |
| 4 | | electric jurisdictional customer deposits. The calculation for customer deposits at |
| 5 | | December 31, 2002 is discussed in my testimony for rate base offsets. |
| 6 | Q. | What interest rate was used to determine the customer deposits interest and why was this |
| 7 | | percentage used? |
| 8 | A. | An interest rate of six percent was used. |
| 9 | Q. | Is the Company proposing to change the interest rate currently paid on customer deposits? |
| 10 | A. | Yes, MPS currently pays its electric customers nine and one-half percent interest on |
| 11 | | customer deposits. L&P's electric customers receive six percent. The Company proposes |
| 12 | | to change the MPS rate to six percent to provide consistency within Aquila jurisdictions |
| 13 | | under the Commission's authority and to accurately reflect current economic conditions. |
| 14 | | MAINTENANCE ADJUSTMENT - FERC ACCT 504300 AND 730300 |
| 15 | Q. | Please explain the purpose of Adjustment No. CS-26. |
| 16 | A. | Adjustment No. CS-26 corrects an error in the allocation of maintenance expense between |
| 17 | | the L&P electric and steam operations. |
| 18 | Q. | Please explain what caused this error. |
| 19 | A. | The formula used by Aquila to allocate total maintenance expense incorrectly assigned |
| 20 | | maintenance expense from steam to electric operations, thereby understating test year steam |
| 21 | | maintenance expense and overstating test year electric maintenance expense. |
| 22 | | |

| 1 | | RATE BASE OFFSET | | | |
|----|-------------------|---|--|--|--|
| 2 | Q. | Please explain the rate base offsets that you are sponsoring in this proceeding. | | | |
| 3 | A. | I am sponsoring two rate base offsets; customer deposits (Adjustment No. RBO-10) and | | | |
| 4 | | customer advances (Adjustment No. RBO-20). Both customer deposits and customer | | | |
| 5 | | advances represent a customer provided source of capital and are used to finance plant | | | |
| 6 | | investment. Customer deposits and customer advances are negative adjustments to rate | | | |
| 7 | | base to ensure that a return is not earned on its customer-financed assets. | | | |
| 8 | CUSTOMER DEPOSITS | | | | |
| 9 | Q. | How were customer deposits computed? | | | |
| 10 | A. | A thirteen-month average for balances in FERC Account 235 was computed. The time | | | |
| 11 | | periods used were December 2001 through December 2002 which coincides with the test | | | |
| 12 | | year ending December 31, 2002. | | | |
| 13 | Q. | What is the significance of using a thirteen-month average? | | | |
| 14 | A. | The use of a thirteen-month average is a better measure than the investment at any one | | | |
| 15 | | single month since the monthly amounts fluctuate and no single month is representative. | | | |
| 16 | | The application of thirteen-month averaging has been used by Aquila and the Commission | | | |
| 17 | | Staff in previous cases involving MPS and L&P. | | | |
| 18 | Q. | Please explain the utility allocation of this adjustment. | | | |
| 19 | A. | The charge department was used to differentiate between electric, gas, and common. | | | |
| 20 | | Amounts in common departments were allocated based on the electric and gas totals for | | | |
| 21 | | customer deposits. Since customer deposits are supplied from retail customers, a 100% | | | |
| 22 | | jurisdictional factor is then applied to the adjusted customer deposits balance. | | | |

Direct Testimony: Randall D. Erickson

| 1 | | <u>CUSTOMER ADVANCES</u> |
|----|----|---|
| 2 | Q. | What was the rate base treatment used to compute customer advances? |
| 3 | A. | Similar to customer deposits, a thirteen-month average was also used to compute |
| 4 | | customer advances. The monthly balances averaged for FERC account 252 were for the |
| 5 | | months of December 2001 through December 2002. The thirteen-month average balance |
| 6 | | was then separated by utility (electric, gas, and common) based on charge department. |
| 7 | | Amounts in common departments were allocated based on the electric and gas totals for |
| 8 | | customer advances. As with customer deposits, advances are supplied from retail |
| 9 | | customers; therefore, a 100% jurisdictional factor was applied. |
| 10 | Q. | Does this complete your direct testimony? |
| 11 | A. | Yes. |

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

| for authority to file ta | Aquila Networks-L&P, ariffs increasing electric provided to customers in |)))) | Case No. ER |
|--|--|------------------|---------------------------------|
| County of Jackson State of Missouri |)) ss) | | |
| | AFFIDAVIT OF RAND | OALL D. ERICK | SON |
| Randall D. Erickson, being first duly sworn, deposes and says that he is the witness who sponsors the accompanying testimony entitled "Direct Testimony of Randall D. Erickson;" that said testimony was prepared by him and under his direction and supervision; that if inquiries were made as to the facts in said testimony and schedules, he would respond as therein set forth; and that the aforesaid testimony and schedules are true and correct to the best of his knowledge, information, and belief. | | | |
| | | Rand | Randall D. Erickson |
| Subscribed and swor | n to before me this loth de | ay of Mu | Notary Public Terry D. Lutes |

My Commission expires:

8-20-2004

