A Property of the Control of the Con 500 (200 A 100 A 1

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of Aquila, Inc. d/b/a Aquila Networks-MPS and Aquila Networks-L&l Natural Gas General Rate Increase) Case No. GR-2004-0072
AFFIDAVIT OF	TRISHA D. MILLER
STATE OF MISSOURI) ss.	
COUNTY OF COLE)	
the preparation of the following Direct consisting of 15 pages to be present following Direct Testimony were given by	on her oath states: that she has participated in ct Testimony in question and answer form, ted in the above case; that the answers in the y her; that she has knowledge of the matters set atters are true and correct to the best of her
	Trisha D. Miller Trisha D. Miller
	•
Subscribed and sworn to before me this	day of January 2004.
Notary Public - Notary Seel STATE OF MISSOURI COLECTIONTY MY COMMISSION EXP. JUNE 21,2008	DSuzillankin Notary

1	TABLE OF CONTENTS
2	DIRECT TESTIMONY OF
3	TRISHA D. MILLER
4	AQUILA, INC. d/b/a AQUILA NETWORKS-MPS
5	AND AQUILA NETWORKS – L&P
6	CASE NO. GR-2004-0072
7	ACCOUNTING SCHEDULES4
8	PLANT IN SERVICE, DEPRECIATION EXPENSE & DEPRECIATION RESERVE 7
9	UNAMORTIZED ACCOUNTING AUTHORITY ORDER BALANCES8
10	ADVERTISING EXPENSE
11	DUES AND DONATIONS
12	INJURIES AND DAMAGES
13	INSURANCE 12
14	PROPERTY TAXES
15	PSC ASSESSMENT
16	RATE CASE EXPENSE
17	

1	DIRECT TESTIMONY
2	OF
3	TRISHA D. MILLER
4	AQUILA, INC. d/b/a AQUILA NETWORKS-MPS
5	AND AQUILA NETWORKS – L&P
6	CASE NO. GR-2004-0072
7	Q. Please state your name and business address.
8	A. Trisha D. Miller, P.O. Box 360, Jefferson City, MO 65102.
9	Q. By whom are you employed and in what capacity?
10	A. I am a Regulatory Auditor for the Missouri Public Service Commission
11	(Commission or PSC).
12	Q. Please describe your educational background and experience.
13	A. I graduated from the University of Mississippi. I received a B.A. in
14	Accountancy (May 2001) and a Masters of Taxation (May 2002). In September of 2002, I
15	commenced employment with the Commission Staff (Staff) in my current position of Utility
16	Regulatory Auditor. I passed the Uniform Certified Public Accountant Examination in May
17	of 2003 and subsequently obtained my Certified Public Accountant's license from the state of
18	Missouri in September of 2003.
19	Q. What has been the nature of your duties while employed by the Commission?
20	A. I am responsible for assisting in the audits and examinations of the books and
21	records of utility companies operating within the state of Missouri.
22	Q. Have you worked on any other cases since your employment with the
23	Commission?

3 4

5

6

7 8

9

11

10

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

A. Yes. I have filed testimony in Aquila, Inc.'s (Aquila) electric operations known as Aquila Networks-MPS and Aquila Networks-Light & Power. I have also been assigned to a small informal water case.

- Q. What knowledge, skills, experience, training or education do you have in these areas of which you are testifying as an expert witness?
- I have extensively reviewed other utility rate cases related to the issues I am sponsoring to ensure the consistency of the Staff's method and procedures. My prior academic education has also prepared me to successfully sponsor the ratemaking areas I have been assigned in this case. I have received certificates of training from the National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissions in seminars it has sponsored concerning electric utility cost of service and regulation. Further, I have attended numerous in-house training seminars at the Commission, specifically designed for continuing education and training in the areas of regulatory issues. I reviewed the Company's testimony, workpapers and responses to Data Requests to familiarize myself with the Company's position on the issues I will address. I have also worked closely with Senior Staff members familiar with my areas of responsibility.
 - Q. What is the purpose of your testimony in this proceeding?
- The purpose of my testimony is to describe certain accounting adjustments A. made to the Aquila Networks - MPS ("MPS") and Aquila Networks - L&P ("L&P") natural gas operations cost of service filings.
- Q. Please identify the accounting schedules and any adjustments that you are sponsoring.
 - A. I am sponsoring the following Income Statement adjustments:

1	Advertising Expense: MPS-S-23.4, S-45.4, S-52.4, S-56.4, S-70.4; L&P-S-43.5,
2	S-50.5, S-54.5, and S-68.5.
3	Dues and Donations: MPS—S-59.5, S-65.10, S-70.5; L&P—S-57.8, S-63.10, and
4	S-68.6.
5	Depreciation Expense: MPS—S-73.1 and L&P—S-71.1.
6	Injuries and Damages: MPS—S-64.2 and L&P—S-62.3.
7	Insurance: MPS—S-63.1, S-64.3; L&P—S-61.1 and S-62.4.
8	Property Taxes: MPS—S-77.6 and L&P—S-75.8.
9	PSC Assessment: MPS-—S-67.3 and L&P—S-65.3.
10	Rate Case Expense: MPS—S-67.2 and L&P—S-65.2.
11	I am sponsoring the following Plant and Plant Reserve Adjustments:
12	Plant: L&P-P-22.1, P-23.1, P-24.1, P-27.1, P-35.1, and P-36.1.
13	Plant Reserve: L&PR-24.1, R-25.1, R-28.1, R-36.1, and R-37.1.
14	Q. Are there separate adjustments for both the MPS and L&P divisions of Aquila?
15	A. Yes. There are separate revenue requirement runs for the MPS
16	Northern/Southern systems, the MPS Eastern system and the L&P system. The adjustment
17	numbers will be the same for each revenue requirement run for the MPS Northern/Southern
18	systems and the MPS Eastern system. The L&P natural gas system will have distinctive
19	adjustment numbers as designated in testimony.
20	Q. What Accounting Schedules are you sponsoring in this case?
21	A. For the Aquila Networks-MPS and Aquila Networks-L&P natural gas
22	operations, I am sponsoring: Accounting Schedule 2—Rate Base; Accounting Schedule 3—
23	Plant in Service; Accounting Schedule 4—Adjustments to Plant in Service; Schedule 5—

Depreciation Expense; Schedule 6—Accumulated Depreciation; and Schedule 7—Adjustments to Accumulated Depreciation.

3

ACCOUNTING SCHEDULES

Yes.

4

Q. Are there separate accounting schedules for both the MPS and L&P divisions of Aquila?

5

Α.

6

Northern/Southern systems, the MPS Eastern system and the L&P system. The accounting

There are separate revenue requirement runs for the MPS

7

schedule numbers and formats will be the same for each revenue requirement run.

8

O. Please describe Accounting Schedule 2, Rate Base.

9

10

A. This Accounting Schedule takes the adjusted jurisdictional plant in service

11

balance from Accounting Schedule 3, Total Plant in Service, and deducts adjusted

12

jurisdictional depreciation reserve from Accounting Schedule 6, Depreciation Reserve, to

13

compute the net plant in service. Added to net plant in service on this Accounting Schedule

14

are Missouri jurisdictional amounts for cash working capital, materials and supplies,

15

prepayments and gas supply inventory. Rate base deductions include cash working capital

16

amounts for the federal tax offset, state tax offset and interest expense offset. Rate base

17

deductions also include customer advances, customer deposits, injuries and damages reserve,

18

amortization of natural gas plant and reserve for deferred income taxes. The mathematical

19

total of these items is the Rate Base amount that is incorporated in the Gross Revenue

20

Requirement recommendation shown on Accounting Schedule 1, Revenue Requirement.

Q. Please describe the items that are added to net plant in service in determining

21 22

rate base.

5

8

9 10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

A. The Staff's calculation of materials, supplies and prepayments is discussed in the direct testimony of Staff Auditing witness Lesley R. Preston. The Staff's calculation of the level of gas supply inventory is discussed in the direct testimony of Staff witness Phil S. Lock. Cash working capital is discussed in the direct testimony of Staff witness Preston.

- Q. Please describe the items that are deducted from net plant in service in determining rate base.
- A. The Staff's calculation of customer advances and customer deposits are discussed in the direct testimony of Staff witness Preston. The Staff's calculations of the reserve for deferred income taxes and the unamortized investment tax credit are discussed in the direct testimony of Staff Auditing witness Steve M. Traxler. The federal, state and city tax offsets and the interest expense offset are discussed in the direct testimony of Staff witness Preston.
- Q. Are there any additional items that you are sponsoring on Accounting Schedule 2, Rate Base?
- A. Yes, I am sponsoring the amount for Amortization of Natural Gas Plant and Reserve.
 - Q. Please explain this component of rate base.
- Amortization of Natural Gas Plant is the Missouri jurisdictional balance of the A. accumulated amortization reserve as of September 30, 2003. Use of the balance for this item as of this date is consistent with the adjusted jurisdictional balance of net plant in service as of September 30, 2003, the end of the known and measurable update period for this proceeding.
 - Q. Please explain Accounting Schedule 3.

3

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

A. Accounting Schedule 3, Total Plant in Service, lists in Column B total natural gas plant balances as of September 30, 2003. The plant adjustments are listed in Column C. Column D lists the Missouri jurisdictional plant allocation factors for each of the three natural gas systems. Column F contains the Missouri adjusted jurisdictional plant in service balances for the respective natural gas systems.

- Q. Please explain Accounting Schedule 4.
- A. Accounting Schedule 4, Adjustments to Total Plant, details the Staff's individual adjustments to total natural gas plant in service, which are listed in Column C of Accounting Schedule 3.
 - Q. Please explain Accounting Schedule 5.
- A. Accounting Schedule 5, Depreciation Expense, lists in Column B the Missouri adjusted jurisdictional plant in service balances from Accounting Schedule 3, Column F. Column C contains the depreciation rates proposed by Staff witness Rosella L. Schad of the Engineering and Management Services Department. The rates in Column C are then applied to the jurisdictional plant balances in Column B to determine the annualized level of depreciation expense that appears in Column D for each of the three natural gas systems.
 - Q. Please explain Accounting Schedule 6.
- A. Accounting Schedule 6, Depreciation Reserve, lists in Column B total natural gas depreciation reserve balances as of September 30, 2003. Column D lists the Missouri jurisdictional depreciation reserve allocation factors. Column E lists the Staff's Missouri jurisdictional depreciation reserve adjustments and Column F contains the Missouri adjusted jurisdictional depreciation reserve balances for each of the three natural gas systems.
 - Q. Please explain Accounting Schedule 7.

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

A. Accounting Schedule 7, Adjustments to Depreciation Reserve, details the Staff's individual adjustments to total depreciation reserve, which are listed in Column C of Accounting Schedule 6.

- Q. Please describe Accounting Schedule 9, Income Statement.
- A. Accounting Schedule 9, Income Statement, contains the Staff's adjusted Missouri natural gas jurisdictional revenues and expenses for the test year ended December 31, 2002, and updated for known and measurable changes through September 30, 2003.
 - Q. Please explain Accounting Schedule 10, Adjustments to Income Statement.
- A. Accounting Schedule 10, Adjustments to Income Statement, contains a listing of the specific adjustments the Staff has proposed to the unadjusted test year income statement to derive the Staff's adjusted net income. A brief explanation for each adjustment and the name of the Staff witness sponsoring the adjustment are listed on Accounting Schedule 10.

PLANT IN SERVICE, DEPRECIATION EXPENSE & DEPRECIATION RESERVE

- Q. Please describe the plant in service and depreciation reserve balances included in Accounting Schedule 3 and Accounting Schedule 6.
- A. The plant in service and depreciation reserve balances shown in Schedules 3 and 6, respectively, are the September 30, 2003, balances that the MPS and L&P natural gas operations divisions supplied through a response to Staff Data Request No. 27.
 - Q. Please describe Adjustment Nos. MPS—S-73.1 and L&P—S-71.1.
- A. This adjustment annualizes depreciation expense based on September 30, 2003, plant in service and the Staff's proposed depreciation rates.

Q.

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

P-35.1, P-36.1, R-24.1, R-25.1, R-28.1, R-36.1, and R-37.1.

Please describe Adjustment Nos. L & P-P-22.1, P-23.1, P-24.1, P-27.1,

A. These adjustments represent the retirement of the former downtown office building of the acquired St. Joseph Light and Power division.

UNAMORTIZED ACCOUNTING AUTHORITY ORDER BALANCES

- Q. Please describe the unamortized Accounting Authority Order (AAO) balances included in rate base.
- A. Unamortized AAO balances at September 30, 2003 were included in rate base, to reflect in the cost of service a return on the unamortized balance of the AAO deferrals authorized by the Commission in Case Nos. GO-91-359 and GO-90-115. These AAO deferrals represent costs associated with the MPS Gas Safety Line Project, Case No. GO-91-359, and the MPS Major Gas Safety Program, Case No. GO-90-115, respectively.
- Q. Did the Staff include amortizations to expense of the deferrals for each of the above AAOs?
- A. Yes. The Staff adopted the test year amortization for the gas safety line project and the major gas safety program deferrals. The gas safety line project and the major gas safety program deferrals have amortization periods of twenty years previously ordered by the Commission.

ADVERTISING EXPENSE

Q. Please explain adjustments MPS—S-23.4, S-45.4, S-52.4, S-56.4, S-70.4; L&P—S-43.5, S-50.5, S-54.5, and S-68.5.

8

9 10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17 18

20

21

19

22

23

24 25

A. These adjustments restate the test year advertising levels to reflect allowable expense.

- Q. Please explain the history of such adjustments before the Commission.
- A. As part of Re: Kansas City Power and Light Company, 28 MO P.S.C. (N.S.) 228 (1986) (KCPL), the Commission adopted an approach which classifies advertisements into five categories and provides separate rate treatment for each category. The five categories of advertisements recognized by the Commission for purposes of this approach are:
 - 1. General: advertising that is useful in the provision of adequate service;
 - 2. <u>Safety</u>: advertising that conveys the ways to use the Company's service safely and to avoid accidents;
 - 3. Promotional: advertising that encourages or promotes the use of the particular commodity the utility is selling;
 - 4. <u>Institutional</u>: advertising that seeks to improve or retain the Company's public image; and
 - 5. Political: advertising which is associated with political issues.

The Commission adopted these categories of advertisements because it believed that a utility's revenue requirement should: 1) always include the reasonable and necessary cost of general and safety advertisements; 2) never include the cost of institutional or political advertisements; and 3) include the cost of promotional advertisements only to the extent that the utility can provide cost-justification for the advertisement (KCPL, pp. 269-271).

- Q. What standard did the Staff use to evaluate the Company's advertising expense in this case and to develop the adjustments?
- A. The Staff utilized the standards as initially established in the KCPL case identified above, and utilized in subsequent cases, to determine the test year level of advertising expense for the general, safety, institutional, promotional and political categories

of advertising. The Staff proposes to disallow advertisements that are institutional, promotional, unrelated to the natural gas industry or ask for charitable donations. The Staff allowed all general and safety-related advertisements to the extent that they were related to the natural gas industry and beneficial to Missouri natural gas ratepayers.

- Q. Has the Company provided the Staff with copies of all test year advertisements in this proceeding?
- A. No. The Company only provided and identified advertisements they characterized as general and safety.
- Q. Did the Company provide copies of all general and safety advertisements sponsored by the Company?
- A. No. The Company was asked through Staff Data Request No. 28.1 to provide a copy of all advertising sponsored by the Company in the test year for this case. However, the Company was not willing to supply copies of all the advertisements sponsored in the test year.
- Q. How did the Staff treat these advertisements classified by the Company as being general or safety in nature for rate purposes?
- A. The Staff allowed all general and safety-related advertisements to the extent that they were related to the natural gas industry and beneficial to Missouri natural gas ratepayers. The Staff disallowed all advertisements identified by the Company as safety or general, but which the Staff believes are institutional, promotional, or unrelated to the natural gas industry, as well as advertisements that could not be identified by the Staff.
- Q. Did the Company provide the Staff with any advertisements of a political nature?

7

8 9

10 11

12

13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

A. No. The Company did not submit to the Staff any advertisements of a political nature.

- Q. Does Staff believe that the Company should have made all of its advertisements available for review in this audit?
- A. Yes. The Staff traditionally receives and reviews the information for all other major utilities regulated by the Commission.

DUES AND DONATIONS

- Q. Please explain adjustments MPS—S-59.5, S-65.10, S-70.5; L&P—S-57.8, S-63.10, and S-68.6.
- A. These adjustments decrease test year expenses relating to various dues the Company has included in its cost of service. The Staff has excluded such dues and donations because they are not necessary for the provision of safe and adequate service, and thus do not provide any direct benefit to ratepayers.
- Q. Please explain why Staff proposes to disallow American Gas Association (AGA) dues.
- A. AGA is a national association of investor-owned natural gas utilities, which is significantly engaged in lobbying activities. The Company included in its cost of service ninety-eight percent of the AGA dues allocated to MPS and L&P. The Company had subtracted the other two percent that it felt was attributable to lobbying activities and for advertising by AGA. Lobbying activities may benefit the shareholders, but do not directly benefit the ratepayers.
 - Q. Did the Company book any charitable donations above-the-line?

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

A. No. The Company did not book any charitable donation expenses above-theline in this case. Further, Staff did not identify through its review of the Company's books and records any other charitable contributions that needed to be removed from the test year levels.

INJURIES AND DAMAGES

- Q. Please describe adjustments MPS-S-64.2, and L&P-S-62.3.
- A. These adjustments normalize injuries and damages expense by reflecting a 33-month average of actual claims payments. The adjustment amount is the difference between the actual average of payments and the test year accrued provision for injuries and damages.
 - Q. Why has the Staff used a 33-month average of actual payments?
- A. Actual payments for injuries and damages have been fluctuating in the past few years. The Staff believes that a 33-month average will smooth the effect of these fluctuations.
 - Q. Please define the 33-month period used by the Staff.
- A. The 33-month period is the time period from January 1, 2000, to September 30, 2003, the end of the period for known and measurable changes.

INSURANCE

- Q. Explain adjustments MPS—S-63.1, S-64.3; L&P—S-61.1 and S-62.4.
- A. These adjustments annualize insurance expense based on insurance premiums available through the end of the September 30, 2003, test year update period.

PROPERTY TAXES

- Q. Please explain adjustments MPS—S-77.6 and L&P—S-75.8.
 - A. These adjustments annualize property tax expense for each of these divisions.
 - Q. How did the Staff compute property tax expense in this case?
- A. The Staff examined the actual amounts of property tax payments made by MPS and L&P for 2000, 2001 and 2002. Staff developed a relationship of actual property tax payments to the level of property at January 1 for each of those years. The relationship was applied to the plant in service balance at the end of the test year, December 31, 2002, to calculate an annualized property tax amount in this case.
 - Q. How are property taxes paid?
- A. The state and local taxing authorities determine the annual property tax payment through an assessment of utility's real and personal property. This assessment is made based upon the utility's property balances on January 1 of each year. The taxing authorities also determine a property tax rate that is applied to the assessed values to compute the property tax amount billed to utilities.
 - Q. When are property taxes paid by the utility?
- A. The property taxes are paid to the state and local taxing authorities at the end of each year, generally by December 31st.
 - Q. Are all property taxes charged to expense?
- A. No. Although the majority of property taxes are expensed, a portion of property taxes relate to construction activity as of the assessment date of January of each year. The property taxes that relate to construction activities are capitalized.

PSC ASSESSMENT

- Q. Explain adjustments MPS—S-67.3 and L&P—S-65.3.
- A. These adjustments annualize the PSC assessment expenses based on the July 2003 Commission assessment for the 2004 fiscal year.

RATE CASE EXPENSE

- Q. Please describe adjustments MPS—S-67.2 and L&P—S-65.2.
- A. These adjustments normalize rate case expense over a three-year period.
- Q. How was the rate case expense adjusted for Case No. GR-2004-0072?
- A. The total amount of actual rate case expense incurred by MPS and L&P through August 31, 2003, is being allowed at this time. Any additional cost that is a reasonably incurred rate case expense will be considered for inclusion later in the case. Some rate case costs, such as consulting fees, employee travel expenditures and legal representation, are directly associated with the length of the case through the prehearing and hearing process. The Staff will work with the Company to establish an ongoing normalized level of rate case expense for inclusion in rates.
- Q. Were there any adjustments made for rate case expense related to Aquila's Corporate Restructuring/Collateralization case, Case No. EF-2003-0465, before the Commission?
- A. No. The Company absorbed all costs associated with Case No. EF-2003-0465 in the non-regulated operations without seeking recovery from the regulated operations. This treatment is appropriate because these corporate restructuring costs do not benefit the customers of Missouri. The Staff believes it is inappropriate to allow specific recovery in rates of amounts related to Aquila's non-regulated operations. In addition, all costs relating to

Direct Testimony of Trisha Miller

1

2

3

4

5

6

the corporate restructuring for the financial deterioration of the Company's non-regulated operations should not be included for recovery in rates. The Staff asserts that costs associated with Case No. EF-2003-0465 are directly associated with the Company's non-regulated activities and corporate restructuring efforts.

- Q. Does this conclude your direct testimony?
- A. Yes, it does.