**Exhibit No.:** 

Issue(s): Fuel Adjustment Clause (FAC)/

**Customer Bills** 

Witness/Type of Exhibit: Mantle/Surrebuttal Sponsoring Party: Public Counsel ER-2021-0240

## SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY

## **OF**

## LENA M. MANTLE

Submitted on Behalf of the Office of the Public Counsel

# UNION ELECTRIC COMPANY D/B/A AMEREN MISSOURI

FILE NO. ER-2021-0240

November 5, 2021

#### BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of the Union Electric	)	
Company d/b/a Ameren Missouri's	)	
Tariffs to Increase its Revenues for	)	Case No. ER-2021-0240
Electric Service	)	
	)	

#### AFFIDAVIT OF LENA M. MANTLE

STATE OF MISSOURI	)	
	)	S
COUNTY OF COLE	)	

Lena M. Mantle, of lawful age and being first duly sworn, deposes and states:

- 1. My name is Lena M. Mantle. I am a Senior Analyst for the Office of the Public Counsel.
- 2. Attached hereto and made a part hereof for all purposes is my surrebuttal testimony.
- 3. I hereby swear and affirm that my statements contained in the attached testimony are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Lena M. Mantle

Senior Analyst

Subscribed and sworn to me this 5<sup>th</sup> day of November 2021.

NOTARY S SEAL S TIFFANY HILDEBRAND My Commission Expires August 8, 2023 Cole County Commission #15637121

Tiffany Hildebrand Notary Public

My Commission expires August 8, 2023.

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

Testimony	Page
Exclusion of Research and Development Energy Usage	2
Exclusion of Retirement and Decommissioning Costs	4
Modification for Extreme Costs	7
Combination Bill Formatting	9

## SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY

**OF** 

## LENA M. MANTLE, P.E.

## UNION ELECTRIC COMPANY d/b/a AMEREN MISSOURI

## **CASE NO. ER-2021-0240**

1	Q.	What is your name?
2	A.	Lena M. Mantle.
3	Q.	Are you the same Lena M. Mantle who provided both direct and rebuttal
4		testimony in this case?
5	A.	Yes, I am.
6	Q.	What is the purpose of your surrebuttal testimony?
7	A.	The purpose of this surrebuttal testimony is to respond to the rebuttal testimony of
8		Ameren Missouri witness Andrew Meyer regarding his response to OPC's
9		recommended modifications to Ameren Missouri's FAC.
10		I also provide a response to Ameren Missouri witness Michael W. Harding
11		regarding providing bills to Ameren Missouri's combination electric and gas
12		service customers showing the total cost of each service separately on their bills.
13	Q.	What recommendations do you make in this testimony?
14	A.	I recommend the Commission order Ameren Missouri:
15	•	To include in its FAC tariff sheets:
16		o Language proposed by Mr. Meyer regarding the cost of energy for Ameren
17		Missouri research and development projects broadened to include all
18		research projects of Ameren Missouri;
19		o Adding in the descriptor of the fuel cost term (FC) that fuel costs included
20		in Ameren Missouri's FAC do not include any cost for decommissioning
21		or retirement of a plant; and

- 1
- 3
- 5
- 7
- 9
- 12

- 13 14
- 15
- 1617
- 18

19

- 20212223
- 23242526

- Language I recommended in my rebuttal testimony regarding the treatment of extraordinary net costs;
- To identify, in its monthly FAC reports, the MWh used and the energy costs excluded from all Ameren Missouri research projects; and
- To provide, by its June billing month, bills with the total cost of electric and gas service stated separately on the bill to its combination electric and gas customers.

#### **Exclusion of Research and Development Energy Usage**

- Q. What is Ameren Missouri's response to your recommendation to add language disallowing the cost of energy for its research and development projects from flowing through the Fuel Adjustment Clause?
- A. It is Ameren Missouri witness Andrew Meyer's position that the language Staff and Ameren Missouri agreed to in the *Stipulation and Agreement* in the FAC rate change case, ER-2022-0026,<sup>1</sup> addresses the issue of the energy used for the mining of bit coin and there should be no language added to the FAC tariff sheets to deal with the energy usage of any other research and development projects.<sup>2</sup>
- Q. What language in the *Stipulation and Agreement* was Mr. Meyer referring too?
- A. Mr. Meyer was referring to paragraph 2.e in the Stipulation and Agreement.

That effective on the date new rates become effective from File No. ER-2021-0240, language will be added to Rider FAC that will reflect specific general ledger subaccounts (sometimes referred to as "minors") or other account coding so that the cost of purchasing energy from the Midcontinent Independent System Operator, Inc's market to serve the digital currency mining research and development project's load will be excluded from the Account 555 costs included in the determination of Actual Net Energy Costs under Rider FAC.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> In the Matter of the Adjustment of Union Electric Company d/b/a Ameren Missouri's Fuel Adjustment Clause for the 37th Accumulation Period.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Rebuttal testimony of Ameren Missouri witness Andrew Meyer, page 7.

- Q. Did Ameren Missouri propose FAC tariff sheet in compliance with this provision of the stipulation and agreement?
  - A. There was no language regarding exclusion of the costs of purchasing energy for digital currency mining research in the proposed changes to the FAC tariff sheets provided with Ameren Missouri's direct testimony. However, Mr. Meyer's Schedule AMM-R1, page 4 of 18 of his rebuttal testimony proposed "amounts associated with energy purchased from the [Midcontinent Independent System Operator ("MISO")] market to serve digital currency mining by the Company" be excluded from the FAC costs recorded in account 555. He also recommends, on proposed sheet 71.22³, excluding the "kWh used for digital currency mining operations by the Company" from the accumulation period sales (Sap) and the recovery period sales (Spp) used in calculating the FAC rate.
  - Q. Did you find the specific ledger subaccounts or other account coding Ameren Missouri and Staff agreed to in the *Stipulation and Agreement*?
- A. No, I did not.
- **Q.** Does this information need to be on the FAC tariff sheets?
- A. Not necessarily. But it should be included in the FAC monthly reports along with the amounts excluded to ensure that the amounts were removed as agreed to.
- Q. Does adding the language Mr. Meyer has proposed address your concern that the FAC specifically exclude costs of research and development projects?
- A. No. The language Mr. Meyer is proposing addresses only the energy usage associated with Ameren Missouri's current research and development bit coin mining project. My recommendation is broader in that it would exclude the cost of energy used in all research and development projects.

## Q. What is your recommendation?

#### A. I recommend:

- 1) The language proposed by Mr. Meyer be broadened to include all research projects of Ameren Missouri; and
- 2) The Commission require Ameren Missouri to identify, in its monthly FAC reports, the MWh used and the energy costs excluded from all Ameren Missouri research projects.

#### **Exclusion of Retirement and Decommissioning Costs**

- Q. What was Mr. Meyer's response to the recommendations that costs associated with the retirement of a plant be excluded from the FAC?
- A. Mr. Meyer's response was that the Company conditionally agreed with the recommendation to include language in the FAC tariff sheets that excluded retirement and decommissioning costs.<sup>4</sup>

#### Q. What was the condition?

- A. The condition was that language be included in the FAC that indicates such amounts are eligible for deferral to a regulatory asset for consideration of recovery through an amortization in subsequent rate proceedings. Mr. Meyer then stated his understanding that this is how such other costs are being handled for the other electric utilities in Missouri.
- Q. Is this how retirement and decommissioning costs are being handled for the other electric utilities in Missouri?
- A. As I stated in my direct testimony, The Empire District Electric Company and Evergy West both tried to flow retirement costs through its FAC. Both of these companies voluntarily withdrew their request for these costs to be included in the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Rebuttal testimony of Andrew Meyer, Schedule AMM-R1, page 8 of 18.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Rebuttal testimony, page 8.

FAC with the understanding that they could request recovery of these cost through different mechanisms. However, neither of the FAC tariff sheets for these companies have been changed to state that retirement and decommissioning costs are excluded from their FACs.

- Q. Should language be included in the FAC tariff sheets that provide for how a cost that is excluded from the FAC is to be recovered?
- A. No. It is my understanding that these costs can be deferred to a regulatory asset for recovery consideration regardless of whether or not it is stated in the FAC tariff sheets.
- Q. Does Ameren Missouri's FAC tariff sheets, current or proposed, provide the recovery treatment of other costs that are not included in the FAC?
- A. No. None of the FAC tariff sheets of Ameren Missouri or any other Missouri electric utility include language regarding how costs not included in the FAC are to be recovered. The tariff sheets only deal with how costs that are included in the FAC are recovered.
- Q. What language did Mr. Meyer propose for the exclusion of retirement and decommissioning costs?
- A. Mr. Meyer proposed the italicized language below be added in the definition of fuel costs for fossil fuel plants.
  - 1) For fossil fuel plants:
    - A. The following costs and revenues (including applicable taxes) arising from steam plant operations recorded in FERC Account 501: coal commodity, gas, alternative fuels, Btu adjustments assessed by coal suppliers, quality adjustments related to the sulfur content of coal assessed by coal suppliers, railroad transportation, switching and demurrage charges, railcar repair and inspection costs, railcar depreciation, railcar lease costs, similar costs associated with other applicable modes of transportation, fuel hedging costs, fuel oil

adjustments included in commodity and transportation costs, fuel additive costs included in commodity or transportation costs, oil costs, ash disposal costs and revenues, and expenses resulting from fuel and transportation portfolio optimization activities; provided that costs otherwise included in the foregoing associated with coal remaining at a coal plant after the coal plant ceases coal-fired generation shall be excluded from Factor FC and instead deferred on the Company's books to a regulatory asset for consideration of recovery in a general rate proceeding over a reasonable amortization period as determined by the Commission;<sup>5</sup>

#### Q. Do you agree with the addition of this language?

A. No. There are two problems with this language.

First, this limits the retirement and decommissioning costs to retirement and decommissioning costs associated with a coal plant. The exclusion of retirement and decommissioning costs should not be limited to coal plants. No decommissioning or retirement costs of any type of plant should flow through the FAC.

Second, recovery of a non-FAC cost should not be specified in the FAC tariff. Cost recovery of cost excluded from the FAC does not require a provision in the FAC in order for the cost is to be recovered. The costs of fuel for the Maryland Heights Energy Center are also excluded yet there is no mention of how those costs are recovered. There is no mention of how the excluded MISO costs are recovered. There is no mention of how capacity costs of purchased power agreements of greater than a year or the bit coin mining costs are recovered. There is no reason why the tariff sheets should prescribe how retirement and decommission costs will be treated.

#### Q. What do you recommend?

A. I recommend adding in the descriptor of the fuel cost term (FC) in the FAC tariff sheets that fuel costs included in Ameren Missouri's FAC do not include any cost

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Rebuttal testimony, Schedule AMM-R1, page 2 of 18.

4 5 6

> 7 8

9 10

12

13

11

14

15

16 17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25 26

for decommissioning or retirement of a plant. Taking into consideration Staff's recommended revision to the FC descriptor, it should read (my recommended language in italics):

FC = Fuel costs and revenues, excluding decommissioning and retirement costs, incurred to support sales and revenues associated with the Company's in-service generating plants consisting of the following:

#### **Modification for Extreme Costs**

- 0. What was Mr. Meyer's response to your recommendation that Ameren Missouri's FAC be modified for extreme costs?
- A. Mr. Meyer suggests that this is a broad policy issue that should first be vetted in a workshop for all utilities simultaneously and then, if changes are to be made, they should be made through a formal rulemaking applying the general principles to all electric utilities.<sup>6</sup>
- Q. Do you agree that this this a broad policy issue that can only be taken care of with workshops and a rulemaking?
- No. This change, like numerous other changes that have been made over the years A. to electric utilities' FACs, can and should be made one electric utility at a time. Ameren Missouri was the first utility to file for a general rate case after the electric utilities on the west side of the State of Missouri experienced extreme fuel and purchased power costs in February 2021. Empire has since filed and the Evergy utilities will be filing a general rate case in January 2022.
- Q. Have other broad policy FAC issues been resolved outside of a workshop and rulemaking process?
- A. Yes. Many other broad issues have been resolved outside of a workshop and rulemaking process one rate case at a time. The first that comes to mind is the treatment of regional transmission organization transmission ("RTO") costs.

678

9

5

10

11

1213

14

1.5

16 17

18 19

2021222324

Originally none of the RTO costs were included in the FAC. Then for a short time, all costs were included. Now only a portion of the costs associated with real purchased power are included with the portion being specific to the utility and the purchased power of that utility.

Another issue resolved in general rate cases was the addition to the FAC tariff sheets of the specific accounts the costs and revenues included in the FAC are recorded in. Ameren Missouri's concern that this would preclude costs if MISO changed the schedule of a cost was also dealt with outside of a workshop and rulemaking process and eventually was added to the rule making negating the need for the language to be in the tariff sheets.

Language regarding how extreme net costs can be treated should not be delayed until workshops have been conducted, rules have been changed, and general rate cases have been filed. It should begin in this general rate case with the addition of the language I proposed in my rebuttal testimony.

## Q. What is the language you are recommending be added to Ameren Missouri's FAC tariff sheets?

A. I recommend the Commission order Ameren Missouri to include the following in its FAC tariff sheets:

When extraordinary net costs have been incurred in an accumulation period, for good cause the Commission may allow (after opportunity for any party to be heard) the recovery period to extend beyond eight months. The amount not recovered will be added to subsequent recovery periods with a true-up for the extraordinary cost at the end of the Commission approved recovery time period for the extraordinary cost.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Rebuttal testimony, page 8-9.

1.3

#### **Combination Bill Formatting**

- Q. What was Ameren Missouri's response to your recommendation that combined gas and electric customer bills have the totals for each service shown separately on customers' bills?
- A. Ameren Missouri witness Michael W. Harding stated that the request was reasonable but would take some time for Ameren Missouri to implement and that it would take time to complete the process of producing bills with the totals for the different services being shown separately. He provided no date by which Ameren Missouri would commit to providing bills for combination customers that showed the cost of their electric service separate from gas service. He only stated that Ameren Missouri may need time past the end of this rate case.<sup>7</sup>
- Q. What date do you recommend the Commission require the change to be complete?
- A. Given that Ameren Missouri does not need a Commission order to implement this change, I recommend the Commission require Ameren Missouri to issue bills by at least its June billing cycles for its combination gas and electric customers with the total cost of the electric service separate from the gas service.
- Q. Does this conclude your surrebuttal testimony?
- A. Yes, it does.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Rebuttal testimony, page 6.