Filed September 28, 2022 Data Center Missouri Public Service Commission

Exhibit No. 59

Evergy Missouri Metro – Exhibit 59 Marisol E. Miller Direct Testimony File Nos. ER-2022-0129 & ER-2022-0130 Exhibit No.:Issue:Annualized/Normalized Revenues,
CCOS, Tariffs, Rate Design, AMIWitness:Marisol E. MillerType of Exhibit:Direct TestimonySponsoring Party:Evergy Missouri Metro
Case No.:Case No.:ER-2022-0129Date Testimony Prepared:January 7, 2022

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

CASE NO.: ER-2022-0129

DIRECT TESTIMONY

OF

MARISOL E. MILLER

ON BEHALF OF

EVERGY MISSOURI METRO

Kansas City, Missouri January 2022

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. CHANGES RESULTING FROM RATE STUDIES	
II. ANNUALIZED/NORMALIZED REVENUES	
III. ELECTRIC CLASS COST OF SERVICE STUDY	
IV. ELECTRIC RATE DESIGN	

DIRECT TESTIMONY

OF

MARISOL E. MILLER

Case No. ER-2022-0129

- 1 Q: Please state your name and business address.
- A: My name is Marisol E. Miller. My business address is 1200 Main, Kansas City, Missouri
 64105.
- 4 Q: By whom and in what capacity are you employed?
- A: I am employed by Evergy Metro, Inc. I serve as Senior Manager Regulatory Affairs for
 Evergy Metro, Inc. d/b/a as Evergy Missouri Metro ("Evergy Missouri Metro"), Evergy
 Missouri West, Inc. d/b/a Evergy Missouri West ("Evergy Missouri West"), Evergy Metro,
 Inc. d/b/a Evergy Kansas Metro ("Evergy Kansas Metro"), and Evergy Kansas Central,
 Inc. and Evergy South, Inc., collectively d/b/a as Evergy Kansas Central ("Evergy Kansas
- 10 Central") the operating utilities of Evergy, Inc.
- 11 Q: On whose behalf are you testifying?
- 12 A: I am testifying on behalf of Evergy Missouri Metro.
- 13 Q: What are your responsibilities?

A: My general responsibilities are to provide support for the Company's regulatory activities
in the Missouri and Kansas jurisdictions. Specifically, my duties include oversight of class
cost of service, tariff management, load analysis, and rate design. I also manage certain
analytical activities for the department including rate change implementation, billing
determinant calculation, and retail revenue calculation.

Q: Please describe your education, experience and employment history.

A: I hold a Master of Business Administration degree from Rockhurst University with an
emphasis in Management. I also was awarded a Bachelor of Science in Business
Administration Magna Cum Laude with an emphasis in Business Finance and
Banking/Financial Markets from the University of Nebraska at Omaha. In addition to those
academic credentials, the Institute of Internal Auditor's ("IIA") and the Association of
Certified Fraud Examiners ("ACFE") have certified me as a Certified Internal Auditor and
Certified Fraud Examiner respectively.

9 I've worked in various roles in Financial Analysis, Financial Reporting, and
10 Internal Auditing. I joined KCP&L (now Evergy) in August of 2006 working as a
11 Senior/Lead Internal Auditor. I led various projects of increasing complexity and most
12 notably was the on-site Internal Auditor for the approximately \$2 billion Comprehensive
13 Energy Plan Iatan 2 Construction project.

I have worked in the Regulatory Affairs Department since 2011 holding various
 positions covering areas including Integrated Resource Planning ("IRP"), Missouri Energy
 Efficiency Investment Act ("MEEIA")/Demand-Side Management ("DSM"), compliance
 reporting for multiple areas in transmission and delivery, and rate case support.

18 Q: Have you previously testified in a proceeding before the Missouri Public Service
19 Commission ("Commission" or "MPSC") or before any other utility regulatory
20 agency?

A: Yes, I provided written testimony before the Kansas Corporation Commission ("KCC")
and provided written testimony and testified in proceedings before the MPSC.

1	Q:	What is the purpose of your testimony?			
2	A:	The purpose of my testimony is to:			
3		I. Highlight and explain changes impacting rates resulting from rate studies and			
4		planning. These changes include:			
5		a. Seasonal Alignment			
6		b. Real Time Pricing ("RTP") Alternative			
7		c. Rate Clean Up			
8		i. Residential			
9		1. Eliminate frozen 2 Meter Heat Rate (1RS2A) and transition			
10		customers to 1 Meter Heat Rate (1RS6A).			
11		2. Eliminate Residential Other Rate (1RO1A) and transition			
12		customers to Residential Standard (1RS1A).			
13		3. Eliminate frozen Time of Day (TOD) Rate (1TE1A) and			
14		transition customers to Residential Standard (1RS1A).			
15		4. Remove frozen Multi-occupancy provision from the Residential			
16		Standard and Residential 1 Meter heat rate calculation (subset of			
17		1RS1A and 1RS6A) and transition customers to the standard			
18		commercial rate based on best fit (1SGSE or 1MGSE).			
19		ii. Non-Residential			
20		1. Eliminate frozen 2 Meter Heat Rates (1SGHE, 1MGHE,			
21		1LGHE) and transition customers to 1 Meter All Electric Rates			
22		based on best fit (1SGAE, 1MGAE, 1LGAE).			
-					

1		2. Eliminate the frozen Two Part Time of Use provision and
2		transition customers to the base (1SGSE) rate.
3		3. Remove the special Facilities Demand calculation for certain
4		customers on the Large General Service, Medium General
5		Service, and Small General Service tariffs (subset of rates
6		1SGSE, 1MGSE and 1LGSE) and use the standard facilities
7		demand calculation within the general service rates (1SGSE,
8		1MGSE, 1LGSE).
9		d. Studies underway with potential plans for the future
10		i. Bright Lines
11		ii. Hours Use
12		II. Explain and support the Company's annualized/normalized revenues.
13		III. Explain the Electric Class Cost of Service ("CCOS") Study.
14		IV. Explain and support the Company's Electric Rate Design.
15		I. CHANGES RESULTING FROM RATE STUDIES
16	Q:	Were there any studies completed that impact change to revenues or rate design
17		proposed in this case?
18	A:	Yes. The Company performed a number of studies as part of commitments made in the
19		last general rate case that provided insight into the value of rate consolidation and
20		simplification. The proposals included herein are also part of a broader Rate
21		Modernization Plan ("Rate Plan") that will expand programs and rates offered to our
22		customers. For more details on the Company's Rate Plan goals and objectives, as well as
23		the studies and commitments completed, please see the Direct testimony of Company

1		witnesses Bradley D. Lutz and Kimberly Winslow. My testimony will focus on the				
2		proposals resulting from those studies and reviews.				
3	Q:	What proposals are being made as part of this filing that resulted from studies or				
4		planning?				
5	A:	The following proposals are being made in this filing resulting from studies:				
6		• Seasonal Date Alignment (All Customers)				
7		• Real Time Pricing ("RTP") alternative (Commercial & Industrial Customers) (frozen)				
8		Elimination of certain Rates or rate provisions				
9		• Residential				
10		• 2 Meter Heat Rate (frozen) and 2 Meter Electric Heating and Electric				
11		Water Heating provision (frozen)				
12		 Residential Other 				
13		 Time of Day (frozen) 				
14		 Multiple Occupancy provision in the Residential Tariff (frozen) 				
15		 Commercial & Industrial 				
16		 2 Meter Heat Rate (frozen) 				
17		 Two Part-Time of Use provision (frozen) 				
18		 Special Facilities Demand treatment for certain customers (frozen) 				
19	Q:	Are there other rate changes that you will discuss in your testimony?				
20	A:	Yes, I will also discuss studies that are currently underway that explore a potential future				
21		change that would impact our Commercial & Industrial classes. The two studies cover the				
22		calculation of Hours Use utilized in the energy charge calculation and the establishment of				
23		"bright lines" for demands. The intention in discussing these studies now is to collect				

feedback to inform a future case where these study results will be used to propose potential
 changes to the energy charge calculation and class demand thresholds.

3 Q: Can you provide a bit of background and detail on each proposal starting with the 4 proposal for seasonal alignment?

- 5 Yes. The Company agreed to complete a study to explore the potential alignment of A: 6 summer and winter seasons of the Evergy Missouri Metro and Evergy Missouri West 7 utilities. Currently, the Missouri Metro jurisdiction defines the summer season as 8 beginning May 16 through September 15 and winter season as September 16 through May 9 15. The results of the study showed benefit to alignment. We are proposing changing the 10 summer season and winter season for Missouri Metro to better align with the Missouri 11 West jurisdiction or June 1 through September 30 and October 1 through May 31, 12 respectively.
- 13 Q: What analysis was performed in the Study?
- A: Multiple analyses were completed to support this change including customer bill impact
 and revenue. These are all outlined in the Direct Testimony of Company Witness Bradley
 D. Lutz.
- 17 Q: Are there test year revenue impacts to the Seasonal alignment proposal?
- 18 A: Yes, on a weather normalized customer growth adjusted basis, the change would result in
 19 a decrease in test year revenues of approximately \$352,083.
- 20 Q: How was this calculated?

A: Utilizing the test year billing determinants, the Company recalculated billed revenue
 actuals utilizing the new defined seasonal periods and then applied weather normalization,
 customer growth, and the other adjustments typical in adjusted test year billed revenues.

The \$352,083 represents the difference between normalized revenues with the current
seasonal definitions and normalized revenues with the new seasonal definitions. The tables
below outline the change in revenue by class and in total.

Table 1- Seasonal Alignment Test Year Revenue Impact byClass and Total					
Class	Before Change	After Change	Diff	Diff %	
RES	\$341,159,142.81	\$341,072,261.89	-\$86,880.92	-0.0255%	
SGS	\$68,617,737.48	\$68,558,084.73	-\$59,652.76	-0.0869%	
MGS	\$121,656,929.77	\$121,612,062.48	-\$44,867.29	-0.0369%	
LGS	\$180,373,757.71	\$180,236,999.24	-\$136,758.46	-0.0758%	
CCN	\$74,563.92	\$74,563.92	\$0.00	0.0000%	
LPS	\$121,482,208.12	\$121,458,284.64	-\$23,923.47	-0.0197%	
Lighting	\$9,930,634.83	\$9,930,634.83	\$0.00	0.0000%	

5

Table 2- Seasonal Alignment Test Year Revenue ImpactImpact

Normalized, Annualized Revenue Impact				
Before Change	\$843,294,974.64			
After Change	\$842,942,891.74			
Total Change	-\$352,082.90			
Total Change %	-0.04%			

8

9 Q: Was there anything else considered regarding seasonal alignment?

A: While not directly related to seasonal alignment across jurisdictions, the Company did
 consider historical stakeholder interest in the creation of shoulder seasons. In the System
 Peak Analysis performed as part of the Seasonal Study, there was an observed increase in
 February for both MO Metro and MO West jurisdictions. The Company believes this to
 be related to the extreme cold weather event impacting the entire Midwest region in that

1

¹ CCN and Lighting classes would not be impacted by a change in seasons. While the change outlined are reflective of expected test year revenue differences resulting from the seasonal redefinition, it was not reflected in the Direct filing test year revenues and therefore will not reconcile to billed revenues filed in Direct. The Company expects to update revenues to reflect new season at True Up.

1 period. Given the extreme nature of the cold weather event, no adjustment to create a 2 shoulder season was proposed as part of this rate case. The Company is a summer peaking 3 utility and believes that price signals should emphasize the summer period as the "peak" 4 and should reflect that acknowledgement through price signals offered through higher 5 pricing in the summer. The creation of a shoulder season based on an occasional day or 6 two of increased load or based on an extreme weather event will only serve to confuse 7 customers or thwart the effectiveness of price signals appropriately emphasizing the 8 summer season as appropriate for a summer peaking utility. Still, in an effort to be 9 cognizant of stakeholder concerns, the Company will continue to monitor customer loads 10 and system peak data to determine if such an adjustment in the future is merited.

11

Q: What are you proposing in the area of Real Time Pricing ("RTP")?

12 A: The Company worked with consulting firm, Concentric Energy Advisors, to design a rate 13 option that leveraged real world examples in the industry, offered price signals that aligned 14 with market pricing, and that worked with Evergy's billing system. The result was an 15 hourly rate that melds the predictability of static time variant rates with a reflection of 16 market energy price fluctuations. The rate will offer flexibility and predictability that will 17 allow customers to modify their operations to take advantage of reduced cost hours. This 18 offering is a result of a rate case commitment in the last general rate cases. For more details 19 on the commitment and background, please see the Direct Testimony of Company witness 20 Bradley D. Lutz.

1 Q: What is the recommendation and what analysis has been performed to support the2 plan?

3 Utilizing the current Class Cost of Service study, functionalized costs were identified for A: 4 use in a time-sensitive model for replacement of the RTP tariff. Costs which vary by season 5 (summer versus non-summer) and day type (weekday versus weekend) were identified for 6 temporal allocation. Excess production demand costs were slated for allocation to hours 7 within 90% of System peak, and Energy-related costs were slated for allocation based on 8 locational marginal prices. Average production demand costs, which also possess a time 9 element, were slated for application to all hours. Though Transmission demand costs are 10 not temporal, they are covered in normal rates by the regular demand charge and were thus 11 also slated for application equally to all hours. Distribution demand costs and Customer-12 related costs were deemed non-temporal. These costs are currently handled via the 13 Facilities Demand Charge (variable by kW) and Customer Charge (per customer), 14 respectively, within the normal general service tariff rates, and were thus treated 15 accordingly in this proposed rate design and excluded from the hourly considerations. 16 Next, system hourly cost patterns were identified. Prior year day-ahead locational marginal 17 price ("LMP") data was analyzed to identify high and low-cost hours by season and day 18 type. System hourly load data was also analyzed to identify the hours that are the drivers 19 of peak demand and associated costs. Utilizing these findings, the identified time-variable 20 costs were allocated by hour. Once the various functional costs were allocated to the 21 different temporal and non-temporal components, rates were designed to be revenue 22 neutral for each rate class. The resulting structure is composed of three distinct 23 components: hourly energy charge variable by season and day type; facilities demand

1 charge; and customer charge. This new structure continues to preserve the time-based 2 components inherent in the current RTP rate structure, establishes appropriate price signals 3 for efficient usage while providing a means for customers to modify usage to reduce costs, 4 and will work with the Company's billing system. The new rate will be available on a 5 limited basis to customers meeting specific load requirements typical of current Large 6 Power Service and Large General Service customers. It is intended that the rate will be 7 broadened further to allow for greater participation in a future case leveraging learnings 8 from this initial offering. See the following table for the pricing related to this proposal, 9 Schedule MEM-3 for RTP Alternate report, and new tariff Time-Related Pricing ("TRP") 10 filed in this case.

11 Large General Service – level rates:

Table 3 – Time Related Pricing

Customer Charge (\$/month)				
0-24 KW	\$125.12			
25-199 KW	\$125.12			
200-999 KW	\$125.12			
1000 KW or above	\$1,068.21			
Facilities Charge (\$/kW)				
Secondary	\$3.579			
Primary	\$2.967			

Hourly Energy Charge (\$/kWh)

Hour Ending	Summer Weekday	Non- Summer Weekday	Summer Weekend	Non- Summer Weekend
1	\$0.04317	\$0.05756	\$0.03942	\$0.06713
2	\$0.03918	\$0.05501	\$0.03659	\$0.06411
3	\$0.03727	\$0.05467	\$0.03486	\$0.06125
4	\$0.03657	\$0.05553	\$0.03410	\$0.06200
5	\$0.03949	\$0.06084	\$0.03486	\$0.06586
6	\$0.04558	\$0.07272	\$0.03719	\$0.07186
7	\$0.05184	\$0.09535	\$0.03914	\$0.07860

8	\$0.05559	\$0.09816	\$0.04316	\$0.08781
9	\$0.06132	\$0.09535	\$0.04753	\$0.09859
10	\$0.06448	\$0.09864	\$0.05017	\$0.10728
11	\$0.07077	\$0.09331	\$0.05347	\$0.10260
12	\$0.07976	\$0.08869	\$0.05934	\$0.09590
13	\$0.08805	\$0.08533	\$0.06513	\$0.09119
14	\$0.11254	\$0.08426	\$0.06962	\$0.08753
15	\$0.14331	\$0.08087	\$0.08647	\$0.08642
16	\$0.18869	\$0.07961	\$0.09209	\$0.08685
17	\$0.21493	\$0.08375	\$0.09523	\$0.09190
18	\$0.19287	\$0.09358	\$0.08996	\$0.10578
19	\$0.14224	\$0.09541	\$0.08084	\$0.10712
20	\$0.11473	\$0.09198	\$0.07414	\$0.10355
21	\$0.08018	\$0.08999	\$0.05300	\$0.09848
22	\$0.06005	\$0.07731	\$0.04883	\$0.08614
23	\$0.05205	\$0.06718	\$0.04339	\$0.07546
24	\$0.04607	\$0.05769	\$0.03930	\$0.06500

Large Power Service – level rates:

Customer Charge	
(\$/month)	\$1,210.14
Facilities Charge (\$/kW)	
Secondary	\$4.053
Primary	\$3.359
Substation	\$1.014
Transmission	\$0.000

Hourly Energy Charge (\$/kWh)

Hour Ending	Summer Weekday	Non- Summer Weekday	Summer Weekend	Non- Summer Weekend
1	\$0.04290	\$0.04875	\$0.03567	\$0.05211
2	\$0.03850	\$0.04657	\$0.03295	\$0.04983
3	\$0.03639	\$0.04629	\$0.03128	\$0.04767
4	\$0.03563	\$0.04702	\$0.03056	\$0.04824
5	\$0.03885	\$0.05154	\$0.03128	\$0.05115
6	\$0.04556	\$0.06166	\$0.03353	\$0.05568
7	\$0.05247	\$0.08092	\$0.03539	\$0.06077
8	\$0.05660	\$0.08330	\$0.03926	\$0.06771
9	\$0.06293	\$0.08091	\$0.04345	\$0.07585
10	\$0.06641	\$0.08371	\$0.04599	\$0.08240
11	\$0.07335	\$0.07918	\$0.04915	\$0.07887

12	\$0.08327	\$0.07524	\$0.05479	\$0.07381
13	\$0.09242	\$0.07238	\$0.06035	\$0.07026
14	\$0.10658	\$0.07148	\$0.06466	\$0.06750
15	\$0.12304	\$0.06859	\$0.07056	\$0.06666
16	\$0.14620	\$0.06752	\$0.07568	\$0.06699
17	\$0.15070	\$0.07104	\$0.07839	\$0.07080
18	\$0.13198	\$0.07941	\$0.07314	\$0.08127
19	\$0.10534	\$0.08096	\$0.06427	\$0.08228
20	\$0.08981	\$0.07805	\$0.05786	\$0.07959
21	\$0.07292	\$0.07636	\$0.04870	\$0.07576
22	\$0.06153	\$0.06556	\$0.04470	\$0.06645
23	\$0.05270	\$0.05694	\$0.03948	\$0.05840
24	\$0.04610	\$0.04886	\$0.03555	\$0.05051

2 Q: Can you provide some background on what is being proposed for 3 grandfathered/frozen rates and why?

4 The Company completed a study exploring the consolidation of the MO Metro and MO A: 5 West rates which was filed on October 31, 2020. The objective of the study was to outline 6 the current state of operations, costs, and rates, the potential obstacles with immediate rate 7 consolidation given the current state, and finally, the steps contemplated to consolidate 8 rates properly. Because of concern with the impact to customers, a careful, incremental 9 process and plan was outlined to ensure minimal impact and to allow time for customer 10 adjustment. The proposals for the elimination of grandfathered rates represents a portion 11 of Steps 1, 2, 3 of that plan.

12 Q: For the elimination of grandfathered rates and rate clean up, what analysis was13 performed to support those proposals?

- 14 A: The Company completed various analyses to understand the impact of the proposals to15 determine feasibility. The following steps were performed:
- 16

17

- Identified full list of frozen rates/rate provisions
- Determined the number of customers on each and how long the rate had been frozen

12

1		• Pulled test year actual ² billing determinants for all customers in a given frozen
2		rate/provision
3		• Performed best fit analysis to determine the best rate for each customer
4		• Performed bill impact analysis comparing the current rate and the new using test
5		year
6		Finalized recommendations
7		• Developed an approach to contact and educate impacted customers
8	Q:	Are you proposing elimination of all frozen rates at this time?
9	A:	No. The following frozen rates are not being proposed for elimination at this time:
10		• The non-residential, 1 Meter all electric rates which have been grandfathered since
11		June 1, 2008.
12		• Frozen lighting rates with active customers.
13	Q:	Why aren't all grandfathered/frozen rates being proposed for elimination at this
14		time?
15	A:	The Company is not proposing elimination of the Non-residential 1 Meter all electric rate
16		this time as the rate is being used as a transition for the elimination of the non-residential,
17		2 Meter Heat rates. All frozen, non-LED lighting rates with active customers are not being
18		eliminated at this time due to the need for customer coordination/fieldwork. However,
19		switch outs are continuing to take place when repairs or customer requests occur.

² All bill impact analysis and corresponding analysis that utilizes billing determinants actuals (kwh, kw, and customer count) will be based calculated on an actual basis with no adjustment for weather or growth. Only customers with a full 12 months of data in the test year were analyzed.

Q: Starting with the Residential Class, why is the Company proposing the elimination of the 2 Meter Heat rates?

A: The Company's Rate Plan moves away from end use rates. The 2 Meter Heat rate was
frozen on January 1, 2007 and the 2 Meter Electric Heating and Electric Water Heating
provision was frozen on July 9, 1996. Given the number of customers on this rate is down
to 9,619, plus the length of time since freezing the rate, the time seems right to seek
elimination. Customers would be moved to the 1 Meter all electric rate.

8 Q: If the Company is moving away from the end use rates as part of the Rate Plan, why 9 are these customers being proposed to be moved to the 1 Meter All Electric rate?

10 The move to a 1 Meter All Electric rate is considered to be an interim step until most/all A: 11 end use rates are eliminated. The Company anticipates a proposal in a future rate case 12 where the 1 Meter All Electric rate will be frozen/eliminated. Until that time, the Company 13 will continue to monitor these customers and determine how the general use rates can be 14 designed and/or modified to provide benefit to these customers in such a way that 15 minimizes overall customer impact and fits with Rate Plan efforts. These rates/provisions 16 have been frozen for well over a decade and electric heating customers who established 17 service since 2007 have been subject to standard rates.

18 Q: What were the results of the customer bill impact analysis?

A: Based on review of 6,481 customers with 12 months of actual usage for the 12 months
ending June 30, 2021, approximately 90% of customers could experience an annual bill
impact of less than 5%, with almost 75% of those customers experiencing an increase of

2

 $0\%^3$ or less. Of the 164 customers who were impacted by more than 10%, 111 are impacted by less than \$10/mo.

3 Q: What is the Company proposing for the Residential Other rate and why?

4 The Company proposes elimination of the Residential Other rate and moving those A: 5 customers to the Residential Standard rate. The Residential Other rate provides electric 6 service to Residential customers who have dedicated well pumps, barns, machine sheds, 7 detached garages, etc. and whose corresponding usage would not currently qualify under 8 any other Residential rate. The Company views this usage as largely an extension of 9 Residential usage and believe it should be covered as part of the Residential General use 10 tariff. This will require modification of the tariff language to allow for this change. Those 11 proposed changes are reflected in the tariffs supporting this rate case filing.

12 Q: What were the results of the customer bill impact analysis?

A: Based on review of 147 customers with 12 months of actual usage for the 12 months ending
June 30, 2021, 100% of customers could experience a bill decrease ranging from 5% to
35%⁴.

16 Q: What is the Company proposing for the frozen Time of Day Service rate and why?

A: Since this rate was frozen in 2015, new TOU rate offerings have been made available that
leverage recent analysis and industry best practice, and given the limited participation of
just 26 customers, the Company is proposing elimination of the rate and moving these
customers to the Residential Standard rate. It is the Company's intention to inform these

³ Potential impact was measured on an actual basis with no adjustment for rate increase proposed in this case.

⁴ Potential impact was measured on an actual basis with no adjustment for rate increase proposed in this case.

2

customers on the latest TOU rate offerings available to them but will allow them to make the choice to move to the optional TOU rates available.

3

Q: What were the results of the customer bill impact analysis?

A: Based on review of 21 customers with 12 months of actual usage for the 12 months ending
June 30, 2021, approximately 75% of customers could experience a bill decrease ranging
from 0-5%⁵ and no customer was impacted by more than approximately 6%. Should
customers move to another TOU rate, this potential bill change ignores any potential
customer behavior or usage changes that would further influence bill change/savings.

9 Q: What is the Company proposing for the frozen multiple occupancy provision of the 10 Residential rate and why?

A: The Residential Service tariff includes a provision frozen since 1981 that allows single metered multiple occupancy residential buildings to take service under the Residential tariff. This represents a stark difference when compared to Evergy's other jurisdictions that bill these kinds of customer on commercial rates. Given the small number of customers on this rate, 22, the Company proposes alignment with other jurisdictions and to move these customers to C&I rates.

17 Q: What were the results of the customer bill impact analysis?

A: Two rate codes include the multi-occupancy provision (or have customers that utilize the
calculation). One rate code captures 16 of the 22 total customers. Of those 16 customers
with 12 months of actual usage for the 12 months ending June 30, 2021, potential bill
impacts range from -17% to +11.99%⁶ or -\$140.02 to +\$346.80 annually. These premises
all have four or less apartments each with average usage just over 12,000 kWh annually.

⁵ Potential impact was measured on an actual basis with no adjustment for rate increase proposed in this case.

⁶ Potential impact was measured on an actual basis with no adjustment for rate increase proposed in this case.

1		The second rate code has 6 customers. Of the 5 customers with 12 months of actual usage
2		for the 12 months ending June 30, 2021, these customers will potentially experience bill
3		impacts ranging from -1.31% to $+30\%^6$. It should be noted that these multi-unit complexes
4		all have ten or more apartments each and have usage which exceeds an average of 80,000
5		kWh annually.
6	Q:	For the Non-Residential Classes, why is the Company proposing the elimination of
7		the 2 Meter Heat rates?
8	A:	The Company's Rate Plan moves away from end use rates. The 2 Meter Heat rate was
9		frozen on July 9, 1996. Given the number of customers on this rate is down to 16 for the
10		Large General Service, 55 for the Medium General Service, and 116 for Small General
11		Service, the time seems right to seek elimination. Customers would be moved to the 1
12		Meter All Electric rate.
13	Q:	If the Company is moving away from the end use rates as part of the Rate Plan, why
13 14	Q:	If the Company is moving away from the end use rates as part of the Rate Plan, why are these customers being proposed to be moved to the 1 Meter All Electric rate?
	Q: A:	
14		are these customers being proposed to be moved to the 1 Meter All Electric rate?
14 15		are these customers being proposed to be moved to the 1 Meter All Electric rate? Like the proposal for the Residential Class, the move to the 1 Meter All Electric rate is
14 15 16		are these customers being proposed to be moved to the 1 Meter All Electric rate? Like the proposal for the Residential Class, the move to the 1 Meter All Electric rate is considered to be an interim step until most/all end use rates are eliminated. The Company
14 15 16 17		are these customers being proposed to be moved to the 1 Meter All Electric rate? Like the proposal for the Residential Class, the move to the 1 Meter All Electric rate is considered to be an interim step until most/all end use rates are eliminated. The Company anticipates a proposal in a future rate case where the 1 Meter All Electric rate will be
14 15 16 17 18		are these customers being proposed to be moved to the 1 Meter All Electric rate? Like the proposal for the Residential Class, the move to the 1 Meter All Electric rate is considered to be an interim step until most/all end use rates are eliminated. The Company anticipates a proposal in a future rate case where the 1 Meter All Electric rate will be eliminated. Until that time, the Company will continue to monitor these customers and
14 15 16 17 18 19		are these customers being proposed to be moved to the 1 Meter All Electric rate? Like the proposal for the Residential Class, the move to the 1 Meter All Electric rate is considered to be an interim step until most/all end use rates are eliminated. The Company anticipates a proposal in a future rate case where the 1 Meter All Electric rate will be eliminated. Until that time, the Company will continue to monitor these customers and determine how the general use rates can be designed and/or modified to provide benefit to

Q: The C&I All Electric Rates are frozen. Is it the intention for these customers to be moved to a frozen rate?

3 A: Yes. This move is being done intentionally, but again only as an interim step. Eventually,
4 in a future rate case, after communication and education, these customers would be moved
5 to a standard rate.

6 Q: What were the results of the customer bill impact analysis to move customers from 7 the 2 Meter Heat rate to the 1 Meter All Electric rate?

8 For Large General Service class, the 15 customers in in this class with 12 months of actual A: 9 usage for the 12 months ending June 30, 2021 showed a change ranging from a 9.3% 10 decrease to a 3.94%⁷ increase in their annual bills. 93% of customers would experience an 11 increase of 2% or less with 60% of those customers experiencing a bill decrease. In the 12 Medium General Service class, the 49 customers in in this class with 12 months of actual 13 usage for the 12 months ending June 30, 2021 showed a change ranging from a 15.2% decrease to a 7.9%⁷ increase in their annual bills. 57% of customers could see a change of 14 15 1% or less with 71% of those customers experiencing a bill decrease. In the Small General 16 Service class, the 111 customers in the Small General Service Class with 12 months of 17 actual usage for the 12 months ending June 30, 2021 showed a change ranging from an 18 14.6% decrease to a 34.58%⁷ increase in their annual bill.

Q: This filing also includes a proposal to eliminate the frozen TPP TOU provision. Please explain what is being proposed and why?

A: This tariff was frozen on October 8, 2015 and there are only two small general service
customers utilizing this tariff. It is an outdated provision with no recent analysis to support

⁷ Potential impact was measured on an actual basis with no adjustment for rate increase proposed in this case.

its continued viability. In alignment with the Company's Rate Plan that includes rate
 simplicity and jurisdictional alignment, the Company seeks to eliminate the tariff and bill
 these customers on their current base rate which is the standard small general service rate
 - 1SGSE.

5 Q: What were the results of the customer bill impact analysis?

A: The two customers using the TPP provision had a range of impacts from -15.7% to 61.2%⁸⁹
Q: Lastly for C&I, the filing includes a proposal to change the method for calculating
Facilities Demand calculation for certain customers. Can you explain what is being
proposed?

10 In the Evergy Missouri Metro jurisdiction, there is a provision in each of the Commercial A: 11 & Industrial rate tariffs that outlines a special method of calculating the Facilities Demand 12 for Schools and Churches. The provision allows Schools or Churches in specified rate 13 schedules receiving service prior to July 9, 1996 to have their Facilities Demand be based 14 on an outdated, pre-determined peak period instead of the standard facilities demand 15 calculation. This provision has been frozen to new customers since 1996 and 16 approximately 600 customers are grandfathered to this special provision. The Company is 17 proposing eliminating this special calculation for grandfathered Schools and Churches 18 where Facilities Demand is limited to an outdated predetermined peak period.

19 Q: Why are you seeking to eliminate this special provision?

A: As part of Rate Plan that include objectives around rate simplification and jurisdictional
 alignment, the Company is seeking to eliminate this special provision to align more closely
 to its other classes and jurisdictions where Schools and Church customers are treated

⁸ Potential impact was measured on an actual basis with no adjustment for rate increase proposed in this case.

⁹ Customer impacts were based on actual loads.

consistently with other similar C&I customers. Since this tariff was frozen, any new
 School or Church receiving service in the Missouri Metro jurisdiction is treated
 consistently with any other C&I customer whose facilities demand would be calculated
 based on the highest monthly maximum demand and subject to the 11-month ratchet.

Was there billing impact analysis performed to determine the impact of this change

5

6

O:

to effected Schools and Churches?

7 A: Yes. To determine the billing impact of the change in Facilities Demand, the Company
8 recalculated the Facilities Demand comparing the highest monthly maximum demand and
9 applying the 11-month ratchet, as would be calculated for a typical customer in those
10 classes¹⁰. The results were as follows:

- For the Medium General Service Class, the 146 customers in the Medium General Service
 Class with 12 months of actual usage for the 12 months ending June 30, 2021 showed a
 change ranging 0% to 9.59%¹¹ with 54% of customers experiencing an increase of 5% or
 less.
- For the Small General Service Class, the 400 customers in the Small General Service Class
 with 12 months of actual usage for the 12 months ending June 30, 2021 showed a change
 ranging 0% to 27%¹¹ with 78% of customers experiencing an increase of 0%.

18 Q: What is the aggregate revenue impact to these proposals?

A: Table 4 below shows the aggregated impact of each proposal and the movement of
 customers from eliminated rates to standard rates and the change in customer count, kwh,
 and calculated revenue based on those specific customers moved by rate code. For

¹⁰ Eleven of the Schools and Churches in the Facilities Demand analysis are also included in the 2-Meter Heat analysis. The Facilities Demand customer bill impact for the eleven customers was calculated using their best fit All-Electric rate determined in the 2-meter heat analysis.

¹¹ Potential impact was measured on an actual basis with no adjustment for rate increase proposed in this case.

1	example, for rate code 1TE1A 26 customers and 308,548 kwh were moved to 1RS1A. The
2	actual revenue impact for this movement resulted in a change in actual revenue from
3	\$40,586 (1TE1A) to \$41,759 (1RS1A). Table 5 below shows the aggregated impact of all
4	proposals on weather normalized test year revenues. The total aggregated impact of the
5	proposals results in a reduction in test year revenues of approximately \$165,539.

Table 4- Aggregated Revenue Impact for Each Proposal (Actual Revenues)

			Actuals T	est Year R	levenue					
Rate Class	Proposal	MO Metro Rates	tal Revenue (Before Changes)	Customer/ Bill Count	Customer Count Change (+/-)	Adj Customer Count	Energy Total (KWH)	Switchers (+/-)	Energy Total (KWH)	otal Revenue cluding FAC & DSIM)
Res	TOD to Standard Rate	1TE1A	\$ 40,586	26	(26)	-	308,548	(308,548)	-	\$ -
Res		1RS1A	\$ -	-	26	26	-	308,548	308,548	\$ 41,759
Res	2-Meter Heat to 1-Meter Heat Rate	1RS2A	\$ 14,753,251	9,619	(9,619)	-	135,664,704	(135,664,704)	-	\$ -
Res		1RS6A	\$ -	-	9,619	9,619	-	135,664,704	135,664,704	\$ 14,656,841
Res	Other to Standard Rate	1RO1A	\$ 154,011	172	(172)	-	863,890	(863,890)	-	\$ -
Res		1RS1A	\$ -	-	172	172	-	863,890	863,890	\$ 116,747
Res	Residential Standard Multi-Unit to SGS Standard	1RS1A	\$ 30,316	16	(16)	-	197,392	(197,392)	-	\$ -
Res	Residential 1-Meter Heat Multi-Unit to MGS Standard	1RS6A	\$ 62,175	6	(6)	-	491,012	(491,012)	-	\$ -
	Residential Total		\$ \$15,040,340	9,839	(22)	9,817	137,525,545	(688,403)	136,837,142	\$ 14,815,347
Non Res	Residential Standard Multi-Unit to SGS Standard	1SGSE	\$ -	-	16	16	-	197,392	197,392	\$ 31,576
Non Res	Residential 1-Meter Heat Multi-Unit to MGS Standard	1MGSE	\$ -	-	6	6	-	491,012	491,012	\$ 75,459
Non Res	2 Meter Heat Rate - 1 Meter Heat Rate Small	1SGHE	\$ 336,121	116	(116)	0	2,786,947	(2,786,947)	-	\$ -
Non Res		1SGAE	\$ -	-	111	111		2,124,641	2,124,641	\$ 283,451
Non Res		1MGAE	\$ -	-	5	5		662,306	662,306	\$ 80,105
Non Res	2 Meter Heat Rate - 1 Meter Heat Rate Medium	1MGHE	\$ 1,294,430	55	(55)	0	12,294,876	(12,294,876)	-	\$ -
Non Res		1MGAE	\$ -	-	46	46	0	11,831,110	11,831,110	\$ 1,244,777
Non Res		1SGAE	\$ -	-	9	9	0	463,766	463,766	\$ 66,485
Non Res	2 Meter Heat Rate - 1 Meter Heat Rate Large	1LGHE	\$ 2,334,185	16	(16)	0	23,926,783	(23,926,783)	-	\$ -
Non Res		1LGAE	\$ -	-	3	-3	0	4,878,059	4,878,059	\$ 432,152
Non Res		1MGAE	\$ -	-	13	-13	0	19,048,724	19,048,724	\$ 1,846,400
Non Res	Two-Part Time of Use 1SGSE to Standard 1SGSE*	1SGSE	\$ 25,994	2		2	190,938			\$ 46,464
Non Res	Church and School On-Peak Facilities Demand	1SGSE	\$ 891,623	394			5,813,493			\$ 917,399
Non Res	Church and School On-Peak Facilities Demand	1SGAE	\$ 20,784	6			139,594			\$ 22,338
Non Res	Church and School On-Peak Facilities Demand	1MGAE	\$ 276,923	5			2,605,923			\$ 292,544
Non Res	Church and School On-Peak Facilities Demand	1MGSE	\$ 2,083,005	141			16,103,518			\$ 2,183,401
	Non Residential Total		\$ 7,263,064	735	22	179	63,862,072	688,404	39,697,009	\$ 7,522,551

 Table 5-Aggregated Weather Normalized Test Year Revenue Impact for All Proposals- By

 Class

			١	NN/CG	Test Ye	ar Rever	nues			
				-						
Rate Class	MO Metro Rates	(1	l Revenue Before aanges)*	Customer /Bill Count**	Customer Count Change (+/-)	Adj Customer Count	Starting Energy Total (KWH)	Switchers (+/-)	Final Adj Energy Total (KWH)	otal Revenue ccluding FAC & DSIM)*
Residential Class	1RS1A	\$ 24	3,347,244	189,413	185	189,598	1,840,396,715	1,005,285	1,841,402,000	\$ 243,478,658
	1RS6A	\$ 7	9,939,562	58,619	9,811	68,430	692,721,403	139,733,326	832,454,729	\$ 94,928,91
	1RS2A	\$ 1	5,158,161	9,817	(9,817)	-	140,238,638	(140,238,638)	-	\$ -
	1TE1A	\$	41,519	27	(27)	-	316,727	(316,727)	-	\$ -
	1RO1A	\$	158,374	175	(175)	-	891,235	(891,235)	-	\$ -
Residential Total		\$ 33	8,644,861	258,051	(22)	258,028	2,674,564,719	(707,989)	2,673,856,729	\$ 338,407,574
Small General Service	1SGSE	\$6	5,789,948	25,986	16	26,002	519,193,278	202,167	519,395,446	\$ 65,822,313
	1SGAE	\$	1,030,966	284	123	407	8,968,521	2,648,459	11,616,980	\$ 1,389,158
	1SGHE	\$	344,280	119	(119)	-	2,851,438	(2,851,438)	-	\$ -
Small General Service Total		\$6	7,165,194	26,389	21	26,409	531,013,237	(812)	531,012,425	\$ 67,211,471
Medium General Service	1MGSE	\$ 10	4,278,010	4,850	6	4,856	963,493,653	486,468	963,980,122	\$ 104,352,842
	1MGAE	\$1	0,745,487	259	64	323	109,235,233	31,329,725	140,564,958	\$ 13,893,539
	1MGHE	\$	1,285,123	55	(55)	-	12,205,704	(12,205,704)	-	\$ -
Medium General Service Total		\$ 11	6,308,619	5,164	15	5,179	1,084,934,590	19,610,489	1,104,545,079	\$ 118,246,381
Large General Service	1LGAE	\$ 3	4,938,778	132	3	135	405,506,916	4,888,075	410,394,992	\$ 35,373,564
	1LGHE	\$	2,347,076	16	(16)	-	23,989,387	(23,989,387)	-	\$ -
Large General Service Total		\$ 3	7,285,854	148	(13)	135	429,496,303	(19,101,312)	410,394,992	\$ 35,373,564
Non Residential Total		\$ 22	0,759,668	31,700	22	31,723	2,045,444,131	508,365	2,045,952,496	\$ 220,831,41
GRAND TOTAL		\$ 5	59,404,528	289.751	(0)	289.751	4,720,008,850	(199,624)	4,719,809,226	\$ 559,238,990

Q: Do Tables 4 and 5 reflect all proposals that have been adjusted for and reflected in

2 3

9

10

11

1

the test year revenues in this filing?

A: No, while Table 4 includes all rate clean up and jurisdictional alignment proposals
described in this testimony, Table 5 only reflects the proposals that were adjusted for in
the test year reflected in the Direct Filing. The test year determinants and revenues filed
as part of this case reflect all proposals included in this testimony <u>except</u>:
the Seasonal change for Missouri Metro (See Seasonal Alignment Test Year

- Revenue Impact by Class and Total Table on pg 7, for expected revenue impact),
- the Facilities Demand Adjustment for Schools & Churches in the C&I rates, and
 - the TPP TOU Rate provision elimination.

- Q: When does the Company expect to make these changes to the test year to reflect the
 three proposals above that were not reflected in its test year determinants and
 revenues in the Direct filing?
- 4 A: The Company plans to make these revenue and billing determinant changes not already
 5 reflected in the test year, by True Up.

6 Q: Is there anything else to add with regard to these proposals and the rate clean up 7 being done to facilitate jurisdictional alignment?

8 Yes. Given the expansive nature of the proposed changes and the number of customers A: 9 being moved and impacted, implementation will be more arduous, requiring careful 10 planning and consideration to ensure minimal customer impact. The Company is expecting 11 that full implementation of these changes and the elimination of rates may not be a 12 completed by the effective date of rates and may require extra time. The Company is still 13 working through various implementation scenarios and is still assessing the expected 14 timeline or how much extra time might be needed, but at this point, it is not expected to be 15 extensive. The Company expects to share implementation plans and needs as the rate case 16 evolves.

17

Q: What about new plans you are introducing around Hours Use?

A: Like the jurisdictional alignment work described above, the review of Hours Use is part of
 the Rate Plan that includes rate clean up and jurisdictional alignment and is in response to
 stakeholder and customer feedback communicating interest in this charge being simplified
 to ease understanding and to enable more active management and monitoring by the
 customer. The Company worked with Concentric Energy Advisors to review the
 calculation of the energy charge. The Company is introducing the results of that review in

this case to inform a future case where these study results will be used to propose potential
changes to the energy charge calculation.

3 Q: What is the recommendation and what analysis has been performed to support the4 plan?

5 A: First, a cross jurisdictional review of existing rate classes and rate structures/pricing, 6 including the calculation of the energy charge and demand charge was performed in order to assess differences and similarities. Second, cost data from Class Cost of Service study¹² 7 8 and billing determinants (energy and demand) from the test year in this rate case was 9 obtained. Using that data, the "unraveling" of the hours use calculation began and a 10 determination of the customer impacts was ascertained. Given those impacts, in a future 11 rate case, the Company will be proposing a multi-step plan to move from the hours use 12 calculation to a more standard and more transparent energy charge calculation. The 13 proposal will include the flattening of energy charges and the redistribution of some 14 demand costs back into the demand charge. This retains the intended price signaling which 15 exists within the current hours use structure, but in a more straightforward manner. The 16 plan will need to be executed over multiple rate cases due to the potential impact to 17 customers. The table below summarizes the proposed C&I rate structures:

¹² The Class Cost of Service study from the 2018 rate case was the most recent CCOS study available at the time of the Hours Use review.

Table 6- Summary of Future Changes to the Hours Use Rate Structure

Rate Class	Missouri West	Missouri Metro
Large	- Summer/winter flat demand charge	- Summer/winter flat demand charge
Power	- Summer/winter flat energy charge	- Summer/winter flat energy charge
Service	- Removed Base/Seasonal demand and	- Assumes customers will remain on off-
	energy distinction	peak rider under proposed rates.
	- No phase-in required	- Removed blocked demand charge
		- Three-step phase-in proposed
Large	- Summer/winter flat demand charge	- Summer/winter flat demand charge
General	- Summer/winter flat energy charge	- Summer/winter flat energy charge
Service	- Removed Base/Seasonal demand and	- Three-step phase-in proposed
	energy distinction	
	- Two-step phase-in proposed	
Medium	- Not applicable	- Summer/winter flat demand charge
General		- Summer/winter flat energy charge
Service		- Three-step phase-in proposed
2011100		
Small	- Summer/winter flat demand charge	Secondary Voltage
General	- Summer/winter flat energy charge	- Summer/winter flat energy charge
Service	- Removed Base/Seasonal demand and	- Summer/winter demand charge applied to
	energy distinction	demand in excess of 25kW
	- Three-step phase-in proposed	- Three-step phase-in proposed
		Primary Voltage
		- Summer/winter flat energy charge
		- Summer/winter flat demand charge
		- Three-step phase-in proposed

1

2

3

For more details on the proposal and all analysis performed, please see Schedule MEM-4 for the complete Hours Use Report.

4 Q: If Evergy is not proposing it in this case, why is it being discussed?

A: Unlike the jurisdictional alignment proposals discussed earlier, the Hours Use study
explores a possible avenue for simplification, but with a focus on jurisdictional alignment.
Currently, Evergy's Kansas Central jurisdictions calculates the Energy charge in a manner
that veers away from the Missouri Metro, Missouri West, and Kansas Metro jurisdictions
and offers a flat seasonal differentiated Energy Charge. The Company took inspiration
from this method in its undertaking of the Study. Our goal in this rate case is to introduce

the concepts being explored to change the calculation of the Energy Charge and determine
a path for formal proposal of a change to be included in a future rate case. As such, we
hope to collect formal feedback and impressions from stakeholders in this case to help
inform how we might modify the proposals being considered to address broader
considerations.

6

Q: What are Bright Lines?

7 A: Bright Lines, in utility tariff application, are thresholds which define the utility classes. 8 These thresholds could be expressed in terms of energy usage, demand or capacity, or some 9 other measure of a customer's power usage. Based upon where a customer's pertinent 10 determinants fall within said thresholds and/or similarities in load profiles, customer are 11 grouped into a given class over another. In Evergy's Kansas Central jurisdiction existing 12 application, Bright Lines are based upon customer Non-Coincident Peak (NCP) demands. 13 As part of the Rate Plan that includes jurisdictional alignment, the Company is exploring 14 Bright Lines to bring some consistency to how rate classes are defined across its 15 jurisdictions, as well as minimize rate switching across classes.

16 Q: What is the recommendation and what analysis has been performed to support it?

A: After examining actual revenues in the test year, best-fit Bright lines were determined
across jurisdictions, utilizing maximum NCP demand as the defining criteria. These bestfit lines were determined by established maximums that would minimize customer rate
switching. An analysis keeping class counts static was done, as well as a more finite
analysis keeping absolute switchers to a minimum. Comparing these lines across all three
jurisdictions, it was concluded that all three legacy KCP&L jurisdictions were hovering
around the 30-200-1,000 maximum demand lines for Small, Medium and Large General

1 Service classes, respectively. After establishing these baselines, individual customer 2 impacts were investigated using actual test year data for MO West and MO Metro. The largest one or two rates in each class of each jurisdiction (summing at least 90% of all 3 4 customers in that class) were evaluated and their results extrapolated to arrive at full class 5 impacts. Based on test year actuals, MO West could experience an increase in total actual 6 revenues of \$232,331, and MO Metro could experience an increase in total revenues of 7 \$5,626,214. For purposes of revenue effects, no revenue addition was posited for the 8 movement of MO West customers into a new Medium Service class. Average annual 9 customer impacts ranged from -\$119.45 to \$29.56 for MO West classes, and -\$1076.50 to 10 \$718.45 for MO Metro classes. With a focus on minimal upfront customer impacts, and an 11 eye toward finitely defining classes for better cost allocations, rate design, and other class-12 based considerations, as well as a nod toward jurisdictional alignment, the proposal of 13 Bright Line maximum demands of 30 kW (Small) – 200 kW (Medium) – 1,000 kW (Large) 14 is promoted for implementation in a future rate case. Several factors can impact this 15 proposal including the results of this rate case filing, the timing and frequency of future 16 rate cases, significant change in load profiles, and many other unforeseeable. Evergy 17 wishes to alert the Commission now of its intentions of formally proposing this change in 18 a future rate case filing.

20

Q:

19

22

Can you provide more detail on the analysis performed to support this recommendation?

21 A: The following steps and analysis were performed:

Pull Test Year data for all customers currently in the Small, Medium, Large, and
 Power classes in all jurisdictions.

1		• Monthly kWh (actuals)
2		• Monthly kW (actuals)
3		2. Identify maximum, minimum, and average energy and demand values, by customer.
4		3. Calculate load factor by customer (based on maximum of energy and demand).
5		4. Leverage bright lines experience in Kansas Central jurisdiction specific to how Bright
6		Lines were defined.
7		5. Graph maximum, minimum, and average demands by class, in an attempt to see any
8		patterns, alignments, or natural divisions in and between classes.
9		6. Evaluated the impact (switchers) of setting existing and new max demand thresholds
10		across jurisdictions/classes to determine cross jurisdictional feasibility with the goal
11		of minimizing impacts.
12		7. Using actuals, ran individual bill impacts for impacted customers (customers likely to
13		switch) and calculated change to revenue and bills. Any impacts associated with new
14		classes (Medium for MO West) were assumed to be revenue neutral or 0.
15	Q:	If Evergy is not proposing Bright Lines in this case, why is it being discussed?
16	A:	Similar to Hours Use, the Company hopes to collect stakeholder impressions and feedback
17		to determine a path for formal proposal in a change to be included in a future rate case. We
18		hope that feedback provided during this rate case can help inform how we might modify
19		the proposals being considered to address broader considerations.
20	Q:	Are there other new rates that you have not included in your discussion above?
21	A:	My testimony mainly covered those rates resulting from the specific studies that were
22		performed. There are other new rates or customers programs that are being included in

1		this filing that are covered in the Direct testimonies of Company witnesses Bradley D.
2		Lutz, Kimberly Winslow, and Ryan Hledik.
3		II. ANNUALIZED/NORMALIZED REVENUES
4	Q:	Were the retail revenues included in this filing prepared by you or under your
5		supervision?
6	A:	Yes, they were.
7	Q:	Will you describe the method used in developing the revenues for this case?
8	A:	Both the weather-normalized kWh sales and customer growth levels by rate class (i.e.
9		Residential, Small General Service, Medium General Service and Large General Service)
10		were developed by Company witness Albert R. Bass, Jr. Mr. Bass explains those figures
11		and other adjustments, including adjustments for COVID, in his Direct Testimony. The
12		test year used by the Company in this case was the 12 months ending June 30, 2021, which
13		we expect will be updated for known and measurable changes through May 31, 2022. The
14		monthly bill frequencies for the 12 months ending June 30, 2021, that contain the billing
15		units for each of the billing blocks for the various rate components, were developed under
16		my supervision. These bill frequencies were developed by collecting the actual usage and
17		customer counts billed in each month of the test period and applying them to the existing
18		rate structures ¹³ . By applying the existing rates to the usage in each of the billing blocks,
19		the revenues were reproduced, providing a basis for determining the overall revenues to be
20		used in this case. The Company determined monthly revenues by applying the normalized
21		sales and customer levels for each month represented in the test period to the corresponding

¹³ These actual determinants would reflect the migration of customers that were moved from frozen rates being proposed for elimination in this rate case filing to standard rates with the exception of those changes that will be made at True Up.

billing frequency. The normalized sales and customer levels from this were then multiplied
by the rates that took effect on December 6, 2018 to obtain the weather normalized and
customer growth adjusted monthly revenues available. The sum of the monthly revenues
was compared to the actual revenues for the test year ending June 30, 2021 to determine
the revenue adjustment contained in the Summary of Adjustments attached to the Direct
Testimony of Company witness Ronald A. Klote as Schedule RAK-4 (adjustment no. R20).

8 Q: Were all class revenues developed as described above?

9 A: Yes, except for the Large Power Class. The Large Power class revenues generally followed
10 the methodology outlined above but were developed on an individual customer basis.
11 Customer growth was accounted for by the annualization of usage for new customers
12 switching (or starting new service) to the Large Power Class or customers leaving the Large
13 Power Class (either due to switching or stopping service) through the end of the test year
14 period.

15 Q: Have there been any operational change(s) that would impact the calculation of test 16 year revenues?

17 A: Yes. Historically and in the last general rate case, the Company relied on hourly load
18 research for purposes of determining weather normalization. This hourly load research
19 was prepared utilizing a sample of customers to determine hourly loads by class. As of
20 December 2020, the Company discontinued load research.

Q: Why did the Company discontinue load research?

A: The Company implemented Advanced Metering Infrastructure ("AMI") metering and
completed implementation of those meters in all Missouri jurisdictions in early 2020. In
order to leverage the benefits of AMI technology and broaden the data set used for weather
normalization and rate design, it was decided to transition from using a load research
sample to full utilization of AMI data available.

7

Q: Is AMI data better than load research data?

A: The Company's load research data was relied upon for many years to support various
analysis requiring customer load analysis and to support general rate cases. Stakeholder
feedback was consistently very positive with regards to load research data, methodology
and the analysis. However, the Company would be remiss to not maximize utilization of
a broader data set. For more information on how AMI data was utilized in weather
normalization, please see the Direct testimony of Company witness, Albert R. Bass, Jr.

14 Q: The Company has several riders in place to recover particular costs. How will these 15 mechanisms affect the requested increase in this case?

A: The Demand-Side Investment Mechanism ("DSIM") is separate from the revenue
requirement requested in this case and thus the associated DSIM revenues have been
removed from the total revenues available. The fuel adjustment clause ("FAC") rider base
amount has been re-based within the current revenue requirement. In addition to my
testimony on the FAC, please see the Direct Testimony of Linda Nunn for the primary
details concerning the continuation of the FAC in this case.

1		III. ELECTRIC CLASS COST OF SERVICE STUDY
2	Q:	Has the Company performed a CCOS study for this case?
3	A:	Yes, the Company performed a CCOS study representative of the Evergy Missouri Metro
4		jurisdiction. A summary of the results of the Company's CCOS studies are attached and
5		marked as Schedules MEM-1 and MEM-2.
6	Q:	Was the study prepared by you or under your direct supervision?
7	A:	Yes, it was. The Company utilized the services of 1898 & Co., a Division of Burns &
8		McDonnell Engineering Company, Inc., who performed the primary CCOS modeling
9		using data provided by the Company.
10	Q:	Has the Company filed a CCOS in previous rate cases?
11	A:	Yes. In all rate cases filed since 2005, the Company has filed a CCOS study.
12	Q:	What is the purpose of the CCOS study?
13	A:	The purpose of the CCOS study is to directly assign or allocate each relevant component
14		of the Company's revenue requirement on an appropriate basis in order to determine the
15		contribution that each customer class makes toward the Company's overall rate of return.
16		The CCOS analysis strives to attribute costs in relationship to the cost-causative factors of
17		demand, energy and customer.
18	Q:	Would the CCOS study serve as the basis for the determination of increasing or
19		decreasing overall revenue levels for Evergy Missouri Metro?
20	A:	No. Determination of the revenue requirement requested in this case is accomplished using
21		the jurisdictional model sponsored by Company witness Ronald A. Klote. The CCOS
22		model uses the information from the jurisdictional model as an input for the primary
23		purpose of evaluating the possible distribution of costs to the respective classes.

Q:

What classes are used as a basis for this CCOS study?

A: The primary classes the Company used in its analysis are Residential, Small General
Service, Medium General Service, Large General Service, Large Power Service, and
Lighting.

5

Q: Do these classes conform to the proposed electric rate tariffs?

6 A: Generally, they do. The Residential class has several rate classifications available to it that 7 include general use, general use and space heat, and time of use. The Small General 8 Service, Medium General Service and Large General Service classes also have general 9 usage rates and all electric rates, plus they can be specific to the voltage level at which the 10 customer receives service. The Large Power Service class is distinguished by the specific 11 voltage at which the customer receives service. In total, the Company has five classes of 12 service (plus Lighting) but has approximately 48 rates to meet the specific needs of the 13 customer and reporting and billing requirements.

14 Q: What test year was used for the CCOS study?

A: The study is based on a historical test year of the 12 months ending June 30, 2021, with
known and measurable changes projected through May 31, 2022.

17 Q: What general categories of cost were examined and considered in the development of
18 the CCOS study?

A: An analysis was made of all elements of cost as defined by the Federal Energy Regulatory
 Commission (FERC) Uniform System of Accounts, including investment (rate base) and
 expense (cost of service) for the purpose of allocating these items to the customer classes.

22 To achieve this allocation, we begin by functionalizing and classifying costs.

1

Q: Please explain what you mean.

A: In order to make the appropriate assignment of costs to the appropriate class of customer,
it is necessary to first group the costs according to their function. The functions used in
the CCOS study were production, transmission, distribution, and other costs. The next step
was to classify the costs. Costs are classified as customer-related, energy-related, or
demand-related.

7

Q: What do you mean by customer-related, energy-related and demand-related?

A: Customer-related costs are those costs necessary to provide electric service to the customer
independent of any usage by the customer. Some examples of these costs include meter
maintenance, customer accounting, billing, and a portion of the investment in distribution
plant equipment such as the meter and service line, facilities that are all necessary to make
service available. Portions of the distribution facility are separated between the customer
costs and the demand costs.

Energy-related costs are directly related to the generation and consumption of energy and consist of such things as fuel and purchased power and certain production operation and maintenance costs.

Demand-related costs relate to the investment and expenses associated with the
Company's facilities necessary to supply the customer's full load requirements throughout
the year. The majority of demand-related costs consist of production plant (generation),
transmission plant and the non-customer portion of distribution plant.

1

2

O:

After the above classification of plant investment and operating costs into customerenergy- and demand-related components, what was the next step in the CCOS study?

3 A: The next step was to allocate each of the three categories of cost to each customer class
4 utilizing allocation factors appropriate for each of the above categories of cost.

5

Q: How are the allocation factors generally determined?

A: Costs are evaluated to determine the cause driving the cost to be incurred and to establish
an allocation method that best distributes the cost based on that causation. Customerrelated costs are generally allocated on the basis of the number of customers within each
class. Data for the development of the customer-related allocation factors came from
Company billing and accounting records. Some of the customer-related accounts were
allocated based on a weighted number of customers to reflect the weighting associated with
serving those customers.

Energy-related allocation factors were derived on the basis of each customer classes' respective energy (kilowatt hour) requirements. Kilowatt-hour ("kWh") sales to each customer class were available from Company records. The sales data was adjusted to reflect COVID, normal weather, a normal 365-day year, rate switchers, energy efficiency programs, customer growth, and system losses in order to assign the Company's total system output.

19

Q: How are class demand allocation factors generally determined?

A: The data necessary to develop class demand allocation factors (production and transmission) were derived from the Company's AMI data. Such data consisted of the hour-by-hour use of electricity by each customer class throughout the study period.

1

Q: Was Evergy Missouri Metro's AMI data used to develop any other allocators?

- 2 A: Yes, it was used to develop distribution plant allocators based on customer's non-3 coincident peak ("NCP") loads within each class.
- 4 **Q**: Are any costs assigned directly to classes?
- 5 A: Yes. In instances where the costs are clearly attributable to a specific class, they are directly 6 assigned to that class.
- 7 **Q**: What method do you propose to allocate production plant?

8 Production plant is the single, largest component cost to allocate to the classes within the A: 9 study. As such, the production allocator has the most impact on the outcome of the CCOS 10 study. After considerable efforts to determine the most appropriate production allocation 11 methodology in the prior rate case, the Company intends to continue to utilize the Energy 12 Weighted approach, specifically the Average & Excess Demand ("AED") allocation 13 method, incorporating a four (4) Coincident Peak ("CP") component (collectively "AED-14 4CP"). An Energy Weighted approach was viewed to be cost effective, balanced through 15 its incorporation of energy, and less subjective than other methods. Utilization of the AED 16 method is an energy-weighted method of production plant allocation that gives classes a 17 reasonable balance between the energy and capacity function of generating facilities. Use 18 of the AED method is also consistent with the provisions of Section 393.1620(2), RSMo. **Q**:

- 19
- Has this allocation method been used before?

20 A: Yes, the AED-4CP method was used by the Company in the most recent CCOS study filed 21 in its 2018 rate cases.

Q: How were the fuel costs associated with the production plant allocated in the CCOS study?

3 Fuel costs were allocated using a monthly kWh allocator. Based on monthly fuel costs A: 4 from the Company for the 12 months ended June 30, 2021, each month's fuel costs were 5 allocated to each customer class's corresponding calendar month kWh sales adjusted for 6 losses. These allocated results were summed by rate and major customer class to identify 7 a proxy fuel allocator which was then used to allocate the actual fuel costs shown in the 8 CCOS study. To ensure the allocation was representative of a normal year, an adjustment 9 was made to the fuel costs associated with February 2021 due to the cold weather event 10 that occurred¹⁴.

11 Q: How were the off-system sales margins that Evergy Missouri Metro receives from its 12 external sales of energy allocated?

13 A: They were allocated using an energy allocator.

14 Q: What method did you use to allocate transmission plant costs?

15 A: Transmission plant costs were allocated AED-4CP allocation method.

16 Q: What method did you use to allocate Distribution Plant?

A: Depending on the plant account, distribution plant is allocated using either a demand or
customer allocation factor. Accounts 360 through 363 are demand-related and allocated
using a Non-Coincident Peak ("NCP") demand allocator based on the use of NCP class
demands. Accounts 364 through 368 include both a demand and a customer component
and use a minimum system method to distinguish the appropriate split between demand
and customer-related costs for each account. The demand components are allocated using

¹⁴ The fuel costs for February 2021 were replaced with the average fuel costs in February for 2018, 2019, and 2020.

the Class NCP allocator and the customer component is allocated using a customer
 allocator. The remaining distribution plant accounts (369-373) were allocated using a
 customer allocation factor.

4

Q: What method did you use to allocate Services?

- 5 A: Since Account 369 Services is considered customer-related, these costs were allocated
 6 based on the customers receiving service at a secondary voltage.
- 7 Q: What method did you use to allocate Meters?
- 8 A: Meter costs, recorded to Account 370, are also customer-related and were allocated using
 9 an assignment of all meters and metering devices to customer classes.

10 Q: Did you include any other rate base elements in the study?

- A: Yes, multiple rate base elements have been included. Additions to net plant included cash
 working capital, taxes other than incomes taxes, tax offset from rate base, materials and
 supplies, prepayments, fuel inventory, and various regulatory assets. The following details
 their allocation to various functions and classifications:
- The cash working capital component of rate base was developed and allocated on
 energy, payroll, and plant in service.
- Taxes other than income taxes were developed and allocated on retail revenue and
 plant in service.
- Tax offset from rate base was allocated on plant in service.
- Materials and supplies were allocated on a mix of production, transmission, and
 distribution plant allocators.
- Prepayment items were allocated using plant in service and customer allocation
 factors.

1		• Fuel inventory was allocated on energy.
2		• Regulatory assets were allocated on payroll, energy, customer, and demand
3		allocation factors depending on the costs tracked.
4		• Subtractions to net plant included accumulated deferred taxes, customer advances,
5		customer deposits, gain on SO2 emissions and income eligible weatherization.
6		• The accumulated deferred taxes were allocated on plant in service.
7		• Customer advances for construction were allocated on total distribution plant.
8		• Customer deposits were developed using the data analysis by customer group
9		available from the Company.
10		• Gain on SO2 emissions allowances were allocated on energy production.
11		• Income eligible weatherization was allocated by customers.
12	Q:	What revenues did you use for this study?
13	A:	The class revenues were developed under my supervision and were discussed earlier in this
14		testimony. Other sources of revenues such as Miscellaneous Revenues were allocated
15		consistent with the revenue source.
16	Q:	How were Operation and Maintenance ("O&M") Expenses allocated?
17	A:	O&M Expenses were allocated using various methods dependent of the cost causation.
18		O&M for production, transmission and distribution plant were allocated to customer
19		classes following plant. Customer Accounts Expenses, Customer Services and Information
20		Expenses, Sales Expenses, and Administrative and General Expenses were allocated based
21		on the results of individual allocation studies. Administrative & General expenses were
22		primarily allocated on the payroll allocator with the exception of the following:
23		• Account 924, Property Insurance, which was allocated based on plant in service.

- Account 928, Regulatory Commission expenses, which was allocated on plant in
 service and energy production.
- 3

• Account 929 Duplicate Charges - Credit, which was allocated on customer sales.

4 Q: What is the next step after the allocations are applied?

5 A: The next step is to determine the relative return on rate base for each of the classes and 6 rates in the study. The ratio of class revenues less expense (net operating income) divided 7 by class rate base will indicate the rate of return being earned by the Company that is 8 attributable to a particular class. It is necessary to keep in mind that this calculation only 9 represents a snapshot in time. The results of the CCOS study will most likely vary over 10 time. The results of the study will also vary if you apply different allocation factors to the 11 study. By applying different methods to the allocation process, you can change the 12 outcome of the CCOS study.

13 Q: What were the results of the CCOS study?

A: The overall jurisdictional rate of return was calculated to be 5.9%. Individual classes' rates
of return at current rates vary, and based on the current costs, are shown in the following
table.

17

Table 7- The Relative Rate of Return by Rate Class									
Residential	Small	Medium	Large	Large	Other	CCN			
	General	General	General	Power	Lighting				
	Service	Service	Service	Service					
2.0%	9.1%	10.1%	10.3%	9.6%	9.6%	-55.49%			

1 Q: If rates were changed so that Evergy Missouri Metro earned the same rate of return

2 from each customer class, how much would each class's rates need to change?

3 A: To achieve an overall jurisdictional revenue increase of 5.7%, the classes should be
4 adjusted by the percentages in the table below.

Table 8- I	Table 8- Rate Increase Needed to Achieve and Equalized Rate of Return										
Residential	Small General Service	Medium General Service	Large General Service	Large Power Service	Other Lighting	CCN					
30.6%	-9.1%	-12.9%	-13.2%	-9.9%	-12.8%	3068.9%					

5 Q: What general conclusion can be made from these results?

A: The results of the CCOS study show that each class of customers recovers the cost of
service to that class and provides a return on investment, except the CCN class. The results
also show the Residential class revenue is well below the Total Missouri ("MO") Retail
rate of return level while the Small General Service, Medium General Service, Large
General Service, Large Power Service, and Lighting class revenues are above.

11 Q: Are you proposing changes to the class revenues based on the results of the study?

12 A: Yes.

13 Q: Are you proposing changes to class revenues that are reflective of an equalized rate 14 of return by class?

A: No. The exact application of changes in rates that aim for an equalized rate of return by
class would have been extremely detrimental to our residential customers and not in line
with sound rate design principles. Instead, the Company opted for a gradual approach to
adjusting revenues and rates. Utilizing the results from the study prepared based on the
Average & Excess production allocation; the Company has identified the following

1		recommended changes to class revenues based on an overall jurisdictional revenue
2		requirement increase of 5.65%. ¹⁵
3		• Apply a 7.73% (approximately 136% of the jurisdictional rate increase) increase to
4		the Residential class, and
5		• Apply a 7.53% (approximately 136% of the jurisdictional rate increase) increase to
6		the CCN class, and
7		• Apply a 4.24% (approximately 75% of the jurisdictional rate increase) equally to
8		the remaining classes
9		Application of these proposals to the electric rates is discussed further in the rate design
10		section of this testimony.
11	Q:	In proposing class revenue shifts, is there an expectation of rate switchers that should
12		be considered and taken into account?
4.0		
13	A:	Yes. Revenue losses associated with potential rate switching resulting from the above rate
13 14	A:	Yes. Revenue losses associated with potential rate switching resulting from the above rate changes are possible. The Company plans to size this impact by the True-up and if
	A:	
14	A:	changes are possible. The Company plans to size this impact by the True-up and if
14 15	A: Q:	changes are possible. The Company plans to size this impact by the True-up and if possible, sooner.
14 15 16		changes are possible. The Company plans to size this impact by the True-up and if possible, sooner.
14 15 16 17	Q:	changes are possible. The Company plans to size this impact by the True-up and if possible, sooner. IV. ELECTRIC RATE DESIGN Are you sponsoring the electric tariffs filed in this case?
14 15 16 17 18	Q: A:	changes are possible. The Company plans to size this impact by the True-up and if possible, sooner. IV. ELECTRIC RATE DESIGN Are you sponsoring the electric tariffs filed in this case? Yes, I am.
14 15 16 17 18 19	Q: A:	changes are possible. The Company plans to size this impact by the True-up and if possible, sooner. IV. ELECTRIC RATE DESIGN Are you sponsoring the electric tariffs filed in this case? Yes, I am. Please summarize the proposed rate design recommendation for the electric tariffs

¹⁵ This change represents the rate increase including Net Fuel. The overall rate increase excluding Net Fuel is 5.21%.

million (5.21%). The aggregate annual increase over current revenues including the rebasing of fuel for the fuel adjustment clause is \$47.6 million (5.65%).

1

2

3 Utilizing the results of the CCOS and the Residential Class relative rate of return 4 relative to other classes, the Company applied approximately 136% of the jurisdictional revenue requirement or 7.73%¹⁶ to Residential class revenues with a proposed customer 5 charge of \$16.00. The \$16.00 proposed customer charge is based on the results of the 6 7 CCOS and is consistent with prior Commission approved customer charges. This proposed 8 amount is *below* the recommended CCOS customer charge of \$17.49 which represents the 9 customer charge inclusive of the jurisdictional rate increase on an equalized basis. The 10 Company opted to propose a lesser amount to help manage the impact to customers but 11 hopes to make continued progress towards the equalized customer charge in subsequent 12 rate cases, consistent with prior Commission approved customers charges. The proposed 13 customer charge not only considers incremental progress towards the alignment of cost, 14 but also seeks consistency across its Missouri jurisdictions (Evergy Missouri Metro and 15 Evergy Missouri West). The intention of the Company is to offer one customer charge 16 with the same pricing across both its Missouri jurisdictions. The remaining revenue 17 shortfall/increase was then applied equally to remaining Residential bill components.

For the remaining classes, (with the exception of CCN) the Company applied 75% of the jurisdictional rate increase¹⁷ or 4.24% to consider the results of the Class Cost of Service study and the C&I class relative rates return. Generally, for the C&I classes, the Company attempted narrow the gap between how costs are incurred and how rates are designed and applied 125% of each class increase to the fixed cost rate components (i.e.

¹⁶ This change represents the rate increase including Net fuel and revenue shifts.

¹⁷ This change represents the rate increase including Net fuel and revenue shifts.

customer charges and demand charges) and 75% to the variable cost rate components (i.e.
energy charges). The application of the above increases by class by billing component can
be found in attached schedule MEM-5. The summary of revenues and proposed increase
by class may be found in Schedules MEM-6. For more details on the reasonableness of
the rate increase applied to the CCN class, please see the Direct testimony of Company
Witness Darrin Ives.

7

8

Q: Describe the rate design recommendation for unmetered lighting and why an increase wasn't applied equally across rate components.

9 A: The Company's Missouri jurisdictions have established LED streetlights and LED private
10 areas lighting tariffs. As such, all standard municipal street lighting has been converted to
11 LED while the conversion of private area lighting is at the customer's option. In order to
12 highlight the continuing obsolescence of non-LED lighting, the following is reflected in
13 the unmetered Lighting rate design:

- The adder components (i.e., additional poles, wire spans, etc.) that are common
 between LED and non-LED rates have been equalized.
- Non-LED lighting components were allotted the balance of the increase at 4.7%
 with the mercury vapor lighting getting the highest percentage increase at 6.25%.
 As mercury vapor replacements are only available in the used market, the higher
 increase reflects the lack of availability and reflects favorably towards the energy
 efficient, LED equivalent.
- LED and traffic lighting were not increased.

1 **O**: Are there any new tariffs being filed as part of this case? 2 A: Yes, the Company is proposing expansion of Renewables, TOU programs, and rates 3 supportive of Electrification. Company Witnesses Kimberly Winslow and Bradley D. Lutz 4 explain this in detail in both their Direct Testimonies. Finally, the Company is also 5 proposing a Subscription Pricing proposal that is explained by Company witness Ryan 6 Hledik. 7 Proposal of New Rates include: 8 Time-Related Pricing tariff (Large C&I Customers) 9 Residential Two Period Time of Use Rate (See Direct Testimonies of 10 Bradley D. Lutz and Kimberly Winslow) 11 Residential Three Period High Differential Time of Use and Separately 12 Metered Electric Vehicle TOU tariff (See Direct Testimonies of Bradley D. 13 Lutz and Kimberly Winslow) 14 Business EV Charging Service Rate (See Direct Testimony of Bradley D. 15 Lutz) 16 Residential Green Pricing Renewable Energy Credit ("REC") Program (See 17 Direct Testimony of Kimberly Winslow) 18 Residential Low Income Solar Subscription Pilot Program (See Direct 19 Testimony of Kimberly Winslow) 20 Residential Battery Energy Storage Pilot Program (See Direct Testimony 21 Kimberly Winslow) 22 Residential Advance Easy Pay Pilot Program (See Direct Testimony of

 Residential Advance Easy Pay Pilot Program (See Direct Testimony of Kimberly Winslow)

23

2Bradley D. Lutz, Kimberly Winslow, and Ryan Hledik)3Q:Please summarize the proposed changes to rules & regulation tariffs and/or of4non-base rate tariffs.5A:There are multiple changes proposed to existing tariffs. Some changes are proposed6better align the rules & regulations with current costs, planned business practices, and7generally minimal in impact. Others are more impactful. The most significant chan8have already been highlighted in this Direct Testimony and others and includes:9• Elimination of rates including:10• Residential frozen 2 Meter Heat Rate (1RS2A)11• Residential Other Rate (1RO1A)12• Eliminate frozen Time of Day Rate (1TE1A)13• Remove frozen Multi-occupancy provision from the Residential Standard14Residential 1 Meter heat rate calculation (subset of 1RS1A and 1RS6A)	to are
 non-base rate tariffs. A: There are multiple changes proposed to existing tariffs. Some changes are proposed better align the rules & regulations with current costs, planned business practices, and generally minimal in impact. Others are more impactful. The most significant chan have already been highlighted in this Direct Testimony and others and includes: 9 Elimination of rates including: 10 Residential frozen 2 Meter Heat Rate (1RS2A) 11 Residential Other Rate (1RO1A) 12 Eliminate frozen Time of Day Rate (1TE1A) 13 Remove frozen Multi-occupancy provision from the Residential Standard and Residential 1 Meter heat rate calculation (subset of 1RS1A and 1RS6A) 	to are
 A: There are multiple changes proposed to existing tariffs. Some changes are proposed better align the rules & regulations with current costs, planned business practices, and generally minimal in impact. Others are more impactful. The most significant chan have already been highlighted in this Direct Testimony and others and includes: 9 • Elimination of rates including: 10 • Residential frozen 2 Meter Heat Rate (1RS2A) 11 • Residential Other Rate (1RO1A) 12 • Eliminate frozen Time of Day Rate (1TE1A) 13 • Remove frozen Multi-occupancy provision from the Residential Standard Residential 1 Meter heat rate calculation (subset of 1RS1A and 1RS6A) 	ire
 better align the rules & regulations with current costs, planned business practices, and generally minimal in impact. Others are more impactful. The most significant chan have already been highlighted in this Direct Testimony and others and includes: Elimination of rates including: Residential frozen 2 Meter Heat Rate (1RS2A) Residential Other Rate (1RO1A) Eliminate frozen Time of Day Rate (1TE1A) Remove frozen Multi-occupancy provision from the Residential Standard Residential 1 Meter heat rate calculation (subset of 1RS1A and 1RS6A) 	ire
 7 generally minimal in impact. Others are more impactful. The most significant chan 8 have already been highlighted in this Direct Testimony and others and includes: 9 Elimination of rates including: 10 • Residential frozen 2 Meter Heat Rate (1RS2A) 11 • Residential Other Rate (1RO1A) 12 • Eliminate frozen Time of Day Rate (1TE1A) 13 • Remove frozen Multi-occupancy provision from the Residential Standard a 14 Residential 1 Meter heat rate calculation (subset of 1RS1A and 1RS6A) 	
 have already been highlighted in this Direct Testimony and others and includes: Elimination of rates including: Residential frozen 2 Meter Heat Rate (1RS2A) Residential Other Rate (1RO1A) Eliminate frozen Time of Day Rate (1TE1A) Remove frozen Multi-occupancy provision from the Residential Standard Residential 1 Meter heat rate calculation (subset of 1RS1A and 1RS6A) 	jes
 9 Elimination of rates including: 10 • Residential frozen 2 Meter Heat Rate (1RS2A) 11 • Residential Other Rate (1RO1A) 12 • Eliminate frozen Time of Day Rate (1TE1A) 13 • Remove frozen Multi-occupancy provision from the Residential Standard a 14 Residential 1 Meter heat rate calculation (subset of 1RS1A and 1RS6A) 	
 10 • Residential frozen 2 Meter Heat Rate (1RS2A) 11 • Residential Other Rate (1RO1A) 12 • Eliminate frozen Time of Day Rate (1TE1A) 13 • Remove frozen Multi-occupancy provision from the Residential Standard Residential 1 Meter heat rate calculation (subset of 1RS1A and 1RS6A) 	
 11 • Residential Other Rate (1RO1A) 12 • Eliminate frozen Time of Day Rate (1TE1A) 13 • Remove frozen Multi-occupancy provision from the Residential Standard Residential 1 Meter heat rate calculation (subset of 1RS1A and 1RS6A) 	
 Eliminate frozen Time of Day Rate (1TE1A) Remove frozen Multi-occupancy provision from the Residential Standard Residential 1 Meter heat rate calculation (subset of 1RS1A and 1RS6A) 	
 Remove frozen Multi-occupancy provision from the Residential Standard Residential 1 Meter heat rate calculation (subset of 1RS1A and 1RS6A) 	
14 Residential 1 Meter heat rate calculation (subset of 1RS1A and 1RS6A)	
	nd
• C&I frozen 2 Meter Heat Rates (1SGHE, 1MGHE, 1LGHE)	
• C&I frozen Two Part Time of Use Rate	
• C&I frozen provision of special Facilities Demand calculation for cert	in
18 customers on the Large General Service, Medium General Service, and Sn	all
19 General Service tariffs (subset of rates 1SGSE, 1MGSE and 1LGSE)	
• C&I Real Time Pricing Rate	
• Miscellaneous Changes:	
• Changing Summer and Winter dates in all base rate tariffs "Seasons"	to
align with MO Metro jurisdiction	•••

1		• FAC (See Direct Testimony of Linda Nunn)
2		• Income Eligible Weatherization ("IEW") Program (See Direct Testimony
3		of Kimberly Winslow)
4		• Emergency Conservation Plan (See Direct Testimony of Bradley D. Lutz)
5		• Solar Subscription Rider Program (See Direct Testimony of Bradley D.
6		Lutz)
7		• Market Based Demand Response ("MBDR") (See Direct Testimony of
8		Kimberly Winslow)
9		• Interconnection Study Requirements and Fees – the Company proposed to
10		institute requirements and fees associated with large systems requesting to
11		connect to the Company system. Studies are costly and the fees will defray
12		the cost, avoiding subsidy.
13	Q:	Does that conclude your testimony?

14 A: Yes, it does.

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of Evergy Metro, Inc. d/b/a Evergy Missouri Metro's Request for Authority to Implement A General Rate Increase for Electric Service

Case No. ER-2022-0129

AFFIDAVIT OF MARISOL E. MILLER

)

STATE OF MISSOURI)) ss COUNTY OF JACKSON)

Marisol E. Miller, being first duly sworn on his oath, states:

1. My name is Marisol E. Miller. I work in Kansas City, Missouri, and I am employed by Evergy Metro, Inc. as Senior Manager – Regulatory Affairs.

2. Attached hereto and made a part hereof for all purposes is my Direct Testimony on behalf of Evergy Missouri Metro consisting of forty-seven (47) pages, having been prepared in written form for introduction into evidence in the above-captioned docket.

3. I have knowledge of the matters set forth therein. I hereby swear and affirm that my answers contained in the attached testimony to the questions therein propounded, including any attachments thereto, are true and accurate to the best of my knowledge, information and belief.

Marisol E. Miller

Subscribed and sworn before me this 7th day of January 2022.

Notary Public

My commission expires:

4/24/2025

ANTHONY R. WESTENKIRCHNER NOTARY PUBLIC - NOTARY SEAL STATE OF MISSOURI MY COMMISSION EXPIRES APRIL 26, 2025 PLATTE COUNTY COMMISSION #17279952

Evergy, Inc. - Missouri Metro 2022 Rate Case - Direct Test Year 6/30/2021 Cost of Service Summary

Allocation Method: Avg & Excess 4 CP

					Small General	Medium General	Large General	Large Power		
Sch No.	Line No.	Description	MO Metro Retail	Residential	Service	Service	Service	Service	Lighting	CCN
1	1	REVENUE REQUIREMENT SUMMARY								
1	2	Test Year Revenue	\$843,129,436	\$340,921,856	\$68,664,014	\$123,594,692	\$178,461,467	\$121,482,208	\$9,930,635	\$74,564
1	3									
1	4	Gross Revenue Requirements	\$925,823,204	\$400,003,717	\$65,477,643	\$121,808,654	\$186,617,488	\$141,402,473	\$8,870,250	\$1,642,979
1	5	Less Other Revenue	<u>(\$268,188,737)</u>	<u>(\$91,521,952)</u>	<u>(\$18,026,409)</u>	<u>(\$37,981,591)</u>	(\$64,234,028)	<u>(\$53,850,584)</u>	<u>(\$2,552,402)</u>	<u>(\$21,771)</u>
1	6	Net Revenue Requirements	\$657,634,467	\$308,481,765	\$47,451,234	\$83,827,063	\$122,383,460	\$87,551,889	\$6,317,848	\$1,621,208
1	7									
1	8	Net Operating Income	\$185,494,970	\$32,440,091	\$21,212,780	\$39,767,629	\$56,078,008	\$33,930,320	\$3,612,786	(\$1,546,644)
1	9									
1	10									
1	11	RETURN AT PRESENT RATES								
1	12	Rate Base	\$3,153,481,360	\$1,590,872,305	\$233,637,212	\$393,211,025	\$543,034,420	\$352,376,054	\$37,562,935	\$2,787,408
1	13	Net Operating Income at Present Rates	\$185,494,970	\$32,440,091	\$21,212,780	\$39,767,629	\$56,078,008	\$33,930,320	\$3,612,786	(\$1,546,644)
1	14									
1	15	Rate of Return at Present Rates	5.88%	2.04%	9.08%	10.11%	10.33%	9.63%	9.62%	-55.49%
1	16									
1	17	Relative Rate of Return	1.00	0.35	1.54	1.72	1.76	1.64	1.64	(9.43)

Evergy, Inc. - Missouri Metro 2022 Rate Case - Direct Test Year 6/30/2021 Unit Costs of Service Summary

			Equalize	d Rate of Return @	7.0325%
			Customer Costs* (\$/bill)	Energy Costs (\$/kWh)	Demand Costs (\$/kW)
Sch No.	Line No.	Customer Class	Monthly	Annual	Annual
2	1	Residential	\$17.49	\$0.0297	
2	2	Small General Service	\$18.53	\$0.0297	
2	3	Medium General Service	\$32.39	\$0.0297	\$19.26
2	4	Large General Service	\$13.35	\$0.0295	\$20.64
2	5	Large Power Service	\$114.77	\$0.0286	\$22.46
2	6	Lighting		\$0.0297	
		* Excluding Local Facilities			

Notes:

Allocation Method: Avg & Excess 4 CP

SCHEDULES MEM-3 THROUGH MEM-4 CONTAIN CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION NOT AVAILABLE TO THE PUBLIC.

ORIGINALS FILED UNDER SEAL.

1 Castomer Charge Pack 1005; PSOU; PSO2 OLSTOMERC CHARGE 1.18.25 1.2.70.16 1.2.20.5 1 Control Charge PACK 1005; PSO4; PSOE 1005; PSO2; PSOE 1005; PSO2; PSOE 1005; PSO2; PSOE 1005; PSO2; PSOE 1005; PSO4; PSOE 1005; PSO2;		А	В	D	E	F	G	Н
Image Draw Statute Ease No. Encode Image Draw Statute	1			Evergy - Missouri Metro				
	2							
Image: state Case Mo. ER.2022 10 more State St								
Status: Dete: - 4.2% 2 - 1000000000000000000000000000000000000				Case No	EP_2022_0120			
Image: state in the								
T UNISCICTIONAL INCREASE (10) UNISCICTIONAL INCREASE (5			Status:	Direct			PMODEL
Bar Concent Hase Concent Hase Concent Hase Proceeding 1 Calaman Concent Land Color 1.16.1 1.16.1 1.16.1 1 Factor Concent Land Color 1.16.1 1.16.1 1.16.1 1 Factor Concent Land Color 1.16.1 1.16.1 1.16.1 1 Factor Concent Factor Concent Concent Hase Procent Concent 0.000 1.16.1 1 Factor Concent Factor Concent Factor Concent 0.000 1.16.1 1.16.1 1 Factor Concent Factor Concent Factor Concent 0.000 1.16.1 1.16.1 1 Factor Concent Factor Concent Factor Concent 0.000 1.000	7			JURISDICITIONAL	INCREASE (%)			
Barton Call Columne Darge Call Call (Sci (155)) (1552) Call (Sci (155)) (1552) <thcall (155))="" (1552)<="" (sci="" th=""> Call (Sci (15</thcall>	·			CONODIONIONAL				0.1078
The Number Charge Back 1 FORE Charge Back 1 F	8	Ref #	Component	Rate Code	Charge Values	Current Rates	Design	Proposed Rates
Texture Drage Book 1 IPSE DECOMMAY DBM Composition 1 10 Facilitie Charge Book 1 IPSE DECOMMAY DBM Composition 1 DBM Composition 1 DBM		1	Customer Charge	1PGSE; 1PGSF; 1PGSV; 1PGSZ	CUSTOMER CHARGE	1,149.23	1,210.14	1,210.14
3.5 Facility Chapter Book 1 IPSS Product Stress 2000 1 3.399 1 3.390 1 1.570 1	10		Excilition Charge Block 1	10055	SECONDARY	2 940	4.052	4.052
3.5 Character 0.000 <								
Signed Description Product								1.014
Bits of Seminer Book 1 PCSE Prist 444 WV 11.0 acc 57.22 87.22 11 Demard Seminer Book 1 PCSE Prist 444 WV 11.0 acc 12.07 12.07 11 Demard Seminer Book 1 PCSE Prist 444 WV 13.04 13.04 13.04 11 Demard Seminer Book 1 PCSE Prist 444 WV 13.04 7.04 7.04 12 Demard Seminer Book 1 PCSE Prist 444 WV 13.05 9.05 <th></th> <th>7</th> <th>Facilities Charge - Block 1</th> <th>1PGSZ</th> <th>TRANSMISSION</th> <th>-</th> <th>-</th> <th>-</th>		7	Facilities Charge - Block 1	1PGSZ	TRANSMISSION	-	-	-
Time Denumb Second Process Next 244 NV Time Bits Size Size <thsize< th=""> <thsize< th=""> <thsize< th=""></thsize<></thsize<></thsize<>			Demand Summer Black 1	10000	Firet 2442 KW	14.022	15 700	45 700
Bit ID Denuct Summer Book 1 IPGSE Num 244 KW 10.35 1								
11 11 11 11 12 13 14 15 14 15 14 Demand Vitter Book 11555 Not 2445 KW 7.394 7.394 7.394 15 Demand Vitter Book 11755 Not 2445 KW 0.007 0.007 15 Demand Vitter Book 11755 Not 2445 KW 0.007 0.007 21 Demand Note 2445 KW 14.685 10.555 10.556 10.556 22 Demand Some Book 11755 Note 2450 KW 14.686 15.556 10.538 22 Demand Some Book 11755 Note 2500 KW 7.567 15.460 10.446 23 Demand Vitter Book 11755 Note 2500 KW 7.567 15.460 10.445 23 Demand Vitter Book 11755 Note 2500 KW 7.567 15.57 15.57 23 Demand Vitter Book 11755 Note 2500 KW 7.580 15.56 15.56 23 Demand Some Book 11755 Note 2500 KW 7.580 15.56 15.56 23 Demand Some Book 11755 Note 2500 KW								10.536
1 10 Demand Willing Book 1 IFSSE First 244 KW 10 100 10 100 10 100 20 Demand Willer Book 4 IFSSE HALKW own 7236 KW 5.379 5.646 5.66 20 Demand Willer Book 4 IFSSE HALKW own 7236 KW 5.379 5.646 5.66 20 Demand Sommer Book 1 IFSSE HALKW own 7236 KW 5.77 10.224 21 Demand Sommer Book 1 IFSSE HALKW own 7206 KW 5.71 10.235 21 Demand Sommer Book 1 IFSSE HALKW own 7206 KW 9.91 10.266 22 Demand Sommer Book 1 IFSSE HALKW own 7206 KW 9.91 10.266 22 Demand Willer Book 1 IFSSE HALKW own 7206 KW 9.91 10.266 23 Demand Willer Book 1 IFSSE HALKW own 7206 KW 9.95 17.95 23 Demand Willer Book 1 IFSSE HALKW own 7206 KW 9.85 10.318 23 Demand Willer Book 1 IFSSE HALKW own 7206 KW 9.85 10.318 23 Demand Willer Book 1 IFSSE HALKW own 7206 KW 9.85	19	13						7.691
27 Dennand - Witter Book 2 IPSE Next 244 SW 7.920 8.840 8.85 28 Dennand - Witter Book 2 IPSE Al RV and M2 SW 5.975 5.534 5.834 29 Dennand - Witter Book 2 IPSE Al RV and M2 SW 5.975 5.534 5.834 29 Dennand - Summer Book 2 IPSE Al RV and MV 1.877 1.221 1.1221 21 Dennand - Summer Book 2 IPSE Al RV and MV 9.914 1.6440 1.664 21 Dennand - Witter Book 4 IPSE First 200 KW 9.914 1.6440 1.664 21 Dennand - Witter Book 4 IPSE First 200 KW 9.915 1.6440 1.664 22 Dennand - Witter Book 4 IPSE First 200 KW 2.227 7.169								
31 Parend - Winter Book 3. 1958 Not 2445 WW 5.387 7.35 2.35 32 Parend - Summer Book 1. 1958 Parend - Summer Book 1. 1958 1958 32 Parend - Summer Book 1. 1958 Parend - Summer Book 1. 1958 1958 33 Parend - Summer Book 1. 1958 Parend - Summer Book 1. 1958 1958 33 Parend - Summer Book 1. 1958 Parend - Summer Book 1. 1958 10.40 34 Parend - Summer Book 1. 1958 Parend - Summer Book 1. 1958 10.40 31 Parend - Winer Book 1. 1958 Parend - Summer Book 1. 1958 10.40 35 Parend - Winer Book 1. 1958 Parend - Winer Book 1. 1958 10.40 32 Parend - Winer Book 1. 1958 Parend - Winer Book 1. 1958 10.40 33 Parend - Winer Book 1. 1958 Parend - Parend - Winer Book 1. 1958 10.40 33 Parend - Winer Book 1. 1958 Parend - Par								
21 Demand - Winter Bock 4 (PGSF) Al KW owr 733 KW 5.379 6.664 A666 20 Demand - Summar - Buck 2 (PGSF) Not 3500 KW 11.672 12.231 12.33 21 Demand - Summar - Buck 2 (PGSF) Not 3500 KW 9.773 10.244 10.233 22 Demand - Summar - Buck 4 (PGSF) Not 3500 KW 9.773 10.244 10.233 23 Demand - Summar - Buck 4 (PGSF) Not 3500 KW 9.744 10.445 10.445 10.446 10.417 10.172 <th>23</th> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>7.357</td>	23							7.357
32 Depart - Sommer - Bock 1 PCSF Fm 2500 KW 14.680 4.580 5.580 32 Demark - Sommer - Bock 1 PCSF Next 2500 KW 19.776 19.254 19.252 32 Demark - Sommer - Bock 1 PCSF Next 2500 KW 79.15 19.254 19.254 32 Demark - Sommer - Bock 1 PCSF Next 2500 KW 79.15 10.440 19.255 33 Demark - Winter - Bock 1 PCSF Next 2500 KW 79.15 10.440 19.255 33 Demark - Winter - Bock 1 PCSF Next 2500 KW 16.411 15.76 7.55 5.32 34 Demark - Sommer - Bock 1 PCSV First 250 KW 14.415 15.77 5.53 5.33 35 Demark - Sommer - Bock 1 PCSV Next 250 KW 14.415 15.78 15.78 36 Demark - Sommer - Bock 1 PCSV Next 250 KW 16.60 16.65 16.57 16.57 16.57 16.57 16.57 16.57 16.57 16.57 16.57	24	18						5.664
27.21 Dertand - Summer - Biox 2 1PGSF Next 2500 KW 11.072 12.241 12.232 27.21 Dertand - Summer - Biox 4 1PGSF Next 2500 KW 7.138 17.256 7.244 27.21 Dertand - Summer - Biox 4 1PGSF Next 2500 KW 7.138 17.256 7.244 27.21 Dertand - Witter - Boox 4 1PGSF Next 2500 KW 7.138 16.440 10.648 27.21 Dertand - Witter - Boox 4 1PGSF Next 2500 KW 7.140 16.159 8.38 27.23 Dertand - Summer - Biox 4 1PGSF Next 2500 KW 7.144 16.159 8.39 27.23 Dertand - Summer - Biox 4 1PGSF Next 2500 KW 7.144 16.159 8.39 27.34 Dertand - Summer - Biox 4 1PGSF Next 2500 KW 7.444 16.169 10.319 27.35 Dertand - Summer - Biox 4 1PGSV Next 2500 KW 7.646 7.642 7.642 27.35 Dertand - Witter - Boox 1 1PGSV Next 2500 KW 7.058 10.319 10.339 27.34 Dertand - Witter - Boox 1 1PGSV Next 2500 KW 7.058 7.058 7.058 27.35 Dertand - Summer - Biox 1 1PGSZ Fret 2505 KW <th>25</th> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	25							
33 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 33 33 34 34 35 36 35 35 35 36	26							15.362
33 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 33 33 34 34 35 36 35 35 35 36	28 28							
33 33 24 Demand - Writer - Bock 1 TRSP First 250 KW 9 - 9 10.40 10.44 23 24 Demand - Writer - Bock 1 TRSP New 2200 KW 7.837 9.789 9.99 24 Demand - Writer - Bock 1 TRSP New 2200 KW 7.837 9.789 9.99 25 Demand - Writer - Bock 1 TRSP All KW ore 750 KW 5.547 5.558 5.559 25 Demand - Sammer - Bock 1 TRSP All KW ore 750 KW 1.443 1.577 1.57 26 Demand - Writer - Bock 1 TRSP All KW ore 750 KW 9.00 1.017 1.017 26 Demand - Writer - Bock 1 TRSP All KW ore 750 KW 9.00 1.017 1.0	29							7.516
32 Demand - Winter - Block 3 IPOSF A KW Over 7500 WW 6.227 7.189 7.189 7.189 33 Demand - Summer - Block 1 IPOSF A KW Over 7500 WW 14.415 15.179 15.179 15.179 15.179 15.271 15.253 5.333 5.333 5.333 5.333 5.333 5.333 5.214 15.214 15.121 <	30	24						
32 Demand - Winter - Block 3 IPOSF A KW Over 7500 WW 6.227 7.189 7.189 7.189 33 Demand - Summer - Block 1 IPOSF A KW Over 7500 WW 14.415 15.179 15.179 15.179 15.179 15.271 15.253 5.333 5.333 5.333 5.333 5.333 5.333 5.214 15.214 15.121 <	31							10.440
32 32 32 33 34 35 36<	32							
33 33 34 Demand - Summer - Block 1 IPGSV First 230 NW 14.4.50 15.1.72 15.1.72 33 Demand - Summer - Block 1 IPGSV All KW over 750 NW 11.5.52 12.1.72 11.5.7	34							5.536
27 31 Demand - Summer - Block 2 IPGSV Next 250 KW 11.532 12.143 12.143 312 Demand - Summer - Block 3 IPGSV All KV over 750 KW 7.054 7.228 7.23 333 Demand - Summer - Block 4 IPGSV Next 250 KW 7.649 8.054 8.054 433 Demand - Winter - Block 4 IPGSV Next 250 KW 7.649 8.054 8.056 433 Demand - Winter - Block 4 IPGSV Next 250 KW 6.748 7.000 7.01 44 Demand - Winter - Block 1 IPGSV Next 250 KW 14.129 15.048 15.058 45 Demand - Summer - Block 1 IPGSZ Past 2553 KW 13.72 10.077 10.077 10.077 10.077 10.027 10.027 10.027 10.027 10.027 10.027 10.027 10.027 10.027 10.027 10.027 10.027 10.027 10.027 10.027 10.027 10.027 10.028 10.028 10.028 10.028 10.028 10.028<	35	29						
33 Demand - Summer - Block 3 IPSSV Next 250 KW 9.860 10.172 10.172 33 Demand - Summer - Block 1 IPSSV At KW over 750 KW 7.064 7.264 7.25 7.25 7.75 7	36	30						15.179
33 Demand - Summer - Biock 4 IPGSV AI KW over 7590 KW 7.054 7.428 7.428 33 Damand - Writer - Biock 1 IPGSV First 2530 KW 9.000 10.313 10.333 41 35 Demand - Writer - Biock 1 IPGSV Neet 2230 KW 9.000 9.010 9.000 41 36 Demand - Writer - Biock 1 IPGSV Neet 2230 KW 13.33 9.000 9.0133 9.000 9.0133 9.000 9.0133 9.000 9.0133 9.000 9.0133 9.000 9.0133 9.000 9.0133 9.000 9.0133 9.000 9.0133 9.000 9.0133 9.0000 9.000								12.143
000 135 Demand - Witter - Block 1 IPGSV First 2S30 kW 0.800 10.319 Demand - Witter - Block 2 10.319 Demand - Witter - Block 3 1105 V Natt 2S30 kW 7.849 8.054 8.055 137 Demand - Witter - Block 1 IPGSV Natt 2S30 kW 12.35 Demand - Summer - Block 1 IPGSZ First 2553 kW 14.1429 15.049 15.049 15.049 140 Demand - Summer - Block 1 IPGSZ Next 2553 KW 11.429 15.049 15.040 15.030 142 Demand - Summer - Block 3 IPGSZ Next 2553 KW 11.429 12.035 12.035 143 Demand - Witter - Block 4 IPGSZ Next 2553 KW 6.990 7.380 7.380 145 Demand - Witter - Block 4 IPGSZ Next 2553 KW 6.990 7.380 7.380 145 Demand - Witter - Block 4 IPGSZ Next 2553 KW 6.688 7.042 7.992 7.992 7.992 7.992 7.992 7.992 7.992 7.992 7.992 7.992 7.992<								7.428
13 Demand - Winter - Block 1 IPOSV First 2530 KW 9.800 10.318 10.331 36 Demand - Winter - Block 1 IPOSV Next 2530 KW 7.449 8.65 37 Demand - Winter - Block 1 IPOSV Next 2530 KW 5.749 7.106 7.710 38 Demand - Summer - Block 1 IPOSZ First 2533 KW 14.291 15.048 1500 49 40 Demand - Summer - Block 1 IPOSZ Next 2533 KW 9.772 10.079 10.073 41 Demand - Summer - Block 1 IPOSZ Next 2533 KW 9.772 10.079 10.027 42 Demand - Winter - Block 1 IPOSZ Next 2533 KW 9.712 10.227 7.38 43 Demand - Winter - Block 1 IPOSZ Next 2533 KW 9.712 10.227 7.38 44 Demand - Winter - Block 1 IPOSZ Next 2533 KW 9.712 10.227 7.38 55 Encry - Summer - First 108 HU IPOSZ Next 2533 KW 7.362 7.362 7.36	40	34					20	
G Demand - Winter - Block 1 IPOSV Next XSV or Y First 2530 kW 6.748 7.106 7.106 43 Demand - Winter - Block 1 IPOSV All XSV First 2533 KW 14.29 15.043 10.027 7.082 7.082 7.082 7.082 7.042 <td< th=""><th>41</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>10.319</th></td<>	41							10.319
41 33 Demand - Winter - Biock 1 1PGSV All KW over 7590 kW 5.165 5.770 5.77 42 39 Demand - Summer - Biock 1 1PGSZ First 2553 KW 11.29 11.205 12.055 12.055 12.035 1								8.054
64 0 90 0 Demand - Summer - Block 1 1PGSZ 1PGSZ First 2553 KW 14.291 14.291 15.048 12.056 15.04 12.056 27 28 29 29 29 29 29 29 29 29 29 29 29 29 29	43 44							
46 0 Demand - Summer - Block 1 1PGSZ First 2553 KW 114.291 11.409 115.048 155.04 41 Demand - Summer - Block 2 1PGSZ Next 2553 KW 3.572 10.079 10.07 43 Demand - Summer - Block 3 1PGSZ Next 2553 KW 3.572 10.079 10.027 53 Demand - Summer - Block 1 1PGSZ Next 2553 KW 3.572 10.027 10.227 54 Demand - Writter - Block 1 1PGSZ Next 2553 KW 3.572 10.227 10.227 10.227 52 46 Demand - Writter - Block 1 1PGSZ Next 2553 KW 3.58 7.052 7.064 54 Demand - Writter - Block 1 1PGSZ Next 2553 KW 6.88 7.052 7.062 55 Encry - Writter - Next 180 HU 1PGSE Next 180 Hours Use per month 0.05849 0.05519 0.03849 0.05252 0.02562 0.02562 0.02562 0.02562 0.02562 0.02562 0.02562 0.02562 0.02562 0.02562 0.02562<						5.155	5.470	0.470
48 20 Demand - Summer - Block 3 1PGSZ Next 2553 KW 9.572 10.079 10.07 50 44 Demand - Winter - Block 1 1PGSZ First 2553 KW 6.990 7.380 7.380 7.382 7.385 50 Jennad - Winter - Block 1 1PGSZ Next 2553 KW 7.580 7.982 7.386 7.982 7.386 7.982 7.386 7.982 7.386 7.982 7.386 7.982 7.386 7.982 7.386 7.982 7.386 7.982 7.386 7.982 7.386 7.982 7.386 7.982 7.386 7.982 7.386 7.982 7.386 7.982 7.386 7.482 7.386 7.482 7.386 7.382 <th>46</th> <th>40</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>15.048</th>	46	40						15.048
40 40 43 Demand - Winter - Block 4 1PGSZ All KW over 769 KW 6.980 7.360 7.38 61 46 Demand - Winter - Block 2 1PGSZ First 2553 KW 9.712 10.227 10.227 10.227 10.227 10.227 7.88 63 47 Demand - Winter - Block 3 1PGSZ Next 2553 KW 6.688 7.042 7.748 63 48 Demand - Winter - Block 4 1PGSZ Next 2553 KW 6.688 7.042 7.04 64 80 First 180 Hours Use per month 0.08948 0.08948 0.09228 0.0252 0.02552 0.02552 0.02552 0.02552 0.02552 0.02552 0.02552 0.02563	47							12.035
50 44 Demand - Winter - Block 1 IPGSZ First 255 KW 9.712 10.227 7.082 52 46 Demand - Winter - Block 2 1PGSZ Next 255 KW 7.880 7.982 7.082 54 Demand - Winter - Block 3 1PGSZ Next 255 KW 6.688 7.042 7.042 54 Demand - Winter - Block 4 1PGSZ All KW over 7659 KW 5.148 5.421 55 Energy - Summer - Next 180 HU 1PGSE First 180 Hours Use per month 0.08949 0.008949 0.008949 0.00252 0.02552 0.02552 0.02552 0.02552 0.02552 0.02552 0.02552 0.02552 0.02552 0.02552 0.02527 0.02518	48 49							
1 1	50					0.000	1.000	1.000
54 45 46 Demand - Winter - Block 4 1PGSZ All KW over 7659 KW 5.148 5.148 5.241 55 44 Energy - Summer - First 180 HU 1PGSE First 180 Hours Use per month 0.06319 0.06319 0.05319 0.0252 0.0265 0.02619 0.05199 0.05199	51							10.227
54 45 46 Demand - Winter - Block 4 1PGSZ All KW over 7659 KW 5.148 5.148 5.241 55 44 Energy - Summer - First 180 HU 1PGSE First 180 Hours Use per month 0.06319 0.06319 0.05319 0.0252 0.0265 0.02619 0.05199 0.05199	52							
35 49 First 180 Hours Use per month 0.06848 0.06848 0.06848 35 51 Energy - Summer - Next 180 HU 1PGSE Next 180 Hours Use per month 0.02552 0.02652 0.0264 36 51 Energy - Summer - Next 180 HU 1PGSE Over 360 Hours Use per month 0.02552 0.02652 0.0264 37 56 Energy - Winter - First 180 HU 1PGSE Next 180 Hours Use per month 0.07586 0.07758 0.02527 0.02638 0.02688 0.02688	53 54							
57 52 Energy - Summer - Next 180 HU IPGSE Next 180 Hours Use per month 0.05319 0.05319 0.05325 53 Barry - Summer - Next 180 HU IPGSE Over 360 Hours Use per month 0.02582 0.02582 0.02582 53 Farry - Winter - First 180 HU IPGSE First 180 Hours Use per month 0.04538 0.04538 0.04538 54 Energy - Winter - Next 180 HU IPGSE Next 180 Hours Use per month 0.04538 0.04539 0.05227 0.02527 0.02527 0.02527 0.02527 0.02527 0.02527 0.02527 0.02527 0.02527 0.02527 0.02527 0.02527 0.02527 0.02527 0.02527 0.02527 0.0252 0.02562 0.0	55							
58 53 54 Energy - Summer - Over 380 HU 1PGSE Over 380 Hours Use per month 0.02552 0.02552 0.02552 60 55 Energy - Winter - First 180 HU 1PGSE First 180 Hours Use per month 0.07586 0.07758 61 56 Energy - Winter - Next 180 HU 1PGSE Over 380 Hours Use per month 0.04838 0.04838 0.04838 62 57 Energy - Winter - Over 360 HU 1PGSE Over 380 Hours Use per month 0.02527 0.02527 0.02527 63 58 Energy - Summer - First 180 HU 1PGSF First 180 Hours Use per month 0.08744 0.08744 0.0977 65 Energy - Winter - Over 30H 1PGSF Next 180 Hours Use per month 0.02482 0.02482 0.02482 0.02482 0.02482 0.02482 0.02482 0.02482 0.02482 0.02482 0.02482 0.02482 0.02482 0.02483 0.04726 0.04726 0.04726 0.04726 0.04726 0.04726 0.04726 0.04728 0.02483 0.02483 0.02483 0.02567	56							0.09287
55 54 Bit Side Energy - Winter - First 180 HU Energy - Winter - Next 180 HU PCSE IPCSE Next 180 Hours Use per month 0.07586 0.07586 0.07586 61 56 Energy - Winter - Next 180 HU PCSE IPCSE Next 180 Hours Use per month 0.04338 0.04838 0.06227 0.02527 0.02527 0.02527 0.02527 0.02527 0.02527 0.02527 0.02527 0.02527 0.02527 0.02527 0.02527 0.02527 0.02537 0.02519 0.05199 0.05199 0.05199 0.05199 0.05199 0.02589 0.0766 0.0766 0.0766 0.0766 0.0766 0.07677 0.0766 0.02492 0.02492 0.02589 0.02589 0.07412 0.0758	57							
GO So Energy - Winter - First 180 HU IPGSE First 180 Hours Use per month 0.077586 0.04838 0.04838 0.04838 0.04838 0.04838 0.04838 0.04838 0.04838 0.04838 0.02527 0.02527 0.02527 0.02527 0.02527 0.02539 0.0539 0.0539 0.0539 0.0539 0.0539 0.0539 0.02582 0.02482 0.02482 0.02482 0.02482 0.02482 0.02482 0.02482 0.02482 0.02482 0.02482 0.02482 0.02482 0.02482 0.02482 0.02482 0.02482 0.02482 0.02482 0.04838 0.07772 0.07772 0.07772 0.07772 0.07772 0.07772 0.07772 0.07772 0.02482 <	59		Energy - Summer - Over 500 HU	II GOL	over our rours ose per montin	0.02052	0.02352	0.02040
61 66 Energy - Winter - Next 180 HU IPCSE Next 180 Hours Use per month 0.04838 0.04838 0.0522 63 58 Energy - Winter - Next 180 HU IPCSE Over 360 Hours Use per month 0.02527 0.02463 0.02453 0.02463 0.02567 0.02567 0.02567 0.02567 0.02567 0.02567 0.02567 0.02463 0.02463 0.02463	60	55					0.07586	0.07873
63 63 64 69 Energy Summer - First 180 HU IPGSF First 180 Hours Use per month 0.08744 0.0907 66 Energy - Summer - Next 180 HU IPGSF Over 360 Hours Use per month 0.02492 0.02493 0.02517 0.0317 0.0317<	61		Energy - Winter - Next 180 HU		Next 180 Hours Use per month	0.04838	0.04838	0.05021
64 59 Energy - Summer - First 180 HU IPCSF First 180 Hours Use per month 0.08744 0.08744 0.09749 66 Energy - Summer - Next 180 HU IPCSF Next 180 Hours Use per month 0.02492 0.04760 0.04760 0.04760 0.04760 0.04760 0.04760 0.04760 0.04760 0.04760 0.04760 0.04760 0.04760 0.04760 0.0256 0.04760 0.0256 0.0256 0.0256 0.0256 0.0256 0.02463 0.022463 0.0256 0.0256 0.0256 0.0256 0.0256 0.0256 0.0256 0.0256 0.0256 0.0256 0.0256 0.0256 0.0256 0.0256 0.0256 0.0256 0.0256 0.02540 0.02540 0.0256<			Energy - winter - Over 360 HU	TPGSE	Over 360 Hours Use per month	0.02527	0.02527	0.02622
65 60 Energy - Summer - Next 180 HU IPGSF Next 180 Hours Use per month 0.05199 0.05199 0.0539 66 61 Energy - Summer - Next 180 HU IPGSF Over 360 Hours Use per month 0.02492 0.02492 0.0258 67 62 Energy - Winter - First 180 HU IPGSF First 180 Hours Use per month 0.07412 0.07412 0.07492 0.02492 0.02469 0.02469 0.02469 0.02469 0.02469 0.02469 0.02469 0.02469 0.02469 0.02469 0.0266 0.0769 0.0769 0.0769 0.0769 0.0769 0.07412 0.07732 0.07633 0.02657 0.07533 0.07553	64		Energy - Summer - First 180 HU	1PGSF	First 180 Hours Use per month	0.08744	0.08744	0.09074
66 61 Energy - Summer - Over 360 HU 1PGSF Over 360 Hours Use per month 0.02492 0.02469 0.02469 0.02469 0.02469 0.02469 0.0256 0.0373 0.05137 0.05137 0.05137 0.05137 0.0533 0.07538 0.07538 0.07538 0.07538 0.07538 0.07538 0.07538 0.07538 0.07538	65	60	Energy - Summer - Next 180 HU	1PGSF	Next 180 Hours Use per month	0.05199	0.05199	0.05395
68 63 Energy - Winter - First 180 HU 1PGSF First 180 Hours Use per month 0.07412 0.07412 0.07692 69 64 Energy - Winter - Next 180 HU 1PGSF Next 180 Hours Use per month 0.04726 0.04742 0.0490 70 65 Energy - Winter - Next 180 HU 1PGSF Over 360 Hours Use per month 0.02469 0.02469 0.02463 0.0256 71 66 Energy - Summer - First 180 HU 1PGSV First 180 Hours Use per month 0.05137 0.05137 0.05137 0.0533 0.07603 74 69 Energy - Winter - Next 180 HU 1PGSV Ver 360 Hours Use per month 0.02463 0.02442	66	61	Energy - Summer - Over 360 HU	1PGSF	Over 360 Hours Use per month	0.02492	0.02492	0.02586
70 65 Energy - Winter - Over 360 HU 1PGSF Over 360 Hours Use per month 0.02469 0.0533 0.0533 0.0533 0.0533 0.0533 0.0533 0.0533 0.02463 0.02442 0.02440 0.02555	67 69	62 63	Epergy - Winter - First 190 UL	1PGSE	First 180 Hours Lise par month	0.07440	0.07449	0.07602
70 65 Energy - Winter - Over 360 HU 1PGSF Over 360 Hours Use per month 0.02469 0.0533 0.0533 0.0533 0.0533 0.0533 0.0533 0.0533 0.02463 0.02442 0.02440 0.02555	69	64						0.07692
71 66 Energy - Summer - First 180 HU 1PGSV First 180 Hours Use per month 0.08642 0.08662 0.08662 72 67 Energy - Summer - Next 180 HU 1PGSV Next 180 Hours Use per month 0.02463 0.02463 0.02463 74 69 Energy - Winter - First 180 HU 1PGSV Over 360 Hours Use per month 0.07328 0.07328 0.0760 76 71 Energy - Winter - Next 180 HU 1PGSV First 180 Hours Use per month 0.04671 0.04629 0.02442 0.02242	70	65						0.02562
74 69 Energy - Summer - Over 360 HU 1PGSV Over 360 Hours Use per month 0.02463 0.07528 0.07528 0.07528 0.07528 0.048671 0.04847 0.04847 0.04847 0.04847 0.02440 0.02440 0.02440 0.02440 0.02440 0.02440 0.02440 0.02440 0.02440 0.02440 0.02440 0.02442 0.0253 0.0753 0.0753 0.07537 0.07537 0.07537 0.02442 0.02442 0.02442 0.02442 0.02442 0.02442 0.02442 0.02442 0.02442 0.02442 0.02442 0.02442 0.02537 0.07538 0.07538<	71	66						
74 69 Energy - Summer - Over 360 HU 1PGSV Over 360 Hours Use per month 0.02463 0.07528 0.07528 0.07528 0.07528 0.048671 0.04847 0.04847 0.04847 0.04847 0.02440 0.02440 0.02440 0.02440 0.02440 0.02440 0.02440 0.02440 0.02440 0.02440 0.02440 0.02442 0.0253 0.0753 0.0753 0.07537 0.07537 0.07537 0.02442 0.02442 0.02442 0.02442 0.02442 0.02442 0.02442 0.02442 0.02442 0.02442 0.02442 0.02442 0.02537 0.07538 0.07538<	72	67 68						0.08968
78 73 Energy - Winter - Over 360 HU 1PGSV Over 360 Hours Use per month 0.02440 0.02430 0.02430 79 74 73 Fnergy - Winter - Over 360 HU 1PGSZ First 180 Hours Use per month 0.08565 0.08886 0.08886 81 76 Energy - Summer - Next 180 HU 1PGSZ Next 180 Hours Use per month 0.05091 0.0528 0.02440 0.02440 0.0253 82 77 Energy - Summer - Over 360 HU 1PGSZ Next 180 Hours Use per month 0.02442 0.02442 0.0253 83 78 First 180 HU 1PGSZ Over 360 Hours Use per month 0.02442 0.02442 0.0253 84 79 Energy - Winter - Next 180 HU 1PGSZ First 180 Hours Use per month 0.07259 0.0753 0.0753 85 80 Energy - Winter - Next 180 HU 1PGSZ Next 180 Hours Use per month 0.04629 0.04629 0.04629 0.04629 0.04508 86 81 Energy - Winter - Over 360 HU 1PGSZ Over 360 Hours Use per month 0.02417 0.02417 0.0250 87 82 Ba R	13 74	69						
78 73 Energy - Winter - Over 360 HU 1PGSV Over 360 Hours Use per month 0.02440 0.02430 0.02430 79 74 73 Fnergy - Winter - Over 360 HU 1PGSZ First 180 Hours Use per month 0.08565 0.08886 0.08886 81 76 Energy - Summer - Next 180 HU 1PGSZ Next 180 Hours Use per month 0.05091 0.0528 0.02440 0.02440 0.0253 82 77 Energy - Summer - Over 360 HU 1PGSZ Next 180 Hours Use per month 0.02442 0.02442 0.0253 83 78 First 180 HU 1PGSZ Over 360 Hours Use per month 0.02442 0.02442 0.0253 84 79 Energy - Winter - Next 180 HU 1PGSZ First 180 Hours Use per month 0.07259 0.0753 0.0753 85 80 Energy - Winter - Next 180 HU 1PGSZ Next 180 Hours Use per month 0.04629 0.04629 0.04629 0.04629 0.04508 86 81 Energy - Winter - Over 360 HU 1PGSZ Over 360 Hours Use per month 0.02417 0.02417 0.0250 87 82 Ba R	75	70			ere and ere per month	0.02400		
78 73 Energy - Winter - Over 360 HU 1PGSV Over 360 Hours Use per month 0.02440 0.02430 0.02430 79 74 73 Fnergy - Winter - Over 360 HU 1PGSZ First 180 Hours Use per month 0.08565 0.08886 0.08886 81 76 Energy - Summer - Next 180 HU 1PGSZ Next 180 Hours Use per month 0.05091 0.0528 0.02440 0.02440 0.0253 82 77 Energy - Summer - Over 360 HU 1PGSZ Next 180 Hours Use per month 0.02442 0.02442 0.0253 83 78 First 180 HU 1PGSZ Over 360 Hours Use per month 0.02442 0.02442 0.0253 84 79 Energy - Winter - Next 180 HU 1PGSZ First 180 Hours Use per month 0.07259 0.0753 0.0753 85 80 Energy - Winter - Next 180 HU 1PGSZ Next 180 Hours Use per month 0.04629 0.04629 0.04629 0.04629 0.04508 86 81 Energy - Winter - Over 360 HU 1PGSZ Over 360 Hours Use per month 0.02417 0.02417 0.0250 87 82 Ba R	76	71						0.07605
79 74 Energy - Summer - First 180 HU 1PGSZ First 180 Hours Use per month 0.08565 0.08565 0.08586 81 76 Energy - Summer - Next 180 HU 1PGSZ Next 180 Hours Use per month 0.02442 0.02442 0.02538 82 77 Energy - Summer - Over 360 HU 1PGSZ Over 360 Hours Use per month 0.07259 0.07259 0.07538 83 78 First 180 Hours Use per month 0.07259 0.07259 0.07259 0.07259 0.04802 85 80 Energy - Winter - Next 180 HU 1PGSZ Next 180 Hours Use per month 0.02417 0.04629 0.04629 0.04802 86 81 Energy - Winter - Next 180 HU 1PGSZ Next 180 Hours Use per month 0.02417 0.0	77	72						0.04847
80 75 Energy - Summer - First 180 HU 1PGSZ First 180 Hours Use per month 0.08565 0.08565 0.08585 0.0888 81 76 Energy - Summer - Next 180 HU 1PGSZ Next 180 Hours Use per month 0.05091 0.0528 0.02422 0.02442 0.02463 0.04609 0.04609 0.04609 0.04609 0.04609 0.04609 0.04609 0.02447 0.02247 0.02247 0.02247 0.02247 0.02247 0.02247 0.02247 0.02247 0.02247 0.02247 0.022417 0.02247	79 79	73	Energy - Winter - Over 360 HU	1600	over 360 Hours Use per month	0.02440	0.02440	0.02532
81 76 Energy - Summer - Next 180 HU 1PGSZ Next 180 Hours Use per month 0.05091 0.05091 0.0528 82 77 Energy - Summer - Over 360 HU 1PGSZ Over 360 Hours Use per month 0.02442 0.02442 0.02442 0.0258 83 78 Energy - Winter - First 180 HU 1PGSZ First 180 Hours Use per month 0.07529 0.0753 84 79 Energy - Winter - Next 180 HU 1PGSZ Next 180 Hours Use per month 0.04629 0.04629 0.04629 86 81 Energy - Winter - Over 360 HU 1PGSZ Next 180 Hours Use per month 0.02417 0.02417 0.0250 87 82 Reactive Demand Adj 1PGSE; 1PGSF; 1PGSV; 1PGSZ KVR 0.96600 1.01720 1.0172 89 90 1.94% 4.33	80	75	Energy - Summer - First 180 HU	1PGSZ	First 180 Hours Use per month	0.08565	0.08565	0.08889
83 78 First 180 Hours Use per month 0.0729 0.0753 84 79 Energy - Winter - First 180 HU 1PGSZ Next 180 Hours Use per month 0.04629 0.04629 0.04629 85 80 Energy - Winter - Next 180 HU 1PGSZ Over 360 Hours Use per month 0.02417 0.02417 0.0250 87 82 Reactive Demand Adj 1PGSE; 1PGSF; 1PGSV; 1PGSZ KVR 0.96600 1.0172 1.0172 89 90 LPS Secondary LPS Secondary 0.000% 1.94% 4.33	81	76	Energy - Summer - Next 180 HU	1PGSZ	Next 180 Hours Use per month	0.05091	0.05091	0.05283
84 79 Energy - Winter - First 180 HU 1PGSZ First 180 Hours Use per month 0.07259 0.07259 0.0753 85 80 Energy - Winter - Next 180 HU 1PGSZ Next 180 Hours Use per month 0.04629 0.04629 0.0480 86 81 Energy - Winter - Next 180 HU 1PGSZ Over 360 Hours Use per month 0.02417 0.02417 0.02417 0.02417 0.02417 0.02417 0.02417 0.02417 0.02417 0.02417 0.02417 0.02417 0.02417 0.02417 0.02417 0.02417 0.02417 0.02417 0.02417 0.02417 0.02417 </th <th>82</th> <th>77</th> <th>Energy - Summer - Over 360 HU</th> <th>1PGSZ</th> <th>Over 360 Hours Use per month</th> <th>0.02442</th> <th>0.02442</th> <th>0.02534</th>	82	77	Energy - Summer - Over 360 HU	1PGSZ	Over 360 Hours Use per month	0.02442	0.02442	0.02534
85 80 Energy - Winter - Next 180 HU 1PGSZ Next 180 Hours Use per month 0.04629 0.02417 0.0250 87 82 Reactive Demand Adj 1PGSZ; 1PGSV; 1PGSZ KVR 0.96600 1.01720 1.0172 89 90 LPS Secondary 0.000% 1.94% 4.33	83 84		Energy - Winter - First 180 HI	1PGS7	First 180 Hours Lise per month	0.07250	0.07250	0.07522
87 82 Reactive Demand Adj 1PGSE; 1PGSF; 1PGSV; 1PGSZ KVR 0.96600 1.01720 1.0172 89 90 LPS Secondary 0.000% 1.94% 4.33	85							0.07533
87 82 Reactive Demand Adj 1PGSE; 1PGSF; 1PGSV; 1PGSZ KVR 0.96600 1.01720 1.0172 89 90 LPS Secondary 0.000% 1.94% 4.33	86	81						0.02508
89 90 LPS Secondary 0.000% 1.94% 4.33	87 88	82 83	Reactive Demand Adi	1PGSE: 1PGSE: 1PGSV: 1PGS7	KVR	0.96600	1 01720	1 01720
90 LPS Secondary 0.000% 1.94% 4.33	89		Addite Demand Auj	2, 660, 1, 601, 1, 6037, 11 602		0.30000	1.01720	1.01720
91 LPS Primary 0.000% 1.74% 4.28	90							4.33%
	91			LPS Primary		0.000%	1.74%	4.28%

	А	В	D	E	F	G	Н
92			LPS Substation		0.000%	1.21%	4.12%
93			LPS Transmission		0.000%	1.18%	4.11%
93 94			LPS Overall Change (*)		0.000%	1.606%	4.237%
95			Winter Price Below Summer (SUM-WIN)/SUM		13.478%	13.597%	13.509%
95 96 97			Overall Change			1.606%	4.24%
97							
98				Revenue	\$ 121,915,793.79	\$ 123,873,311.34	\$ 127,081,697.30
99				Change in Revenue			\$ 5,165,903.51
100							
101				Proposed change per Revenue Summary			\$ 5,169,315.00
102							\$ (3,411.49)
103							
98 99 100 101 102 103 104 105				EDR Credit	\$ (319,690.03)		
105				Forecasted EE Adjustment	\$ (113,895.64)		
106					\$ 121,482,208.12		

	В	С	D	F	F	н	
1		-	Evergy - Missouri Metro	-			
2			Large General Service				
3			-		_		
4			Case No:	ER-2022-0129			
5			Status:	Direct		4.24%	
6		-				INPUT FC	R MODEL
7			JURISDICTIONAL INCREASE (%)			5.30%	3.85%
8 0	Component	Jsage	Rate Code	Charge Values	Current Rates	Rates w/ Rate Design	Proposed Rates
9 (Customer Charge 1	Secondary/Primary	1LGSE ;1LGSEW ;1LGSF ;1LGSFW ;1LGAE ;1LGAEW ;1LGAF ;1LGAFW ;1LGHE	0-24 KW	118.82	125.12	125.12
		Secondary/Primary Secondary/Primary	1LGSE ;1LGSEW ;1LGSF ;1LGSFW ;1LGAE ;1LGAEW ;1LGAF ;1LGAFW ;1LGHE 1LGSE ;1LGSEW ;1LGSF ;1LGSFW ;1LGAE ;1LGAEW ;1LGAF ;1LGAFW ;1LGHE		118.82 118.82	125.12 125.12	125.12 125.12
12 (Customer Charge 4 S	Secondary/Primary	1LGSE ;1LGSEW ;1LGSF ;1LGSFW ;1LGAE ;1LGAEW ;1LGAF ;1LGAFW ;1LGHE	1000 KW or above	1,014.44	1,068.21	1,068.21
13 14	Other Meter S	Secondary/Primary	1LGHE ;1LGHEW	Separately Metered Space Heat	2.72	2.86	2.86
		Secondary	1LGSE ;1LGSEW ;1LGAE ;1LGAEW ;1LGHE ;1LGHEW	All KW	3.399	3.579	3.579
16 F	acilities Charge - Block 1	Primary	1LGSF ;1LGSFW ;1LGAF ;1LGAFW	All KW	2.818	2.967	2.967
18		Secondary	1LGSE ;1LGSEW ;1LGAE ;1LGAEW ;1LGHE ;1LGHEW	All KW	6.788	7.148	7.148
		Secondary Primary	1LGSE ;1LGSEW ;1LGHE ;1LGHEW 1LGSF ;1LGSFW ;1LGAF ;1LGAFW	All KW All KW	3.652 6.634	3.846 6.986	3.846 6.986
21	Demand Charge - Winter - Blk 1	Primary	1LGSF ;1LGSFW	All KW	3.569	3.758	3.758
		Secondary Primary	1LGAE ;1LGAEW 1LGAF ;1LGAFW	All KW All KW	3.382 3.302	3.561 3.477	3.561 3.477
24							
25 E		Secondary Secondary	1LGSE ;1LGSEW ;1LGAE ;1LGAEW ;1LGHE ;1LGHEW 1LGSE ;1LGSEW ;1LGAE ;1LGAEW ;1LGHE ;1LGHEW	First 180 Hours Use Next 180 Hours Use	0.09569 0.06597	0.09569	0.09938 0.06851
		Secondary	1LGSE ;1LGSEW ;1LGAE ;1LGAEW ;1LGHE ;1LGHEW	Over 360 Hours Use	0.04248	0.04248	0.04412
28		Secondary	1LGSE ;1LGSEW ;1LGHE ;1LGHEW	First 180 Hours Use	0.08793	0.08793	0.09132
30 E	Energy Charge -Winter - Blk 2	Secondary	1LGSE ;1LGSEW ;1LGHE ;1LGHEW	Next 180 Hours Use	0.05070	0.05070	0.05265
31 E	Energy Charge -Winter - Blk 3	Secondary	1LGSE ;1LGSEW ;1LGHE ;1LGHEW	Over 360 Hours Use	0.03570	0.03570	0.03708
33 E		Primary	1LGSF ;1LGSFW ;1LGAF ;1LGAFW	First 180 Hours Use	0.09355	0.09355	0.09715
34		Primary Primary	1LGSF ;1LGSFW ;1LGAF ;1LGAFW 1LGSF ;1LGSFW ;1LGAF ;1LGAFW	Next 180 Hours Use Over 360 Hours Use	0.06439 0.04148	0.06439 0.04148	0.06687 0.04308
36	., .	,					
37 E		Primary Primary	1LGSF ;1LGSFW 1LGSF ;1LGSFW	First 180 Hours Use Next 180 Hours Use	0.08592 0.04949	0.08592 0.04949	0.08923 0.05140
39 E		Primary	1LGSF ,1LGSFW	Over 360 Hours Use	0.03500	0.04949	0.03140
40		Secondary	1LGAE :1LGAEW	First 180 Hours Use	0.08455	0.08455	0.08781
42 E	Energy Charge -Winter - Blk 2	Secondary	1LGAE ;1LGAEW	Next 180 Hours Use	0.04537	0.04537	0.08781
43 E	Energy Charge -Winter - Blk 3	Secondary	1LGAE ;1LGAEW	Over 360 Hours Use	0.03541	0.03541	0.03677
	Energy Charge - Winter - Blk 1	Primary	1LGAF ;1LGAFW	First 180 Hours Use	0.08277	0.08277	0.08596
		Primary Primary	1LGAF ;1LGAFW 1LGAF :1LGAFW	Next 180 Hours Use Over 360 Hours Use	0.04437 0.03473	0.04437 0.03473	0.04608 0.03607
48		Philliary					
49 E	Energy Charge - Winter Separate Heat	Secondary	1LGHE ;1LGHEW	All kWh	0.05915	0.05915	0.06143
51 F	Reactive Demand Adj 5	Secondary/Primary	1LGSE ;1LGSEW ;1LGSF ;1LGSFW ;1LGAE ;1LGAEW ;1LGAF ;1LGAFW ;1LGHE	KVR	0.85300	0.89821	0.89821
52		i i	LGS Secondary		100.000%	1.47%	4.26%
54			LGS Primary		100.000%	1.33%	4.21%
55			LGS Overall Change (*) LGA Secondary		100.000% 100.000%	1.43% 1.39%	4.25% 4.23%
57			LGA Primary		100.000%	1.25%	4.19%
58			LGA Winter Energy Overall Change LGA Overall Change (*)	Winter	100.000% 100.000%	1.65% 1.36%	4.31% 4.23%
60			Winter Price Below Summer (SUM-WIN)/SUM		9.157%	9.083%	9.137%
53 54 55 56 577 58 59 60 61 62 63 64 66 67 68 70 71 72 73 74 75 76 77 78 80 81 82			Overall Change			1.417%	4.24%
63				Revenue ⁽¹⁾	\$ 179,496,883	\$ 182,041,131	\$ 187,108,880
64				Change in Revenue			\$ 7,611,997
66				Proposed change per Revenue Summary	/		\$ 7,612,406
67							\$ (409.19)
69				Manual Bill	(\$112,625)		
70				Overall Revenue EDR Credit	\$ 179,384,258 (\$922,787)		
72				Parallel Generation Credit	(\$922,787) (\$3)		
73							
75					\$ 178,461,467		
76				Tie-out to Billed Revenue Total			
78				He-out to billed revenue Total	-		
79							
80							
82				(1)			
83				⁽¹⁾ Values do not include any Manual Bill non-blocke	d charges or revenue as	sociated.	

	А	В	С	D	F	F	н	
1	K		Ũ	Evergy - Missouri Metro	L	·		ů
2				Medium General Service				
3				Medium General Service				
-				0 N		1		
4				Case No.	ER-2022-0129			
5				Status:	Direct	J -	4.24%	
6 7				JURISDICITIONAL IN			INPUT FO. 5.30%	R MODEL 3.87%
-				JUNISDICTIONAL IN			Rates w/ Rate	5.07 /6
8	Ref #	Component	Usage	Rate Code	Charge Values	Current Rates	Design	Proposed Rates
9	1	Customer Charge 1	Secondary/Primary	1MGSE ;1MGSEW ;1MGSF ;1MGSFW ;1MGAE ;1MGAEW ;1M		53.96 53.96	56.82	56.82
10	2	Customer Charge 2 Customer Charge 3	Secondary/Primary Secondary/Primary	1MGSE ;1MGSEW ;1MGSF ;1MGSFW ;1MGAE ;1MGAEW ;1M 1MGSE ;1MGSEW ;1MGSF ;1MGSFW ;1MGAE ;1MGAEW ;1M		109.59	56.82 115.40	56.82 115.40
12	4	Customer Charge 4	Secondary/Primary	1MGSE ;1MGSEW ;1MGSF ;1MGSFW ;1MGAE ;1MGAEW ;1M		935.69	985.28	985.28
13	5	Other Meter	Secondary/Primary	1MGHE ;1MGHEW	Separately Metered Space Heat	2.52	2.65	2.65
14	6 7	Facilities Charge - Block 1	Secondary	1MGSE ;1MGSEW ;1MGAE ;1MGAEW ;1MGHE ;1MGHEW	All KW	3.135	3.301	3.301
16	8		Primary	1MGSE ;1MGSEW ;1MGAE ;1MGAEW ;1MGHE ;1MGHEW	AILKW	2.598	2.736	2.736
17	9	-						
18		Demand Charge - Summer - Blk 1	Secondary	1MGSE ;1MGSEW ;1MGHE ;1MGHEW ;1MGAE ;1MGAEW	All KW	4.102	4.319	4.319
19	11 12	Demand Charge - Winter - Blk 1 Demand Charge - Summer - Blk 1	Secondary Primary	1MGSE ;1MGSEW ;1MGHE ;1MGHEW 1MGSF ;1MGSFW ;1MGAF ;1MGAFW	All KW All KW	2.087 4.006	2.198 4.218	2.198 4.218
21	13	Demand Charge - Winter - Blk 1	Primary	1MGSF ;1MGSFW	All KW	2.037	2.145	2.145
22		Demand Charge - Winter - Blk 1	Secondary	1MGAE ;1MGAEW	All KW	2.955	3.112	3.112
23		Demand Charge - Winter - Blk 1	Primary	1MGAF ;1MGAFW	All KW	2.891	3.044	3.044
25	16 17		Secondary	1MGSE ;1MGSEW ;1MGHE ;1MGHEW ;1MGAE ;1MGAEW	First 180 Hours Use	0.10721	0.10721	0.11136
26	18	Energy Charge - Summer - Blk 2	Secondary	1MGSE ;1MGSEW ;1MGHE ;1MGHEW ;1MGAE ;1MGAEW	Next 180 Hours Use	0.07333	0.07333	0.07617
27	19	Energy Charge - Summer - Blk 3	Secondary	1MGSE ;1MGSEW ;1MGHE ;1MGHEW ;1MGAE ;1MGAEW	Over 360 Hours Use	0.06185	0.06185	0.06424
28	20	Energy Charge - Winter - Blk 1	Secondary	1MGSE ;1MGSEW ;1MGHE ;1MGHEW	First 180 Hours Use	0.09264	0.09264	0.09622
30		Energy Charge - Winter - Bik 1	Secondary	1MGSE ;1MGSEW ;1MGHE ;1MGHEW 1MGSE ;1MGSEW ;1MGHE ;1MGHEW	Next 180 Hours Use	0.05544	0.05544	0.05759
31	23	Energy Charge -Winter - Blk 3	Secondary	1MGSE ;1MGSEW ;1MGHE ;1MGHEW	Over 360 Hours Use	0.04650	0.04650	0.04830
32	24						0.10.105	
33	25 26		Primary Primary	1MGSF ;1MGSFW ;1MGAF ;1MGAFW 1MGSF ;1MGSFW ;1MGAF ;1MGAFW	First 180 Hours Use Next 180 Hours Use	0.10465 0.07168	0.10465 0.07168	0.10870 0.07445
35	27		Primary	1MGSF ;1MGSFW ;1MGAF ;1MGAFW	Over 360 Hours Use	0.06043	0.06043	0.06277
36	28							
37	29 30	Energy Charge - Winter - Blk 1 Energy Charge -Winter - Blk 2	Primary Primary	1MGSF ;1MGSFW 1MGSF ;1MGSFW	First 180 Hours Use Next 180 Hours Use	0.09046 0.05416	0.09046 0.05416	0.09396 0.05626
39	30		Primary	1MGSF ,1MGSFW 1MGSF ;1MGSFW	Over 360 Hours Use	0.04561	0.04561	0.03020
40	32		-					
41	33		Secondary	1MGAE ;1MGAEW	First 180 Hours Use	0.08128	0.08128	0.08443
42	34 35	Energy Charge -Winter - Blk 2 Energy Charge -Winter - Blk 3	Secondary Secondary	1MGAE ;1MGAEW 1MGAE :1MGAEW	Next 180 Hours Use Over 360 Hours Use	0.04650 0.04038	0.04650 0.04038	0.04830 0.04194
44	36		coolidary			0.01000	0.01000	0.01101
45		Energy Charge - Winter - Blk 1	Primary	1MGAF ;1MGAFW	First 180 Hours Use	0.07945	0.07945	0.08252
46		Energy Charge -Winter - Blk 2 Energy Charge -Winter - Blk 3	Primary Primary	1MGAF ;1MGAFW 1MGAF ;1MGAFW	Next 180 Hours Use Over 360 Hours Use	0.04535 0.03962	0.04535 0.03962	0.04710 0.04115
48	40		1 mindary			0.05502	0.03302	0.04113
49	41	Energy Charge - Winter Separate Heat	Secondary	1MGHE ;1MGHEW	All kWh	0.06058	0.06058	0.06292
50	42	Reactive Demand Adj	Secondar /Drime	1MGSE :1MGSEW :1MGSF :1MGSFW :1MGAE :1MGAEW :11	KV/P	0.78600	0.82766	0.82766
0111213141567788988222772	43	Reactive Demand Adj	Secondary/Primary	TWOSE, TWOSEW, TWOSE, TMOSEW ; TMGAE; TMGAEW ; TM		0.78600	0.82766	0.82766
53				MGS Secondary		100.000%	1.35%	4.23%
54				MGS Primary		100.000%	1.50%	4.28%
56				MGS Overall Change (*) MGA Secondary		100.000% 100.000%	1.35% 1.42%	4.23% 4.26%
57				MGA Primary		100.000%	1.40%	4.25%
58				MGA Overall Change (*)		100.000%	1.42%	4.25%
59				MGA Winter Energy Overall Change MGA Overall Change (*)	Winter	100.000% 100.000%	1.42% 0.00%	4.25% 0.00%
61				Winter Price Below Summer (SUM-WIN)/SUM		17.473%	17.437%	0.00% 17.46%
62				Overall Change			1.361%	4.237%
63					Deveeve ⁽¹⁾	¢ 400 044 000	¢ 405 507 070	¢ 100.000.700
64					Revenue ⁽¹⁾ Change in Revenue	\$ 123,841,828	\$ 125,527,078	\$ 129,088,729 \$ 5,246,901.64
66								\$ 3,240,001.04
67					Proposed change per Revenue Summary			5,249,290
68								\$ (2,388.36)
70					EDR Credit	(\$236,613)		
71					Net Metering Credit	(\$5,663)		
72					Parallel Generation Credit	(\$4,860)		
73								

	В	С	D	E	F	Н	J
1			Evergy - Missouri Metro				
2			Small General Service				
4			Case No.	ER-2022-0129			
5			Status:	Direct		4.24%	R MODEL
7			JURISDICTIONAL INCREASE (%	6)		INPUT FC 5.30%	4.07%
8	Component	Usage	Rate Code	Charge Values	Current Rates	Rates w/ Rate Design	Proposed Rates
9	Customer Charge 1	Secondary/Primary	1SGSE ;1SGSEW ;1SGSF ;1SGSFW ;1SGAE ;1SGAEW ;1SGAF ;1SGAFW ;1SGHE ;1SGHEW	0-24 KW	18.18	19.14	19.14
10	Customer Charge 2	Secondary/Primary	1SGSE ;1SGSEW ;1SGSF ;1SGSFW ;1SGAE ;1SGAEW ;1SGAF ;1SGAFW ;1SGHE ;1SGHEW	25-199 KW	50.40	53.07	53.07
	Customer Charge 3	Secondary/Primary	1SGSE ;1SGSEW ;1SGSF ;1SGSFW ;1SGAE ;1SGAEW ;1SGAF ;1SGAFW ;1SGHE ;1SGHEW	200-999 KW	102.38	107.81	107.81
12	Customer Charge 4	Secondary/Primary	1SGSE ;1SGSEW ;1SGSF ;1SGSFW ;1SGAE ;1SGAEW ;1SGAF ;1SGAFW ;1SGHE ;1SGHEW	1000 KW or above	874.15	920.48	920.48
13 14	Customer Charge 1 Other Meter	Secondary/Primary Secondary/Primary	1SUSE 1SGHE ;1SGHEW	Unmetered Service Separately Metered Space Heat	7.63 2.34	8.03 2.46	8.03 2.46
15 16	Facilities Charge - Block 1	Secondary	1SGSE ;1SGSEW ;1SGAE ;1SGAEW ;1SGHE ;1SGHEW ;1SUSE	First 25 KW	_	-	
17	Facilities Charge - Block 2	Secondary	1SGSE ;1SGSEW ;1SGAE ;1SGAEW ;1SGHE ;1SGHEW ;1SUSE	All KW over 25 KW	2.929	3.084	3.084
19 20 21	Facilities Charge - Block 1 Facilities Charge - Block 2	Primary Primary	1SGSF ;1SGSFW ;1SGAF ;1SGAFW 1SGSF ;1SGSFW ;1SGAF ;1SGAFW	First 26 KW All KW over 26 KW	- 2.860	3.012	- 3.012
22 23 24	Energy Charge - Summer - Blk 1 Energy Charge - Summer - Blk 2 Energy Charge - Summer - Blk 3	Secondary Secondary Secondary	1SGSE ;1SGSEW ;1SGAE ;1SGAEW ;1SGHE ;1SGHEW ;1SUSE 1SGSE ;1SGSEW ;1SGAE ;1SGAEW ;1SGHE ;1SGHEW ;1SUSE 1SGSE ;1SGSEW ;1SGAE ;1SGAEW ;1SGHE ;1SGHEW ;1SUSE	First 180 Hours Use Next 180 Hours Use Over 360 Hours Use	0.16225 0.07701 0.06859	0.16225 0.07701 0.06859	0.16886 0.08015 0.07138
25 26 27 28	Energy Charge - Winter - Blk 1 Energy Charge -Winter - Blk 2 Energy Charge - Winter - Blk 2	Secondary Secondary	1SGSE (1SGSEW (1SGHE (1SGHEW (1SUSE 1SGSE (1SGSEW (1SGHE (1SGHEW (1SUSE 1SGSE (1SGSEW (1SGHE (1SGHEW (1SUSE	First 180 Hours Use Next 180 Hours Use	0.12607	0.12607	0.13120
29	Energy Charge -Winter - Blk 3	Secondary	1SGSE ;1SGSEW ;1SGHE ;1SGHEW ;1SUSE 1SGSF ;1SGSFW ;1SGAF ;1SGAFW	Over 360 Hours Use First 180 Hours Use	0.05556	0.05556	0.05782
30 31 32 33	Energy Charge - Summer - Blk 1 Energy Charge - Summer - Blk 2 Energy Charge - Summer - Blk 3	Primary Primary Primary	1SGSF (ISGSFW (ISGAF (ISGAFW 1SGSF (ISGSFW (ISGAF (ISGAFW 1SGSF (ISGSFW)ISGAF (ISGAFW	Hist 180 Hours Use Next 180 Hours Use Over 360 Hours Use	0.15855 0.07523 0.06701	0.15855 0.07523 0.06701	0.16501 0.07829 0.06974
34 35 36	Energy Charge - Winter - Blk 1 Energy Charge -Winter - Blk 2 Energy Charge -Winter - Blk 3	Primary Primary Primary	1SGSF ;1SGSFW 1SGSF ;1SGSFW 1SGSF ;1SGSFW	First 180 Hours Use Next 180 Hours Use Over 360 Hours Use	0.12320 0.06014 0.05427	0.12320 0.06014 0.05427	0.12822 0.06259 0.05648
37 38 39 40 41	Energy Charge - Winter - Blk 1 Energy Charge -Winter - Blk 2 Energy Charge -Winter - Blk 3	Secondary Secondary Secondary	ISGAE ;ISGAEW ISGAE ;ISGAEW ISGAE ;ISGAEW	First 180 Hours Use Next 180 Hours Use Over 360 Hours Use	0.11548 0.06155 0.05556	0.11548 0.06155 0.05556	0.12018 0.06406 0.05782
42 43 44	Energy Charge - Winter - Blk 1 Energy Charge -Winter - Blk 2 Energy Charge -Winter - Blk 3	Primary Primary Primary	1SGAF (1SGAFW 1SGAF (1SGAFW 1SGAF (1SGAFW	First 180 Hours Use Next 180 Hours Use Over 360 Hours Use	0.11284 0.06014 0.05427	0.11284 0.06014 0.05427	0.11744 0.06259 0.05648
45 46	Energy Charge - Winter Separate Hea	Secondary	1SGHE ;1SGHEW	All kWh	0.06752	0.06752	0.07027
47 48 49 50	On-Peak Adjustment - Summer Off-Peak Adjustment - Summer	Secondary Secondary	1SGSE ;1SGSEW ;1SGAE ;1SGAEW ;1SGHE ;1SGHEW ;1SUSE 1SGSE ;1SGSEW ;1SGAE ;1SGAEW ;1SGHE ;1SGHEW ;1SUSE	On-Peak Off-Peak	0.14397 0.06179	0.14397 0.06179	0.14983 0.06431
51 52 53	On-Peak Adjustment - Winter Off-Peak Adjustment - Winter	Secondary Secondary	1SGSE ;1SGSEW ;1SGAE ;1SGAEW ;1SGHE ;1SGHEW ;1SUSE 1SGSE ;1SGSEW ;1SGAE ;1SGAEW ;1SGHE ;1SGHEW ;1SUSE	On-Peak Off-Peak	0.05574 0.04810	0.05574 0.04810	0.05801 0.05006
54 55 56	On-Peak Adjustment - Summer Off-Peak Adjustment - Summer	Primary Primary	1SGSF ;1SGSFW ;1SGAF ;1SGAFW 1SGSF ;1SGSFW ;1SGAF ;1SGAFW	On-Peak Off-Peak	0.13291 0.05837	0.13291 0.05837	0.13832 0.06075
57 58	On-Peak Adjustment - Winter Off-Peak Adjustment - Winter	Primary Primary	1SGSF ;1SGSFW ;1SGAF ;1SGAFW 1SGSF ;1SGSFW ;1SGAF ;1SGAFW	On-Peak Off-Peak	0.05408 0.04668	0.04668	- 0.04858
59 60							
59 60 61 62 63 64 65			SGS Secondary SGS Primary		100.000% 100.000%	0.71% 0.99%	4.23% 4.30%
63 64			SGS Overall Change (*) SGA Secondary		100.000% 100.000%	0.71% 0.63%	4.23% 4.22%
66			SGA Primary SGA Winter Energy Overall Change		100.000% 100.000%	0.00% 0.66%	0.00% 4.22%
67 68			SGA Overall Change (*) SGS Secondary Space Heat		100.000% 100.000%	0.63% 0.00%	4.22% 0.00%
69 70			Winter Price Below Summer (SUM-WIN)/SUM Overall Change		17.790%	17.619% 0.708%	17.754% 4.235%
71				Revenue ⁽¹⁾	\$ 68,706,027.50	\$ 69,192,163.69	
74				Change in Revenue			\$2,909,429 \$2,909,743
76				Proposed change per Revenue Summary			\$ 2,909,743 (\$314)
68 69 70 71 72 73 74 75 76 77 77 78 79 80 81 82 83 84 85 86 87 88 89 90 92				Manual Bill Overall Revenue EDR Credit Net Metering Credit Parallel Generation Credit	(\$15,639) (\$24,776)	\$274 \$ 69,192,438.01	\$274 \$ 71,615,730.85
84 85 86 87 88				Tie-out to Billed Revenue Total	\$ 68,664,014.16		
89 90 91 92				⁽¹⁾ Values do not include any Manual Bill non-blocked cf	harges or revenue associa	ated.	

E T	В	С	D	E	F	Н	-
1	В		Evergy - Missouri Me		Г	п	J
2			Residential Service				
3							
4			Case No:	ER-2022-0129]		
5			Status:	Direct		7.73%	
6			otatus.	Direct	I		OR MODEL
7			JURISDICTION	AL INCREASE (%)		-	3.96%
	Component	Lisago	Rate Code	Charge Values	Current Rates	Rates w/ Rate Design	Proposed Rates
	Customer Charge	Usage General Use (RESA)	1RS1A ;1RS1AS	One Meter	11.47	16.00	16.00
10	Customer Charge	General Use & Space Heat (RESB)	1RS6A ;1RS6AS	One Meter	11.47	16.00	16.00
11 12	Customer Charge	General Use & Separate Meter Heating (RESC	1RS2A ;1RS2AS	Two Meters	13.80	19.25	19.25
	Customer Charge	Other Use (ROU)	1RO1A	One Meter	11.47	16.00	16.00
	Customer Charge	Time of Day (RTOD)	1TE1A	One Meter	15.96	22.26	22.26
15 16	Customer Charge	Time of Use (RTOU)	1RTOU	One Meter	11.47	16.00	16.00
17	Energy Charge - Summer - Blk 1/Off Peak		1RS1A ;1RS1AS	First 600 kWh per month	0.13511	0.13511	0.14053
	Energy Charge - Summer - Blk 2/On Peak Energy Charge - Summer - Blk 3/Super Of		1RS1A ;1RS1AS 1RS1A ;1RS1AS	Next 400 kWh per month Over 1000 kWh per month	0.13511 0.14916	0.13511 0.14916	0.14053 0.15515
20					0.14010	0.14010	0.10010
	Energy Charge - Summer - Blk 1/Off Peak		1RS6A ;1RS6AS	First 600 kWh per month	0.13806	0.13806	0.14360
	Energy Charge - Summer - Blk 2/On Peak Energy Charge - Summer - Blk 3/Super Of		1RS6A ;1RS6AS 1RS6A ;1RS6AS	Next 400 kWh per month Over 1000 kWh per month	0.13806 0.13806	0.13806 0.13806	0.14360 0.14360
24							
		General Use & Separate Meter Heating (RESC General Use & Separate Meter Heating (RESC		First 600 kWh per month Next 400 kWh per month	0.13806 0.13806	0.13806 0.13806	0.14360 0.14360
		General Use & Separate Meter Heating (RESC General Use & Separate Meter Heating (RESC		Over 1000 kWh per month	0.13806	0.13806	0.14360
28		· · · ·					
	Energy Charge - Winter - Blk 1/Off Peak Energy Charge -Winter - Blk 2/On Peak	General Use (RESA) General Use (RESA)	1RS1A ;1RS1AS 1RS1A ;1RS1AS	First 600 kWh per month Next 400 kWh per month	0.12013 0.07396	0.12013 0.07396	0.12495 0.07693
31	Energy Charge -Winter - Blk 2/On Feak Energy Charge -Winter - Blk 3/Super Off F		1RS1A ;1RS1AS	Over 1000 kWh per month	0.06561	0.06561	0.06825
32	Energy Charge - Winter - Blk 1/Off Peak	General Lise & Space Host (PESP)	18564 -185645	Firet 600 kW/b por month	0.00700	0.09703	0.10093
	Energy Charge - Winter - Bik 1/Off Peak Energy Charge -Winter - Bik 2/On Peak	General Use & Space Heat (RESB) General Use & Space Heat (RESB)	1RS6A ;1RS6AS 1RS6A ;1RS6AS	First 600 kWh per month Next 400 kWh per month	0.09703 0.09703	0.09703	0.10093
35			1RS6A ;1RS6AS	Over 1000 kWh per month	0.06300	0.06300	0.06553
36	Energy Charge - Winter - Blk 1/Off Peak	General Use & Separate Meter Heating (RESC	1RS24 ·1RS24S	First 600 kWh per month	0.12013	0.12013	0.12495
	Energy Charge - Winter - Blk 1/On Peak	General Use & Separate Meter Heating (RESC General Use & Separate Meter Heating (RESC		Next 400 kWh per month	0.07396	0.07396	0.07693
39		General Use & Separate Meter Heating (RESC		Over 1000 kWh per month	0.06353	0.06353	0.06608
40 41	Energy Charge - Winter Separate Heat	General Use & Separate Meter Heating (RESC	1RS2A ;1RS2AS	All kWh	0.06353	0.06353	0.06608
	Energy Charge - Winter - Blk 1/Off Peak	Other Use (ROU)	1RO1A	All kWh	0.13949	0.13949	0.14509
43 44	Energy Charge - Summer - Blk 1/Off Peak	Other Use (ROU)	1RO1A	All kWh	0.17951	0.17951	0.18671
	Energy Charge - Summer - Blk 2/On Peak	Time of Day (RTOD)	1TE1A	On-Peak	0.21197	0.21197	0.22048
46	Energy Charge - Summer - Blk 1/Off Peak	Time of Day (RTOD)	1TE1A	Off-Peak	0.11809	0.11809	0.12283
47 48	Energy Charge - Winter - Blk 1/Off Peak	Time of Day (RTOD)	1TE1A	All kWh	0.08729	0.08729	0.09079
	Energy Charge - Summer - Blk 1/Off Peak	Time of Use (RTOU)	1RTOU	Off-Peak	0.10833	0.10833	0.12037
	Energy Charge - Summer - Blk 2/On Peak		1RTOU	On-Peak	0.32498	0.32498	0.36112
51 52	Energy Charge - Summer - Blk 3/Super Of	Time of Use (RTOU)	1RTOU	Super Off-Peak	0.05416	0.05416	0.06019
	Energy Charge - Winter - Blk 1/Off Peak	Time of Use (RTOU)	1RTOU	Off-Peak	0.10422	0.10422	0.09028
	Energy Charge -Winter - Blk 2/On Peak Energy Charge -Winter - Blk 3/Super Off F	Time of Use (RTOU)	1RTOU 1RTOU	On-Peak Super Off-Peak	0.26575 0.04495	0.26575 0.04495	0.18056
56	Energy Onlarge - Winter - Dik 3/Super Off P				0.04495	0.04490	0.00019
57				0	400.0000	0.00.00	0 70000
58 59			General Use (RESA) General Use (RESA)	Summer Winter	100.000% 100.000%	3.004% 5.465%	6.709% 8.923%
60			General Use & Space Heat (R	Summer	100.000%	3.235%	6.918%
61			General Use & Space Heat (R General Use & Separate Meter		100.000%	4.482%	8.040%
62			General Use & Separate Meter		100.000% 100.000%	0.000% 0.000%	0.000% 0.000%
64			Other Use (ROU)	Summer	100.000%	0.000%	0.000%
65			Other Use (ROU) Time of Day (RTOD)	Winter Summer	100.000% 100.000%	0.000% 0.000%	0.000% 0.000%
67			Time of Day (RTOD)	Winter	100.000%	0.000%	0.000%
68			Time of Use (RTOU)	Summer	100.000%	3.555%	13.673%
69 70			Time of Use (RTOU) Winter Price Below Summer (S	Winter SUM-WIN)/SUM	100.000% 25.726%	5.565% 24.211%	-7.064% 24.532%
71			Overall Change (*)	, . <u>.</u>		4.192%	7.726%
72				Revenue	\$ 340,959,745	\$355 251 109	\$ 367,303,277
74				Change in Revenue	Ψ 0 4 0,808,740	ψυυυ, 2 υ Ι, ΙΟΟ	\$ 26,343,532.69
75				-		I	
76				Proposed change per Revenue S	summary		\$ 26,347,726 \$ (4,193.14)
61 62 63 64 65 66 67 68 69 70 71 72 73 74 75 76 77 77 77 77 78 79 80 81 82 83 84 84 85							
79				Net Metering credit Parallel Generation Credit	(\$37,804) (\$84)		
81				i arallel Generalluli Gleuil	\$ 340,921,856	•	
82						-	
83							
85				Tie-out to Billed Revenue Total	-		
86							

	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н		J	К	L
1	Evergy - I	Missouri Metro									
2	Lighting										
3	Lighting									6.25%	% for MV
4		Casa No	ER-2022-0129		1			Juris Increase (%) =	3 52%		
					_			Juiis increase (76) =	3.32 /0	4.70%	% for all other non-LEI
5		Status:	Direct							0.00%	% for LED and traffic s
6											
	Rate			Tariff			Actual	*MRU Count		Current	Proposed Rate
	Schedule	Lighting Description	Rate Code	Sheet No.	Rate No.	Description	Revenues			Revenues	Monthly
9 10		Municipal Street Lighting Service	1MLLL	35	1.1	5000 Lumen LED (Class A) Type V pattern	\$ -	-	\$ 20.48 \$	-	\$ 20.48 \$ 40.96
11		Municipal Street Lighting Service Municipal Street Lighting Service	1MLLL 1MLLL	35 35	1.2 1.3	5000 Lumen LED (Class A) Type V pattern - Twin 5000 Lumen LED (Class B) Type II pattern	<u></u>	- 29.856.16	\$ 40.96 \$ 20.48	- 611,454.18	\$ 40.96 \$ 20.48
12		Municipal Street Lighting Service	1MLLL	35	1.3	5000 Lumen LED (Class B) Type II pattern - Twin	¢ 011,404.10	29,650.10	\$ 40.96 \$	011,404.10	\$ 40.96
13		Municipal Street Lighting Service	1MLLL	35	1.4	7500 Lumen LED (Class D) Type III pattern	\$ 457.827.84	19,871.00		457,827.84	\$ 23.04
14		Municipal Street Lighting Service	1MLLL	35	1.6	7500 Lumen LED (Class C) Type III pattern - Twin	\$ 12,165,12	264.00		12,165.12	\$ 46.08
15		Municipal Street Lighting Service	1MLLL	35	1.7	12500 Lumen LED (Class D) Type III pattern	\$ 317,890.62	12,938.16		317,890.62	\$ 24.57
16	ML	Municipal Street Lighting Service	1MLLL	35	1.8	12500 Lumen LED (Class D) Type III pattern - Twin	\$ 26,191.62	533.00	\$ 49.14 \$	26,191.62	\$ 49.14
17		Municipal Street Lighting Service	1MLLL	35	1.9	24500 Lumen LED (Class E) Type III pattern	\$ 77,459.91	2,909.84		77,459.91	\$ 26.62
18		Municipal Street Lighting Service	1MLLL	35	1.10	24500 Lumen LED (Class E) Type III pattern - Twin	\$ 21,083.04	396.00			\$ 53.24
19		Municipal Street Lighting Service	1MLLL	35	2.1	5000 Lumen LED (Class B) Type II pattern	\$ 190,429.98	16,897.07		190,429.98	\$ 11.27
20	ML	Municipal Street Lighting Service	1MLLL	35	2.2	7500 Lumen LED (Class C) Type III pattern	<u>\$</u> -	-	\$ 13.82 \$	-	\$ 13.82
21 22	ML	Municipal Street Lighting Service	1MLLL	35	2.3	12500 Lumen LED (Class D) Type III pattern	<u>\$ 1,290.24</u>	84.00	\$ 15.36 \$	1,290.24	\$ 15.36 \$ 17.41
22		Municipal Street Lighting Service	1MLLL 1MLLL	35 35	2.4 3.1	24500 Lumen LED (Class E) Type III pattern 4300 Lumen LED (Class K) Acorn Style	5 -	-	\$ 17.41 \$ \$ 64.21 \$	-	\$ 17.41 \$ 64.21
23		Municipal Street Lighting Service Municipal Street Lighting Service	1MLLL	35 35	3.1	10000 Lumen LED (Class K) Acorn Style	5 -		<u> </u>	_	\$ 65.66
25		Municipal Street Lighting Service		55	5.2	10000 Euliten EED (Class E) Acom Style	φ -		φ 05.00 φ	-	φ 05.00
26	ML	Municipal Street Lighting Service	1MLSL, 1MLML	35A	8.4	9500 Lumen High Pressure Sodium	\$ 22,568,96	1,024.00	\$ 22.04 \$	22,568.96	\$ 23.08
27	ML	Municipal Street Lighting Service	1MLSL, 1MLML	35A		9500 Lumen High Pressure Sodium - Twin	\$ -	-	\$ 44.08 \$		\$ 46.15
28		Municipal Street Lighting Service	1MLSL, 1MLML	35A	8.5	16000 Lumen High Pressure Sodium	\$ 270.05	11.00		270.05	\$ 25.70
29		Municipal Street Lighting Service	1MLSL, 1MLML	35A		16000 Lumen High Pressure Sodium - Twin	<mark>\$ -</mark>	-	\$ 49.10 \$	- 1	\$ 51.41
30	ML	Municipal Street Lighting Service	1MLSL, 1MLML	35A	8.6	27500 Lumen High Pressure Sodium	\$ 5,637.60	216.00	\$ 26.10 \$	5,637.60	\$ 27.33
31	ML	Municipal Street Lighting Service	1MLSL, 1MLML	35A		27500 Lumen High Pressure Sodium - Twin	<mark>\$</mark> -	-	\$ 52.20 \$	-	\$ 54.65
32									I. I.		
33		Municipal Street Lighting Service	1MLCL	35B	10.1	Code CX [single]	<u>\$ 15,413.09</u>	2,849.00			\$ 5.66
34 35		Municipal Street Lighting Service	1MLCL	35B	10.2	Code TCX [twin]	\$ 1,547.26	143.00	\$ 10.82 \$	1,547.26	\$ 11.33
36		Municipal Street Lighting Service	1MLCL	36B	6.1	8600 Lumen - Limited Maintenance	\$ 395.28	36.00	\$ 10.98 \$	395.28	\$ 11.50
37		Municipal Street Lighting Service	1MLCL	36B	6.4	9500 Lumen - Limited Maintenance	\$ 9.091.44	828.00			\$ 11.50
38		Municipal Orect Lighting Octvice	INICOL	300	0.4		ψ 3,031.44	020.00	φ 10.00 φ	5,051.44	φ 11.00
	ML-LED	Municipal Street Lighting Service	1MLLL (LED)	48A	11.1	Small LED (≤ 7000 lumens)	\$ 6.347.52	288.00	\$ 22.04 \$	6,347.52	\$ 22.04
40	ML-LED	Municipal Street Lighting Service	1MLLL (LED)	48A		Small LED (≤ 7000 lumens) - Twin	\$		\$ 44.08		\$ 44.08
	ML-LED	Municipal Street Lighting Service	1MLLL (LED)	48A	11.2	Large LED (> 7000 lumens)	\$ 589.20	24.00		589.20	\$ 24.55
	ML-LED	Municipal Street Lighting Service	1MLLL (LED)	48A		Large LED (> 7000 lumens) - Twin	\$ _		\$ 49.10 \$		\$ 49.10
43											
44	ML. ML-LED	Municipal Otec et Linkting O	Optional Equipment	254 404	0.4.40.4		6 50 440 00	00.005.00		50 440 00	\$ 1.54
	ML, ML-LED ML, ML-LED	Municipal Street Lighting Service	1MLSL, 1MLLL 1MLLL	35A, 48A 48A	9.1, 12.1 12.2	Ornamental steel pole Aluminum pole	<u>\$ 56,418.00</u>	36,635.06	\$ 1.54 \$ 3.85	56,418.00	\$ 1.54 \$ 3.85
	ML, ML-LED ML, ML-LED	Municipal Street Lighting Service Municipal Street Lighting Service	1MLLL 1MLSL, 1MLLL	48A 35B, 48A	12.2 9.2, 12.3	Aluminum pole Underground service extension under sod	\$ <u>237.235.88</u>	- 36,554.06		237,235.88	\$ 5.65 \$ 6.49
48	ML, ML-LED	Municipal Street Lighting Service	1MLSL, 1MLLL	35B, 48A 35B, 48A	9.2, 12.3	Underground service extension under sou	\$ 40.631.16	1.641.00			\$ 24.76
	ML, ML-LED	Municipal Street Lighting Service	1MLSL, 1MLLL	35B, 48A	9.4, 12.5	Breakaway base	\$ 16.613.22	4.693.00		16,613.22	\$ 3.54
50								.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,			
51	AL	Private Unmetered Lighting Service	1ALDA, 1ALDE	33		5800 Lumen High Pressure Sodium Unit	\$ 154,879.30	6,565.46		154,879.30	\$ 24.70
52	AL	Private Unmetered Lighting Service		33		8600 Lumen Mercury Vapor Unit	\$ 125,108.87	5,042.68			\$ 26.36
53	AL	Private Unmetered Lighting Service		33		16000 Lumen High Pressure Sodium Unit	\$ 242,675.30	8,984.65		2.2,010.00	\$ 28.28
54	AL	Private Unmetered Lighting Service		33		22500 Lumen Mercury Vapor Unit	\$ 104,958.73	3,456.00		104,958.73	\$ 32.27
55	AL	Private Unmetered Lighting Service	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	33		22500 Lumen Mercury Vapor Unit	<u>\$ 111,299.97</u>	3,664.80		,	\$ 32.27
56 57	AL	Private Unmetered Lighting Service		33 33		27500 Lumen High Pressure Sodium Unit	\$ 37,497.79 \$1.506.328.89	1,305.63 48.064.10		37,497.79 1.506.328.89	\$ 30.07 \$ 32.81
57		Private Unmetered Lighting Service Private Unmetered Lighting Service		33		50000 Lumen High Pressure Sodium Unit 63000 Lumen Mercury Vapor Unit	\$1,506,328.89 \$ 117,760.06	48,064.10			\$ <u>32.81</u> \$ 41.94
59		Finale Unimelered Lighting Service	IALUA, IALUE	55			ψ Π7,700.00	۷,903.53	ψ <u>39.4</u> 7 \$	117,760.06	ψ 41.94
60			Optional Charges								
61		Private Unmetered Lighting Service		33		Each 30-foot ornamental steel pole installed	\$ 4,176.00	576.00	\$ 7.25 \$	4,176.00	\$ 7.59
62		Private Unmetered Lighting Service		33		Each 35-foot ornamental steel pole installed	\$ 4,730.44	572.00		4,730.44	\$ 8.66

	В	C	1	D	1	E	T	F	G	Н	1	.1	К	1
63 A		Private Unmetered Lighting Service	1ALDA 1	2	33	-		•	Each 30-foot wood pole installed	\$ 56.816.28	10,237.17	§ 5.55 \$	56,816.28	\$ 6.83
		Private Unmetered Lighting Service			33				Each 35-foot wood pole installed	\$ 58,485.09	9,651.00	6.06 \$		\$ 7.03
65 A		Private Unmetered Lighting Service			33				Each overhead span of circuit installed	\$ 113.614.29	27,983.82	\$ 4.06 \$		\$ 4.06
66 A		Private Unmetered Lighting Service			33				Underground lighting unit	\$ 3,103,98	998.06	§ 3.11 \$		\$ 3.69
67		· ······· • ··························												
68 P	Ľ	Private Unmetered LED	1ALLA, 1.	ALLE	44				4500 Lumen LED (Type A - PAL)	\$ 7,566.95	671.42	§ 11.27 \$	7,566.95	\$ 11.27
69 P	Ľ	Private Unmetered LED	1ALLA, 1	ALLE	44				8000 Lumen LED (Type C - PAL)	\$ 3,835.54	261.63	§ 14.66 \$	3,835.54	\$ 14.66
70 P	Ľ	Private Unmetered LED	1ALLA, 1.	ALLE	44				14000 Lumen LED (Type D - PAL)	\$ 6,377.53	330.10	\$ 19.32 \$	6,377.53	\$ 19.32
71 P	Ľ	Private Unmetered LED	1ALLA, 1.	ALLE	44				10000 Lumen LED (Type C - FL)	\$ 32,092.84	2,189.14	\$ 14.66 \$	32,092.84	\$ 14.66
72 P	Ľ	Private Unmetered LED	1ALLA, 1.	ALLE	44				23000 Lumen LED (Type E - FL)	\$ 129,782.71	4,873.55	\$ 26.63 \$	129,782.71	\$ 26.63
73 P		Private Unmetered LED	1ALLA, 1.	ALLE	44				45000 Lumen LED (Type F - FL)	\$ 4,012.00	77.47	\$ 51.79 \$	4,012.00	\$ 51.79
74 P														
75 P			Optional	Charges										
76 P		Private Unmetered LED	1ALLA, 1.	ALLE	44				Each 30-foot metal pole installed	\$ 562.10	110.00	\$ 5.11 \$	562.10	\$ 5.11
77 P		Private Unmetered LED	1ALLA, 1.	ALLE	44				Each 35-foot metal pole installed	\$ 268.85	48.27	\$ 5.57 \$	268.85	\$ 5.57
78 P		Private Unmetered LED	1ALLA, 1.	ALLE	44				Each 30-foot wood pole installed	\$ 7,755.03	1,135.44	§ 6.83 \$	7,755.03	\$ 6.83
79 P		Private Unmetered LED	1ALLA, 1.	ALLE	44				Each 35-foot wood pole installed	\$ 8,072.31	1,148.27	\$ 7.03 \$		\$ 7.03
80 P		Private Unmetered LED	1ALLA, 1.	ALLE	44				Each overhead span of circuit installed	\$ 12,117.66	2,984.65	\$ 4.06 \$		\$ 4.06
81 P		Private Unmetered LED	1ALLA, 1.		44				Breakaway base	<mark>\$</mark> -	- 3	\$ 3.41 \$		\$ 3.41
82 P	Ľ	Private Unmetered LED	1ALLA, 1.	ALLE	44				Underground lighting unit	\$ 494.71	134.07	\$ 3.69 \$	494.71	\$ 3.69
83														·
84 O		Off-Peak Lighting Service	10LSL		45		1.1		Total Watts X MBH X BLF ÷ 1000	\$ 12,835.06	156,850.30	<u>0.08183</u>		\$ 0.08568
85 O		Off-Peak Lighting Service	10LSL		45		1.2		First 100 Watts X MBH X BLF ÷ 1000	\$1,685,541.88	20,598,092.14	<u>6 0.08183</u> \$		\$ 0.08568
86 O		Off-Peak Lighting Service	10LSL		45				Excess over 100 Watts X MBH X BLF ÷ 1000	<u>\$</u> -	- (<u>0.07656</u> \$		\$ 0.08016
87 O	DLS	Off-Peak Lighting Service	10LSL		45		1.3		First 100 Watts X MBH X BLF ÷ 1000	\$ 422,254.41	5,160,141.88	<u>0.08183</u>	,	\$ 0.08568
88 O		Off-Peak Lighting Service	10LSL		45				Next 50 Watts X MBH X BLF ÷ 1000	\$ 197,530.24	2,580,071.06	<u>0.07656</u>	,	\$ 0.08016
89 O		Off-Peak Lighting Service	10LSL		45				Excess over 150 Watts X MBH X BLF ÷ 1000	<mark>\$ -</mark>	- 3	<u>6 0.07391</u> \$		\$ 0.07738
	DLS	Off-Peak Lighting Service	10LSL		45		1.4		First 100 Watts X MBH X BLF ÷ 1000	\$ 346,376.08	4,232,874.01	<u>0.08183</u>		\$ 0.08568
	DLS	Off-Peak Lighting Service	10LSL		45				Next 150 Watts X MBH X BLF ÷ 1000	<u>\$ 469,277.54</u>	6,349,310.51	<u>0.07391</u>		\$ 0.07738
92 O	DLS	Off-Peak Lighting Service	10LSL		45				Excess over 250 Watts X MBH X BLF ÷ 1000	<u>\$</u> -		<u>6 0.06731</u> \$		\$ 0.07047
93 O		Off-Peak Lighting Service	10LSL		45		1.5		First 100 Watts X MBH X BLF ÷ 1000	\$ 458,186.15	5,599,244.16	<u>6 0.08183</u> \$		\$ 0.08568
94 O 95 O	DLS	Off-Peak Lighting Service	10LSL		45				Next 300 Watts X MBH X BLF ÷ 1001	\$1,130,655.39	16,797,732.73	<u>6 0.06731</u> \$		\$ 0.07047 \$ 0.07047
95 O		Off-Peak Lighting Service	10LSL		45		~ 1		Excess over 400 Watts X MBH X BLF ÷ 1000	\$ 14,759.50 \$ 188,845,03	219,276.48	<u>\$ 0.06731</u> \$		\$ 0.07047 \$ 0.08568
96 0	JLS	Off-Peak Lighting Service	10LSL		45A		2.1		Total Watts X MBH X BLF ÷ 1000	<u>\$ 188,845.03</u>	2,307,772.58	\$ 0.08183 \$	188,845.03	\$ 0.08568
	R	Municipal Traffic Contol Signal	1TSLM		37		1		Individual Control	\$ 16,787.40	84.00	\$ 199.85 \$	16,787.40	\$ 199.85
98 T		Municipal Traffic Contol Signal	1TSLM		37		1 2A		1-Way, 1-Light Signal Unit	\$ 16,787.40 \$ 564.84	12.00	47.07 \$		\$ 199.83
	R	Municipal Traffic Contol Signal	1TSLM		37		2A 2B		4-Way, 1-Light Signal Unit - Suspension	\$ 975.10	17.50	55.72 \$		\$ 55.72
	R	Municipal Traffic Contol Signal	1TSLM		37		3		Pedestrian Push Button Control	\$ 2.007.24	12.00	5 <u>5.72</u> 5 167.27 \$		\$ 167.27
	R	Municipal Traffic Contol Signal	1TSLM		37A		4		Multi-Phase Electronic Control	\$ 5,791.56	12.00	482.63 \$	5,791.56	\$ 482.63
	R		I I OLIVI		3/1		4		Multi-Filase Electionic Control	φ 0,791.00	12.00	φ 402.03 φ	5 5,791.50	ψ 402.00
	R		Ontional	Equipment										
	R	Municipal Traffic Contol Signal	1TSLM	Equipment	37A		5		3-Light Signal Unit	\$ 10.238.40	360.00	\$ 28.44 \$	10,238.40	\$ 28.44
	R	Municipal Traffic Contol Signal	1TSLM		37A		6		2-Light Signal Unit	\$ 3,939,84	144.00	5 27.36 \$		\$ 27.36
	R	Municipal Traffic Contol Signal	1TSLM		37A		7		1-Light Signal Unit	\$ <u>-</u>		8.57 \$		\$ 8.57
	R	Municipal Traffic Contol Signal	1TSLM		37A		8		Pedestrian Control Equipment	<u>\$</u>	- 3	5 3.81 \$		\$ 3.81
109 T		Municipal Traffic Contol Signal	1TSLM		37B		9		12-Inch Round Lens	\$ 10,396.12	1,498.00	6.94 \$		\$ 6.94
110 T		Municipal Traffic Contol Signal	1TSLM		37B		10		9-Inch Square Lens	\$ 2,263,68	288.00	5 7.86 \$		\$ 7.86
	R	Municipal Traffic Contol Signal	1TSLM		37B		11a		Vehicle - Actuation Unit - Loop Detector - Single	\$ 853.68	200.00	\$ 35.57 \$		\$ 35.57
	R	Municipal Traffic Contol Signal	1TSLM		37B		11b		Vehicle - Actuation Unit - Loop Detector - Double	\$ -	-	56.44		\$ 56.44
113 T		Municipal Traffic Contol Signal	1TSLM		37B		12		Flasher Equipment	\$ -	- 3	\$ 10.09 \$		\$ 10.09
114 T	R	Municipal Traffic Contol Signal	1TSLM		37B		13a		Mast Arm - Style 2	\$ 9,075.84	192.00	\$ 47.27 \$	9,075.84	\$ 47.27
	R	Municipal Traffic Contol Signal	1TSLM		37B		13b		Mast Arm - Style 3	\$ -	- 3	\$ 46.85 \$		\$ 46.85
	R	Municipal Traffic Contol Signal	1TSLM		37C		14		Back Plate	\$ 622.08	288.00	\$ 2.16 \$	622.08	\$ 2.16
	R	Municipal Traffic Contol Signal	1TSLM		37C		15		Wood Pole Suspension	\$ 240.90	11.00	\$ 21.90 \$	240.90	\$ 21.90
118 T	R	Municipal Traffic Contol Signal	1TSLM		37C		16		Traffic Signal Pole	\$ 865.44	72.00	\$ 12.02 \$		\$ 12.02
119														
120												-	-	
121									Revenu	ue \$9,973,807.83		\$	9,973,807.83	
122		*MRU/CCB Item Type Duplicates ac	cross differ	ent rate codes					Change in Revenue	ue				
123														
124														
_														

	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I
1								
2								
3								
4			Case No.	ER-2022-0129				
5			Status	Direct				
6					-			
7								
8						INPLIT FC	R MODEL	1
9			J	URIS INCREASE (%)		7.53%	0.00%	
						Rates with		
	Charge	Rate Code	Season	Tariff Language	Current Rates	Increase	Proposed Rates	% Change
11 12	Energy Charge - Blk 1/ On-Peak	CCN	Summer	Energy Level 2 Charge	0.20000	0.21506	0.21506	7.53%
13		CCN	Summer	Energy Level 3 Charge	0.25000	0.26883		
14						/		/
		CCN CCN	Winter Winter	Energy Level 2 Charge Energy Level 3 Charge	0.20000 0.25000	0.21506 0.26883		
17	Lifergy Charge - Dik 2/ Oil-r eak	CON	Winter	Lifergy Lever 5 Charge	0.23000	0.20003	0.20005	1.0070
18		•		·				•
19			CCN	Summer	100.000%	7.53%	7.530%	- I
20			CCN	Winter	100.000%	7.53%		
22			Winter Price Be	elow Summer (SUM-WIN)/SUM	20.80%	22.37%	22.37%	
23			CCN Overall C	hange		7.530%	7.530%	
24				Revenue	\$ 76,456.73	\$ 82,214.20	\$ 82,214.20	
26				Change in Revenue	φ το, ιου.το	¢ 0 <u>1,</u> 120	\$5,757	
27							-	,
28				Proposed change per Revenue Sun	nmary		\$ 5,763.00 (\$6)	
20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39							(40)	
31					\$ 76,456.73			
32								
33					Tie-out to Billed Revenue	Total		
35					1,893	, 10101		
36					[%] Because Riders and Su	ircharges are inclu	ided in pricing abov	ve,
37					straight Revenue calcula	tions from these p	rices include those	
38					extra charges, and thus o	to not match Billed	Revenue total	
39								

The SSR rates are calculated from the class rates. Therefore, the SSR must be included in filed cases that involve a change in applicable class rates. Explanation of calculation metholodgy - reference case ER-2018-0145/0146, B. J. Meyer surrebuttal testimony

KCPL SSR Summary										
SGS Secondary Voltage	SGS Primary Voltage	MGS Secondary Voltage	MGS Primary Voltage	LGS Secondary Voltage	LGS Primary Voltage	LPS Secondary Voltage	LPS Primary Voltage	LPS Substation Voltage	LPS Transmission Voltage	
Standby Fixed Charges										
\$110.00	\$110.00	\$110.00	\$110.00	\$130.00	\$130.00	\$430.00	\$430.00	\$430.00	\$430.00	Administrative Charge
										Facilities Charge per month per kW of Contracted Standby Capacity
\$0.000	\$0.000	\$0.540	\$0.527	\$0.894	\$0.873	\$0.961	\$0.940	\$0.929	\$0.920	Summer
\$0.000	\$0.000	\$0.275	\$0.268	\$0.481	\$0.470	\$0.708	\$0.692	\$0.684	\$0.678	Winter
										Generation and Transmission Access Charge per month per kW of
\$0.000	\$0.000	\$0.540	\$0.527	\$0.894	\$0.873	\$0.961	\$0.940	\$0.929	\$0.920	Contracted Standby Capacity
Daily Standby Demand Rate										
\$0.206	\$0.201	\$0.436	\$0.393	\$0.596	\$0.547	\$0.655	\$0.600	\$0.439	\$0.368	Back-Up
\$0.103	\$0.100	\$0.218	\$0.197	\$0.298	\$0.274	\$0.327	\$0.300	\$0.220	\$0.184	Maintenance
Daily Standby Demand Rate										
\$0.206	\$0.201	\$0.312	\$0.272	\$0.403	\$0.359	\$0.537	\$0.484	\$0.325	\$0.255	Back-Up
\$0.103	\$0.100	\$0.156	\$0.136	\$0.202	\$0.179	\$0.268	\$0.242	\$0.162	\$0.127	Maintenance
Back-Up Energy Charges - Su										
\$0.16886	\$0.16501	\$0.11136	\$0.10870	\$0.09938	\$0.09715	\$0.09287	\$0.09074	\$0.08968	\$0.08889	kWh in excess of Supplemental Contract Capacity
	<u> </u>									
Back-Up Energy Charges - W				44 44 44	44 4444	44 4-4-4	44.4-444	44 44 44	44 4	
\$0.13120	\$0.12822	\$0.09622	\$0.09396	\$0.09132	\$0.08923	\$0.07873	\$0.07692	\$0.07605	\$0.07533	kWh in excess of Supplemental Contract Capacity

			MO Metro - M	issouri Jurisdiction (lass REVENUE SUMMAR	Y - For Direct filing - ER-2	022-0129					
	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	F=B-(C+D)	G=(F-E)	H=F*(%)					
						Full Increase:	5.65%		Adj Inc Excl Net Fuel:	5.21%		
MISSOURI RATE GROUP	Revenue from Existing Rates (Including FAC, DSIM, EDR)(1)	FAC Rider/Adjustments	DSIM Rider/Adjustments	EDR Credits**	Revenue from Existing Rates less FAC & DSIM adjustments (1)*	Revenue from Existing Rates grossed up to reflect EDR credits (1)	Requested Increase- from Rev Model excluding EDR gross- up (Equal increase)	Requested Increase- Including EDR Gross Up	Full Requested Increase- Revenue Shifts with EDR gross up	Adj Request-excluding Net Fuel	Proposed Revenue (1) Reg increase only- excluding Net Fuel	Proposed Revenue - Full Increase
LARGE POWER TOTAL	\$122,490,103	\$ 431,371	\$ 462,629 \$	(319,690)	\$ 121,596,104	\$ 121,915,794	\$ 6,868,343	\$ 6,886,401	\$5,169,315	\$4,371,382	\$126,287,176	\$127,085,109
LARGE GEN SVC TOTAL	\$184,475,179	\$527,361	\$5,486,350 \$	(922,787)	\$ 178,461,467	\$ 179,384,255	\$ 10,080,377	\$ 10,132,501	\$7,612,406	\$6,700,169	\$186,084,424	\$186,996,661
MEDIUM GEN SVC TOTAL	\$128,571,457	\$315,281	\$4,661,484 \$	(236,613)	\$ 123,594,692	\$ 123,831,305	\$ 6,981,233	\$ 6,994,598	\$5,249,290	\$4,719,600	\$128,550,904	\$129,080,595
SMALL GEN SVC TOTAL	\$71,336,061	\$142,891	\$2,529,156 \$	(15,639)	\$ 68,664,014	\$ 68,679,653	\$ 3,878,480	\$ 3,879,363	\$2,909,743	\$2,660,701	\$71,340,354	\$71,589,396
RESIDENTIAL TOTAL	\$357,810,782	\$714,551	\$16,174,375	\$0	\$ 340,921,856	\$ 340,921,856	\$ 19,256,935	\$ 19,256,935	\$26,347,726	\$25,111,670	\$366,033,526	\$367,269,582
MO Metered TOTALS	\$864,683,583	\$2,131,456	\$29,313,994 \$	(1,494,729)	\$ 833,238,133	\$ 834,732,862	\$ 47,065,368	\$ 47,149,798	\$ 47,288,480	\$ 43,563,522	\$ 878,296,384	\$ 882,021,343
MO Lighting TOTAL:	\$9,951,318	\$20,683	\$0 \$	-	\$ 9,930,635	\$ 9,930,635	\$ 560,931	\$ 560,931	\$420,698	\$384,793	\$10,315,427	\$10,351,333
MO TOTAL*	\$874,634,900	\$2,152,139	\$29,313,994 \$	(1,494,729)	\$ 843,168,768	\$ 844,663,497	\$ 47,626,299	\$ 47,710,729	\$ 47,709,179	\$ 43,948,315	\$ 888,611,812	\$ 892,372,676
CCN	\$74,563.92	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$74,563.92	\$74,563.92	\$ 4,212	\$ 4,212	\$5,763	\$ 5,763		