FILED December 11, 2008 **Data Center** Missouri Public **Service Commission**

Exhibit No.: 025

Issue(s): Incentive Compensation

Witness: Krista G. Bauer

Sponsoring Party: Union Electric Company
Type of Exhibit: Rebuttal Testimony

Case No.: ER-2008-0318

Date Testimony Prepared: October 14, 2008

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Case No. ER-2008-0318

REBUTTAL TESTIMONY

OF

KRISTA G. BAUER

ON

BEHALF OF

UNION ELECTRIC COMPANY d/b/a AmerenUE

> St. Louis, Missouri October, 2008

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INT	RODUCTION	1
II.	INC	CENTIVE COMPENSATION	2
	A.	SHORT-TERM INCENTIVE PLANS	9
	В.	EXCEPTIONAL PERFORMANCE BONUS PROGRAM ("EPB")	17
	C	LONG-TERM INCENTIVE PLANS	19

1	REBUTTAL TESTIMONY
2	OF
3	KRISTA G. BAUER
4	CASE NO. ER-2008-0318
5	I. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>
6	Q. Please state your name and business address.
7	A. My name is Krista G. Bauer. My business address is One Ameren Plaza,
8	1901 Chouteau Avenue, St. Louis, Missouri 63103.
9	Q. By whom and in what capacity are you employed?
10	A. I am employed by Ameren Services Company ("Ameren Services") as
11	Manager, Compensation & Performance.
12	Q. Please describe your employment history with Ameren Services
13	Company.
14	A. I joined Ameren Services on September 11, 1997 as a Personnel Analyst. On
15	January 1, 1999 I was promoted to Human Resources Specialist. One year later, I was
16	promoted to Organization Development Specialist. On April 1, 2004 I was promoted to
17	Supervisor, Compensation. A year later I became responsible for the entire compensation
18	function for all companies to which Ameren Services provides support, and on April 1, 2007
19	I was promoted to Manager, Compensation & Performance. On January 1, 2008, my
20	responsibilities were expanded to include workforce planning and policy oversight.
21	Q. Please describe your duties and responsibilities as Manager,
22	Compensation & Performance.

1	A. As Manager, Compensation & Performance I am responsible for al
2	compensation (including base pay, incentive programs, executive compensation and
3	recognition programs), performance management and workforce planning for all companies
4	to which Ameren Services provides support, including Union Electric Company d/b/a
5	AmerenUE ("AmerenUE" or "Company").
6	Q. Please describe your educational background and employment
7	experience.
8	A. I hold a Master's Degree in Industrial/Organizational Psychology from
9	Southern Illinois University at Edwardsville. In addition, I will complete my MBA from
10	Webster University in October of this year. In addition to my academic training, I have over
11	eleven years of human resources experience at progressive levels of responsibility and have
12	attended many continuing education programs related to human resources strategy and
13	compensation. I also served as adjunct faculty at St. Louis University between 2000 and
14	2005, where I taught courses in Industrial Psychology. Prior to joining Ameren Services
15	Company in 1997, I was employed by AAIM Management Association as Manager, Public
16	Education.
17	Q. What is the purpose of your rebuttal testimony?
18	A. The purpose of my rebuttal testimony is to respond to the recommendation
19	made by Missouri Public Service Commission Staff ("Staff") witness Jeremy Hagemeye
20	regarding incentive compensation contained in the Staff Report on AmerenUE's Cost o
21	Service.

Q. What is Mr. Hagemeyer's position regarding incentive compensation?

INCENTIVE COMPENSATION

II.

22

1	A. Mr. Hagemeyer recommends that the Commission disallow all costs
2	associated with AmerenUE's short-term incentive plans, long-term incentive plans, and spot
3	bonus award program.
4	Q. What is your response?
5	A. I disagree with Mr. Hagemeyer's conclusion that all of AmerenUE's incentive
6	compensation costs should be disallowed from rates for four specific reasons:
7	1. Both short and long-term incentive compensation plans are part and parcel of a
8	competitive total rewards package in our industry - as well as many other
9	industries;
10	2. The utility industry (as well as many other industries) is facing a shortage of
11	skilled workers due to an aging workforce, less interest in skilled craft and
12	engineering-related roles among those entering the workforce, as well as curren
13	economic conditions. All of these factors further necessitate a competitive tota
14	rewards package;
15	3. AmerenUE's current short-term incentive plans were redesigned (effective
16	January 1, 2008) to address Commission feedback regarding incentive
17	compensation design and metrics; and
18	4. All of AmerenUE's incentive plans are prudent and reasonable and designed to
19	enhance employee performance.
20	Each of these statements will be discussed in more detail below.
21	Q. Before proceeding with additional detail, please define the terms you wil
22	he using throughout your rehuttal testimony.

following table:

A. Several terms that I will use frequently throughout the remainder of my 2 rebuttal testimony include: 3 1. Officer – A senior level leader in the organization. Generally holds the title of Vice President, Senior Vice President, President or President & CEO. 4 5 2. Ameren Leadership Team ("ALT") – A leader who is responsible for the strategy 6 and direction of one or more major departmental or functional areas within the 7 organization. Includes all Officers as well as leaders with the title of Manager or 8 Director. 9 3. Management employees - Non-union, non-ALT employees. Generally includes supervisors, professionals and administrative employees who are not represented 10 11 by a bargaining unit. 4. Union employees – Employees who are represented by a bargaining unit. 12 13 5. Key Performance Indicators ("KPIs") - Specific measures used to communicate goals and drive performance. Some KPIs are tied to the short-term incentive 14 15 plans and some are not. For the purposes of my rebuttal testimony, KPIs will 16 refer to metrics used to incent employee performance. Q. Please summarize the incentive compensation plans. 17 18 AmerenUE's short-term incentive compensation plans are described in the Α.

Plan	Eligible Employees	Plan Funding	Other Relevant Metrics
Executive Incentive Plan for Officers (EIP-O)	Officers	<i>U</i> 1	KPIs and individual performance
Executive Incentive Plan for Managers & Directors (EIP-M)	Members of the Ameren Leadership Team below the Officer level	- 1 - 0 - 1	Individual performance
Ameren Management Incentive Plan (AMIP)	Management employees	100% KPIs	Individual performance
Ameren Marketing, Trading & Commodities Plan (AMTC)	A small group of management employees who perform specific roles within the trading or fuels organization.	100% KPIs (base) plus a role-specific metric	Individual performance
Ameren Incentive Plan (AIP)	Union employees	100% KPIs	None

3 AmerenUE's long-term incentive plans are described in the next table:

Plan	Eligible Employees	Relevant Metrics
Performance-Based Restricted Stock (Issued 2001-2005)	All members of the Ameren Leadership Team (Officers, Directors & Managers)	Awards have the potential to vest over a 7-year period from the date of grant based on earnings per share performance.
Performance-Share Units (Issued 2006- Present)	All members of the Ameren Leadership Team (Officers, Directors & Managers)	Share units vest based on a 3-year measure of total shareholder return

- 5 In addition, AmerenUE has an additional performance-based incentive program that allows
- 6 non-ALT management employees to be rewarded, in a timely manner, for performance that
- 7 exceeds expectations. This program is called the Exceptional Performance Bonus Program
- 8 or EPB Program.

- Q. Are there incentive compensation programs for which the Company is not seeking recovery in rates?
- A. Yes. In recognition of the Commission's prior guidance in this area,

 AmerenUE is not currently requesting recovery of the EIP-O, which applies to Officers and

 is funded solely based on earnings per share.
- Q. Please explain why incentive compensation is an important element of a
 Company's total compensation package.
 - A. Incentive compensation is an important element of the total compensation package for employees in the electric and gas utility industry, as well as other industries, for several reasons. First, it has become a very common component of the total compensation package in our industry. In August of 2008, Hewitt & Associates reviewed the proxy statements of 37 of Ameren's peer utility companies. This review indicated that 97.3% of Ameren's peer companies (or 36 out of 37) have short-term incentive plans for their executive population. The same study indicated that 100% of Ameren's peer companies have long-term incentive plans for the same population. In addition, my department contacted Towers Perrin (who conducts a key salary survey for the energy industry) to determine the prevalence of short-term incentive plans among respondents to their middle management and professional survey. We received feedback that 93.2% (or 109 of the 117 utility companies that participated in the survey) had short-term incentive compensation programs. The eight companies who did not offer incentive compensation programs were smaller organizations.
 - Second, multiple factors are coming together to create what will likely be long-term labor market challenges in our industry. These factors include an aging workforce,

21

22

23

less interest in critical skilled craft and engineering related positions among individuals entering the workforce, and current economic conditions. In a 2007 report, the Center for 2 Energy Workforce Development stated that the energy industry faces the prospect of "losing 3 roughly half of its skilled workforce at a time when growing demands for electricity -4 coupled with a growing population and economy – are fueling demand for these workers." 5 The report goes on to estimate that by 2012 more than half of all non-nuclear power plant 6 operators may need to be replaced, 52% of generation technicians will reach retirement 7 eligibility, nearly 40% of lineworker jobs may need to be filled, and roughly 46% of all 8 9 engineering jobs could become vacant. Similar to the rest of the industry, AmerenUE 10 projects that 50% of its workforce will retire or leave the organization due to attrition over Despite actively recruiting candidates for these positions (including 11 the next 10 years. offering a \$15,000 hiring bonus for lineworkers, a co-op program to attract engineers, 12 partnerships with local schools, and other pro-active outreach measures), skilled and 13 experienced workers remain very hard to recruit because we are competing with numerous 14 utility companies for a limited supply of talent. To exacerbate the problem, the housing 15 market crisis has made it particularly difficult to recruit employees from outside of the local 16 17 area because many candidates are unable to sell their homes at a price that will cover their mortgage. This increases the level of competition (for new and current employees) across all 18 19 industries at a local and regional level.

As a result of all of the issues described above, it is critical for AmerenUE to offer a total compensation package that will allow it to both attract and retain entry-level and experienced employees. Today, incentive compensation is an expected component of the total compensation package. If AmerenUE does not offer incentive compensation it will be

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- difficult to attract and retain employees who are likely to be offered a more complete total
- 2 compensation package elsewhere. Ultimately, a competent, stable, focused and motivated
- 3 workforce is critical to providing excellent service to our customers. AmerenUE strives to
- 4 maintain a total rewards package that will attract, retain and motivate such a workforce.
 - Q. What factors are used to determine whether AmerenUE's total compensation package is competitive?
 - A. The Company provides a total rewards package that includes both base pay and incentive pay programs, in order to attract talent and remain competitive with other employers. Leveraging both base and incentive pay enables AmerenUE to limit its fixed costs (base pay), yet still reward outstanding employee performance (incentive pay). For example, if market data suggests that an appropriate total compensation level for a position is \$60,000 - rather than deliver all of this compensation through base salary, we evaluate what an appropriate base-level of compensation is for the position (again based on market data) and what percentage of the total compensation should be earned through performance-based incentives. This process ensures that employees are rewarded for performance. When employees bring significant value to the Company and customers by exceeding performance goals, they are rewarded. Similarly, if employees do not achieve performance goals, they may receive a total compensation package that is less than the median of the total compensation levels paid in the market. Thus, AmerenUE's management employees have a portion of their compensation "at risk" pending performance. My department uses reliable, third-party market data to determine competitive base and incentive (short-term and longterm) compensation levels for each position, thus ensuring that the Company's total compensation costs are prudent and reasonable. Each year we participate in numerous (a

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

dozen or more) salary surveys with reliable, third-party consulting firms such as Mercer HR 2 Consulting, Towers Perrin, Hewitt & Associates, and Buck Consulting. We, along with 3 many of our peer companies, submit data regarding the compensation package (base, 4 incentive, total compensation, etc.) of incumbents in specific positions defined by the survey. 5 The consulting firms review this information carefully and follow-up to ask questions and 6 validate the information. They then analyze the data and develop detailed reports that help 7 companies understand the compensation package for each of the reported positions. Data is 8 reported in aggregate and also broken down by factors such as revenue, geographic location, 9 and discipline. The rigorous process that we engage in to obtain valid market data and to 10 apply that information within our Company ensures that AmerenUE's total compensation 11 costs are prudent and reasonable while being market competitive.

A. **SHORT-TERM INCENTIVE PLANS**

- Q. Why did AmerenUE revise its short-term incentive compensation plans and when did the new plans come into effect?
- A. On January 1, 2007, the organizational structures of the operating subsidiaries owned by Ameren Corporation, including AmerenUE, were adjusted to place even greater emphasis on the unique needs of each of the operating units (e.g., AmerenUE) and their customers. To ensure that all short-term incentive plans were aligned with the new structure and focus, the Compensation and Performance Department worked closely with external advisors and leadership to review and refine the incentive compensation plans. As a part of the review process, we considered prior feedback from the Commission and, as a result, removed earnings per share as the primary funding mechanism for all but the EIP-O Plan.

A.

3

4

11

1 Q. Please describe how the scorecard and KPI process associated with the 2 incentive compensation plans work.

AmerenUE implemented the "balanced scorecard" concept over ten years ago.

- At a high-level, the purpose of a balanced scorecard is to help organizations achieve results 5 by focusing on four critical areas: financial management of the business, process improvement, the customer, and employees. To that end, AmerenUE develops an overall 6 7 AmerenUE scorecard with KPIs in each of the four critical areas described above. Each 8 major functional group within AmerenUE then develops a scorecard that will contribute to 9 the overall performance of AmerenUE. On each scorecard there are a number of KPIs, 10 several of which are identified as incentive compensation KPIs. There are three levels of performance identified for each KPI: threshold, target, and maximum. The first level, 12 "threshold", represents the minimum acceptable level of goal achievement for any given KPI. 13 The second level, "target" is a stretch goal that AmerenUE employees are striving to achieve.
- And the third level, "maximum" represents a level of performance that is very difficult to 14 In most cases, industry benchmark data and/or data regarding historical 15
- 16 performance are used to set appropriate performance levels. Scorecards, KPIs and 17 threshold/target/maximum performance levels are reviewed annually and approved by the
- 18 Company's senior management.
- 19 Q. Please provide specific examples of how the short-term incentive plans 20 provide direct benefits to customers.
- 21 A. AmerenUE customer-focused incentive compensation uses many 22 goals/measures to focus their employees' efforts on activities that will benefit customers, as 23 shown in the following examples.

- Increased Reliability To encourage and ensure reliable service to customers, measures of electric reliability are regularly placed on scorecards and used to determine incentive compensation payouts. For example, in both 2007 and 2008 relevant AmerenUE employees had two specific goals related to increasing service reliability. The first focused on completing projects which are expected to reduce the number of customers who experience 4 or more outages in a year by 50%. This resulted in a focus on thousands of reliability projects. The second focused on reducing the System Average Interruption Frequency Index ("SAIFI") or the frequency of non-storm electric service disruptions that occur. Focusing employee efforts on important KPIs such as reducing SAIFI helps to improve performance. As a consequence of this extra focus, SAIFI has decreased every year since 2004.
- Increased Customer Satisfaction Another set of KPIs on which AmerenUE bases incentive awards is customer satisfaction. Customer satisfaction is regularly measured and analyzed to determine how to further improve service. Measuring and rewarding increased customer satisfaction motivates AmerenUE employees to enhance the customer's experience, with respect to both the Company's customer contact center and field services. In 2007, relevant AmerenUE employees had a shared goal to increase their customer satisfaction index which is comprised of the scores from four customer surveys focusing on call center and/or field operations. In 2008, call center employees have a goal to improve their Call Center Index ("CCI") scores. The CCI measures the level of satisfaction experienced by a customer

after they have had direct contact with a customer service representative in an AmerenUE contact center. In addition, call center employees have a goal to improve the annual average speed by which they answer calls in the queue. Field employees have a goal to increase field operations customer service. After a customer has an experience with one of our field employees they are contacted to obtain feedback regarding their experience. This survey is usually completed shortly after the customer experience and reported on a monthly basis. This ensures that AmerenUE receives immediate feedback regarding customer experiences and can adjust their practices as needed throughout the year. In 2006 and 2007 the field operations customer survey (formally called "FOCUS") remained consistent – and as of August, 2008, performance has shown an improvement. Undeniably, a strong, incentive-based focus on increasing both the technical and professional service that customers receive when interacting with AmerenUE employees directly benefits the customers.

Improved Safety – Another salient KPI used to determine employee incentive payouts is safety. In both 2007 and 2008 lost workday away cases (which measures the number of days an employee was assigned to work but could not because of occupational injury or illness) was included as a KPI relevant to the incentive compensation plan. Reducing lost workdays serves to reduce operating costs. When AmerenUE employees (most of which are also customers) do not work in a safe manner, they risk serious injury to themselves and/or others. One of the results of injury is that employees spend

I

time off work and are unable to provide service to customers. In addition, engaging in safe work practices in the field protects not only our employees, but our customers. The Company places a heavy emphasis on safety and reinforces this emphasis by giving safety metrics significant weight in incentive compensation calculations. And from 2006 to 2007 AmerenUE's lost workday away cases decreased from 17 to 10. This is a practice that benefits the customer by reducing operating costs, creating a safe environment for employees and customers alike, and creating a work environment that attracts the best employees.

Operational Performance – Each year, AmerenUE ties several KPIs to operational performance. For example, Operations and Maintenance ("O&M") Budget Compliance, Capital Budget Compliance, INPO Performance Index (a Nuclear-specific metric focused on plant performance), and identifying and implementing process efficiency projects that will positively impact the AmerenUE organization are all Key Performance Indicators. The budget-related metrics ensure that AmerenUE is using its resources wisely. Ultimately, lower cost operations lead to lower rates. Other process and performance improvement metrics ensure that AmerenUE is enhancing its ability to operate as effectively as possible, thus reducing rates over time when compared to less productive/efficient operations. Ultimately, AmerenUE's KPIs, reinforced by incentive payouts, have resulted in significant attention to important customer issues. This focus has resulted in both tangible benefits (e.g., increased system reliability, increased

Rebuttal Testimony of Krista G. Bauer

performance, reduced lost workday away cases, etc.) and intangible benefits (employee alignment with key goals, prioritization of goals, etc.) – all of which help the Company provide safe and reliable service to our customers. The KPIs are adjusted each year, but the Company has a long history of including important customer metrics such as reliability, customer service, safety, and operational efficiency in the incentive plan every year. We fully expect this to continue into the future.

Q. Please expand upon the basic structure of each of AmerenUE's short-term incentive plans.

Α.

The <u>EIP-O</u> is designed to ensure that the AmerenUE officers are focused, as a senior leadership team for AmerenUE, on the overall success of the business. As such, the EIP-O is funded 100% based on earnings per share results. The funded award ("core award") may be adjusted up or down based on the officer's personal performance and achievement of scorecard KPIs such as safety, reliability, and/or customer satisfaction. While we continue to believe that the financial success of our business is critical to our ability to effectively serve our customers, and earnings are enhanced by cost reductions, service improvements and other factors that benefit customers, we acknowledge the Commission's prior decisions that incentive compensation plans funded solely on the basis of earnings per share will be disallowed. Thus, we are not requesting recovery of costs associated with the EIP-O.

- The <u>EIP-M</u> differs from the EIP-O in that 75% of the award is based on operational performance as measured by KPIs (such as those described earlier in my rebuttal testimony). Twenty-five percent of a Manager/Director's award will be based on AmerenUE's earnings. Similar to the EIP-O, each plan participant's core award (which is determined formulaically) may be adjusted up or down based on demonstrated leadership and contributions to goal achievement.
- The <u>AMIP</u> is funded 100% based on achievement of pre-defined KPIs. These KPIs focus plan participants on key operational metrics such as safety, reliability, availability, and customer satisfaction. To ensure that each employee has a "line of sight" to the metrics that impact his or her compensation, the metrics vary by major workgroup or function. This helps to ensure that employees are rewarded for achieving goals that they are most able to influence or control. Similar to EIP, awards funded based on KPIs may be adjusted up or down to reflect individual contributions to group KPIs, or achievement of individual performance objectives.
- The <u>AMTC</u> is an extension of the AMIP and was designed in response to significant competitive pressures for marketing and trading related skill sets. A small number (currently less than a dozen) of management professionals and supervisors are included in this plan based on their positions within AmerenUE. The plan includes two components. The first component is the base plan, which is essentially the same as the AMIP. The second component is called the supplemental component and provides an additional group or position-specific metric for participants to achieve. Awards earned under the supplement are

- converted to stock units (which mimic common stock) and are held for just under

 vears (to promote employee retention) before being paid out in cash.
 - The <u>AIP</u> is funded and paid 100% based on incentive KPI performance. The KPIs are designed to focus employees on important operational goals that they can influence or control.

Q. How are the 2008 incentive plans different from the 2007 plans?

- A. There are some similarities between the 2008 plans and the 2007 plans (e.g., KPIs and individual performance remain important components of most plans). The most significant difference between the 2008 and 2007 incentive plans is that the plans (with the exception of the EIP-O Plan, the costs of which as I noted are not included in the Company's revenue requirement) are no longer funded by earnings per share. Instead, performance as measured by KPIs determines whether or not awards will be available under the plan.
- Q. How will compensation expenses under the 2008 short-term incentive plans differ from the compensation expenses associated with the prior plans?
- A. To estimate the costs associated with the 2008 incentive compensation plans, we conducted an analysis of what the payout would have been for the 2007 plan year if the 2008 plan design were in effect. To perform this analysis, we used our employee population as of February 1, 2008, eliminated the EPS funding mechanism from the relevant plans (AMIP and AIP), and calculated performance based on the KPIs that were in place for 2007 (incentive KPIs have always been a key component of our plan design). The results of the analysis indicate that payouts under the 2008 plan design would have been relatively similar to the payouts under the 2007 plan design. Specifically, AmerenUE paid out \$13.3 million in 2008 for 2007 performance (note: this number excludes payments under the EIP-O). Our

- analysis indicates that we would have paid \$12.7 million (for the same population of
- 2 employees) under the 2008 plan design if it would have been in place for the 2007
- 3 performance period.
- 4 Q. Are the AmerenUE short-term incentive compensation plan costs prudent
- 5 and reasonable?
- 6 A. Yes. AmerenUE's incentive compensation plans are designed in a manner
- 7 consistent with market practice. We focus on aligning both base and incentive compensation
- 8 at the median of the market and define the market as similarly sized companies within our
- 9 industry. Additionally, our incentive plans require operational performance to be achieved
- 10 for employees to be rewarded, ensuring that customers will benefit if KPIs are achieved and
- 11 incentive awards are paid.
- 12 B. EXCEPTIONAL PERFORMANCE BONUS PROGRAM ("EPB")
- Q. Mr. Hagemeyer also recommended the disallowance of AmerenUE's
- 14 Exceptional Performance Bonus expenses, which as I understand it is an additional
- bonus plan that can supplement the AMIP. How do you respond?
- A. I disagree with Mr. Hagemeyer's recommendation to disallow the costs
- 17 associated with the EPB. All non-ALT management employees (who are not eligible to
- 18 receive exempt overtime pay) are eligible for the EPB program which provides them with the
- 19 opportunity to receive timely bonus awards for exhibiting superior performance, above and
- 20 beyond what is expected of them. This program is managed very conservatively by
- 21 AmerenUE leadership ensuring that awards are only granted for truly outstanding
- 22 performance. For example, as of the end of 3rd quarter 2008, only 60 of the eligible 868
- 23 employees (approximately 7%) have received a bonus award under this program. To receive

1 an EPB, a supervisor completes a standard form explaining the outstanding performance and 2 submits the completed form to senior leadership for review and approval. Awards under this 3 plan generally range from \$500 to \$3,000. Many of the EPB awards paid within AmerenUE 4 benefit the customer either directly or indirectly by rewarding and reinforcing high levels of 5 performance. For example, a Division Superintendent recently received a \$3,500 EPB award 6 for serving on a special project team that was focused on reviewing and modifying 7 AmerenUE's job scheduling process to improve customer satisfaction. This employee 8 played a key role on the team and then traveled to different operating centers to help others 9 implement the changes - thereby ensuring system-wide benefits. This employee was also 10 recognized for his leadership when traveling to a work territory well outside of his normal 11 territory to lead storm restoration efforts. In another example, a Superintendent received an EPB of \$2,500 after being called at home on a day off and asked to come to work to lead a 12 contingent of over 100 contractor linemen and support personnel during an ice storm. Not 13 only did the employee willingly take on the challenge, he successfully coordinated the efforts 14 15 of AmerenUE's resources and the contractor's resources to facilitate the restoration process. 16 He was praised by many for his outstanding efforts. Superintendents are not eligible to 17 receive overtime pay. Thus, these individuals do not receive additional compensation when 18 working overtime – even in demanding emergency situations. In the cases described above. 19 the EPB program provided recognition to two key employees who demonstrated exceptional 20 efforts to support the customer. The EPB program serves to encourage high levels of performance by directly reinforcing the desired behaviors in a tangible and compelling 21 22 manner.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

C. <u>LONG-TERM INCENTIVE PLANS</u>

Q. Mr. Hagemeyer also recommended the disallowance of AmerenUE's long-term incentive expenses. How do you respond?

The purpose of a long-term incentive plan is to ensure that the Company's A. leaders are focused not only on the short term success of the organization, but also on the long-term success of the organization. Most long-term incentive plan designs provide leaders with an equity stake in the business. At an executive level it is always important to balance both the achievement of short-term goals with a focus on the continued success of the organization. For example, if a leader chooses not to repair or improve an asset in the current year, that delay could have a negative impact in a future year. It's critical for leadership to constantly be weighing and balancing short- and long-term decisions to ensure the long-term viability and success of the Company. The tool that many companies use to help support this balanced thinking is a long-term incentive program. Long-term incentive programs have become a common component of the executive-level total rewards package. In fact, it is difficult to compete for top executive talent without such a program in place. Hewitt & Associates recently conducted a review of the proxy statements of 37 of Ameren's peer companies and found that 100% of these companies utilize one or more long-term incentive vehicles (e.g., stock options, restricted stock, performance plans, etc.) to incent their executives.

In the year 2001, Ameren implemented a restricted stock plan for ALT-level employees. This plan was in place through 2005 and was replaced by the Performance Share Unit Program ("PSUP") in 2006. Both of these plans are designed to focus leaders on the long-term success of the organization while increasing their equity stake in the organization

(thus promoting good decision making). The restricted stock program provided participants 1 with annual grants of stock that vested over a 7-year period based on earnings performance. 2 Under the PSUP, leaders receive annual grants of performance share units (which are the 3 right to receive stock if certain performance criteria are met). After a 3-year performance 4 period Ameren's Total Shareholder Return ("TSR") is measured and compared to the TSR of 5 6 peer companies. Ameren's TSR performance is evaluated on a relative basis and between 7 0% and 200% of the performance share units are vested as a result. Hewitt & Associate's study demonstrated that 86% of the companies who are using performance plans as one of 8 9 their long-term incentive vehicles use TSR as their sole measure. Ameren's long-term incentive plans have been and continue to be designed in a market-competitive, yet prudent 10 manner. The Company's long-term incentive plans provide customer value by ensuring a 11 balanced focus on cost management and system investment thus helping to keep reliability 12 high and rates low over time. In addition, the plans help the Company to attract, motivate 13 and retain a stable and skilled leadership team. The payment of awards under these plans is 14 dependent upon long-term performance of the Company and support an overall market-15 16 competitive compensation package.

- Q. Does this conclude your rebuttal testimony?
- 18 A. Yes, it does.

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of Union Electric)	
Company d/b/a AmerenUE for)	
Authority to File Tariffs Increasing)	
Rates for Electric Service Provided) Case No. ER-2008-0318		
To Customers in the Company's)	
Missouri Service Area.)	
AFFIDAVIT OF K	RISTA G. BAUER	
STATE OF MISSOURI)) ss		
CITY OF ST. LOUIS		
Krista G. Bauer, being first duly sworn on he	r oath, states:	
1. My name is Krista G. Bauer.	I am employed by Ameren Service	
Company as Manager, Compensation and Pe	rformance.	
2. Attached hereto and made a p	art hereof for all purposes is my Rebuttal	
Testimony on behalf of Union Electric Comp	pany, d/b/a AmerenUE, consisting of 20	
pages, all of which have been prepared in wr	itten form for introduction into evidence in	
the above-referenced docket.		
3. I hereby swear and affirm that	my answers contained in the attached	
testimony to the questions therein propounde	d are true and correct.	
	Frit & Bauer	
	/ Krista G. Bauer	
Subscribed and sworn to before me this $\frac{10^{-3}}{2}$	day of October, 2008.	
ω	mande lessell Notary Public	
My commission expires:	-	
	Amanda Tesdali - Notary Public Notary Seal, State of Missouri - St. Louis County Commission #07158967 My Commission Expires 7/29/2011	