

456

Ameren's Response to
OPC Data Request
MPSC Case No. ER-2007-0002
AmerenUE's Tariff Filing to Increase Rates for Electric Service
Provided to Customers in the Company's Missouri Service Area

FILED³

APR 20 2007

Missouri Public
Service Commission

Requested From: Bill Dunkel

Data Request No. OPC 5065

On page 16 of his Rebuttal, Mr. Stout states:

"These types of retirements are not reflected in the interim survivor curves for the nuclear accounts."

Mr. Dunkel's Rebuttal Schedule WWD 14-2 shows that for account 322-Reactor Equipment, under the Iowa 60-S0 curve (the curve that Staff has used) over \$353 million more would be expected to retire in this account as interim retirements between 12/31/2005 and the final retirement used in the Staff filing of 10/2044. AmerenUE has been provided the workpapers that support that calculation.

(a) Does AmerenUE admit that the mathematics of the Iowa 60-S0 curve are such that over \$350 million would be expected to retire in account 322 as interim retirements between 12/31/2005 and the final retirement used in the Staff filing of 10/2044?

(b) If the response to part (a) is "no", provide the amount AmerenUE claims the mathematics of the Iowa 60-S0 curve indicate as retiring in account 322 as interim retirements between 12/31/2005 and the final retirement used in the Staff filing of 10/2044, and provide the workpapers that support that answer.

(c) Separately for each of the other Nuclear accounts on Mr. Dunkel's Rebuttal Schedule WWD 14-2, does AmerenUE admit that the mathematics of the lives and Iowa curves shown on that Schedule are such that the interim retirement amount shown are reasonably close (within 10%) to the interim retirements expected to retire in that account between 12/31/2005 and the final retirement used in the Staff filing of 10/2044?

(d) If the response to part (c) is "no" for any account, for each such account, provide the amount AmerenUE claims the mathematics of the shown average life and Iowa curve indicate as retiring as interim retirements between 12/31/2005 and the final retirement used in the Staff filing of 10/2044, and provide the workpapers that support that answer.

Response:

(a) Admitted.

(b) Not applicable.

(c) Admitted in part and denied in part. For the five accounts in total, Mr. Dunkel's total interim retirements are within 7% of Gannett Fleming's calculation of the interim retirements using the specified parameters. The only account that exceeds Mr. Dunkel's 10% reasonableness standard is Account 325 for which the variance is 12.3%.

OPC Exhibit No. 456
Case No(s) ER-2007-0002
Date 3/27/07 Rptr UV

(d) Given the overall reasonableness and the voluminous nature of the workpapers, they are not being submitted with this response.

Prepared By: Bill Stout

Title: President, Valuation and Rate Division

Gannett Fleming, Inc.

Date: February 19, 2007