FILED
December 24, 2008
Data Center
Missouri Public
Service Commission

Exhibit No S2

Issues
Witness
Sponsoring Party
Type of Exhibit
Case No
Date Testimony Prepared

Cash Working Capital Michael J Adams Union Electric Company Rebuttal Testimony ER-2008-0318 October 14, 2008

#### MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

CASE NO. ER-2008-0318

**REBUTTAL TESTIMONY** 

**OF** 

MICHAEL J. ADAMS

ON

**BEHALF OF** 

UNION ELECTRIC COMPANY d/b/a AmerenUE

> St. Louis, Missouri October, 2008

> > Case No(s). <u>FR - 2008 - 03\8</u>
> >
> > Date \ \( \frac{3}{10} - \text{08} \)
> >
> > Rptr \ \( \frac{\chi^2}{2} - \text{08} \)

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

I.	INTRODUCTION AND WITNESS QUALIFICATIONS	. 1
II.	PURPOSE AND SCOPE	. 1
III.	REVENUE LAG	. 4
IV.	VACATION PAYROLL	. 5
V.	REVENUE LAG DAYS ASSOCIATED WITH SALES TAXES	. 9
VI.	REVENUE LAG DAYS ASSOCIATED WITH GROSS RECEIPTS TAXES	12
VII.	EXPENSE LEAD DAYS ASSIGNED TO TAXES	13
VIII.	SUMMARY OF RECOMMENDATIONS	13

1 2	REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF	
3 4	MICHAEL J. ADAMS CASE NO. ER-2008-0318	
5	CASE NO. ER-2000 0310	
	I INTRODUCTION AND WITNESS OHAT LEICATIONS	
6	I. INTRODUCTION AND WITNESS QUALIFICATIONS	
7	Q. Please state your name and business address.	
8	A My name is Michael J Adams My business address is 293 Boston F	ost
9	Road, Suite 500, Marlborough, Massachusetts 01752	
10	Q. Are you the same Michael J. Adams that filed direct testimony in	n this
11	proceeding?	
12	A Yes, I am	
13	II. PURPOSE AND SCOPE	
14	Q. What is the purpose of your rebuttal testimony?	
15	A The purpose of my rebuttal testimony is to respond to the Cash World	kıng
16	Capital ("CWC") calculation sponsored by Missouri Public Service Commission	
17	("MPSC" or the "Commission") Staff witness Erin Carle	
18	Q. Please summarize your conclusions with regards to Ms. Carle's	CWO
19	calculation.	
20	A For the most part, the Company concurs with Staff's CWC analysis	
21	There are four corrections, however, which must be made to Staff's CWC analysis	to
22	achieve an accurate result	
23	First, Staff's overall revenue lag inappropriately excludes the payme	ent
24	processing lag As a result, the revenue lag is understated by 0 59 days	

l	Second, Staff erroneously applies zero revenue lag days to sales tax
2	dollars and a reduced revenue lag to gross receipts tax dollars No support is provided in
3	the Staff Report to justify such a position The Company has only one revenue stream,
4	however, and that revenue stream does not vary depending upon each individual expense
5	considered in the CWC analysis
6	Third, the vacation accrual represents a liability on the Company's books
7	and is not a cash operating expense which should be considered in the CWC analysis
8	Staff's inclusion of the vacation accrual violates Staff's own handbook on how to prepare
9	a CWC analysis
10	Finally, Staff should consistently apply either statutory due dates or actual
11	payment dates to all taxes Selectively choosing which dates to apply to the individual
12	taxes undermines the credibility of the entire analysis and appears results-oriented, that
13	is, appears to be an attempt to cherry pick items that tend to reduce CWC and the
14	resulting revenue requirement associated with CWC
15	Q. What level of CWC requirement did the Company file for in its direct
16	case?
17	A The Company's direct filing contained a total CWC requirement (cash
18	requirement plus interest and tax offsets) of negative \$15.5 million. The request was
19	updated to reflect a total CWC requirement of negative \$14.8 million
20	Q. What level of CWC requirement is Staff recommending in its direct
21	case?
22	A Ms Carle recommended a cash working capital requirement of <u>negative</u>
22	\$21.1 million undeted to reflect a CWC requirement of negative \$90.0 million

1	Q.	To wh	at do you attribute the differences in the level of CWC
2	requirement	s reque	sted by the Company and that sponsored by Ms. Carle?
3	Α	The p	rimary drivers of the differences between the Company's requested
4	level of CWC	and the	at proposed by Staff are as follows
5		1	Staff's adjusted expense levels which are different than those
6			requested by the Company,
7		2	The revenue lag and expense lead day calculations proposed by
8			Staff reflect updated information,
9		3	Staff's revenue lag erroneously excludes the payment processing
10			component,
11		4	Staff's inclusion of non-cash items (i e, vacation payroll) in the
12			cash working capital analysis,
13		5	Staff's use of understated revenue lag days associated with Sales
14		Taxes and Gross Receipts Taxes, and	
15		6	Different expense lead days for federal, state and city tax offsets
16	Q.	What	is your position with regard to the level of expenses included in
17	the CWC an	ıalysis?	
18	Α	While	e Company witness Gary Weiss testifies to the level of expenses
19	which should	d be incl	uded in the CWC calculation, the final determination of the CWC
20	requirement to be included in rate base should be based upon the level of expenses which		
21	-		ved by the Commission in this proceeding

1	Q.	What is your position regarding Stair's updated leads and lags?
2	Α	As I will discuss, Staff's revenue lag is understated Further, I do not
3	agree with the	e revenue lags which Staff uses for Sales Taxes and Gross Receipts Taxes.
4		I agree with the expense lead days utilized by Staff, with the exception of
5	those employ	ed for Federal, State and City tax offsets
6	III. R	EVENUE LAG
7	Q.	Has Ms. Carle updated the revenue lag to reflect off-system sales?
8	Α	Yes, she has
9	Q.	Do you agree with Ms. Carle's inclusion of off-system sales in the
10	determinatio	on of the revenue lag?
11	Α	Yes, I do
12	Q.	Has Ms. Carle included all aspects of the revenue lag in her
13	calculation?	
14	Α	No Ms Carle has mexplicably excluded the payment processing lag,
15	which amour	its to 0 59 days
16	Q.	What is the payment processing lag?
17	Α	The payment processing lag represents the amount of time that it takes the
18	Company fro	m the receipt of a customer's payment to record and deposit the payment
19	Q.	Is the payment processing lag a component which is typically included
20	in a CWC at	nalysis?
21	Α	Yes Every jurisdiction in which I have testified on the topic of cash
22	working capi	tal includes a payment processing lag in the calculation of the revenue lag

l	Q.	What explanation does Ms. Carle provide for excluding the payment	
2	processing la	ng?	
3	Α	The Staff Report is silent as to why the payment processing lag might be	
4	excluded		
5	Q.	Is the payment processing lag a legitimate lag which should be	
6	considered i	n the CWC analysis?	
7	Α	Yes Customer payments are sent directly to the Company The	
8	collections la	g reflects the elapsed time from the mailing of the customers' bill to the	
9	receipt of the	payment The payment processing lag must be included to reflect the	
10	elapsed time	from receipt of the payment to the depositing of the payment. The payment	
11	processing la	g amounts to 0 59 days	
12	IV. V	ACATION PAYROLL	
13	Q.	What is the purpose of a CWC analysis?	
14	Α	The purpose of the CWC analysis is to evaluate the timing of cash flows	
15	In other wor	ds, the CWC analysis evaluates the timing of receipt of revenues from	
16	customers for the provisioning of utility services and the Company's payment for goods		
17	and services	received	
18	Q.	Do both the Company and Staff employ a similar methodology by	
19	which to me	easure the Company's CWC requirements?	
20	Α	Yes Both the Company and Staff employ what is commonly referred to	
21	as the Net L	ag Methodology to determine the Company's CWC requirements	
22	Q.	What revenues and expenses are typically included in a CWC	
23	analysis?		

1	Α	A CWC analysis typically includes revenues associated with the
2	provisioning	of utility services and cash operating expenses paid by the utility during the
3	test year Th	e revenues and cash operating expenses would be reported on the
4	Company's 1	ncome statement
5	Q.	Has Ms. Carle included a non-cash item in her CWC analysis?
6	Α	Yes Ms Carle has included approximately \$9 6 million of vacation
7	payroll in he	r CWC analysis
8	Q.	Does the vacation accrual represent an operating expense which
9	should be co	onsidered in the CWC analysis?
10	Α	No The vacation accrual represents a <u>liability</u> on behalf of the Company
11	associated w	ith vacation time earned by its employees The vacation accrual is recorded
12	on the Comp	any's balance sheet The accrual is adjusted as necessary to reflect the total
13	liability Th	e only portion of the accrued vacation which would ever hit the income
14	statement is	the change to increase or decrease the overall accrual The amount which is
15	recorded as	an expense, however, does not represent a cash operating expense
16	Q.	Is it appropriate to include the vacation accrual in a cash working
17	capital anal	ysis?
18	Α	No As I previously stated, the CWC analysis is intended to measure the
19	timing of ca	sh receipts and cash operating expenses The vacation accrual is a non-cash
20	liability on t	he Company's balance sheet and therefore should not be included in the
21	CWC analys	Staff does not propose to include other liabilities in the analysis, so it is
22	unclear why	the vacation accrual should be afforded different treatment than other
23	liabilities	

1	Further, the Company's proposed level of expenses already reflects twelve
2	months of payroll-related expenses Ms Carle's treatment of the vacation accrual as a
3	cash expense infers that an employee of the Company has the opportunity to earn extra
4	cash while on vacation This is simply not the case An employee of the Company earns
5	his/her regular paycheck while on vacation For Ms Carle's treatment to be accurate
6	either the Company would need to cease operations or every employee would have to
7	quit after they had vested vacation time, obligating the Company to pay vacation accruals
8	in cash Neither scenario is likely to occur Thus, vacation payroll is not a cash expense
9	and has not been considered in the Company's study
10	Q. Does Ms. Carle explain in the Staff's Report why it is appropriate to
11	include the vacation accrual in the CWC analysis?
12	A No After explaining how AmerenUE employees earn vacation, Ms Carle
13	leaps to an unsubstantiated position to include the vacation accrual in the CWC analysis
14	by stating "Failure to recognize this situation will result in excess CWC being included in
15	the determination of revenue requirement 1"
16	Q. Does the Missouri PSC Staff have a position regarding the inclusion of
17	non-cash items in lead lag studies?
18	A Yes Based upon my understanding of Staff's Cash Working Capital
19	handbook, Staff has opposed inclusion of non-cash items in lead lag studies The
20	handbook correctly states, "the utility does not need to have cash on hand for these
21	expenses because there is no cash outlay associated with these items 2" See Schedule
22	MJA-RE2

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Staff Report, p 7 <sup>2</sup> Staff Handbook, p 21

1	Q.	Has Ms. Carle explained why she deviated from Staff's handbook
2	regarding th	e inappropriateness of including non-cash operating expenses in the
3	CWC analys	sis?
4	Α	She has not
5	Q.	Does Ms. Carle assign an expense lead to the vacation accrual?
6	Α	Yes, she does Ms Carle assigns an expense lead of 385 99 days to the
7	vacation accr	ual
8		By assigning a precise level of expense lead days to the vacation accrual,
9	Ms Carle ass	serts a level of certainty to the payment of vacation accrual-related dollars
10	which simply	does not exist Ms Carle's analysis implies that the Company tracks or
11	that she has a	analyzed the precise timing of receipt of vacation-related dollars and
12	payment of s	uch dollars to AmerenUE employees This is simply not the case The
13	accrued level	of expenses which flow in and out of the liability account are not tracked
14	with precisio	n Rather, the accrual is adjusted, as necessary, to reflect the level of the
15	Company's l	nability if all employees had to be paid at once for their accrued vacation
16	time Such a	situation has never occurred
17	Q.	Setting aside the question of whether the vacation payroll issue is an
18	accrual or n	ot, do you agree with the expense lead that Ms. Carle applies to vacation
19	amounts?	
20	Α	No The expense lead used by Ms Carle is unreasonably long The fact is
21	that the Com	pany's payment of vacation time is on the same timeframe as regular payroll
22	expenses N	o separate fund of money is funded or maintained associated with the
23	accrued vaca	ition Those vacation payments are made from normal cash flows the same

l	as payroll exp	enses Therefore, the payroll lead should also be applied to the vacation
2	payroll amoun	its, as has been reflected in the Company's CWC analysis
3	V. RE	EVENUE LAG DAYS ASSOCIATED WITH SALES TAXES
4	Q.	What revenue lag does Ms. Carle apply to sales tax dollars?
5	Α	Ms. Carle assigns zero revenue lag days to the sales tax dollars
6	Q.	What justification does Ms. Carle provide to support her position?
7	Α	The Staff Report is silent on the issue of the revenue lag applied to sales
8	tax dollars N	o justification or explanation has been provided by Ms Carle to support
9	Staff's position	n
10	Q.	Has Staff consistently taken this approach in other recent rate
11	proceedings?	
12	Α	No In The Empire District Electric Company's recent rate proceeding,
13	Case ER-2008	8-0093, Staff recommended a revenue lag of 21 9279 and an expense lead
14	of 22 1911 fo	r sales taxes Staff's proposed revenue lag and expense lead days in that
15	proceeding ap	pear to exclude the service lag/lead, as opposed to the entire revenue lag
16	Q.	Has Ms. Carle explained why AmerenUE's sales tax dollars should be
17	afforded diff	erent treatment than those of The Empire District Electric Company?
18	Α	No, she has not
19	Q.	Has Staff proposed to eliminate the service lead element of the overall
20	expense lead	days in this proceeding?
21	Α	No Therefore, Staff has eliminated the entire revenue lag but made no
22	adjustment to	the expense lead in this proceeding

1	Q.	Do you agree that zero revenue lag days should be assigned to Sales	
2	Tax dollars?		
3	Α	I do not	
4	Q.	Please explain.	
5	A	In prior proceedings, Staff has argued that the Company's liability for	
6	forwarding sa	les taxes to the respective taxing authorities does not begin until such taxes	
7	are collected	Thus, a revenue lag time of zero was assigned to sales taxes	
8	Q.	To assign zero revenue lag days to the sales tax dollars implies that	
9	the revenues	used to pay such taxes mysteriously appear. How do you respond to	
10	such a positi	on?	
11	Α	The revenue lag consists of a chain of events The customer receives a	
12	service from	the Company, the Company reads the meter to determine the amount of	
13	service receiv	yed during a given period of time, the Company bills the customer for that	
14	service, the customer pays the bill, the Company processes the payment, and the funds		
15	become available to the Company at the bank This chain of events is not altered		
16	depending up	oon which expense is being paid. There is no separate source of revenues for	
17	each type of	expense The customers' payment of their utility bills is the Company's	
18	only source	of funds	
19		By employing zero revenue lag days, Ms Carle would have the	
20	Commission	believe that the Company incurs an obligation related to sales taxes without	
21	any consideration of the revenue lag process The sales tax payment, however, is clearly		
22	the result of	the services provided by the Company to its customers Therefore, it is	

I	appropriate to reflect the entire revenue lag amount when determining the cash working		
2	capital impact associated with the sales taxes		
3	Q. Staff's use of zero revenue lag days for sales taxes implies	s that the	
4	Company has access to and use of such funds until payment is remitted	to the	
5	proper taxing authorities. Is such a position accurate?		
6	A No Staff's position implies that the Company has access to	the funds for	
7	35 29 days prior to remitting such funds Ms Carle's position fails to diffe	rentiate	
8	between companies which maintain their books on a cash basis versus those	e on an accrual	
9	basis Ms Carle assumes that the Company remits payment of sales taxes	only once the	
10	funds are received from its customers Such an assumption is incorrect		
11	Q. Please explain.		
12	A Under Mo Code Regs 12 CSR 10-103 560 Section (1) state	es "In general,	
13	a taxpayer should report gross receipts in the period in which payment is ac	tually	
14	received A taxpayer using the accrual basis of accounting may report gross receipts in		
15	the period in which the transaction takes place "		
16	Q. Does AmerenUE employ the accrual basis of accounting	?	
17	A Yes, it does		
18	Q. When would the Company remit payment of sales taxes	under the	
19	accrual basis of accounting?		
20	A Under accrual accounting, AmerenUE would remit the sale	s taxes on the	
21	20 <sup>th</sup> of the month following the month in which the customers were billed	for the utility	
22	2 services		

l	Q.	What revenue lag and expense lead days should be used for sales	
2	taxes?		
3	Α	A revenue lag of 34 65 <sup>3</sup> days and an expense lead of 35 29 days should be	
4	applied to sale	s tax dollars Such revenue lag days and expense lead days accurately	
5	reflect the fact that the Company actually remits payment of the sales taxes to the		
6	appropriate taxing authorities 0 64 days prior to receipt of the dollars from its customers		
7 8 9	VI. REVENUE LAG DAYS ASSOCIATED WITH GROSS RECEIPTS TAXES		
10	Q.	What revenue lag days does Staff apply to gross receipts taxes in its	
11	CWC analysis?		
12	Α	Ms Carle originally assigned the full revenue lag of 34 17 days to gross	
13	receipts taxes	Staff subsequently revised its position and now assigns a revenue lag of	
14	20 37 days to the gross receipts taxes		
15	Q.	Does Ms. Carle explain the basis for her revised position in the Staff	
16	Report?		
17	Α	No, the Staff Report is silent on the issue of the appropriate revenue lag	
18	days to be assigned to the gross receipts dollars		
19	Q.	Is any reduction to the revenue lag days assigned to the gross receipts	
20	dollars appropriate?		
21	Α	No As I explained with regards to sales taxes, there is only one source of	
22	revenues for the Company and that is the payment by its customers of the monthly utility		
23	bill Therefore, the same revenue lag should be applied to all cash operating expenses		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Reflects Ms. Carle's revenue lag of 34-17 days plus the weighted impact of including the 0-59 days for payment processing

i	considered in	the CWC analysis To adjust the revenue lag implies that the Company	
2	collects the re	venues associated with the gross receipts taxes in a manner and at a time	
3	different than	the actual collection process Such an alternative revenue stream simply	
4	does not exist		
5	VII. EX	XPENSE LEAD DAYS ASSIGNED TO TAXES	
6	Q.	How did the Company determine the appropriate expense lead days	
7	to apply to both income and non-income taxes?		
8	Α	The Company employed a statutory approach to determine the appropriate	
9	expense lead	days for each type of tax Under the statutory approach, the payment dates	
10	which are prescribed by law or administrative rule were used		
11	Q.	Did Staff employ a statutory approach when determining the	
12	appropriate expense lead days for each type of tax?		
13	Α	Staff used the statutory approach for all of the non-income taxes For	
14	federal, state and city income taxes, Ms Carle used actual payment dates in the CWC		
15	analysis		
16	Q.	Is it inappropriate to use actual payment dates to determine the	
17	expense lead days for taxes?		
18	Α	No Staff should be consistent when applying a methodology If actual	
19	payment dates is the preferred approach, then actual payment dates should be used for all		
20	taxes, not just the income taxes		
21	VIII. SI	UMMARY OF RECOMMENDATIONS	
22	Q.	Please summarize your recommendations to the Commission.	
23	Α	I recommend that the Commission	

### Rebuttal Testimony of Michael J Adams

l		Apply the same revenue lag to all cash operating expenses included in
2		the CWC analysis,
3		2 Include the payment processing lag of 0 59 days in the revenue lag,
4		3 Reject the inclusion of non-cash items, i.e., vacation payroll, in
5		Ms Carle's analysis because it does not reflect the actual flow of
6		funds associated with payroll,
7		4 Uniformly apply either statutory payment dates or actual payment
8		dates to all taxes, and
9		5 Require the Company to update its presentation of CWC once these
10		lead-lag studies and CWC issues, as well as other issues related to the
11		level of the Company's Operation and Maintenance Expenses, have
12		been resolved
13	Q.	Does this conclude your rebuttal testimony?
14	Α	Yes, it does

# BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of Union Electric	)					
Company d/b/a AmerenUE for Authority to File Tariffs Increasing	) \					
Rates for Electric Service Provided	) Case No ER-2008-0318					
To Customers in the Company's	)					
Missouri Service Area	)					
AFFIDAVIT OF MICHAEL J. ADAMS						
STATE OF MISSOURI ) ) ss						
CITY OF ST. LOUIS )						
Michael J Adams, being first duly sworn on his oath, states						
1. My name is Michael J Adam	s I am employed by Concentric Energy					
Advisors as Vice-President						
2 Attached hereto and made a p	art hereof for all purposes is my Rebuttal					
Testimony on behalf of Union Electric Company, d/b/a AmerenUE, consisting of 14						
pages and Schedule MIAREFall of which have	been prepared in written form for					
introduction into evidence in the above-refer	enced docket					
3 I hereby swear and affirm tha	t my answers contained in the attached					
testimony to the questions therein propounde	ed are true and correct.					
	Michael Adams					
	Michael Adams					
Subscribed and sworn to before me this 9th day of October, 2008						
6	mande Tesdell					
My commission expires:	Notary Public					
	Notary Seel, State of					
	T Mesour - Bt. Louis County Commission #07158887					
	my Commission Expires 7/29/2011					

### CASH WORKING CAPITAL

Cash working capital can be defined as the amount of cash necessary for a utility to pay its day to day expenses which are incurred to provide service to its ratepayers. The need for cash working capital is universal among utilities, so every utility must have a ready supply of cash to pay day to day expenses / Cash working capital is included in a utility's rate base because it represents an investment of funds necessary for the provision of service from the company's investors or ratepayers, for which a return should be earned on. The need for cash working capital comes about because while a utility is allowed through the ratemaking process to recover in full its legitimate expenses incurred in providing service to its customers, the timing of a utility's inflows of cash from customers (revenues) seldom matches exactly its cash outflows necessary for current expenses. When a utility must pay for an expense incurred to provide service to customers before it receives revenues from its customers to pay for that service, then that amount of cash working capital must be obtained from utility investors. Including such on investment in rate base provides the investor a return on the funds provided. Conversely, when a utility receives revenues from its customers before it must pay for certain expenses incurred to provide that service, then the ratepayer has provided the utility with cash working capital up front, eliminate the utility's need to obtain the funds from its investors. The utility at this situation has use of ratepayer-supplied funds for a period of time before they must actually pay the utility vendors for the expenses. Ratepayers are compensated for their up-front investment of cash working capital by reducing rate base by the amount of their investment, thereby allowing them a return on their invested funds. The amount of cash working capital in a

#### CASH WORKING CAPITAL PAGE 20

measure cash working capital as opposed to a formula approach, or balance sheet approach. As discussed above, the Missouri Commission has settled this question in Missouri by consistently expressing a preference for lead lag studies for cash working capital expenses. The other area of controversy is given that lead lag studies are judged to be the most proper method for calculating cash working capital requirements, what is the proper scope of such a study, what items should it reflect? The two areas of cash working capital scope that has aroused the most controversy are 1) non-cash items, and 2) rate of return components.

#### NON-CASH ITEMS

As stated before, a lead lag study is intended to measure the utility's need for funds to pay cash expenses before receipt of revenues from ratepayers to cover those expenses. But revenues received from ratepayers covert not only cash expenses but also items such as deferred taxes, depreciation expense, and return on rate base (net operating income), all of which do not require a current outlay of cash by the utility. Some utilities have argued that these non-cash items create a cash working capital requirement because utilities must wait the 35-45 days of the revenus lag before they actually recover the return, depreciation expense, and deferred tax components of the customers' bills. Utilities have claimed that the delay in receiving revenues from customers means they must finance the entire amount of their ongoing accounts receivable, including the portions related to return on rate base, deferred taxes, and depreciation, by reflecting the delay in payment in revenues for these items in a lead lag study. This is done by including these items in the

# CASH WORKING CAPITAL

lead lag study with a full revenue lag and a zero expense lag (because no current cash outlay is required for these items). This has the effect of creating positive cash working capital requirements for depreciation, deferred taxes, and return on rate base.

The reason Staff has opposed inclusion of these non-cash items in lead lag studies, and the reason the Commission has without exception ruled against the utilities on this point, is that inclusion of these items defeats the purpose of a cash working capital allowance as Staff defines it. Utilities must have cash on hand to pay its day to day cash expenses, and if it is not available from ratepayer-supplied revenues, then it must be obtained from the utilities' investors. Investor-supplied funds intended for the operation of the utility's business are given rate base treatment to compensate the investor for use of his funds. But for non-cash items such as depreciation and deferred taxes, the utility does not need to have cash on hand for these expenses because there is no cash outlay associated with these items. A utility must obtain cash from one source or another to pay its payroll or fuel expense because the utility's employees and fuel vendors are entitled to the payment. That situation does not exist in regard to depreciation expense and deferred taxes because there is no outside party demanding payment for those items. Depreciation, deferred taxes, and net operating income are included in cost of service because regulatory agencies or governmental bodies have found it appropriate and valid for the utility to recover these expenses from ratepayers. But these items do not in any way reflect a cash need of the utility, and should not be included in a lead lag study designed to measure

# CASH WORKING CAPITAL PAGE 22

the utility's need for cash to pay day to day cash expenses. As the Commission stated in the Report and Order for Case No. ER-81-42 (Kansas City Power and Light Company):

Although depreciation and amortization are booked as expenses, no cash payout is required of the Company. Deferred taxes represent a bookkeeping entry for a tax expense which will not be incurred until a later point in time. The Commission has consistently held that since these expenses require no actual cash outlay, their inclusion in cash working capital is inappropriate.

The Commission has also held, and continues to hold, that net operating income is inappropriate as a positive addition to a cash working capital allowance . . . The inclusion of net electric operating income in the cash working capital requirement would require the ratepayer to pay a return on the shareholders' ownership interest in the Company through both the rate of return and cash working capital

#### RATE OF RETURN COMPONENTS

Another common issue concerning the proper scope of lead lag studies involves the treatment of rate of return components used in the ratemaking process (interest expense, preferred divided, common equity costs). In the ratemaking process, such costs are not considered to be above-the-line CGM expenses; rather they are recovered in rates through the application of a rate of return calculation to a rate base figure to derive a net operating income requirement. Looking at interest expense first, utilities have argued in the past that because interest expense represents a portion of the return given to investors through the ratemaking process, it is inequitable to place interest costs in a lead lag study alongside above-the-line CGM cash expenses and taxes. To summarize their position, it is unjust to use part of the return due investors to reduce the overall return given to investors, since interest expense in a lead lag study