FILED May 01, 2023 Data Center Missouri Public Service Commission

## Exhibit No. 34

Ameren – Exhibit 34 Michael Harding Surrebuttal Testimony File No. ER-2022-0337

Revenue Allocation;
Rider C; Paperless
Billing; Low-Income
Billing
Michael W. Harding
Surrebuttal Testimony
Union Electric Company
ER-2022-0337
March 13, 2023

#### MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

#### FILE NO. ER-2022-0337

#### SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY

#### OF

#### MICHAEL W. HARDING

#### ON

#### **BEHALF OF**

#### UNION ELECTRIC COMPANY

#### D/B/A AMEREN MISSOURI

St. Louis, Missouri March 2023

### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

I.	INTRODUCTION	. 1
II.	PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY	. 1
III.	RESPONDING TO STAFF WITNESS SARAH LANGE	. 2
IV.	RESPONSE TO CCM WITNESS HUTCHINSON	. 4
V.	RESPONSE TO STAFF WITNESS EICHHOLZ	. 9

#### SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY

#### OF

#### MICHAEL W. HARDING

#### FILE NO. ER-2022-0337

1		I. INTRODUCTION
2	Q.	Please state your name and business address.
3	А.	Michael W. Harding, Union Electric Company d/b/a Ameren Missouri
4	("Ameren M	issouri" or "Company"), One Ameren Plaza, 1901 Chouteau Avenue, St.
5	Louis, Misso	uri 63103.
6	Q.	Are you the same Michael W. Harding that submitted direct and
7	rebuttal test	imony in this case?
8	А.	Yes, I am.
9		II. PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY
10	Q.	What is the purpose of your surrebuttal testimony in this proceeding?
11	А.	The purpose of my surrebuttal testimony is to respond to the Rebuttal
12	Testimony of	f Staff witnesss Sarah Lange on revenue allocation, Rider C, and Tariff Sheet
13	No. 103 issue	es; respond to Rebuttal Testimony of Consumers Council of Missouri's witness
14	Jacqueline H	Iutchinson concerning disconnection data provided through data request
15	responses and	d regarding the paperless billing election process; and briefly respond to Staff
16	witness Amy	Eichhoz's Rebuttal Testimony regarding low-income programs.

1	III. RESPONDING TO STAFF WITNESS SARAH LANGE
2	Q. What revenue allocations does Staff witness Sarah Lange describe as
3	reasonable in her rebuttal testimony in this case?
4	A. In her rebuttal testimony, Ms. Lange provides a class revenue allocation
5	recommendation based on the results that relied on the standard CCOSS allocation methods
6	employed by Ameren Missouri and detailed in Tom Hickman's direct testimony. Ms. Lange
7	explains, "[a]s is, these results suggest that it would be reasonable to hold the lighting class
8	revenue requirement constant, and to apply an equal percent increase to the revenue
9	requirements of all other classes." This is futher supplemented with a footnote that reads
10	as follows: " I do not object to holding the company-owned lighting rates constant while
11	increasing the customer-owned lighting rates, based on that single aspect of the Ameren
12	Missouri study." <sup>1</sup>

13

#### Q. Does the Company agree with Staff?

A. Yes, the Company agrees with Ms. Lange's footnoted alternative to holding the company-owned lighting rates constant while increasing the customer-owned lighting rates, and applying an equal percent increase for all other classes. As explained in the rebuttal and surrebuttal testimony of Ameren Missouri witness Thomas Hickman, the Company disagrees with Staff's CCOSS approach and results. However, the Company does agree and find reasonable Ms. Lange's assessment of an equal percentage increase across all classes after separately addressing lighting rate classes.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> File No. ER-2022-0337, Sarah Lange Rebuttal Testimony, pp. 52-53

Q. Staff witness Sarah Lange recommends in her rebuttal that the Rider C factor should be modified from 0.68% to 0.72% based on the engineering review of Rider C loss rates that was completed by the Company in accordance with the Second Unanimous Stipulation and Agreement filed December 6, 2021 in File No. ER-2021-0240. Does the Company support making such a small modification to the loss rates under Rider C?

7 No. Based on the engineering review the 0.68% is still a reasonable factor A. 8 and the relatively small recommended change would currently require a fairly large amount 9 of effort to update. In addition to the reasons provided in Company witness Thomas 10 Hickman's Surrebuttal Testimiony, each customer would need to have their rate manually 11 adjusted in the Company's meter data management system, as opposed to a single field 12 being changed that automatically applies to all customers.<sup>2</sup> Billing units, as detailed in the 13 Surrebuttal Testimony of Nicholas Bowden, would also need to be modified for each Rider 14 C customer to account for the change in usage.

## Q. What change to Tariff Sheets No. 103 & 104 did you propose in direct testimony?

A. Tariff Sheet 103 includes clarifying language to the General Rules and Regulations, Customer Obligation section, G.5. that says, "following installation of Company's metering equipment, [customer shall] not break, remove or tamper with the security seal or other security device installed on customer-owned equipment by Company."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> File No. ER-2022-0337, Thomas Hickman Surrebuttal Testimony, pp. 2-3

1 The change in tarff sheet 104, also within the Customer Obligation section, adds 2 the following clarifying language: "[Customer shall] be responsible for payment of all 3 electric service used on customer's premises and for all requirements of the provisions of 4 the Service Classification under which the electric service is provided, until such time as 5 customer notifies Company to terminate service."

6

#### IV. RESPONSE TO CCM WITNESS HUTCHINSON

### 7 Q. What disconnection data is CCM referring to in their rebuttal 8 testimony on page 4?

9 A. CCM requested a long list of data related to disconnections and unpaid bills 10 grouped by zip code in the Ameren Missouri service territory. The Company provided 11 certain data, consisting of a variety of counts and metrics, including disconnection counts 12 by zip code over a nearly 4-year period. CCM then took the data they were provided and 13 presented in rebuttal testimony one new field, "percent Population Non-White" that 14 displays a percentage of the Non-White population corresponding to the applicable zip 15 code, and one new calculated field based on the data Ameren Missouri provided to come 16 up with a field called "Disconnection Ratio." The ratio was hardcoded, but a simple 17 calculation dividing the total electric disconnections by the Total Residential Accounts 18 yielded the same results. CCM then isolated the top 20 zip codes with the largest 19 percentages in the "Percent Population Non-White" field and used a straight line average 20 of their "Disconnection Ratios" to arrive at an Average of .121 or 12.1%. They then took 21 the straight line average of the remaining 161 zip codes "Disconnection Ratios" and straight 22 line averaged those to arrive at .059 or 5.9%.

1

#### Q. What does CCM conclude based on this data?

2 CCM claims that the "... data-driven evidence demonstrates that electric A. 3 service disconnections in Ameren's service territory during the period reported are highly concentrated in communities of color ...."<sup>3</sup> CCM then takes the difference between the 4 aforementioned straight line averages to claim customers in these 20 zip codes are "... 5 6 twice as likely to have experienced service disconnections than those in the remaining zip codes...."<sup>4</sup> This leads CCM to further conclude based on this data that programs and 7 8 policies are required to "reverse the inequities "baked into" the existing home energy security landscape."5 9 10 Q. Does CCM define what this means or clarify what disconnections due 11 to non-payment have to with their conclusions?

- 12 A. No.
- 13 Q. Does the data support CCM's conclusion?

A. No. CCM's reasoning relies on inappropriate inductive generalizations, or put another way, they attempt to use a small sub-set of data (non-random sample) to make a larger generalization about the entire service territory. Other factors that explain the relationship are not explored by CCM.

# 18 Q. What are some of the issues with the data that CCM has based their 19 argument on?

A. When the "Lower 160 Zips," which is the remaining zip codes that did not make CCM's 20 zip code list in the comparison, are evaluated based on the identical

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> File No. ER-2022-0337, Jacqueline Hutchinson Rebuttal Testimony, p. 4, ll. 9-11.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> *Id.*, p. 4, ll.13-14

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> *Id.*, p. 6, l. 8

1 methodology presented by CCM, the average of the top 20 zip codes with the highest 2 disconnection ratios on *this list* is actually *6% higher* than the 20 zip codes presented by 3 CCM and the income per household is approximately \$2,000 less. This comparison 4 highlights that factors other than the racial makeup of a zip code appear to be contributing 5 to similar, if not slightly higher, disconnection rates than in the areas presented by CCM.

6 This table is shown below:

Top 20 Disconnection Ratios of CCM "Lower 160 Zips" presented in Rebuttal					CCM "Top 20 N Presented	on-White Zips in Rebuttal	5"	
ZIP	Disconnections Ratio	Percent Population Non-White	Income Per Household 2010		ZIP	Disconnections Ratio	Percent Population Non-White	Income Per Household 2010
63847	0.53	0%	\$23,913		63115	0.21	98%	\$23,951
63866	0.23	19%	\$22,422		63120	0.23	98%	\$24,826
64068	0.23	6%	\$71,598		63113	0.19	97%	\$28,180
63820	0.23	2%	\$23,125		63106	0.14	96%	\$17,792
63862	0.21	36%	\$27,564		63147	0.18	94%	\$30,177
63851	0.18	49%	\$27,090		63133	0.13	93%	\$22,346
63540	0.18	9%	\$51,250		63107	0.21	92%	\$23,911
63827	0.17	9%	\$44,000		63136	0.14	91%	\$32,224
63830	0.16	31%	\$30,979		63121	0.11	85%	\$37,866
63333	0.16	2%	\$44,231		63112	0.11	79%	\$37,970
63653	0.16	0%	\$32,375		63137	0.12	77%	\$40,462
63837	0.15	11%	\$29,970		63138	0.13	77%	\$38,682
63823	0.15	5%	\$36,221	-	63134	0.1	67%	\$39,639
65025	0.15	1%	\$39,934		63033	0.04	62%	\$54,133
63111	0.14	45%	\$27,019		63135	0.09	62%	\$44,959
63834	0.13	44%	\$33,457		63118	0.11	61%	\$35,580
63877	0.13	14%	\$39,375	-	63102	0.05	58%	\$55,053
63703	0.12	25%	\$28,721		63034	0.01	57%	\$91,109
63845	0.12	4%	\$32,614		63104	0.05	51%	\$53,645
63347	0.1	2%	\$63,381		63103	0.07	50%	\$40,247
	18%	16%	\$36,462			12%	77%	\$38,638

Note: Straight lined averages are used to maintain consistency with what CCM presented in Rebuttal.

7

Q. Is there an alternative explanation for certain areas having higher

- 8 levels of disconnection?
- 9

10

A. Yes, and unsurprisingly, the answer is likely income level. A quick calculation of the correlation coefficient between the Disconnection Ratio and the Income

1 per Household results in a coefficient of approximately -.6. This is at least directionally 2 what we would expect and shows a moderate correlation between disconnections and 3 income per household, where increases in disconnections show a moderate correlation to 4 decreases in household income. A coefficient close to negative or positive 1 indicates a 5 strong correlation, whereas values close to 0 indicate no correlation. Based on CCM's 6 argument, I then used the same disconnection data set along with the "Percent Population 7 Non-White" data set that CCM uses to draw their conclusion from. The resulting 8 correlation coefficient is .36. It is closer to 0 indicating a substantially lower correlation 9 and is significantly less than the data set comparing Disconnection Ratios to Income per 10 Household. These comparisons of course do not explain all of the complexities that result 11 in a customer's disconnection, as reality is always much more complex and correlation does 12 not necessarily imply causation; however, it does illustrate the fact that income appears to 13 have a greater influence than geographical location and is a more robust evaluation than 14 simple averages.

#### 15

16

## Q. Does the Company recommend rejection of CCM's proposal for a targeted zip code disconnection reduction program?

A. Yes, both because it's my understanding that the Company cannot treat customers differently unless the service the Company provides is somehow different, and because the data does not show the causative effect CCM claims it does. However, the Company continues to be ready and willing to explore how to address disconnection concerns with CCM and other interested stakeholders they may have. Driving equitable outcomes in vulnerable communities is a priority for Ameren, and in 2022, two new roles were added to the corporate Sustainability and Energy Equity team — an Energy Equity

Solutions Manager and an Energy Equity Analyst. These new roles work collaboratively
 to develop and help implement an energy equity framework with an intent of helping
 disadvantaged and vulnerable communities (urban and rural) across our service area to
 thrive.

5 Q. CCM also concurs with Staff witness Contessa King's concerns with the 6 use of pre-checked boxes to enroll customers in paperless billing. Is there additional 7 background that the Commission should consider regarding the pre-checked box for 8 paperless billing enrollment?

2

9 Yes. First, based on feedback from Staff and customers, the Company A. 10 released enhancements in November 2022 (Ameren.com) and February 2023 (mobile app) 11 to further highlight that the box was checked and would result in the customer no longer 12 receiving paper bills in the mail. These enhancements are still new, and the Company will 13 continue to monitor customer feedback to ensure the enhancements have a positive impact 14 on customer satisfaction. Second, Ameren Missouri's analysis of the impact of increasing 15 paperless billing adoption rates showed significant affordability benefits for customers. 16 Ameren Missouri regularly benchmarks against other utilities who are leaders in various 17 products and services to identify opportunities for improvement. In 2021, the Company 18 conducted a case study with Southern Company utilities to learn about their paperless 19 billing process. At that time, Southern Company had 57% of its customers enrolled in 20 paperless billing with the primary driver behind their success being the implementation of 21 a pre-checked box in three self-service journeys on their website - online bill pay, Auto 22 Pay enrollment, and start/transfer service. Ameren Missouri chose to implement the same 23 self-service journeys with our customers based on the Southern Company's success. The

- 1 journeys are only for customers who choose to engage with Ameren Missouri in a digital
- 2 channel, thereby presenting a higher propensity to embrace technology and the various
- 3 services it enables, such as paperless billing.
- 4 V. RESPONSE TO STAFF WITNESS EICHHOLZ
- 5 Q. Does the Company disagree with any of the recommended changes to
- 6 its Keeping Current program that Staff witness Eichhoz makes in rebuttal testimony?
- 7 A. No, the Company supports Staff's recommendations.
- 8 Q. Does this conclude your surrebuttal testimony?
- 9 A. Yes, it does.

#### **BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI**

)

)

In the Matter of Union Electric Company d/b/a Ameren Missouri's Tariffs to Adjust ) Its Revenues for Electric Service.

Case No. ER-2022-0337

#### **AFFIDAVIT OF MICHAEL W. HARDING**

#### **STATE OF MISSOURI** ) ) ss **CITY OF ST. LOUIS** )

Michael W. Harding, being first duly sworn states:

My name is Michael W. Harding, and on my oath declare that I am of sound mind and lawful age; that I have prepared the foregoing *Surrebuttal Testimony*; and further, under the penalty of perjury, that the same is true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.

> /s/ Michael W. Harding Michael W. Harding

Sworn to me this 13<sup>th</sup> day of March, 2023.