Exhibit No.: Issues: Billing Adjustments; Billing Requirements; Meter Reading; Customer Billing Witness: Carol Gay Fred Sponsoring Party: MoPSC Staff Type of Exhibit: Direct Testimony Case No.: GC-2006-0318 Date Testimony Prepared: July 28, 2006

### **MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION**

### **ADMINISTRATION DIVISION**

### **DIRECT TESTIMONY**

OF

### **CAROL GAY FRED**

### LACLEDE GAS COMPANY

### CASE NO. GC-2006-0318

Jefferson City, Missouri July 2006

\* Denotes Proprietary Information\* \*\* <u>Denotes Highly Confidential Information</u> \*\*

NP

#### BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

#### OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

Staff of the Public Service Commission of Missouri ) v. Laclede Gas Company ) C

> ) )

)

Case No. GC-2006-0318

The Office of the Public Counsel, Complainant v. Laclede Gas Company, Respondent Case No. GC-2006-0431

(Consolidated)

#### AFFIDAVIT OF CAROL GAY FRED

STATE OF MISSOURI

ss.

Carol Gay Fred, of lawful age, on her oath states: that she has participated in the preparation of the foregoing Direct Testimony in question and answer form, consisting of  $\frac{1}{2}$  pages to be presented in the above case; that the answers in the foregoing Direct Testimony were given by her; that she has knowledge of the matters set forth in such answers; and that such matters are true and correct to the best of her knowledge and belief.



Vay Fred

Carol Gay Fred

Subscribed and sworn to before me this  $\cancel{()}$  day of July 200

TONI M. CHARLTON Notary Public - State of Missouri My Commission Expires December 28, 2008 Cole County Commission #04474301

day of July 2006.

1	TABLE OF CONTENTS
2	DIRECT TESTIMONY OF
3	CAROL GAY FRED
4	LACLEDE GAS COMPANY
5	CASE NO. GC-2006-0318
6	
8	Background of Witness
9	Purpose of Testimony
10	Billing Adjustments
11	Billing Requirements
12	Actual versus estimated meter reading for more than three (3) consecutive billing periods 8
13	Undercharges for a Period Greater than Twelve Months
14	

i

1		DIRECT TESTIMONY	
2		OF	
3		CAROL GAY FRED	
4		LACLEDE GAS COMPANY	
5		CASE NO. GC-2006-0318	
6			
7	Q.	Please state your name and business address	
8	A.	My name is Carol Gay Fred, and my business address is 200 Madison Street,	
9	Suite 800, Jefferson City, MO 65101.		
10	Q.	By whom are you employed and in what capacity?	
11	A.	I am the Consumer Services Manager for the Missouri Public Service	
12	Commission	(Commission or MoPSC).	
13	BACKGROUND OF WITNESS		
14	Q.	Please describe your education and other qualifications.	
15	Α.	I graduated from Almeda University, at Wauconda, IL in May of 2002, with a	
16	Bachelor of Science degree in Business Administration. I also received my Certification in		
17	Project Mana	agement from Boston University, at Boston, MA in December 1999.	
18	Q	Have you previously filed testimony before this Commission?	
19	A.	Yes. I have filed testimony before the Commission on several occasions in my	
20	previous capacity as a Rate and Tariff Examiner II in the Telecommunications Department		
21	under the Operations Division of the Commission and in my current capacity as Manager of		
22	Consumer Services.		

Q. What knowledge, skill, experience, training or education do you have in
 regulatory matters?

3 A. I have acquired general knowledge of these topics through my experience and 4 analyses in prior rate, complaint, merger, rulemaking and working group cases before this 5 Commission. I have also acquired knowledge of these topics through review of Staff 6 workpapers for prior complaint cases brought before this Commission. I have reviewed prior 7 Commission decisions with regard to these areas. In addition, since commencing employment 8 with the Commission in January, 1987. I have attended various in-house training seminars and 9 NARUC training seminars and conferences. I am an active member of the NARUC 10 Subcommittee on Consumer Affairs. I have also participated in and supervised the work on a 11 number of informal and formal complaint proceedings. As the manager of consumer services 12 department I supervise and provide instructions to Consumer Specialist Is and IIs, on similar 13 matters within the Administration Division.

In addition, prior to my employment with the Commission I was employed by United
 Telephone Company, now known as Embarq, from 1977 to 1987, as an internal consultant with
 primary functions that consisted of call center supervision, credit and collections, outside plant
 compliance audits, cable assignment specialist and central office switch conversion assistant.

18

#### **PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY**

Q.

19

What is the primary purpose of your testimony?

A. The purpose of my testimony is to address Count I of Staff's complaint against
Laclede Gas Company (Laclede or Company). In addition I will address the Office of the
Public Counsel's (OPC's) complaint regarding Laclede's practice of adjusting customer bills
where the customer has been undercharged by Laclede for a period great than twelve months.

1 2 3 Q. Please describe the various components of your testimony.

A. testimony will: (1) describe the regulatory 2 My requirements of 4 CSR 240-13.025, specifically how it governs **billing adjustments**, (2) describe the regulatory 3 4 requirements of 4 CSR 240-13.020, which governs billing requirements and (3) describe the 5 regulatory requirements of 4 CSR 240-13-020, specifically related to actual versus estimated 6 meter reading for more than three (3) consecutive billing periods and address notification 7 requirements. I will also address OPC's complaint regarding undercharges by Laclede as it 8 relates to 4 CSR 240-13.025 (1) (B).

9

### **BILLING ADJUSTMENTS**

10 Q. Please briefly describe the purpose of 4 CSR 240-13.025 on Billing
11 Adjustments.

A. The purpose of this rule is to establish billing adjustments in the event of an
overcharge or undercharge.

14

Q. How are the billing adjustments determined?

A. "For all billing errors, the utility will determine from all related and available information the probable period during which a bill error has existed and shall make the appropriate billing adjustments for the estimated period involved..." (4 CSR 240.13.025 (1) ).

18

Q. What are the rule requirements in the event of an overcharge?

A. "For an overcharge, an adjustment shall be made for the entire period that the
overcharge can be shown to have existed not to exceed sixty (60) consecutive monthly billing
periods." (4 CSR 240.13.025 (1) (A) ).

22

Q. In the event of an undercharge what are the requirements?

1 A. "For an undercharge, an adjustment shall be made for the entire period that the 2 undercharge can be shown to have existed not to exceed twelve (12) monthly billing periods, 3 calculated from the date of discovery, inquiry or actual notification of the utility, whichever 4 was first." (4 CSR 240.13.025 (1) (B) ). Q. 5 Has Laclede followed the Commission rules appropriately on billing adjustments? 6 7 A. No. Laclede has failed to follow the Commission rule on undercharges. For example, when Staff initially filed this complaint, on February 2, 2006, Staff had identified 8 9 approximately forty-eight (48) consumer complaints that dealt with estimated bills which, for 10 the most part, dealt with undercharges. However, as of July 14, 2006, there had been two-11 hundred ninety-nine (299) additional complaints filed that were directly identified as billing 12 complaints related to estimated bills (see Highly Confidential Schedule A). 13 Q. Of the 299 additional complaints filed how many resulted in actual 14 undercharges? 15 A. Two-hundred twenty-six (226). How many of those undercharges exceeded twelve monthly billing periods? 16 Q. 17 A. There were eighty-nine (89) that exceeded twelve monthly billing periods. (see 18 Highly Confidential Schedule A). Can you explain why a customer could receive a bill for undercharges? 19 Q. 20 A. A bill for undercharges, otherwise referred to as "catch-up" bill, is generally sent 21 because of the company's inability to obtain an actual meter reading from the customer's meter 22 for one or more billing periods. This situation results in a customer receiving an estimated bill 23 until the company is able to obtain an actual meter reading or the customer provides self-reads

to the company, at which time the company will send the customer a "catch-up" bill. A self read is a reading by the customer, submitted on company provided postcards, for purposes of
 billing.

4 Q. The customer complaints referred to previously, had the customers' bills been5 estimated?

A. Yes. The bills of the identified consumer complaints mentioned previously were
estimated due to Laclede failing to obtain an actual meter reading or the customer failing to
submit a self-reading. (see Highly Confidential Schedule A)

9 Q. In your opinion, would it have been possible for Laclede to avoid so many
10 estimated bills?

A. Yes. If Laclede would have provided written notice to consumers explaining the possible impact of ongoing estimated bills and the company's obligation and right to access their equipment for meter readings and routine maintenance/safety inspections, the consumers would have been better informed and, therefore more likely to make arrangements with Laclede to get actual meter readings to avoid estimated bills.

16 Q. Is Laclede required to provide written notice?

17

18

19

20 21

22 23

24

A. Yes. Commission Rule 4 CSR-240-13.020 (2) 3 states:

When the utility is unable to obtain access to the customer's premises for the purpose of reading the meter or when the customer makes reading the meter unnecessarily difficult. If the utility is unable to obtain an actual meter reading for these reasons, where practicable it shall undertake reasonable alternatives to obtain a customer reading of the meter, such as mailing or leaving post-paid, preaddressed postcards upon which the customer may note the reading unless the customer requests otherwise.

25 Q. Were Laclede customers advised by Laclede that there was a need to obtain an

26 actual meter reading to prevent such "catch-up" bills?

- A. No. In previous complaint investigations Staff has asked Laclede, on several
   occasions to produce the letter that had been sent to customers advising them of the need to
   obtain access to their gas meter in order to obtain an actual reading.
- 4 Q. Did Laclede ever produce any type of documentation that they felt addressed the
  5 issue?

A. Yes. Laclede provided a document titled, "Meter Reading Notice" and often
referred to by Laclede as the 9/22/05 letter. However, the notice was not dated. Further, it was
addressed only to customers that Laclede's records indicated had a meter reading device known
as a "trace device" (Schedule B).

Q. Does staff agree that the "Meter Reading Notice" is adequate to provide notice
to all customers whose bills had been estimated for three (3) consecutive billing periods?

A. No. Because the notice was only sent to those customers with a trace device. As of December 2003, approximately 120,000 customers had the trace device out of approximately 290,000 customers with inside meters, therefore approximately, forty-one (41) percent of customers were not notified. The notice is insufficient because Laclede has failed to adequately notify customers that had received at least three consecutive estimated bills of the possible impact and need to obtain a reading. This is a direct violation of Commission Rule 4 CSR 240-13.020 (3).

19

### **BILLING REQUIREMENTS**

20

Q. Please provide the definition of billing period.

A. In 4 CSR 240-13.015 (C) "Billing period means a normal usage period of not
less than twenty-six (26) nor more than thirty-five (35) days for a monthly billed customer nor

1 more than one hundred (100) days for a quarterly billed customer, except for initial, corrected or final bills." 2 О. What are the billing standards according to Commission rule? 3 4 А According to 4 CSR 240-13.020 (1) and (2), respectively, "a utility shall 5 normally render a bill for each billing period to every residential customer in accordance with its tariff" and that "each billing statement rendered by the utility shall be computed on the 6 7 actual usage during the billing period..." Are there exceptions that render a bill on actual usage only? 8 Q. 9 A. Yes. Under 4 CSR 240-13.020 (2) (A) it states: 10 A utility may render a bill based on estimated usage if: (1) for seasonally billed customers an actual reading is obtained before each 11 change in the seasonal cycle; (2) extreme weather conditions, 12 13 emergencies, labor agreements or work stop-pages prevent actual meter readings; and (3) a utility is unable to obtain access to the customer's 14 premises for the purpose of reading the meter or when the customer 15 makes reading the meter unnecessarily difficult. If the utility is unable to 16 obtain an actual meter reading for these reasons, where practicable it 17 shall undertake reasonable alternatives to obtain a customer reading of 18 the meter, such as mailing or leaving post-paid, preaddressed postcards 19 upon which the customer may note the reading unless the customer 20 requests otherwise. 21 Q. 22 Has Laclede complied with the Commission rule that governs how Laclede is to 23 bill customers? 24 A. No. Specifically, Commission rule 4 CSR 240-13.020 (3) states that: 25 If a utility is unable to obtain an actual meter reading for three (3) consecutive billing periods, the utility shall advise the customer by first 26 class mail or personal delivery that the bills being rendered are 27 estimated, that estimation may not reflect the actual usage and that the 28 29 customer may read and report gas usage to the utility on a regular basis. The procedure by which this reading and reporting may be initiated shall 30 be explained. A utility shall attempt to secure an actual meter reading 31 from customers reporting their own usage at least annually. These 32 33 attempts shall include personal contact with the customer to advise the customer of regular meter reading day. The utility shall offer 34

- appointments for meter readings on Saturday or prior to 9:00 p.m. on weekdays...
- 3 Laclede has failed to render regular monthly bills for each billing period to every4 residential customer.
  - Q. How do you know this?

A. Laclede provides quarterly reports to the Commission Staff and OPC, which
provides the number of estimated reads their have been for each month and the number of
estimated reads they have had for seven plus consecutive months, within the quarter (see
Proprietary Schedule C).

### 10 <u>ACTUAL VERSUS ESTIMATED METER READING FOR MORE THAN THREE (3)</u> 11 <u>CONSECUTIVE BILLING PERIODS</u>

12

13

1

2

5

Q. Has Laclede followed the rule on obtaining an actual meter reading following estimated bills for three (3) consecutive billing periods?

A. No. As previously discussed under billing adjustments, Laclede has failed to
obtain actual meter reading and has gone beyond the rule allowance of three consecutive billing
periods. (See Proprietary Schedule C, Estimated Meter Readings.)

Q. How far beyond the three (3) consecutive billing periods has Laclede gone forestimating bills?

A. Laclede has provided Staff documentation in May 2006, stating that in March
2006, there were \* \* customers, who received estimated bills. Of that number
\* customers, received consecutive estimated bills for seven months or more. (See
Proprietary Schedule C)

Q. Based on the information you have seen has the number of estimated billsincreased or have they decreased?

A.

Q.

1

2

The number of estimated bills has decreased.

Q. Do you know why?

A. Yes. Given Laclede's installation of Automated Meter Reading (ARM) devices,
the company is able to acquire actual meter readings, which should virtually eliminate
estimated bills.

6

Are there related concerns with estimated bills and the installation of ARM's?

A. Yes, for every meter where an AMR is being installed and where estimated bills
existed prior to the installation of the ARM, there is a "catch-up" bill to correct the over -or
under-estimated usage amount on prior bills. These "catch-up" bills can be extremely
burdensome on the customer given they are in addition to their regular monthly bill and can go
back as far as 12 months.

12

### **UNDERCHARGES FOR A PERIOD GREATER THAN TWELVE MONTHS**

Q. OPC alleges that Laclede is violating the Commission rule
4 CSR 240-13.025 (1)(B) which you referred to on page 3 under billing adjustments. Do you
agree with the OPC's assessment?

A. Based on the number of complaints received and investigated from November
2005 – July 14, 2006, Laclede has billed customers in excess of 12 months for undercharges.
(See Highly Confidential Schedule D)

19 Q. To your knowledge has Laclede adjusted undercharges beyond a 12 month20 period?

21 A. Yes.

22 Q. Please explain?

1	А.	Laclede alleges that under the rule they can calculate the undercharges for 12		
2	monthly periods from the date of discovery, inquiry or actual notification of the utility			
3	whichever was first. (See MOTION TO DISMISS OR IN THE ALTERNATIVE,			
4	ANSWER TO COMPLAINT, page 3, paragraph 6 of the Complaint and Laclede's response			
5	on page 6, paragraph 16.)			
6	Q.	Does the rule allow for this provision?		
7	A.	In my opinion yes. Commission rule 4 CSR 240-13.025 (1) (B) states:		
8 9 10 11 12 13		In the event of an undercharge, an adjustment shall be made for the entire period that the overcharge can be shown to have existed not to exceed sixty (60) consecutive monthly billing periods, or twenty (20) consecutive quarterly billing periods, calculated from the date of discovery, inquiry or actual notification of the utility, whichever comes first		
14	Q.	What do you believe to be the issue that OPC is trying to address?		
15	А.	I do not believe the issue is whether or not the utility company can bill for an		
16	undercharge.	The issue is can a utility charge for a undercharge for 12 months prior to the		
17	point of discovery, in addition to all usage consumed past the point of discovery if the company			
18	has not made an attempt to stop the cause that generated the undercharge bill.			
19	Q.	What is your opinion on this issue?		
20	А.	If the undercharge is due to the lack of company access to the customer's meter		
21	to obtain an actual reading for billing purposed, then as stated in Staff's complaint the company			
22	is responsible for sending notice(s) to the customer in order for the customer to be aware of the			
23	possible repercussions of either a bill that covers more than a possible 12 month period or			
24	possible termination of service. (4 CSR 240-13.020 (3))			

1 2

3

Q. Then do you agree with OPC's Complaint that Laclede has authorization to discontinue service to any customer that refuses "after reasonable notice to permit inspection, maintenance, replacement or meter reading of utility equipment," (4 CSR 240-13.050 (1) (E))?

4

5

A. Yes and no. I say this because there are at least two other Commission rule provisions that impact the company, when considering discontinuance of service.

6

Q. Can you explain?

7 The first provision is under the Cold Weather Rule period that is from A. November 1 to March 31. During that time, the utility is prohibited from disconnecting 8 9 residential service if the National Weather Service local forecast is predicting that the 10 temperature will drop below thirty-two degrees Fahrenheit for the following twenty-four hours. 11 (4 CSR 240-13.055 (5) (A)). The second provision that I referred to specifically addresses 12 notice and discontinuance of service to multidwelling residential units. A customer who 13 resides in a multidwelling unit where each residential unit is on individual meter and the meters 14 are located in an area that the company can not gain access either through the tenants or 15 landlord, the company is prohibited from disconnecting service at the curb box. If the company 16 were to discontinue service at the curb box it would affect all the tenants in the multidwelling 17 unit which is prohibited by Commission rule (4 CSR 240-13.050 (6) (A-C)). Therefore these 18 two existing rule provisions would prevent the company from following through with a 19 discontinuance of service, due to a customer refusing to allow access.

20

Q.

Do you believe this to be a problem?

21 22 A. Yes. Given the high concentration of inside meters in Laclede's St. Louis service area, the high number of multidwelling units in St. Louis City and the protections of the

CWR, all of these collectively would hamper any company from carrying through with
 disconnecting customers for failure to allow access to the utility's equipment (meter).

Q. Do you agree with OPC's position that Laclede had a means whereby to force
the customer to allow access to utility equipment?

A. I agree there is the provision in the rule to allow for discontinuance of service to force the customer to allow the utility to gain access to their equipment, however, in actual application with the consideration of other Commission rules, I do not believe it can always be applied.

9 Q. Do you believe it is the sole responsibility of the company to force entry to
10 access their equipment?

11 A. No. I believe the customer must play a part in being responsible to ensure that 12 their bills for usage are accurate. If the customer is provided notice that there is a need to 13 access their meter to obtain an actual reading to eliminate estimated billing to prevent large 14 catch-up bills, then it is the customer's responsibility to make arrangements to allow access. If, 15 however, the customer is deliberately avoiding the company's request in hopes that they can 16 avoid possible undercharges, then the customer is at fault. In my opinion, both the utility and 17 the customer are responsible for ensuring that the customer is being billed properly for the 18 customer's actual usage.

19

Q.

How could a customer avoid an undercharge bill?

A. There are two options for the customer. The customer can make arrangements to allow the utility access to their meter to obtain an actual meter reading on a monthly basis or the customer can conduct their own meter reading and submit their read to the company

1 monthly on company supplied self-read cards in order to obtain a bill that is based on actual

2 usage. Either of these methods, can eliminate the likelihood of a significant undercharge bill.

- Q. Is this option available to Laclede customers?
- 4 A. Yes.

3

5

- Q. Does this conclude your testimony?
- 6 A. Yes.

### Schedule A

# **Highly Confidential**

### In Its

## Entirety

### Meter Reading Notice

Our records indicate that the meter reading device currently installed on your gas meter is not providing consistent monthly meter readings. As a result, it has been necessary to estimate your gas usage for billing purposes. We are pleased to let you know that we are in the process of implementing new meter reading technology to replace the device currently installed on your meter. The deployment of this new technology will take some time however, and we are working on a replacement schedule that has not yet been completed. There will be no charge to you when we replace the meter reading device and your gas service will not be disrupted. However, until we are able to make this replacement, it is important that we verify the readings used for billing by obtaining an actual meter reading. We ask your assistance as follows in order to ensure that you are

- Please complete the enclosed postage-paid card and return it to Laclede; 1. Enter the Laclede meter number located on the front of the gas meter in the area provided,
  - 2. Mark the exact position of the hands on the meter dials provided, and
  - 3. Fill in the date and your daytime telephone number.
- You may also, at your option, order a supply of customer reading cards to allow you to read your meter each month and provide us with a reading to be used for your bill until you receive a new device. To order customer read cards, simply complete the enclosed card, as described above, mark the box entitled "Customer Read Cards" and return the postage-paid card to Laclede.

We appreciate your cooperation in responding to this request and apologize for the inconvenience.



314-621-6960

### 9-22-05 LAR

Schedule B

### Schedule C

## Proprietary

### In Its

## Entirety

### Schedule D

## **Highly Confidential**

## In Its

## Entirety