Exhibit No.:

Issue:

Witness:

Sponsoring Party:

Derick A. Miles MoPSC Staff

Type of Exhibit:

Surrebuttal Testimony ER-2014-0370

Depreciation

Case No.: Date Testimony Prepared:

June 5, 2015

Filed

June 29, 2015

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION Data Center

Missouri Public Service Commission

REGULATORY REVIEW DIVISION

UTILITY SERVICES

SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY

OF

DERICK A. MILES, P.E.

KANSAS CITY POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

CASE NO. ER-2014-0370

Jefferson City, Missouri June 2015

Staff Exhibit No. 230 Date 6-15-15 Reporter AT File No. ER - 2014-0370

1	TABLE OF CONTENTS		
2	SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY		
3	\mathbf{OF}		
4	DERICK A. MILES, P.E.		
5	KANSAS CITY POWER & LIGHT COMPANY		
6	CASE NO. ER-2014-0370		
7	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY2		
8	DEPRECIATION EXPENSE – TERMINAL NET SALVAGE2		
9	SFAS 143 GUIDELINES3		
10	AMR CUSTOMER METERS – UNDER-ACCRUED DEPRECIATION3		
11	STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS4		
12			

1	SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY			
2	OF			
3	DERICK A. MILES, P.E.			
4	KANSAS CITY POWER & LIGHT COMPANY			
5	TIDO-BATTERINA INC.	CASE NO. ER-2014-0370		
6	Q.	Please state your name and business address.		
7	Α.	Derick A. Miles, P.O. Box 360, Jefferson City, MO 65102.		
8	Q.	Are you the same Derick A. Miles who prepared and sponsored sections of		
9	testimony addressing depreciation issues in Staff's Revenue Requirement Cost of Service			
10	Report filed April 3, 2015, and also sponsored rebuttal testimony that was filed on May 7			
11	2015?			
12	A.	Yes.		
13	Q.	Has your current position with the Commission or your educational		
14	background and other qualifications changed since Staff's Cost of Service Report was filed?			
15	A.	No. My current position remains to be Utility Regulatory Engineer II in the		
16	Engineering and Management Services Unit with the Missouri Public Service Commission			
17	("Commission" or "PSC"), and my work and educational experience are on page 78 of			
8	Appendix 1 to	Staff's Cost of Service Report.		
9.	Q.	Have you previously provided testimony before the Commission?		
20	€ A.	Yes. The cases in which I have filed testimony before the Commission are		
21	listed on page	79 of that same appendix.		

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

- Q. What is the purpose of your surrebuttal testimony?
- A. I will address the rebuttal testimonies of Kansas City Power & Light Company's ("KCPL") Mr. Ryan A. Bresette and Mr. John J. Spanos, of Gannett Fleming Valuation and Rate Consultants, LLC ("Gannett Fleming"), contracted by KCPL for this rate case.

DEPRECIATION EXPENSE - TERMINAL NET SALVAGE

- Q. Does Staff agree with the assertions that Mr. Spanos makes of Staff regarding terminal net salvage?¹
 - A. No.
 - Q. What is incorrect about Mr. Spanos' assertions?
- A. Staff did include terminal net salvage in its depreciation rate recommendation. However, it was not the entire amount that the Company recommended. Staff included the retirement portion of Mr. Spanos' recommendation; however, Staff did not include the dismantlement (terminal) portion of net salvage for various reasons. Staff has seen examples of generating facilities owned by KCPL that have been retired for 30 years and are still standing today, in place. Staff has confirmed this based on KCPL's responses to Data Requests 0569-0571. In fact, these four plants, in addition to the KCI Combustion Turbines, are excluded in the Decommissioning Study provided to the Company conducted by KCPL witness Chris Rogers, P.E. in his Direct Testimony in this rate case.

¹ John J. Spanos Rebuttal Testimony.

SFAS 143 GUIDELINES

- Q. Does Staff take issue with the KCPL's allegations that Staff misunderstood the data represented by the Company in Data Request 0137 to (Financial Accounting Standards Board) SFAS 143 Accounting for Asset Retirement Obligations (ARO)?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Why is Staff concerned with the Company's answer to Data Request 0137?
- A. The Company provided net salvage data related to SFAS 143 by account on a total Company basis. KCPL provided all other accumulated reserves based on unit specific accounts. Staff expected the net salvage accumulations to be available on a unit specific basis, being that these values are important to aid Staff and KCPL in determining if specific accounts are over or under accrued.

AMR CUSTOMER METERS - UNDER-ACCRUED DEPRECIATION

- Q. What is the issue with AMR meters?
- A. The automated meter reading (AMR) customer meters were replaced with newer technology Advanced Metering Infrastructure (AMI) meters (further discussed in Staff's report, pages 144, 170 and 220). The replacement and obsolescence of the AMR meters created an approximate \$8.7 million in unrecovered original cost. KCPL proposed an amortization to collect the \$8.7 million over 10 years. Staff recommended transferring \$8.7 million from Distribution account 364 to the AMR meters account reserves to address the non-recovered original cost due to pre-mature retirement. Due to the transfer of reserves, Staff recommended an increase in depreciation rate for account 364 from 3.18% to 3.37%. The transfer of accrued depreciation dollars from one account to another provides KCPL

22

Q.

1	customers with the depreciation dollar recognition they have already paid to the Company for		
2	service received by its plant.		
3	Q. Did KCPL take issue with this methodology [of transferring dollars between		
4	reserve accounts]?		
5	A. Yes. The Company goes on to state that the recovery of the \$8.7 million will		
6	be expanded from 10 years (KCPL's proposal) to 29.3 years, if Staff's methodology is used.		
7	Q. Does Staff agree that it will take 29.3 years for the Company to recover the		
8	\$8.7 million dollars due to the obsolescence of the AMR meters?		
9	A. No. Staff simply recommended to shift dollars from an over accrued reserve		
10	account to the meters account to compensate for the deficiency due to the premature		
11	retirement of the AMR meters.		
12	Q. Is this practice of rebalancing reserves a newly created concept proposed		
13	by Staff?		
14	A. No. Staff rebalances depreciation reserve accounts for multiple regulated		
15	entities including sewer, water, electric, and natural gas companies. Even in KCPL's last rate		
16	case (ER-2012-0174), Staff addressed an unrecovered reserve issue included in account		
17	119300 by balancing reserves through a transfer of depreciation reserves from Transmission		
18	plant to general plant, which KCPL agreed. In this instance, Staff proposes staying		
19	completely within the Distribution accounts, instead of different plant types. (Distribution,		
20	General, Transmission.)		
21	STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS		

What are Staff's recommendations?

Surrebuttal Testimony of Derick A. Miles

1	A. Staff recommends the Commission order KCPL to use the depreciation rates in		
2	Schedule DAM-1 that were attached to Staff's Cost of Service Report in Appendix 3.		
3	Staff recommends transferring \$8.7 million of the over-accrual in Distribution		
4	account 364 to the AMR meters account 370.001 reserves to address the under-recovery		
5	resulting from obsolescence of the AMR meters.		
6	Q. Does this conclude your surrebuttal testimony?		
7	A. Yes.		

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of Kansas City Power & Light)	
Company's Request for Authority to)	Case No. ER-2014-0370
Implement a General Rate Increase for Electric		
Service)	

AFFIDAVIT

COMES NOW Derick A. Miles, PE and on his oath declares that he is of sound mind and lawful age; that he contributed to the attached Surrebuttal Testimony; and that the same is true and correct according to his best knowledge and belief.

Further the Affiant sayeth not.

Derick A. Miles, PE

JURAT

Subscribed and sworn before me, a duly constituted and authorized Notary Public, in and for the County of Cole, State of Missouri, at my office in Jefferson City, on this ______ day of June, 2015.

D. SUZIE MANKIN
Notary Public - Notary Seal
State of Missouri
Commissioned for Cole County
My Commission Expires: December 12, 2016
Commission Number: 12412070

∜otary Public