FILED November 7, 2007 Data Center Missouri Public Service Commission

Exhibit No.:

Issues: Request for Certification

Witness: Michael R. Noack

Sponsoring Party: Missouri Gas Energy

Case No.:

GA-2007-0289 July 13th, 2007 Date Testimony Prepared:

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

MISSOURI GAS ENERGY

CASE NO. GA-2007-0289

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF

MICHAEL R. NOACK

Jefferson City, Missouri

July 2007

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of the Application of Missouri Gas Energy, a Division of Southern Union Company, for a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity Authorizing it to Construct, Install, Own, Operate, Control, Manager and Maintain a Natural Gas Distribution System to Provide Gas Service in Platte County, Missouri, as an Expansion Of its Existing Certified Area)
AFFIDAVIT OF MICHAEL R. NOACK
STATE OF MISSOURI) ss.
COUNTY OF JACKSON)
Michael R. Noack, of lawful age, on his oath states: that he has participated in the preparation of the foregoing Direct Testimony in question and answer form, to be presented in the above case; that the answers in the foregoing Direct Testimony were given by him; that he has knowledge of the matters set forth in such answers; and that such matters are true and correct to the best of his knowledge and belief. Michael R. Noack
Subscribed and sworn to before me this // day of JULY 2007. Notary Public
My Commission Expires: Feb. 3 20// My Commission Expires: Feb. 3 20// Commission Number 07424854 My commission expires February 3, 2011

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF MICHAEL R. NOACK

CASE NO. GA-2007-0289

JULY 13th, 2007

1
•

2

Q. WOULD YOU PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND BUSINESS

3 ADDRESS?

- 4 A. My name is Michael R. Noack and my business address is 3420 Broadway,
- 5 Kansas City, Missouri 64111.

6

7 O. WHO ARE YOU EMPLOYED BY?

- 8 A. I am employed by Missouri Gas Energy (MGE), a division of Southern Union
- 9 Company (Company), as Director of Pricing and Regulatory Affairs.

10

22

11 Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE YOUR EDUCATIONAL AND PROFESSIONAL

12 **EXPERIENCE.**

13 I received a Bachelor of Science in Business Administration with a major in A. Accounting from the University of Missouri in Columbia in 1973. Upon 14 15 graduation, I was employed by Troupe Kehoe Whiteaker & Kent (TKWK), a 16 Certified Public Accounting Firm in Kansas City, Missouri. I spent approximately 20 years working with TKWK or firms that were formed from 17 18 former TKWK employees or partners. I was involved during that time in public 19 utility consulting and financial accounting, concentrating primarily on rate cases 20 for electric and gas utilities and financial audits of independent telephone 21 companies across the United States. In 1992, I started Carleton B. Fox Co. Inc. of

Kansas City which was an energy consulting company specializing in billing

1		analysis and tariff selection for large commercial and industrial customers. In
2		July of 2000 I started my employment with MGE. Presently I hold in good
3		standing, a Certified Public Accountant certificate in the state of Kansas and am a
4		member of the Kansas Society of Certified Public Accountants.
5		
6		1. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY
7	Q.	WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY IN THIS
8		PROCEEDING?
9	A.	The purpose of my testimony is to support MGE's request for certification in
10		sections 13 and 14 in Township 52 North, Range 35 West in Platte County
11		Missouri.
12		MGE filed an application along with supporting appendices on January 31, 2007
13		requesting the Commission grant it authority to "construct, install, own, operate
14		control, manage and maintain a system for the provision of natural gas service to
15		the public pursuant to its approved rates, rules and regulations" in the above
16		mentioned sections. Developers have requested MGE to provide natural gas
17		service in this area for new residential subdivisions and anticipated commercia
18		development.
19		
20		2. BACKGROUND
21		
22	Q.	HOW LONG HAS MGE BEEN SERVING THE SECTIONS ADJOINING
23		THE REQUESTED TERRITORY?

1	A.	MGE has been serving customers in Section 10 of Township 52 North, Range 35
2		West since 1992. In Section 12 of Township 52 North, Range 35 West, MGE or
3		its predecessors has been serving a customer since 1960. Directly to the northeast
4		and east of Section 13 (where MGE is requesting certification) MGE currently
5		serves customers in residential subdivisions off the same supply line as will be
6		used to serve Sections 13 and 14.
7		In docket GA-96-130 and GA-96-285, due to uncertainties in the geographic
8		boundaries of MGE service area, the Commission ordered Staff and the Company
9		to cooperate in preparing and filing a tariff defining the service territory of MGE.
0		Staff and the Company examined all of the certificates issued to Gas Service
11		Company or its predecessor companies and determined the sections that MGE
12		was authorized to provide service. MGE filed a tariff reflecting its service
13		territory on February 21, 1997. Staff prepared a memorandum (attached as
14		Exhibit A) which explained this process and why a metes and bounds description
15		of MGE's service area was not practical.
16		The Commission approved the tariffs filed by MGE on May 14, 1997. Since that
17		time MGE has continued serving existing customers well as new customers in
18		Sections 10 and 12 to the immediate north and Sections 7 and 18 to the east.
19		
20	Q.	WHEN DID MGE ENTER INTO THE AGREEMENT WITH THE
21		DEVELOPERS OF SEVEN BRIDGES TO EXTEND THE NATURAL GAS
22		FACILITIES?

The developers of Seven Bridges contacted MGE about providing gas service to

the subdivision. MGE's contract with the developers of Seven Bridges for the

A.

23

24

extension of natural gas facilities was signed on January 6, 2006. MGE began construction of these extension facilities shortly after the contract was signed. MGE began serving customers in the first phase of the Seven Bridges subdivision (section 12) in early 2006. Currently there are 38 homes being served in the subdivision from the natural gas main extension.

3. APPLICATION

8 Q. MR. NOACK, WOULD YOU PLEASE EXPLAIN THE PURPOSE OF THE
9 APPLICATION WHICH HAS BEEN FILED?

A. Yes. As stated above, MGE currently has a contract with the developer of Seven Bridges subdivision to extend the natural gas facilities to the subdivision. Seven Bridges is a large residential subdivision with several phases which will be located in Sections 11, 12, 13 and 14 of Township 52 North, Range 35 West. MGE is currently certificated in Sections 11 and 12 (P.S.C. Mo. No. 1 Original Sheet No. 6.15 with an effective date of May 21, 1997) but not in Sections 13 or 14. The application filed on January 31, 2007 requests certification in Sections 13 and 14.

Q. WHY IS MGE QUALIFIED TO PROVIDE SERVICE TO THE PART OF SEVEN BRIDGES IN SECTIONS 13 AND 14?

A. Currently MGE has facilities in place to serve the subdivision in the Sections 11 and 12 immediately north of the two sections which are the subject of this application. MGE's 12" supply line (the Leavenworth line) runs through the sections immediately to the north of the requested sections. This line was built to

1		serve the KCI airport and adjacent areas. MGE also has an adequate supply of gas
2		and adequate pipeline transportation capacity with which to serve customers in
3		sections 13 and 14.
4	Q.	HOW DOES MGE ANTICIPATE FINANCING THIS NATURAL GAS
5		FACILITIES EXTENSION?
6	A.	As part of the contract, the developer made a construction advance to MGE which
7		covered the cost of the extension of the facilities to phases one through four of the
8		subdivision. This advance is subject to partial refund based on the number of
9		houses in the subdivision which connect to the natural gas facilities.
10		
11	Q.	HAS MGE PREPARED A SCHEDULE WHICH DETAILS THE
12		ECONOMICS OF THE NATURAL GAS FACILITIES EXTENSION AND
13		DOES THE SCHEDULE SHOW THE EXTENSION INTO SECTIONS 13
14		AND 14 TO BE ECONOMICALLY FEASIBLE?
15	A.	Yes. Appendix C of the application, filed in this docket, is a schedule showing
16		the estimated construction costs, advances by the developers and the estimated
17		margin received from the customers using natural gas. As reflected in Appendix
18		C, MGE will profit from serving these additional customers.
19		
20	Q.	WHY IS GRANTING MGE'S APPLICATION IN THE PUBLIC
21		INTEREST?
22	A.	MGE is currently providing service in Missouri and therefore has the expertise,
23		experience and financial qualifications to provide gas service in Sections 13 and
24		14. The extension of facilities to Sections 13 and 14 is economically feasible

1		because it produces margin to the Company. Finally, MGE is already serving a
2		portion of the Seven Bridges development and should be allowed to serve the
3		entire development so that duplication of facilities is avoided.
4		
5		4. CONCLUSION
6	Q.	WHAT ARE YOU ASKING THE COMMISSION TO DO IN THIS
7		PROCEEDING?
8	A.	MGE is requesting an order from the Commission granting it a certificate of
9		convenience and necessity to construct, install, own, operate, control, manage and
10		maintain a system for the provision of natural gas service to the public pursuant to
11		its approved rates, rules and regulations, in Sections 13 and 14, Township 52
12		North, Range 35 West in Platte County, Missouri.
13		
14	Q.	DOES THAT CONCLUDE YOUR DIRECT TESTIMONY?
15	A.	Yes it does.

UTILITY OPERATIONS DIVISION ROUTING SLIP

File No. 9700571

Te: Chair Zobrist	Initial	Assigned: Free:	nitial	Date	Revised	(Approximately)	
Commissioner Crumpten Vice Chair Dramer			abso M		<u>\$9.97</u>		
Agenda Date: 5 Final Agenda: May 20, 1997 Commussion Action: Approve Suspe	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Legal Staff Deadline: M Staff Recommend Approval X	# <u>(†)</u> sy 12, 1 s:	5/12/31 997: 5:00p persion _			

3-0.A/Am

Company:

Missouri Gas Energy

Effective Date: May 21, 1997

Purpose: Implement tariff sheets to clarify its service area

On <u>Pebruary 21, 1997</u>, <u>Missouri Gas Energy</u> (MGE or Company) of Kansas City, Missouri filled tariff sheets to c'arify the geographic boundaries of its service area. On April 11, 1997, MGE requested a thirty (30) day extension of the effective date to May 21, 1997 at the request of the Missouri Public Service Commission Staff (Staff) for additional time to investigate and review the tariffs. The proposed tariff sheets will be located in the section titled "Index of Certificated Areas".

The Commission Rule 4 CSR 240-2.060 (Rule) requires a metes and bounds description of the certificated service area. Company filed these tariff sheets to comply with the Rule and to reflect the Missouri Public Service Commission (Commission) orders issued in Case Nos. GA-96-130 and GR-96-285. The order in Case No. GA-96-110 states that MGE and the Staff are to cooperate in preparing tariff sheets setting out the plat and legal description of the current and complete service area of MGD. The order in Case No. GR-96-285 states that MGE has committed to filing tariff sheets by Pebruary 2B. 1997 with metes and bounds descriptions and maps showing certificated service areas in the State of Missouri.

Staff and Company have reviewed certificates of convenience and necessity (CCN) cases and Company service orders in the development of the proposed tariff sheets. The CCN cases were granted in either a transmission or service area certificate making the development of a service area stated in a motes and bounds format very difficult. Therefore, the description of the Company's proposed service area was developed by listing the service area by township, range and section. The township, range and section format is utilized by other regulated energy utilities under the jurisdiction of the Commission. In Staff's opinion a township, range and section format satisfies the Rule, therefore Staff has no objection to this format.

MGE has not filed tariff sheets containing a map of its service territory.

MGE is working on a process that will transfer to a tariff sheet its service area maps and still maintain its legibility. MGE plans to file the tariff sheets containing the maps by June 1, 1997.

Energy Routing Slip, File No. 9700571-Missouri Cas Energy, Page 2 of 2

The Commission's Energy - Rates Staff has reviewed this filing and has no objections to the proposed tariff sheets. Because this filing was made on greater than thirty (30) days notice, no order is necessary to indicate approval. Staff is unaware of any issue currently pending before the Commission which affects or is affected by this filing. Therefore, Staff has no objections to allowing the following tariff sheets, as filed on Pebruary 21, 1997, and extended on April 11, 1997, to go into affect on and after May 21, 1997, the requested effective date:

P.S.C. MO. No. 1
Pirst Revised SHEET No. 6 Canceling Original SHEET No. 6 Original SHEET No. 6.1 Original SHEET No. 6.2 Original SHEET No. 6.3 Original SHEET No. 6.4 Original SHEET No. 6.5 Original SHEET No. 6.6 Original SHEET No. 6.7 Original SHEET No. 6.8 Original SHEET No. 6.9 Original SHEET No. 6.10 Original SHEET No. 6.11 Original SHEET No. 6.12 Original SHEET No. 6.13 Original SHEET No. 6.14 Original SHEET No. 6.15 Original SHEET No. 6.16

The purpose of this filey is to show the longsups current services tent orce, and done not expound to any area that iterrently done not serve.

POOL-IT FAX NOTE 7671 FOR SIGNATURE PROPERTY SELECTION S