FILED September 5, 2019 Data Center Missouri Public Service Commission

Exhibit No.: Issue: Witness: Sponsoring Party: MoPSC Staff Date Testimony Prepared: June 7, 2019

Tariffs, Rate Design Kim Cox Type of Exhibit: Rebuttal Testimony Case No.: GR-2019-0077

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

COMMISSION STAFF DIVISION OPERATIONAL ANALYSIS DEPARTMENT

REBUTTAL TESTIMONY

OF

KIM COX

Staff Exhibit No. 111 Date 8-15-19 Reporter COT File No. G-8-2019-0077

EXHIBIT

IGAD 800-631-6981

UNION ELECTRIC COMPANY, d/b/a AMEREN MISSOURI

CASE NO. GR-2019-0077

Jefferson City, Missouri June 2019

1	TABLE OF CONTENTS				
2	REBUTTAL TESTIMONY				
3	OF				
4	KIM COX				
5 6	UNION ELECTRIC COMPANY d/b/a AMEREN MISSOURI				
7	CASE NO. GR-2019-0077				
8 9	RESPONSE TO AMEREN MISSOURI'S PROPOSED SERVICE LINE EXTENSION AND RELOCATION				
10 11	RESPONSE TO AMEREN MISSOURI'S PROPOSED DAILY USAGE INFORMATION CHARGE FOR TRANSPORTATION CUSTOMERS				
12					

1		REBUTTAL TESTIMONY		
2		OF		
3		KIM COX		
4		UNION ELECTRIC COMPANY		
5		d/b/a AMEREN MISSOURI		
6	CASE NO. GR-2019-0077			
7	Q.	Please state your name and business address.		
8	А.	Kim Cox, 200 Madison Street, Jefferson City, MO 65102.		
9	Q.	By whom are you employed and in what capacity?		
10	Α.	I am employed by the Missouri Public Service Commission ("Commission") as		
11	a Policy Analyst in the Tariff and Rate Design Unit of the Operation Analysis division of the			
12	Commission Staff.			
13	Q.	Are you the same Kim Cox that previously filed testimony in Staff's Direct Rate		
14	Design and Class Cost of Service Report?			
15	А.	Yes.		
16	Q.	What is the purpose of your rebuttal testimony?		
17	А.	The purpose of my rebuttal testimony is to:		
18		1. Address the Company's proposed changes to its Rules and Regulations		
19		governing service line extension and relocations and the charges associated		
20		with them.		
21		2. Address the Company's language for charges relating to repeated service		
22		calls for transportation customers requiring monthly manual download of usage		
23	-	data as proposed on Tariff Sheet No. 20.1.		

•

•

1 2 **RESPONSE TO AMEREN MISSOURI'S PROPOSED SERVICE LINE EXTENSION** 3 AND RELOCATION What is Ameren Missouri's current distinction of service line and 4 Q. 5 extension costs? 6 Currently, the Company bases the extension cost on customer type of A. 7 Residential versus non-Residential customers. 8 Q. Is Ameren Missouri proposing to change the distinction? 9 Yes. Ameren Missouri is proposing to change the distinction based on the size Α. of the pipe being installed or relocated. Customer contributions for service line extensions and 10 relocations would be a service line less than 2" or a service line 2" and greater. 11 Q. Does Ameren Missouri propose any other changes? 12 Yes. Currently Ameren Missouri's service pipe charge for all customer types is 13 A. \$260 per connection plus \$4.30 per foot for all footage in excess of sixty feet. Ameren Missouri 14 proposes to continue the connection charge of \$260 with an increase to \$6.00 for all footage in 15 excess of sixty feet for service lines less than 2". For those greater than 2", Ameren Missouri 16 17 proposes to apply a 3 year projected revenue to be received from the customer and apply it against the total cost of the service extension. Any service extension cost above the projected 18 19 3 year revenue will be billed to the customer upon completion of the installation. 20 0. Does Staff have any concerns with the proposed changes? Yes. Although, Staff does not oppose the new distinction or the 3 year projected 21 Α. revenue toward the cost of the service extension however; Staff does propose additional 22 language be added to Tariff Sheet No. 19. Section A. and B. Staff proposes to add 23 "All customer payments received under this section will be applied as an offset to rate base." 24

Rebuttal Testimony of Kim Cox

1 2 **RESPONSE TO AMEREN MISSOUIR'S PROPOSED DAILY USAGE** 3 INFORMATION CHARGE FOR TRANSPORTATION CUSTOMERS 4 5 Q. What is the proposed tariff language for the daily usage information charge? Ameren Missouri's proposed tariff language is: 6 A. 7 H. Daily Usage Information Charge 8 Applicable to Customers who enter into contracts with 9 the Company for transportation service to be provided 10 under the Company's Natural Gas Transportation 11 Service tariff: 12 In order to facilitate remote interrogation of interval metering by the Company and provide daily 13 14 usage information to Customer, the Company will install a remote monitoring device at each meter 15 16 location where Customer receives Transportation 17 Service. For each remote monitoring device, the 18 Customer, at Customer's expense, shall provide access 19 to a commercial telephone line and 120 volt AC 20 electric power at a location designated by the 21 Company. The telephone line shall be dedicated for 22 Company's use. If a Customer does not provide access 23 to a commercial telephone line and 120 volt AC 24 electric power at a location designated by the 25 Company, or, if interrogation is not possible due to 26 a telephone service outage, Company will dispatch technicians each month with specialized equipment to 27 capture the daily usage information necessary to bill 28 29 Customer. The charge to the Customer will be \$170.00 for each 30 31 occurrence. 32 If phone line is installed and Company is unable to 33 retrieve daily usage information it will be the 34 Customer's responsibility to verify that the Customer's phone line is in working condition. 35 In addition, Company reserves the right to charge 36 37 Customers for each service call to investigate the 38 remote monitoring device if such service call is the 39 sole result of telephone service outage. 40

Rebuttal Testimony of Kim Cox

1 Q. Is the daily usage information charge a new charge proposed by Ameren Missouri?

A. Yes, it is a new charge. Therefore, Staff recommends that Ameren Missouri track each
occurrence of the \$170 charge by customer and customer class. Staff also recommends the
daily usage information be retained and made available to Staff for review.

Q. Does this conclude your rebuttal testimony?

6 A. Yes.

,

5

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

)

)

)

In the Matter of Union Electric Company d/b/a Ameren Missouri's Tariffs to Increase its Revenues for Natural Gas Service

Case No. GR-2019-0077

AFFIDAVIT OF KIM COX

STATE OF MISSOURI)	
)	SS.
COUNTY OF COLE)	

COMES NOW KIM COX and on her oath declares that she is of sound mind and lawful age; that she contributed to the foregoing *Rebuttal Testimony*; and that the same is true and correct according to her best knowledge and belief.

Further the Affiant sayeth not.

nlox

JURAT

Subscribed and sworn before me, a duly constituted and authorized Notary Public, in and for the County of Cole, State of Missouri, at my office in Jefferson City, on this 54 day of June 2019.

D. SUZIE MANKIN Notary Public - Notary Seal State of Missouri Commissioned for Cole County My Commission Explires: December 12, 2020 Commission Number: 12412070

rullankin

Notary/Public