Filed May 19, 2011 **Data Center**

Missouri Public

Exhibit No.: 148

Service Commission

Issues: Normalized Billing

Units

Witness: James R. Pozzo

Sponsoring Party: Union Electric Company
Type of Exhibit: Direct Testimony
Case No.: ER-2011-0028
Date Testimony Prepared: September 3, 2010

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

CASE NO. ER-2011-0028

DIRECT TESTIMONY

OF

JAMES R. POZZO

ON

BEHALF OF

UNION ELECTRIC COMPANY d/b/a AmerenUE

> St. Louis, Missouri September, 2010

> > Date Stolu Reporter 81
> > File No Se-2011-0028

1	DIRECT TESTIMONY
2	OF
3	JAMES R. POZZO
4	CASE NO. ER-2011-0028
5	Q. Please state your name and business address.
6	A. James R. Pozzo, One Ameren Plaza, 1901 Chouteau Avenue, St. Louis,
7	Missouri 63103.
8	Q. By whom are you employed and in what position?
9	A. I am employed by Union Electric Company d/b/a AmerenUE
10	("AmerenUE" or "Company") as a Rate Engineer in the Missouri Regulated Services
11	Department.
12	Q. Please describe your educational background, work experience and
13	the duties of your position.
14	A. I received the degree of Bachelor of Science in Mechanical Engineering
15	from the University of Missouri-Rolla in December 1978. I began working at Union
16	Electric Company in January 1979 in the Power Operations Department, working as an
17	Engineer at the Ashley Plant for two years and at the Meramec Plant for five years.
18	During this time I was responsible for operations and maintenance support for assigned
19	plant equipment along with various other projects as assigned.
• •	
20	I transferred into Union Electric's Rate Engineering Department in September
	I transferred into Union Electric's Rate Engineering Department in September 1985. My current duties and responsibilities include assignments related to the

- 1 conducting rate analyses, developing and interpreting gas and electric tariffs, and
- 2 performing other rate or regulatory projects as assigned.

3 Q. What is the purpose of your direct testimony in this proceeding?

- 4 A. The purpose of my direct testimony is to develop weather normalized test
- 5 year billing units for the Company's Missouri jurisdictional electric operations, to adjust
- 6 revenues to reflect the rate increase implemented on June 21, 2010 as a result of the
- 7 Company's last rate proceeding, to adjust for the number of days in the billing year and
- 8 to account for customer growth through the proposed true-up period in this case (through
- 9 February 28, 2011).

14

10 Q. Please explain what is meant by the term "billing unit."

- 11 A. A billing unit is a quantity of electric customers, and usage (kilowatt-
- 12 hours), demand (kilowatts) or reactive demand (kilovar) data to which filed rates are
- applied in determining customers' bills.

Q. Please describe the billing units used by AmerenUE.

- 15 A. AmerenUE uses the following billing units: a) customer count;
- 16 b) kilowatt-hours, which are energy units; c) kilowatts, which are demand units; and
- d) kilovars, which are units of reactive demand. Depending on a customer's rate class,
- 18 two or more of these components are used to bill virtually all customers. The weather
- 19 normalized billing units I developed in this case are a compilation of the individual
- 20 customer billing units which occurred during the study period, adjusted to reflect normal
- 21 weather. The study period is the test year consisting of the twelve months ending
- 22 March 31, 2010. The weather normalized billing units were also adjusted for growth to
- 23 March 2010 and anticipated customer growth through February 2011, as noted earlier.

21

22

23

	James R. Pozzo
1	Q. What was the initial step you took in the development of the
2	Company's billing units for each customer class?
3	A. Existing Company reports contain aggregate kilowatt-hour sales and
4	revenues on a monthly basis for the Residential, Small General Service, Large Genera
5	Service, Small Primary Service, Large Primary Service and Large Transmission Service
6	rate classes. A more detailed monthly report provides the billing units that can be priced
7	at the Company's filed rates to calculate customer revenues. This report provides billing
8	data both by revenue month, which is the month for which the data was reported, and the
9	primary month, which is the month the data should have been reflected in customer bills
10	I used this report to assemble the billing data in the proper primary month. I then applied
11	the rates in effect during the test year for each specific rate class to the billing units for
12	each class. This results in the "Calculated Revenue Prev" for each class.
13	Q. Do the revenues calculated from this process exactly match the
14	revenues reported on the Company's books for the same time period?
15	A. While the comparison of calculated revenue and reported revenue match
16	closely, there will always be some difference between the two. The difference results
17	from billing adjustments which are made to a number of accounts each month due to
18	corrected billings, and initial and final bills.
19	Q. Did you analyze all of the rate classes using the billing unit reports?
20	A. No, I analyzed all but two of the rate classes in the same way. I used more

detailed data for the Large Primary Service class, obtaining individual customer data.

This was done because the Large Primary Service class contains only approximately

seventy customers who are generally the largest customers. The Large Transmission

- 1 Class contains only one customer, so I used actual bills to complete the data for this class.
- 2 This customer had also experienced operational problems due to a major storm in January
- 3 2009, so the data used for this class was for the full operational billing units from the time
- 4 period prior to the storm.
- 5 Q. Was there an adjustment made to reflect the rate increase on June 21,
- 6 2010?
- 7 A. Yes, as noted earlier, I priced the actual billing units for the test year at the
- 8 rates that were in effect on March 1, 2009, and again at the rates for the increase
- 9 implemented on June 21, 2010. The difference in these two amounts was the amount that
- 10 the actual revenues were adjusted to annualize actual revenue for the rate increase.
- 11 Q. Was the Lighting class rate increase adjustment calculated using the
- same method as was used for the other rate classes?
- 13 A. No, the Lighting class rate increase adjustment was calculated using the
- 14 Lighting percent increase for all of the months in the test year.
- O. After you verified the billing units associated with the Company's
- reported revenues and annualized the results to reflect the June 21, 2010 rate
- 17 increase, how were these billing units and revenues adjusted to reflect normal
- 18 weather?
- 19 A. I used weather adjustment ratios provided in the direct testimony of
- 20 Company witness Steven M. Wills for each billing month to adjust the monthly reported
- 21 sales to weather normalized sales. The kilowatt-hours in all of the rate blocks were
- adjusted by the weather ratios and the resulting units were priced at the June 2010 rates to
- 23 develop normalized billing units and revenues.

- 1 Q. How were the billing units and revenues adjusted to a 365 day test
- 2 year?

6

- 3 A. The annual kWh adjustment for each rate class provided by Mr. Wills was
- 4 used to factor all the kWhs in each rate class in order to adjust to a 365 day test year. The
- 5 revenue impact from this adjustment was calculated from the kWh adjustments.
 - Q. How were the billing units adjusted for customer growth?
- 7 A. The weather normalized billing units were adjusted for customer growth
- 8 by multiplying the monthly usage per customer by the customer counts as of March 2010,
- 9 and then again using forecast customer counts for February 2011, the end of the proposed
- true-up period, to calculate the customer growth through February 2011. The resulting
- 11 revenue, calculated from the 365-day adjustment and the growth adjusted billing units,
- 12 was then used to adjust the normalized billing units to calculate the total growth adjusted
- 13 revenues. The growth adjusted normal monthly billing units were then divided into the
- 14 summer and winter billing periods for presentation on Schedules JRP-E1 through
- 15 JRP-E6, attached hereto. Schedule JRP-E7 is a summary of the billing unit kilowatt-
- hours and revenues. These weather normalized and growth adjusted revenues and billing
- 17 units are used by Company witness Wilbon L. Cooper in his development of the
- 18 Company's proposed rates in this case. The normalized and growth adjusted revenues are
- 19 also used by Company witness Gary S. Weiss as an adjustment to revenues in Mr. Weiss'
- 20 cost of service study.
- 21 Q. What was the result of your billing units analysis?
- A. My analysis provides the normal billing units to be used to develop
- 23 proposed rates. Annualizing the rate increase implemented in June 21, 2010, accounted

Direct Testimony of James R. Pozzo

- 1 for a positive \$230.7 million adjustment to revenues. The study also shows that revenues
- 2 related to weather normalization must be increased by \$16.5 million. An adjustment of
- 3 \$0.2 million is required to adjust to a 365 day test year. An adjustment of \$21.1 million
- 4 is needed to account for growth through February 2011. All of these adjustments were
- 5 utilized by Mr. Weiss in his cost of service study.
- 6 Q. Does this conclude your direct testimony?
- 7 A. Yes, it does.

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of Union Electric Company d/b/a AmerenUE for Authority to File Tariffs Increasing Rates for Electric Service Provided to Customers in the Company's Missouri Service Area.) Case No. ER-2011-0028
AFFIDAVIT OF	JAMES R. POZZO
STATE OF MISSOURI)) ss	
CITY OF ST. LOUIS)	
James R. Pozzo, being first duly sworn on h	is oath, states:
1. My name is James R. Pozzo.	I work in the City of St. Louis, Missouri,
and I am employed by Union Electric Comp	any d/b/a AmerenUE as a Rate Engineer.
2. Attached hereto and made a p	part hereof for all purposes is my Direct
Testimony on behalf of AmerenUE consisting	ng of pages, Schedules JRP-E1 through
JRP-E7, all of which have been prepared in	written form for introduction into evidence in
the above-referenced docket.	
3. I hereby swear and affirm that	at my answers contained in the attached
testimony to the questions therein propound	ed are true and correct.
	my R. Post
	James R. Pozzo
Subscribed and sworn to before me this	day of September, 2010.
	dmanda Tesdall
My commission expires:	Notary Public
Amanda Tesc Notary S Missouri - S Commissi	dall - Notary Public Seal, State of St. Louis County on #07158967 n Expires 7/29/2011

Residential Service Rate AmerenUE - Missouri Weather Normalized-12 months ending March 2010 Growth to February 2011

Billing Components	_	Present
Summer (June - Septembe		
Customer Charge	Per Month	\$8.03
Customer Charge TOD Energy Charge:	Per Month	\$16.84
All Kwh	Cents per Kwh	9.67 ¢
TOD On Peak	Cents per Kwh	14.06 ¢
TOD Off Peak	Cents per Kwh	5.76 ¢
Winter (October - May)		
Customer Charge	Per Month	\$8.03
Customer Charge TOD	Per Month	\$16.84
Energy Charge:		
0- 750 Kwh	Cents per Kwh	6.87 ¢
All Kwh Over 750	Cents per Kwh	4.61 ¢
TOD On Peak	Cents per Kwh	8.30 ¢
TOD Off Peak	Cents per Kwh	4.10 ¢

Proof of Revenue		5 .		
	Units	Rate	<u>\$1,000</u>	
Summer				
Customer Charge	4,159,561	\$8.03	\$33,401	
Customer Charge TOD	143	\$16.84	\$2	
Mwh	4,711,199	\$0.09670	\$455,573	
TOD On Peak Mwh	75	\$0.14060	\$1 1	
TOD Off Peak Mwh_	133	\$0.05760	\$8_	
	4,711,407		\$488,995	
Winter				
Customer Charge	8,332,577	\$8.03	\$66,911	
Customer Charge TOD	292	\$16.84	\$ 5	
0-750 Mwh	5,015,439	\$0.06870	\$344,561	
Over 750 Mwh	4,200,388	\$0.04610	\$193,638	
TOD On Peak Mwh	126	\$0.08300	\$10	
TOD Off Peak Mwh _	290	\$0.04100	\$12	
Total MWH	9,216,243		\$605,136	
Total Res	13,927,650		\$1,094,131	

Small General Service Rate Comparison AmerenUE - Missouri Weather Normalized-12 months ending March 2010 Growth to February 2011

Billing Components	-	Present	
Summer (June - Septem	ber)		
Customer Charge:			
Single Phase Service	Per Month	\$9.33	
Three Phase Service	Per Month	\$18.61	
Single Phase Service TOD	Per Month	\$18.65	
Three Phase Service TOD	Per Month	\$37.24	
Lighting Cust Chrg	Per Month	\$5.17	
Energy Charge:			
All Kwh	Cents per Kwh	9.20 ¢	
TOD On Peak	Cents per Kwh	13.66 ¢	
TOD Off Peak	Cents per Kwh	5.56 ¢	
Winter (October - May)			
Customer Charge:			
Single Phase Service	Per Month	\$9.33	
Three Phase Service	Per Month	\$18.61	
Single Phase Service TOD	Per Month	\$18.65	
Three Phase Service TOD	Per Month	\$37.24	
Lighting Cust Chrg	Per Month	\$5.17	
Energy Charge:			
Base Use	Cents per Kwh	6.86 ¢	
Seasonal Use	Cents per Kwh	3.96 ¢	
TOD On Peak	Cents per Kwh	8.99 ¢	
TOD Off Peak	Cents per Kwh	4.12 ¢	

Proof of Revenue			
	Units	Rate	1000's
Summer			
Customer Charge - Single Phase	359,924	\$9.33	\$3,358
Customer Charge - Three Phase	151,034	\$18.61	\$2,811
Single Phase Service TOD	1,511	\$18.65	\$28
Three Phase Service TOD	320	\$37.24	\$12
Lighting Cust Chrg	23,016	\$5.17	\$119
Mwh	1,227,775	\$0.0920	\$112,955
TOD On Peak Mwh	6,859	\$0.1366	\$937
TOD Off Peak Mwh	12,041	\$0.0556	\$669
Summer Total MWH	1,246,675	_	\$120,890
Winter			
Customer Charge - Single Phase	720,298	\$9.33	\$6,720
Customer Charge - Three Phase	302,523	\$18.61	\$5,630
Single Phase Service TOD	3,287	\$18.65	\$61
Three Phase Service TOD	704	\$37.24	\$26
Lighting Cust Chrg	46,032	\$5.17	\$238
Winter Base Mwh	1,827,617	\$0.0686	\$125,375
Winter Seasonal Mwh	478,269	\$0,0396	\$18,939
TOD On Peak Mwh	13,220	\$0.0899	\$1.188
TOD Off Peak Mwh	24,390	\$0.0412	\$1,005
Winter Total MWH	2,343,496	•••••	\$159,183
Total	3,590,171		\$280,073

Large General Service Rate Comparison AmerenUE - Missouri Weather Normalized-12 months ending March 2010 Growth to February 2011

Billing Components	Present
Summer (June - September)	
Customer Charge Per Month	\$79.89
Customer Charge TOD Per Month	\$96.73
Energy Charge (¢ per kWh)	
First 150 kWh per KW	8.89 ¢
Next 200 kWh per KW	6.69 ¢
All over 350 kWh per KW	4.50 ¢
TOD On Peak Adjust, per Kwh	1.05 ¢
TOD Off Peak Adjust, per Kwh	-0.59 ¢
Demand	
Per KW of Billing Demand	\$4.15
Winter (October - May)	
Customer Charge Per Month	\$79.89
Customer Charge TOD Per Month	\$96.73
Energy Charge (¢ per kWh)	
First 150 kWh per KW	5.60 ¢
Next 200 kWh per KW	4.15 ¢
All over 350 kWh per KW	3.26 ¢
Seasonal Energy Charge	3.26 ¢
TOD On Peak Adjust, per Kwh	0.32 ¢
TOD Off Peak Adjust, per Kwh	-0.18 ¢
Demand	
Per KW of Billing Demand	\$1.54

	Units	Rate	\$1,000
Summer			
Customer Charge	40,477	\$79.89	\$3,234
Customer Charge TOD	127	\$96.73	\$12
Summer Energy Mwh			
0-150 hours	1,172,089	\$0.0889	\$104,199
151-350 hours	1,265,443	\$0.0669	\$84,658
Over 350 hours	505,946	\$0.0450	\$22,768
Seasonal	-375	\$0.0000	\$0
TOD On Peak	2,656	\$0.0105	\$28
TOD Off Peak	3,949	-\$0.0059	-\$23
Demand	8,498,894	\$4.15	\$35,270
		•	\$250,145
Winter			
Customer Charge	80,343	\$79.89	\$6,419
Customer Charge TOD	252	\$96.73	\$24
Winter Energy Mwh			
0-150 hours	1,916,223	\$0.0560	\$107,308
151-350 hours	2,071,824	\$0.0415	\$85,981
Over 350 hours	885,783	\$0.0326	\$28,877
Seasonal	404,827	\$0.0326	\$13,197
TOD On Peak	4,115	\$0.0032	\$13
TOD Off Peak	6,402	-\$0.0018	-\$12
Demand	15,606,076	\$1.54	\$24,033
		' <u>'</u>	\$265,841
	8,221,760		\$515,986

Small Primary Service Rate Comparison AmerenUE - Missouri Weather Normalized-12 months ending March 2010 Growth to February 2011

Billing Components	Present	
Entired Components	FIESEIII	•
Summer (June - September)		
Customer Charge Per Month	\$259.27	
Customer Charge TOD Per Month	\$276.11	
Energy Charge (¢ per kWh)	•	
First 150 kWh per KW	8.59	¢
Next 200 kWh per KW	6.47	
All over 350 kWh per KW	4.35	•
TOD On Peak Adjust, per Kwh	0,77	•
TOD Off Peak Adjust, per Kwh	-0.43	•
Demand	0.,,0	,
Per KW of Billing Demand	\$3.44	
Billing Kvars	30	ć
Rider B 34kv		r
Per KW	99	ć
Rider B 138kv		,
Per KW	117	¢
Winter (October - May)		
Customer Charge Per Month	\$259,27	
Customer Charge TOD Per Month	\$276,11	
Energy Charge (¢ per kWh)		
First 150 kWh per KW	5.41	¢
Next 200 kWh per KW	4.02	•
All over 350 kWh per KW	3.15	
Seasonal Energy Charge	3.15	•
TOD On Peak Adjust, per Kwh	0.29	
TOD Off Peak Adjust, per Kwh	-0.15	•
Demand		-
Per KW of Billing Demand	\$1.25	
Billing Kvars	30	¢
Rider B 34kv		,
Per KW	99	ć
Rider B 138kv	**	,
Per KW	117	đ

roof of Revenue	Units	Rate	\$1,000
Summer			<u> </u>
Customer Charge	2,538	\$259,27	\$658
Customer Charge TOD	38	\$276.11	\$10
Summer Energy Mwh			
0-150 hours	412,391	\$0,0859	\$35,424
151-350 hours	506,766	\$0.0647	\$32,788
Over 350 hours	351,444	\$0.0435	\$15.288
Seasonal	-26	\$0.0000	\$0
TOD On Peak	8,144	\$0,0077	\$63
TOD Off Peak	12,278	-\$0.0043	(\$53)
Demand	2,817,662	\$3,44	\$9,693
Billing Kvars	556,710	\$0.30	\$167
Rider B 34kv	287,279	\$0.99	(\$284)
Rider B 138kv	0	\$1.17	\$0
		•	\$93,754
Winter			
Customer Charge	5,078	\$259.27	\$1,317
Customer Charge TOD	73	\$276.11	\$20
Winter Energy Mwh			•
0-150 hours	685,722	\$0.0541	\$37.098
151-350 hours	838,156	\$0.0402	\$33,694
Over 350 hours	608,910	\$0.0315	\$19,181
Seasonal	151,973	\$0.0315	\$4,787
TOD On Peak	14,828	\$0.0029	\$43
TOD Off Peak	23,357	-\$0.0015	(\$35)
Demand	5,062,979	\$1.25	\$6,329
Billing Kvars	979,125	\$0.30	\$294
Rider B 34kv	553,934	\$0.99	(\$548)
Rider B 138kv	0	\$1.17	\$0
		•	\$102,178
	3,555,336		\$195,932

Large Primary Service Rate Comparison AmerenUE - Missouri Weather Normalized-12 months ending March 2010

Growth to February 2011

Billing Components		Present	
Summer (June - Septem	ber)		
Customer Charge	Per Month	\$308.77	
Customer Charge TOD	Per Month	\$325.61	
Demand Charge	Per KW of Billing Demand	\$17.29	
Energy Charge:			
All Kwh	Cents per Kwh	2.90 ¢	
TOD On Peak A	djust. per Kwh	0.56 ¢	
TOD Off Peak A	djust. per Kwh	-0.31 ¢	
Reactive Charge	Cents per kVar	30 ¢	
Rider B 34kv	Per KW	99 ¢	
Ríder B 138kv	Per KW	117 ¢	
Winter (October - May)			
Customer Charge	Per Month	\$308.77	
Customer Charge TOD	Per Month	\$325.61	
Demand Charge	Per KW of Billing Demand	\$7.85	
Energy Charge:	•		
All Kwh	Cents per Kwh	2.56 ¢	
TOD On Peak A		0.26 ¢	
TOD Off Peak A	djust. per Kwh	-0.13 ¢	
Reactive Charge	Cents per kVar	30 ¢	
Rider B 34kv	Per KW	99 ¢	
Rider B 138kv	Per KW	117 ¢	

Proof of Revenue			
	Units	Rate	1000's
Summer			
Customer Charge	292	\$308.77	\$90
Customer Charge TOD	12	\$16.84	\$0
Summer Mwh	1,381,210	\$0.0290	\$40,055
TOD On Peak	27,258	\$0.0056	\$153
TOD Off Peak	52,744	-\$0.0031	-\$164
Demand	2,603,538	\$17.29	\$45,015
Billing Kvars	318,385	\$0.30	\$96
Rider B 34kv	706,026	\$0.99	(\$699)
Rider B 138kv	172,041	\$1.17	(\$201)
			\$84,345
Winter			
Customer Charge	584	\$308.77	\$180
Customer Charge TOD	24	\$16.84	\$0
Winter Mwh	2,423,706	\$0.0256	\$62,047
TOD On Peak	44,054	\$0.0026	\$115
TOD Off Peak	89,680	-\$0.0013	-\$117
Demand	4,574,755	\$7.85	\$35,912
Billing Kvars	498,488	\$0.30	\$150
Rider B 34kv	1,236,653	\$0.99	(\$1,224)
Rider B 138kv	332,278	\$1.17	(\$389)
			\$96,674
	3,804,916		\$181,019

Large Transmission Service Rate AmerenUE - Missouri Weather Normalized-12 months ending March 2010

Growth	to	Febru	Jary	2011
--------	----	-------	------	------

Summer (June - Septem	ber)		
Customer Charge	Per Month	\$1,758.77	
Demand Charge Energy Charge:	Per KW of Billing Demand	\$12.760	
All Kwh	Cents per Kwh	2.421 ¢	
Line Loss Kwh	Cents per Kwh	3.27 ¢	
Reactive Charge	Cents per kVar	30 ¢	
Winter (October - May)			
Customer Charge	Per Month	\$1,758.77	
	Per Month Per KW of Billing Demand	\$1,758.77 \$4.870	
Customer Charge Demand Charge		·	
Customer Charge Demand Charge Energy Charge:	Per KW of Billing Demand	\$4.870	

Proof of Revenue			
_	Units	Rate	1000's
Summer			
Customer Charge	4	\$1,758.77	\$7
Summer Mwh	1,373,281	\$0.02421	\$33,247
Line Loss Mwh	48,065	\$0.03270	\$1,572
Demand	1,902,596	\$12.760	\$24,277
Billing Kvars	0	0.3	\$0
		•	\$59,103
Winter			
Customer Charge	8	\$1,758.77	\$14
Winter Mwh	2,745,737	\$0.02132	\$58,539
Line Loss Mwh	96,101	\$0.03270	\$3,143
Demand	3,814,346	\$4.87	\$18,576
Billing Kvars	0	\$0.30	\$0
		·	\$80,272
	4,119,018		\$139,375
			\$139,375

AmerenUE - Missouri Weather Normalized-12 months ending March 2010 Growth to February 2011

	Normal Bill Unit MWH	Billing Unit Revenue
Residential	13,927,650	\$1,094,131,327
Small General Service	3,590,171	\$280,072,907
Large General Service	8,221,760	\$515,986,493
Small Primary Service	3,555,336	\$195,931,760
Large Primary Service	3,804,916	\$181,018,908
Large Transmission Service	4,119,018	\$134,660,338
Lighting	231,461	\$31,160,072
MSD		\$63,940_
Total	37,450,312	\$2,433,025,745
Large Transmission Service Line	Losses	\$4,714,216
		\$2,437,739,961