

FILED

JUL 09 2015

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

Missouri Public
Service Commission

In the Matter of Kansas City Power & Light)
Company's Request for Authority to Implement) File No. ER-2014-0370, et al.
A General Rate Increase for Electric Service)

RESPONSE TO COMMISSIONER REQUESTS FOR INFORMATION

COMES NOW Kansas City Power & Light Company ("KCP&L" or "Company") and in response to Commissioner requests for information made during the hearing respectfully states as follows:

1. On June 15, 2015, during opening statements, Commissioner Kenney requested information regarding historical property taxes paid by KCP&L (Tr. p. 35, ll. 4-17) and Chairman Kenney requested information regarding the impact of energy efficiency and solar installations on KCP&L's kWh sales. (Tr. p. 43, l. 11 through p. 44, l. 2) Information responsive to these requests by Commissioner Kenney and Chairman Kenney is appended hereto as Attachment 1.

2. On June 17, 2015, during the hearing on the issue of the Clean Charge Network (Issue XVIII), Chairman Kenney and Commissioner Kenney requested a variety of information regarding the Clean Charge Network and electric vehicle charging stations generally. (Tr. p. 602, ll. 1-14; Tr. p. 608, ll. 7-14; and Tr. p. 609, ll. 1-21) Information responsive to these requests by Chairman Kenney and Commissioner Kenney is appended hereto as Attachment 2.

3. On June 19, 2015, during the hearing on the issues of Affiliate transactions and corporate cost allocations and management audit request (Issues XVI and XVII), Commissioner Rupp requested information regarding customer and employee satisfaction surveys undertaken by KCP&L. (Tr. p. 1167, ll. 1-16) Information responsive to this request by Commissioner Rupp is appended hereto as Attachment 3.

KCP&L Exhibit No. 149
Date 6/29/15 Reporter JL
File No. ER-2014-0370

4. As responses to requests for information made by Commissioners as described above, KCP&L requests the admission of Attachments 1, 2 and 3 into record evidence. KCP&L personnel are available to answer questions regarding Attachments 1, 2 and 3 during the week of June 29 if so desired by the Commission or the presiding officer.

WHEREFORE, KCP&L respectfully provides this response to Commissioner requests for information and moves for the admission of Attachments 1, 2 and 3 into record evidence.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Roger W. Steiner

Robert J. Hack, MBE# 36496
Roger W. Steiner, MBE #39586
Kansas City Power & Light Company
1200 Main Street
Kansas City, MO 64105
(816) 556-2785
(816) 556-2787 (Fax)
Rob.Hack@kcpl.com
Roger.Steiner@kcpl.com

Attorneys for Kansas City Power & Light Company

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I do hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing document has been hand-delivered, emailed or mailed, postage prepaid, this 26th day of June, 2015, to all parties of record.

/s/ Roger W. Steiner

Roger W. Steiner

ATTACHMENT 1

Kansas City Power & Light Company
 5-Year Summary of KCP&L Property Taxes By Calendar Year
 (RAK-10 Exhibit - Extended for period: 2005 - 2014)
 MPSC Filings

	Total Actual Property Taxes Charged By Calendar Year									
	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009	2008	2007	2006	2005
Total Property Taxes:										
Total Property Taxes (excluding PILOTs)	87,581,940	82,212,720	76,721,385	74,539,929	71,954,230	66,897,155	66,628,631	60,620,669	57,911,549	55,084,697
Payments in Lieu of Taxes (PILOTs)	825,767	804,364	783,520	763,220	357,090	347,820	338,702	330,000	-	-
Total Property Taxes	88,407,707	83,017,084	77,504,905	75,303,149	72,311,320	67,244,975	66,967,333	60,950,669	57,911,549	55,084,697

Source:

MPSC Data Request #	#0104R	#0104	#0104	#0214	#0172T	#0172	#0172	#0151	#0151	#0264
Date Provided Response	Feb 2015	Dec 2014	Dec 2014	Apr 2012	Jan 2011	June 2010	June 2010	Oct. 2008	Oct. 2008	Jun 2006

KCP&L-MO Annual Energy Savings*

Year	Incremental MEEIA** MWh	Incremental Pre-MEEIA MWh	Total Incremental MWh	Cumulative MWh
2005		360	360	360
2006		1,559	1,559	1,919
2007		8,456	8,456	10,376
2008		16,231	16,231	26,606
2009		23,482	23,482	50,088
2010		34,241	34,241	84,329
2011		26,663	26,663	110,992
2012		33,942	33,942	144,935
2013		32,751	32,751	177,686
2014	41,540	14,405	55,945	233,631
***2015	68,716		68,716	302,347

* MWh savings are at the meter and must be grossed up for losses to get load impacts. 2014 losses are estimated at 6.56%.

** KCP&L-MO MEEIA programs began July, 2014.

***Estimated based on approved MEEIA programs

DSM and Customer-Owned Load Impacts - KCP&L Missouri

	Annual MWh	Percent of 2014 Retail Energy Sales
Estimated Annual Customer-Owned Solar Generation:****	19,696	0.23%
Estimated Annual MEEIA Energy Savings:	41,540	0.49%
Estimated Annual DSM Energy Savings through 2015:	302,347	3.53%

2014 KCPL-Mo Retail Energy Sales (Report 1A): 8,554,331

**** Once KCP&L rebate cap reached

Estimated cost to achieve MEEIA savings in 2015	\$0.17612 per kwh
2014 Average Retail Rate all classes (Report 1A)	\$0.09533 per kwh
2014 Average annual use per Residential Customer (Report 1A)	10,696 kwh
Loss of sales due to DSM in terms of avg household use	28,267 households

ATTACHMENT 2

State	Utility	Proceeding Title	Program/Proceeding Type	# Stations	Program Detail/Proceeding Focus	Filing Date	Order date	Order #	Notes
MO	KCP&L	ER-2014-0370	Clean Charge Network	1,000	Public - retail, workplaces, MUD	02/20/2015			
KS	KCP&L	15-KCPE-116-RTS	Clean Charge Network	1,000	Public - retail, workplaces, MUD	02/20/2015			
	All	R-09-09-009	PUC Rulemaking	..	Procedural utilities from owning EVCS except for their own fleet or employee charging. Left open to revisit if utilities show market failure to provide adequate infrastructure.	08/20/2009	07/14/2011	D-11-07-028	
	All	R-13-1-007	PUC Rulemaking	..	Rate-of-return on utility ownership of EV charging infrastructure and replace it with case-specific approach.	04/2011	12/18/2014	D-14-12-079	Settlement changes. Heat to attend EVCS; SOG&E to allow multiple Station Services; order RTP billed to Heat option.
CA	SOG&E	A-14-04-014	VQI Pilot Program	5,500	Workplaces and MUD only. Rate base all infra. EVCS areas across all rate classes; VQI RTP billed on Cost Bill.	04/11/2014	6/3/2015	Pending Settlement	Settlement changes. Heat to attend EVCS; SOG&E to allow multiple Station Services; order RTP billed to Heat option.
	All	R-13-1-007	PUC Rulemaking	..	Rate-of-return on utility ownership of EV charging infrastructure and replace it with case-specific approach.	04/2011	12/18/2014	D-14-12-079	Settlement changes. Heat to attend EVCS; SOG&E to allow multiple Station Services; order RTP billed to Heat option.
	SOG&E	A-14-04-014	VQI Pilot Program	5,500	Workplaces and MUD only. Rate base all infra. EVCS areas across all rate classes; VQI RTP billed on Cost Bill.	04/11/2014	6/3/2015	Pending Settlement	Settlement changes. Heat to attend EVCS; SOG&E to allow multiple Station Services; order RTP billed to Heat option.
	PO&E	A-15-02-009	Electric Vehicle Program	25,000	Public, workplaces and MUD. Rate base all infra across all rate classes; Heat: Price set by Heat/EVSP	02/09/2015			Of the three CA programs, PO&E is model as closest to the KCP&L Clean Charge Network.
	All	A81005	Legislation	..	Rate recovery for distribution stakeholders and service lines to, and installation of, 200 Blue Indy owned car sharing/charging locations.	02/26/2016	2/11/2015	na	Amended March 28
IN	IP&L	44478	Blue Indy EV Car Sharing Project	..	Rate recovery for distribution stakeholders and service lines to, and installation of, 200 Blue Indy owned car sharing/charging locations.	04/10/2014	2/11/2015	na	Allowed rate base and recovery of customer service costs, did not allow recovery of installation costs for Blue Indy owned charging locations and equipment.
WA	All	SH8-1871	Legislation	..	Commission shall not regulate battery charging facilities provided by entities not classified as utilities.	1/28/2014	7/22/2014	na	Utilities may offer EV charging as regulated service.
WA	All	SH8-1853	Legislation	..	Provide clear policy directive and financial incentive to utilities for electric vehicle infrastructure build-out.	2/19/2015	7/24/2015	SH8-1853	Utilities may rate base EVCS infrastructure when provided as regulated service. Establish EVCS incentive ROE 4.7%.
OR	All	UM1491	PUC Investigative Docket - EV Charging	..	Addressing Non-utility ownership of EVSE. Utility ownership of EVSE without Rate Recovery.	12/2/2009	1/19/2012	12-013	Utility EVCS infrastructure may be unregulated or regulated; if regulated it may require ratepayer benefit analysis and creation of a separate EV Rate Class.
AZ	APS	E-01345A-10-0123	EV Readiness Demo Proj.	48 L2 S L3	Proposed EVPS public rate rate included 18 cent/kwhr infrastructure charge plus a TOU energy charge. If pilot discontinued infra to be recovered through normal rate-making.	10/1/2010	6/15/2011	72582	Did not approve public rate tariff, may require public rate tariff at such time until such time as APS can demonstrate a need for company owned charging infrastructure. 2014 annual report did not request but found public charging infrastructure infrastructure.
GA	GA Pwr.	nonDocket Lit.	Elec. Transportation Initiative	50 islands w/ L2 & DCFS	Company will install, own, operate, and maintain EV charging islands. Payments collected will offset program costs. CarPwr not offering a retail electric service.	10/24/2014	na	na	The Company plans to provide the Commission an update on the status of the Initiative in 2018.


* Washington and Oregon are places where the penetration is slightly better than KCP&L's, but have similar market sizes and rural areas. Both of these areas contemplate that utility infrastructure is needed with Washington going as far as to authorize the potential for incentives.

KCP&L Clean Charge Network 6-25-15



Made with Google My Maps

- GMO MPS
 - MoPub1
- GMO SLP
 - SJLP1
- KCPL MO
 - KCPL MO1
- KCPL KS
 - KCPL KS1
- CCN Host Locations
 - Installed 107 Stations
 - In Process 227 Stations



KCP&L Clean Charge Network 6-25-15



Made with Google My Maps

GMO MPS



GMO SLP



KCPL MO




KCPL KS



CCN Host Locations

★ Installed 107 Stations

● In Process 227 Stations



KCP&L Clean Charge Network 6-25-15



Made with Google My Maps


KCPL KS

KCPL MO

- KCPL MO1
- KCPL MO2
- KCPL MO3
- KCPL MO4

CCN Host Locations

- Installed 107 Stations
- In Process 227 Stations



GMO MPS estimated share \$5-\$7 million

GMO estimated share \$5-\$7 million
 KCP&L MO estimated share \$7-\$9 million
 KCP&L KS estimated share \$5-\$7 million

ATTACHMENT 3

KCP&L Customer/Employee Satisfaction

Throughout the year, KCP&L conducts multiple surveys that provide valuable customer insights. This information is used to guide our company as we look for opportunities to improve upon the customer experience.

Customers have a more favorable impression of KCP&L than other local businesses in the area. Eight out of ten customers surveyed have a favorable impression of KCP&L.

<i>Thinking about KCP&L, please tell me if you have a favorable or unfavorable impression of each company? (March 2015)</i>					
<i>N=600</i>	KCP&L	MGE	Sprint	Time Warner	UMB Bank
<i>Favorable</i>	80%	45%	34%	33%	35%
<i>Unfavorable</i>	12%	9%	21%	23%	5%
<i>Does not serve my area</i>	1%	21%	11%	15%	17%
<i>Don't know/No Opinion</i>	6%	25%	34%	29%	44%

Source: WPA Customer Tracking Study

KCP&L is consistently ranked high in both customer service (89%) and overall job performance (89%). Over half, are either "Very Satisfied" or "Strongly Approve" of KCP&L's electric service.

<i>What is your overall level of satisfaction with KCP&L's customer service? Would you say you are...</i>					
	Apr 2014	Jul 2014	Oct 2014	Jan 2015	Mar 2015
	<i>N=600</i>	<i>N=600</i>	<i>N=603</i>	<i>N=603</i>	<i>N=600</i>
Total Satisfied	87%	85%	89%	89%	89%
Total Dissatisfied	10%	11%	8%	8%	8%
<i>Very Satisfied</i>	53%	55%	58%	51%	52%
<i>Somewhat Satisfied</i>	34%	30%	31%	38%	36%
<i>Don't know</i>	3%	5%	3%	4%	4%
<i>Somewhat Dissatisfied</i>	4%	5%	4%	3%	5%
<i>Very Dissatisfied</i>	6%	6%	4%	4%	3%

Source: WPA Customer Tracking Study

<i>Do you approve or disapprove of the overall job KCP&L is doing as your electricity provider?</i>					
	Apr 2014	Jul 2014	Oct 2014	Jan 2015	Mar 2015
	<i>N=600</i>	<i>N=600</i>	<i>N=603</i>	<i>N=603</i>	<i>N=600</i>
Total Approve	87%	88%	90%	90%	89%
Total Disapprove	10%	10%	9%	8%	9%
<i>Strongly Approve</i>	57%	56%	55%	51%	52%
<i>Somewhat Approve</i>	31%	33%	34%	39%	36%
<i>Don't know</i>	2%	2%	1%	2%	2%
<i>Somewhat Disapprove</i>	5%	4%	5%	3%	5%
<i>Strongly Disapprove</i>	5%	6%	5%	5%	3%

Source: WPA Customer Tracking Study

Most customers feel KCP&L is an honest company (79%) and are a good corporate citizen (76%) within the communities they serve.

Would you say that KCP&L is an honest or dishonest company in their dealings with customers and the community?					
	Apr 2014	Jul 2014	Oct 2014	Jan 2015	Mar 2015
	N=600	N=600	N=603	N=603	N=600
Total Honest	83%	80%	81%	81%	79%
Total Dishonest	7%	9%	7%	10%	7%
<i>Very Honest</i>	48%	54%	52%	48%	45%
<i>Somewhat Honest</i>	35%	27%	29%	32%	34%
<i>Don't know</i>	11%	10%	12%	9%	14%
<i>Somewhat Dishonest</i>	4%	5%	5%	6%	4%
<i>Very Dishonest</i>	3%	4%	2%	4%	3%

Source: WPA Customer Tracking Study

Would you say that KCP&L is a good corporate citizen, that is to say that as a company they try to conduct business in a way that improves/benefits the communities they serve?					
	Apr 2014	Jul 2014	Oct 2014	Jan 2015	Mar 2015
	N=600	N=600	N=603	N=603	N=600
Total Good	71%	73%	71%	71%	76%
Total Bad	12%	12%	11%	12%	9%
<i>Very Good</i>	40%	43%	43%	37%	38%
<i>Somewhat Good</i>	32%	30%	28%	34%	38%
<i>Don't know</i>	16%	15%	18%	17%	14%
<i>Somewhat Bad</i>	6%	5%	5%	6%	5%
<i>Very Bad</i>	6%	7%	5%	6%	4%

Source: WPA Customer Tracking Study

Over half of customers (58%) feel that KCP&L is fair in the way that they price electricity.

Would you say that KCP&L is fair or unfair in the way that they price electricity for their customers?					
	Apr 2014	Jul 2014	Oct 2014	Jan 2015	Mar 2015
	N=600	N=600	N=603	N=603	N=600
Total Fair	54%	58%	57%	59%	58%
Total Unfair	23%	21%	22%	23%	23%
<i>Very Fair</i>	20%	23%	21%	16%	16%
<i>Somewhat Fair</i>	35%	36%	36%	43%	42%
<i>Don't know</i>	22%	20%	21%	18%	19%
<i>Somewhat Unfair</i>	12%	9%	13%	13%	13%
<i>Very Unfair</i>	11%	12%	8%	10%	10%

Source: WPA Customer Tracking Study

KCP&L also measures customer satisfaction among those that contact the company's call center. The following measures show the mean scores for key metrics that are tracked. This study includes all different call types including those starting/transferring new services. Those that are transferred to Allconnect answer additional questions to monitor customer satisfaction specific to that experience. Over half (56%) of customers indicated that their experience with Allconnect had a positive impact on their opinion of KCP&L.

KCP&L Call Center Customer Satisfaction Study		
	2014	YTD 2015
	N=1209	N=505
<i>How would you rate the electric service that KCP&L provides? (Using a 10pt scale, where 1 is Unacceptable, 10 is Outstanding, and 5 is Average)</i>	8.4	8.8
<i>Overall, how would you rate this customer service experience? (Using a 10pt scale, where 1 is Unacceptable, 10 is Outstanding, and 5 is Average)</i>	8.5	8.9
<i>How would you rate the KCP&L customer representative on an overall basis? (Using a 10pt scale, where 1 is Unacceptable, 10 is Outstanding, and 5 is Average)</i>	8.9	9.2
<i>Was your problem/issue resolved or your question(s) answered during the first call? (% = Yes)</i>	86%	88%
<i>How would you rate the process of starting /transferring service with KCP&L in terms of being easy and smooth? (Using a 10pt scale, where 1 is Unacceptable, 10 is Outstanding, and 5 is Average)</i>	8.9	9.3
<i>And, in terms of starting service with KCP&L, would you say your experience with the Allconnect agent...?</i>		
<i>Positively impacted your opinion of KCP&L overall</i>	43%	56%
<i>Negatively impacted your opinion of KCP&L overall</i>	13%	9%
<i>Did not impact your opinion of KCP&L</i>	44%	36%
<i>Don't know</i>	0%	0%

Source: KCP&L VOC Study (May 2015)

Employees also have very favorable ratings of KCP&L as a place to work along with other key metrics.

KCP&L 2014 Employee Engagement Survey Results					
	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
<i>I am proud to work for KCP&L (N=1,708)</i>	31%	47%	18%	3%	1%
<i>I would recommend KCP&L as a great place to work (N=1,708)</i>	26%	43%	23%	6%	2%
<i>I believe that KCP&L contributes positively to the communities we serve (N=1,694)</i>	33%	52%	12%	2%	1%
<i>KCP&L provides a safe work environment (N=1,697)</i>	28%	55%	12%	3%	2%

Source: 2014 KCP&L Employee Engagement Survey