FILED

DEC 2 2 2011

Exhibit No.:

Accounting Authority Order Issues:

Witness:

Michael R. Noack

Sponsoring Party:

Missouri Gas Energy

Case No.:

GU-2011-0392

Type of Exhibit: Direct Testimony

Date:

October 11, 2011

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

MISSOURI GAS ENERGY

CASE NO. GU-2011-0392

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF MICHAEL R. NOACK

Jefferson City, Missouri

October 2011

Table of Contents

INTRODUCTION	.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
PURPOSE	
JOPLIN TORNADO	
AFFECTED SERVICE TERRITORY	3
MGE'S ACTION AND IMPACTS	
NATURE OF AN AAO	12
CONDITIONS	14
CONCLUSION	
ACCOUNTING AUTHORITY ORDER LANGUAGE	

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF MICHAEL R. NOACK

CASE NO. GU-2011-0392

OCTOBER 2011

1		INTRODUCTION
2	Q.	WOULD YOU PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND BUSINESS
4		ADDRESS?
5	A.	My name is Michael R. Noack and my business address is 3420 Broadway
6		Kansas City, Missouri 64111.
7		
8	Q.	BY WHOM ARE YOU EMPLOYED AND IN WHAT CAPACITY?
9	A.	l am employed by Missouri Gas Energy, a division of Southern Union Company
10		("MGE" or "Company"), as Director of Pricing and Regulatory Affairs.
11		
12	Q.	PLEASE DESCRIBE YOUR EDUCATIONAL AND PROFESSIONAL
13		EXPERIENCE.
14	A.	I received a Bachelor of Science in Business Administration with a major in
15		Accounting from the University of Missouri in Columbia in 1973. Upon
16		graduation, I was employed by Troupe Kehoe Whiteaker & Kent ("TKWK"), a
17		Certified Public Accounting Firm in Kansas City, Missouri. I spent
18		approximately 20 years working with TKWK or firms that were formed by former
19		TKWK employees or partners. I was involved during that time in public utility
20		consulting and financial accounting, concentrating primarily on rate cases for
21		electric and gas utilities and financial audits of independent telephone companies
22		across the United States. In 1992, I started Carleton B. Fox Co. Inc. of Kansas
23		City which was an energy consulting company specializing in billing analysis and

tariff selection for large commercial and industrial customers. In July of 2000, I started my employment with MGE. Presently, I hold, in good standing, a Certified Public Accountant certificate in the state of Kansas and am a member of the Kansas Society of Certified Public Accountants.

Α.

PURPOSE

Q. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY IN THIS PROCEEDING?

The purpose of my testimony is to support MGE's request that the Commission issue an Accounting Authority Order ("AAO") that will authorize the Company to defer and record to the Uniform System of Accounts ("USOA") Account 182.3, Other Regulatory Assets, the incremental costs (net of any insurance proceeds) and loss of the fixed cost recovery provided by the Company's distribution rates incurred by MGE and related to the events surrounding the May 22, 2011 Joplin, Missouri, tornado.

JOPLIN TORNADO

A.

Q. WOULD YOU PLEASE DESCRIBE THE EVENT THAT FORMS THE

21 BASIS FOR MGE'S AAO REQUEST?

On Sunday, May 22, 2011, at approximately 5:40 p.m., what has been described as the worst tornado in the United States in the last 60 years and one of the deadliest in American history, struck Joplin, Missouri. The tornado followed a six-mile long path through the middle of Joplin destroying much of the city's

central and south side. Thousands of homes and businesses as well as schools, churches, offices and a hospital were destroyed by winds reported to have peaked at between 225 and 250 mph. The tornado was designated by the National Weather Service as an EF-5, the strongest category on the Enhanced Fujita Scale. The most recent death toll stands at 162 people with more than 900 people estimated to have been injured. The Joplin Chamber of Commerce reported that at least 300 businesses were lost and 4,000 employees were affected by the tornado. A map showing the path of the tornado is marked as <u>Schedule MRN-1</u>, and attached hereto. Residential and business customers in Joplin as well as other communities (primarily Duquesne) served by MGE lost gas service due to the tornado and related severe weather.

AFFECTED SERVICE TERRITORY

Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE MGE'S SERVICE TERRITORY AFFECTED BY THE TORNADO THAT STRUCK JOPLIN ON MAY 22, 2011.

A. The area affected by the tornado was entirely within MGE's service territory. It impacted Joplin, which for MGE billing purposes is designated as Town Code 501, and the town of Duquesne, which is designated as Town Code 515. In April 2011, the billing month immediately preceding the tornado, MGE served 16,165 customers in Joplin and 533 customers in Duquesne.

1		MGE'S ACTION AND IMPACTS
2	Q.	HOW DID MGE RESPOND TO THIS EVENT?
4	A.	In addition to MGE's Southern Division work force of 35 outside plant personnel
5		approximately 125 additional employees from other parts of MGE's service
6		territory and 20 contract employees traveled to Joplin to assist in responding to
7		gas leaks due to damaged facilities in the tornado-affected area and make sure that
8		the system was safe.
9		
10	Q.	WHAT WAS THE IMPACT ON THE SERVICE PROVIDED BY MGE IN
11		JOPLIN?
12	A.	MGE was required to shut down over ten miles of gas mains and the company
13		lost approximately 3,200 gas meters due to the fact that no structure remained at
14		many service addresses. Hundreds of other customers were also without gas
15		service because of the extensive damage done to their property.
16		
17	Q.	WHAT IS THE CURRENT ESTIMATED COST OF THIS EVENT TO
18		MGE?
19	A.	MGE estimates the cost of rebuilding and repairing the damage to its gas system
20		resulting from the tornado and severe weather to be approximately \$8 million.
21		This includes expenditures necessary to replace the infrastructure within the
22		destroyed area. The total amount of these costs will necessarily depend on what
23		is rebuilt and when the rebuilding occurs. Other costs not included in this
24		estimate are incremental depreciation expense and carrying costs associated with
25		the capital expenditures related to the replacement facilities As discussed more

fully below, MGE expects that a significant amount of these costs will be recovered through insurance, but the timing and amount of that recovery is uncertain. However, any potential insurance recovery will not include the significant loss of fixed cost recovery from MGE's distribution rates. Accordingly, as authorized by relevant accounting rules, it is critical for MGE to have the ability to defer these financial impacts and, if necessary, present them for rate treatment during its next rate proceeding.

- 9 Q. WHAT IS THE CURRENT ESTIMATE OF MGE'S DIRECT CAPITAL

 10 COSTS AND OPERATING EXPENSES ASSOCIATED WITH THIS

 11 TORNADO?
- 12 A. The most recent cost estimate prepared as of September 30, 2011 is \$7,984,831 and is attached as Schedule MRN-2.

- 15 Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE WHAT CAPITAL COSTS AND OPERATING
 16 EXPENSES ARE INCLUDED IN YOUR CALCULATION AND HOW
 17 YOU DETERMINED THE AMOUNT OF THOSE COSTS AND
 18 EXPENSES.
- As of September 30, 2011 MGE had removed 3,196 meters with an estimated replacement cost of \$170 per meter for a total of \$542,841. The replacement cost of the 2,190 individual services that have been cut and capped is \$690 per service for a total of \$1,511,604. The cost to rerun the 1,053 services that were retired with the main is \$1,812 per service for a total of \$1,908,036. Finally the replacement cost for the over 10 miles of main which will need to be replaced is

1		\$50 per foot for a total of \$2,704,250 making the total amount of capital related
2		costs \$6,666,731 as of September 30, 2011.
3		
4		On the expense side, MGE has used special tracking codes to account for the
5		labor and other costs incurred to respond to the emergency, make sure the system
6		was safe and expedite the repairs to the system. Those costs through September
7		30, 2011 have amounted to \$1,318,101.
8		
9	Q.	DO THOSE COSTS INCLUDE THE IMPACT THE EVENT HAS HAD ON
10		MGE'S REVENUES RESULTING FROM THE LOSS OF THE FIXED
11		COST RECOVERY FROM THOSE CUSTOMERS NO LONGER
12		CONNECTED TO MGE'S SYSTEM?
13	A.	No.
14		
15	Q.	DOES MGE HAVE INSURANCE THAT MAY PROVIDE SOME
16		COVERAGE FOR THIS EVENT?
17	A.	Yes.
18		
19	Q.	HOW WILL MGE TREAT ANY INSURANCE RECOVERIES?
20	A.	MGE has insurance that may provide coverage for repair, rebuilding, and
21 ·		construction costs related to the tornado. MGE is currently providing information
22		to its insurance carrier, but does not yet have an indication of the amount of
23		insurance recovery or when it will receive payment. As part of an AAO, MGE
24		would ask for the authority to defer and record costs related to the tornado net of

any insurance proceeds. MGE's insurance does not cover lost revenue or losses related to fixed cost recovery from its distribution rates. Accordingly, the ability to defer lost revenues and fixed cost recovery amounts is a key component of this request for an AAO.

Α.

Q. WHAT IMPACT HAS THE EVENT HAD ON MGE'S REVENUES?

MGE's margin revenues (i.e., its distribution rates as opposed to its PGA rates) have been, and will continue to be, significantly reduced due to the number of customers impacted by the tornado. The Company initially estimated that approximately 6,000 to 8,000 residential, commercial, and industrial customers in Joplin had damaged or destroyed structures and approximately 70% - 80% of those customers would not be ready for service in the foresceable future. That initial estimate was high and currently MGE estimates that approximately 3,500 customers have had service cut off and will not be ready for service in the near future. These include St. John's Hospital, one of MGE's top ten customers, as well as other commercial customers. The loss of the fixed cost recovery resulting from these lost customers will adversely affect the Company's financial condition.

Q. HAS THE LOSS OF THESE CUSTOMERS RESULTED IN LOWER COSTS FOR MGE?

22 A. No. The same number of employees work out of the Joplin service center and the other operating expenses continue to be incurred by MGE.

Q. HAS THIS LOSS OF MARGIN REVENUES IMPAIRED THE ABILITY OF MGE TO RECOVER ITS FIXED COST OF GAS DISTRIBUTION

Absolutely. The straight fixed variable ("SFV") rate design in use for MGE's residential and small general service classes accurately reflects the nature of the costs incurred by MGE to serve those customers. This rate design is comprised of two parts. One part is the fixed monthly charge and the second part is the purchased gas adjustment charge. The only factor that differs from customer to customer is the amount of gas that is consumed and that variable cost is collected in the volumetric part of the customer's rate, the purchased gas adjustment ("PGA") charge. If MGE is no longer serving customers, MGE can no longer bill them and thus can no longer recover its fixed costs through its fixed monthly charge.

0.

A.

Q

A.

SERVICE?

CAN YOU PLEASE EXPLAIN WHY MGE HAS INCLUDED THE LOST RECOVERY OF FIXED COSTS IN ITS REQUEST FOR THIS AAO?

In a rate case, once the total amount of non-gas costs (fixed costs) is established, those costs are divided equally by the number of customers being served. That is how the fixed portion of the SFV rate is developed. There is some risk to the Company that those customers that have been used to develop the SFV rate will disconnect at some point and the fixed costs will no longer be recovered from that customer. On the other hand, there is also a chance that new customers will connect and that same level of fixed costs will be recovered from those new customers. In the rate case process the annual level of customers is examined

with both historic growth and normal monthly increases and decreases in customer levels taken into consideration to arrive at a reasonable estimate of what the ongoing level of customers will be going forward. This current ratemaking process presents the Company with a reasonable opportunity to recover its established fixed costs under normal circumstances. When the tornado struck Joplin on May 22, 2011, however, it wiped out 20% of MGE's Joplin customers. These lost customers cannot reasonably be expected to be replaced by new customers. Consequently the tornado eliminated any reasonable opportunity for MGE to recover its fixed costs.

Q. WHAT PART OF MGE'S RATE REPRESENTS ITS FIXED COSTS?

- 13 A. MGE's margin revenues recover the fixed cost of providing distribution service.

 14 In the Report and Order in Case No. GR-2009-0355, included in the findings of
 15 fact was the statement that "SFV rates are intended to recover fixed costs through
 16 fixed charges and variable costs (i.e., the cost of the gas commodity) through
 17 variable charges."

- Q. HAVE YOU CALCULATED MGE'S LOSS OF FIXED COST RECOVERY
 ASSOCIATED WITH THIS TORNADO?
- 21 A. Yes. Attached as Schedule MRN-3 is a spreadsheet consisting of two pages.
- Page 1 of the schedule calculates the loss of fixed cost recovery on a monthly

¹ See Report and Order, In the Matter of Missouri Gas Energy and its Tariff Filing to Implement a General Rate Increase for Natural Gas Service, GR-2009-0355, p. 42. The Commission is asked to take official or administrative notice of that Report and Order.

1		basis of \$94,285 for residential ("RS") and small general service ("SGS")
2		customers or \$1,131,420 annually. Page 2 of the schedule calculates the loss of
3		fixed cost recovery from transportation customers to be \$36,000 annually.
4		
5		The amount of lost fixed cost recovery was determined by estimating the number
6		of fewer customers served in the RS and SGS classes and multiplying that number
7		by the fixed monthly charge, including the ISRS rate element. The number of
8		fewer customers was estimated by comparing the number of active bills in 2011
9		to the number of active bills for the same months of 2010 for MGE's billing
10		Town Codes of 501 - Joplin and 515 - Duquesne. As shown on page 1 of
11		Schedule MRN-3 April 2011 was only 169 customers less than 2010 while May
12		(the month of the tornado) had 1,047 fewer customers than in 2010. June through
13		September customer numbers were lower in 2011 by over 3,000 customers
14		peaking at 3,227 fewer customers in September 2011.
15		
16		For large volume transportation customers, actual 2010 revenues were used since
17		that was the last full year of data for those accounts.
18		
19	Q.	DID YOU DO ANY OTHER ANALYSIS TO SUPPORT THE REDUCTION
20		IN CUSTOMERS DUE TO THE TORNADO?
21	A.	Yes. Schedule MRN-4 is another comparison of regular bills or active meters for
22		2011 compared to 2010.

1		The first section of the table compares regular billed customers for the entire
2		Southern Region of MGE's service territory for the months of May through
3		September. As shown on the table, there were 1,153 fewer billed customers in
4		May 2011 when compared to May 2010 with that number growing to 3,495 fewer
5		customers in September 2011 (72,497 customers) compared to 2010 (75,992
6		customers).
7		
8		The second table compares active meters in Joplin and the surrounding
9		communities. The number of active meters in August 2011 was 30,059 compared
10		to 33,365 in August 2010, or 3,306 fewer.
11		
12		The final comparison was just Joplin and Duquesne where the tornado did the
13		damage. The active meters in September 2011 were 12,705 or 3,527 fewer than
14		2010 when there were 16,232 active meters.
15		
16	Q.	ARE THE FINANCIAL IMPACTS OF THE MAY 22, 2011 TORNADO
17		SIGNIFICANT TO MGE?
18	A.	Yes. As described above, the loss of fixed cost recovery on a monthly basis for
19		the RS and SGS customer classes is \$94,285 or \$1,131,420 annually and \$36,000
20		annually for the large volume transportation customers.
21		
22		

1 2 3		NATURE OF AN AAO
3	Q.	WHAT IS YOUR UNDERSTANDING AS TO THE CIRCUMSTANCES
4		UNDER WHICH THE COMMISSION MAY GRANT AN AAO?
5	A.	An accounting authority order may generally be utilized in situations where ar
6		event occurs of an unusual nature and infrequent occurrence. It is an event that is
7		abnormal and significantly different from the ordinary and typical activities of the
8		company, which causes the company to incur costs or lose revenues that would
9		not reasonably be expected to recur in the foreseeable future.
10		
11		The Commission defined the standards for issuing an AAO in the Report and
12		Order in Case No. GU-2005-0095 when it wrote the following:
13		The Standard for Granting an AAO
14 15		As a gas company subject to the Commission's jurisdiction, MGE is required by regulation to keep all its accounts in conformity with the
16		Uniform System of Accounts (USOA) prescribed by the Federal Energy
17		Regulatory Commission. In general, the USOA requires that a company's
18		net income reflect all items of profit or loss occurring during the period.
19		The USOA, however, recognizes that special accounting treatment, what
20		this Commission refers to as an AAO, may be appropriate when
21		accounting for extraordinary items of profit or loss. The question then
22		becomes, what is an extraordinary item?
23		
24		The USOA indicates that an extraordinary item for which special
25 26		accounting treatment would be appropriate is "of unusual nature and infrequent occurrence." Furthermore, "they will be events and
27		transactions of significant effect which are abnormal and significantly
28		different from the ordinary and typical activities of the company, and
29		which would not reasonably be expected to recur in the foreseeable
30		future." In addition, the USOA requires that to be considered
31		extraordinary, the item "should be more than approximately 5 percent of
32		income, computed before extraordinary items."
33		
34		The Commission has also established a test to determine when an AAO
35		should be granted. In a 1991 decision, often referred to as the Sibley case,
36		the Commission stated that it would consider the appropriateness of
37		granting an AAO on a case by case basis. In doing so, it would approve an
38		AAO for events that it found to be "extraordinary, unusual and unique,

and not recurring." The Commission's decision in the Sibley case was subsequently affirmed by the Missouri Court of Appeals.

The classic example of an event that would be extraordinary, unusual and unique, and not recurring would be a fire, or flood, or ice storm that causes a large amount of damage to the utility's property. In those circumstances, it is generally agreed that the company should be permitted to defer the costs related to that extraordinary event through an AAO. However, the Commission has never limited the granting of an AAO to expenses resulting from such natural catastrophes.

On the contrary, the Commission has found that an AAO would be appropriate in a wide variety of circumstances.

Α.

Q. HAS THE COMMISSION GRANTED AN AAO IN SIMILAR CIRCUMSTANCES?

Yes. The Commission has a history of approving deferral of incremental expenses associated with extraordinary casualty losses such as fires, floods, ice and windstorm damage. The magnitude of the damage caused by the May 22, 2011, tornado and related severe weather is an extraordinary and unusual event and is comparable, if not greater in magnitude and effect, to other catastrophic events for which deferrals have been previously authorized. In researching previous cases, I have not come across a Commission decision that mentioned a company's request to defer lost revenues. Most of those situations involved temporary service outages and revenue losses which is unlike the damage presented by the Joplin tornado.

Q. DOES AUTHORITY TO DEFER CERTAIN AMOUNTS DETERMINE THAT THOSE AMOUNTS ARE RECOVERABLE THROUGH RATES?

31 A. No. It is important to note that granting an AAO for costs associated with the tornado does not automatically authorize rate recovery for those costs. An AAO

1		only allows a company such as MGE to deter items for later consideration in a
2		subsequent general rate case. An AAO is not an assurance of recovery, only the
3		temporary accounting recognition of a significant, unexpected, and material event
4		It is up to the Commission to determine whether those deferred costs should be
5		included in rates.
6		
7	Q.	IS IT YOUR UNDERSTANDING THAT AN AAO ADDRESS ITEMS
8		OTHER THAN EXPENSES?
9	A.	Yes. The USOA's description of "Account 182.3, Other Regulatory Assets,"
10		states that "this account shall include the amounts of regulatory-created assets"
11		that also are to be established by "those charges which would have been included
12		in net income, or accumulated other comprehensive income".2 The
13		definition of "regulatory assets and liabilities," in the USOA are those items that
14		"arise from specific revenues, expenses, gains, or losses". The USOA clearly
15		permits "revenues, gains, or losses" as well as "expenses" to be included as a
16		regulatory asset, just as MGE requests here.
17		
18 19		CONDITIONS

19 ARE THERE ANY CONDITIONS THAT MGE SUGGESTS BE 20 Q.

INCLUDED IN THE RESULTING COMMISSION ORDER? 21

22 Yes. MGE suggests that the Commission include the following conditions: A.

USOA, 182.3 "Other Regulatory Assets."
 USOA, General Instruction No. 31, definition of Regulatory Assets and Liabilities (emphasis added).

1		- Nothing in the Commission's order shall be considered a finding by the
2		Commission of the reasonableness of the amounts deferred, and the Commission
3		reserves the right to consider the ratemaking treatment to be afforded all amounts.
4		
5		Any and all offsets including, but not limited to, insurance claim proceeds
6		or government payments or credits applicable to incremental costs and loss of
7		fixed cost recovery provided by the Company's distribution rates shall be used to
8		offset the total amounts deferred.
9		- MGE shall not seek to recover any tornado-related capital costs for which
10		it is deferring depreciation and carrying charges pursuant to this AAO through its
11		Infrastructure System Replacement Surcharge rate mechanism.
12		- MGE shall maintain detailed supporting records, work papers, invoices,
13		and other supporting documents to support the amount of costs deferred under this
14		AAO, including any related deferred taxes recorded as a result of the cost
15		deferral. Such records shall be made available for review by the Commission
16		Staff, the Office of the Public Counsel and other intervenors, pursuant to 4 CSR
17		240-2.085 and Section 386.480.
18		
19 20		CONCLUSION
21	Q.	WHY SHOULD THE FINANCIAL IMPACTS OF THIS EVENT TO MGE
22		BE DEFERRED THROUGH AN AAO?
23	A.	The incremental expenses and lost fixed cost recovery resulting from the tornado
24		and related severe weather are extraordinary and unusual in terms of MGE's

overall gas service operations and would have a significant and material impact on the Company's financial results. The accounting procedures requested are essential to enable MGE to maintain its financial condition and to continue to attract capital for its operations at reasonable rates. Absent the authority requested in this case, MGE will be deprived of a reasonable opportunity to recover these incremental costs and lost fixed cost recovery.

8 Q. WHAT ARE MGE'S OPTIONS IF THE AAO IS DENIED?

9 A. If the AAO is denied, MGE's only option is to file a rate case which reflects the current level of customers remaining in the Joplin area.

A.

12 Q. WHAT IS YOUR RECOMMENDATION TO THE COMMISSION?

I recommend the Commission grant MGE an AAO allowing MGE to defer and record to USOA Account 182.3, Other Regulatory Assets, the incremental costs (net of any insurance proceeds) and loss of the fixed cost recovery provided by the Company's distribution rates incurred by MGE and related to the events surrounding the May 22, 2011 Joplin tornado and severe weather.

ACCOUNTING AUTHORITY ORDER LANGUAGE

Q. WHAT LANGUAGE DOES MGE ASK THE COMMISSION TO ADOPT

22 IN REGARD TO AN ACCOUNTING AUTHORITY ORDER?

A. MGE asks that the Commission issue an order that includes the following language:

1		"That Missouri Gas Energy, a division of Southern Union Company
2		("MGE") is granted an Accounting Authority Order whereby the company
3		is authorized to defer and record to the USOA Account 182.3, Other
4 5		Regulatory Assets, the incremental costs (net of any insurance proceeds)
6		loss of the fixed cost recovery provided by the Company's distribution rates, and depreciation and carrying charges equal to its ongoing AFUDO
7		rates associated with the events surrounding the May 22 tornado."
8	39	3
9	Q.	ARE THERE ANY OTHER PROVISIONS THAT SHOULD BE
10		ASSOCIATED WITH THIS AAO?
11	A.	Yes. In addition, MGE requests that it be authorized to begin amortization of the
12		involved expenses and losses, which are deferred and recorded in Account 182.3,
13		over a five-year period, commencing with the effective date of rates approved by
14		the Commission in the first rate case following Case No. GR-2009-0355 or no
15		later than January 1, 2013.
16		
17	Q.	DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR DIRECT TESTIMONY?
18	A.	Yes it does.

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of the Application of Missouri Gas Energy for the Issuance of an Accounting Authority Order Relating to its Natural Gas Operations and for a Contingent Waiver of the Notice Requirement Of 4 CSR 240-4.020(2)))) Case No. GU-2011-0392))
AF	FIDAVIT OF MICHAEL R. NOACK
STATE OF MISSOURI	
COUNTY OF JACKSON)	SS.
foregoing Direct Testimony in question answers in the foregoing Direct Testion	his oath states; that he has participated in the preparation of the on and answer form, to be presented in the above case; that the mony were given by him; that he has knowledge of the matters se natters are true and correct to the best of his knowledge and belief. MICHAEL R. NOACK
Subscribed and sworn to before me th	nis // H day of OCTOBER 2011.
	Win W Hanni. Notary Public
My Commission Expires: <u>Feb. 2</u>	KIM W. HENZI Notary Public - Notary Seei State of Missouri Commissioned for Jackson County My Commission Expires: February 22, 2015 Commission Number: 11424854

Schedule MRN-1

Source: Jasper County Missouri mapping site

Missouri Gas Energy Joplin Damages Updated September 30, 2011

Assessment of impactof to plin EFS to mode that his top line service area May 22, 2011

Updated as of Friday, September 30th, 2011 Number of Accounts affected - Estimated	(2)	<u>Units</u> 3,500	Value per <u>new item</u>		Labor Cost <u>to replace</u>	T	IMATED otal Cost Per Unit	Extended <u>Cost</u>
Replacement value of meters (assumed that this will equal meters removed at this point)		3,196	\$140	(1)	\$30		\$170	\$542,841
Cost to reconnect individual Services that had been cut & capped		2,190					\$690	\$1,511,604
Feet of new main replaced (assumed that all main will be replaced) Cost to re-run services abandoned with the mains (should not change any further)		54,085 1,053				(3) (5)	\$50 \$1,812	\$2,704,250 \$1,908,036
Incremental cost - Initial "Expediting & Temporary Repairs" Assessment Cost (Starting Sunday May 22nd) (4)								\$1,318,101
•	Total							\$7,984,831

^{(1) \$72.14} for a new meter (American 250) plus \$46.82 for a new ERT (100g Residential) plus \$20.89 for a new regulator (1" 1813C)

⁽²⁾ Based upon the trend to date and the 3,196 meters removed to date.

⁽³⁾ As of report dated 5ept 30, 2011 I revised main replacment cost <u>from</u> \$60 per foot based upon June main replacement costs of \$67.68 per foot (2011 budgeted cost per foot is \$60) to \$50 per foot based upon the AVERAGE cost per foot of \$46.94 for the fifteen work orders written to date for a total of 17,241 feet.

⁽⁴⁾ These costs also include the cost of abandoning main (cutting and capping) with service attached (and therefore abandoned in place).

⁽⁵⁾ Cost of replacing a service line based upon June service replacement costs (contractor) of \$1,812.01 for the Southern Region

Missouri Gas Energy Active Bill Counts by Customer Class 2011 Versus 2010

	Town Cod	Town Code 501 - Joplin				Town Code S15 - Duquesne					Total Joplin & Duguesne			
2011	Res	<u>sgs</u>	LGS	Total	2011	Res	<u>sgs</u>	<u>LGS</u>	<u>Total</u>	2011	Res	<u> 565</u>	LGS	<u> Total</u>
April	13,576	2,445	144	16,165	April	464	68	1	533	Aprili	14,040	2,513	145	16,698
May	12,641	2,300	125	15,056	May	450	69	1	530	May	13,101	2,369	126	15,596
June	10,906	1,966	117	12,989	June	272	35	1	308	June	11,178	2,001	118	13,297
July	10,724	1,916	116	12,756	July	272	34	1	307	July	10,996	1,950	117	13,063
August	10,650	1,917	112	12,679	August	270	35	2	307	August	10,920	1,952	114	12,986
September	10,673	1,919	108	12,700	September	276	32	1	309	September	10,949	1,951	109	13,009
	Town Code 501 : Joplin			Town Code 515 - Duquesne			Total loplin & Duquesne							
2010	Res	SGS	<u>lGS</u>	Total	<u>2010</u>	Res	SGS	LGS	<u>Total</u>	2010	Res	<u>5G5</u>	<u>lGS</u>	Total
April	13,723	2,565	32	16,320	April	483	64	•	547	April	14,206	2,629	32	16,867
May	13,502	2,484	124	16,110	May	473	59	1	533	May	13,975	2,543	125	16,643
June	13,308	2,455	117	15,880	June	461	58	1	520	June	13,769	2,513	118	16,400
July	13,225	2,325	115	15, 665	July	458	56	1	515	July	13,584	2,381	115	16,181
August	13,170	2,395	116	15,581	August	458	45	-	503	August	13,628	2,440	116	16,184
September	13,222	2,378	115	15,715	September	459	57	1	517	September	13,581	2,435	116	16,232
	Town Co	de 501 - Jop	līn			Town Code	: 515 - Dugi	<u>1650-6</u>			Total Jo	olin & Ouques	oe	
Difference	Res	<u> 565</u>	LGS	<u>Total</u>	Difference	Res	<u>565</u>	<u>LGS</u>	<u>Total</u>	<u>Difference</u>	Res	SGS	<u>lgs</u>	<u>Total</u>
April	(147)	(120)	112	(155)	April	(19)	4	1	(14)	April	(166)	(116)	113	(169)
May	(861)	(184)	1	(1,044)	May	(13)	10	-	(3)	May	(874)	(174)	1	(1,047)
june	(2,402)	(489)		(2,891)	June	(189)	(23)		(212)	June	(2,591)	(512)	•	(3,103)
July	(2,502)	(409)	1	(2,910)	July	(186)	(22)	-	(208)	July	(2,688)	(431)	1	(3,118)
August	(2,520)	(478)	(4)	(3,002)	August	(188)	(10)	2	(196)	August	(2,708)	(488)	(2)	(3,198)
September	(2,549)	(459)	(7)	(3,015)	September	(183)	(25)	•	(208)	September	(2,732)	(484)	(7)	(3,223)
Fixed Monthly	Charge										\$ 27.37	\$ 39.98		
Total Estimate	ed Loss of Fixe	d Cost Reco	wery								\$ (74,774.84)	\$ (19,350.32)		\$ (94,125.16)

Missouri Gas Energy Lost Fixed Cost Recovery and Volumetric Revenue Large Volume Transportation Customers

Transportation Customer 1								
12/01/10	30	78,340		4,644.69				
11/01/10	31	67,550		2,835.52				
10/01/10	30	56,480		2,584.46				
09/01/10	31	52,840		2,501.90				
08/01/10	31	53,760		2,522.77				
07/01/10	30	53,600		2,519.14				
06/01/10	31	63,230		2,737.55				
05/01/10	30	70,060		2,892.45				
04/01/10	31	89,070		5,102.33				
03/01/10	28	91,990		5,011.16				
02/01/10	31	106,190		5,591.66				
01/01/10	31	100,790		5,370.91				
		883,900		44,314.54				
Summer Usage		417,520	\$	11,924.25				
Winter Usage		466,380	\$	21,644.61				
			\$	33,568.86				
Transportation Cu	stomer 2							
12/01/10	30	2,490		1,088.10				
11/01/10	31	1,160		992.66				
10/01/10	30	1,150		992.32				
09/01/10	31	960	•	985.79				
08/01/10	31	740		978.22				
07/01/10	30	820		980.97				
06/01/10	31	1,240		995.41				
05/01/10	30	1,700		1,011.22				
04/01/10	31	5,110		1,230.47				
03/01/10	28	9,350		1,401.35				
02/01/10	31	11,870		1,532.62				
01/01/10	31	11,340		1,505.01				
		47,930		13,694.14				
Summer Usage		7,770	\$	267.05				
Winter Usage		40,160	\$	2,182.29				
AARICOL MANGE			\$	2,449.35				
			\$	36,018.21				

Missouri Gas Energy Analysis of Active Meters and Bills 2011 Versus 2010

Entire Southern Region

Regular Billed Customers	<u> 2011</u>	<u> 2010</u>	Difference	
May	75,181	76,334	(1,153)	
June	72,216	75,325	(3,109)	
July	72,033	74,839	(2,806)	
August	72,563	75,928	(3,365)	
September	72,497	75,992	(3,495)	

Joplin and Environs

Active Meter Inventory	<u> 2011</u>	<u> 2010</u>	<u>Difference</u>
May	33,833	34,139	(306)
June	30,730	33,667	(2,937)
July	30,336	33,448	(3,112)
August	30,059	33,365	(3,306)
September			

Jopiin and Duguesne

Active Meter Inventory	<u>2011</u>	<u> 2010</u>	Difference	
May	15,596	16,643	(1,047)	
June	13,297	16,400	(3,103)	
July	13,063	16,181	(3,118)	
August	12,986	16,184	(3,198)	
September	13,009	16,232	(3,223)	