Exhibit No.:

Issue:

Capitalized Expenses Stephen B. Moilanen, P.E.

Witness: Sponsoring Party:

MoPSC Staff Direct Testimony

Type of Exhibit: Case No.:

WR-2017-0259 October 13, 2017

Date Testimony Prepared:

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

COMMISSION STAFF DIVISION WATER AND SEWER DEPARTMENT

DIRECT TESTIMONY

OF

STEPHEN B. MOILANEN, P.E.

INDIAN HILLS UTILITY OPERATING COMPANY

CASE NO. WR-2017-0259

Stoff Exhibit No.103 uate 1-27-17 Reporter

File No. 12 - 2017-0259

Jefferson City, Missouri October 2017

1	TABLE OF CONTENTS OF
2	DIRECT TESTIMONY
3	OF
4	STEPHEN B. MOILANEN, P.E.
5	INDIAN HILLS UTILITY OPERATING COMPANY, INC.
6	CASE NO. WR-2017-0259
7	DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM AND SERVICES REPAIR EXPENSE2
8	PRE-ACQUISITION ENGINEERING FEES2

1 **DIRECT TESTIMONY** 2 OF 3 STEPHEN B. MOILANEN, P.E. 4 INDIAN HILLS UTILITY OPERATING COMPANY 5 CASE NO. WR-2017-0259 6 Q Please state your name and business address. 7 A. My name is Stephen B. Moilanen. My business address is Missouri Public 8 Service Commission, P.O. Box 360, Jefferson City, MO 65102. 9 Q. What is your position at the Commission? 10 I am a Utility Regulatory Engineer in the Engineering Analysis Unit, A. 11 Operational Analysis Department, Commission Staff Division. 12 Q. Please describe your work and educational background. 13 A. A description of my work and educational background is attached as Schedule 14 SBM-d1. 15 Q. What is the purpose of your testimony? 16 A. The purpose of my testimony is to explain Staff's rationale regarding two 17 issues in this rate case. First, I will explain why I assigned some distribution system and 18 services repairs and replacements to Operation and Maintenance Expense Accounts 19 (maintenance accounts) and Utility Plant Accounts (plant accounts). Second, I will describe 20 why I included engineering costs as part of the original cost of new plant assets, even though 21 these costs were incurred before Indian Hills Utility Operating Company (IHUOC) purchased 22 the water distribution system in question.

DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM AND SERVICES REPAIR EXPENSE

- Q. Please explain your method for assigning repair and replacement expenses to maintenance and plant accounts.
- A. Staff requested documentation of leak repairs from IHUOC via Data Request 001. In response, IHUOC submitted copies of invoices from contractors. A short description of the work was included on the invoices. The invoices indicated that some of the expense was associated with repairing a service line or main, while in other cases the service line or main was replaced instead. Guidance provided within the 1973 edition of the Uniform System of Accounts, published by the National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners, was used to appropriately assign expenses. If the work was characterized as a "repair", I placed the costs into maintenance accounts. If the work was characterized as a "replacement", the costs were placed into the Utility Plant Accounts.

PRE-ACQUISITION ENGINEERING FEES

- Q. Please explain your rationale behind capitalizing Pre-Acquisition Engineering Fees.
- A. CSWR incurred certain expenses related to engineering investigation and design related to IHUOC before the purchase date of March 31, 2016, as documented by responses to Data Request 001. The assets that IHUOC constructed were of such a nature that a detailed investigation, design, and bidding process was required prior to construction. Also, prior to the start of construction, the state of the existing water distribution system was in such disrepair that the reliability and safety of water service to customers was in question, and this need for system upgrades and repairs is discussed in the Direct Testimony of Staff Witness David A. Spratt.

6

7 8

9

10

11 12

13

14

An engineering design process can take several months to be completed due to the amount of detail and caution exercised while formulating a design. Starting the design process early (i.e., prior to closing on the assets) expedited the restoration of safe and reliable service to the customers of IHUOC. Because the early initiation of an engineering design benefited the customers of IHUOC, and because the engineering design was necessary, I included the associated pre-acquisition engineering fees as a part of capital expenses.

- Q. What do you recommend in relation to these issues?
- A. I recommend that distribution system repair and replacement costs be incorporated into cost of service as described in Appendix A of the Company/Staff Agreement Regarding Disposition of Small Water Company Revenue Increase Request of August 2, 2017. In addition, I recommend that the pre-acquisition engineering fees be incorporated into cost of service as described in the same Appendix.
 - Q. Does this conclude your testimony?
 - A. Yes, it does.

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In The Matter of The Rate Increase Request Of Indian Hills Utility Operating Company, Inc.			Case No. WR-2017-0259
	AFFIDAVIT OF STEP	HEN MOILA	<u>NEN</u>
State of Missouri)) ss		
County of Cole)		
	•		declares that he is of sound
mind and lawful ag	e; that he contributed to the	e attached <i>Di</i>	rect Testimony; and that the
same is true and co	orrect according to his best	knowledge ar	id belief.
Further the A	Affiant sayeth not.	Stephen Mo	ilanen
	<u>JURA</u>	Ţ	
Subscribed	and sworn before me,	, a duly c	onstituted and authorized
Notary Public, in	and for the County of C	ole, State of	f Missouri, at my office in
Jefferson City, on th	nis <u>/3¹¹</u> day of October,	2017.	
D, SUZIE M Notary Public - N State of Mk Commissioned for My Commission Expirès: Commission Numb	Notary Seal ssouri Foole County December 12, 2020	DJU NOTARY PU	sullankin) JBLIC

Stephen B. Moilanen, PE

Education and Employment Background and Credentials

I am currently employed as a Utility Regulatory Engineer for the Missouri Public Service Commission ("Commission"). I have been employed by the Commission in this position since January 2017.

I am a graduate of Michigan Technological University where I earned the degree of Bachelor of Science in Civil Engineering. I graduated with high honors (Magna Cum Laude). In addition, I am licensed as a Professional Engineer in Missouri.

Prior to working for the Commission, I was employed by Barr Engineering Company between 2011 and 2016 as a Water Resources Specialist. My task work included environmental permitting, surface water investigations, wastewater treatment design, wastewater treatment operation and maintenance development, construction observation, quality control/assurance coordination, hydraulics/hydrology modeling, drilling, soils testing and investigation, and cost estimating. Prior to 2011, I was also employed by Bechtel Corporation as a Civil Field Intern, the Michigan Department of Transportation as a Mobility Intern, and Soils and Materials Engineers, Inc., as a Construction Materials Technician.

This is the first case at the Commission in which I have provided testimony. Other cases I have been assigned to or that I have participated in are listed below.

Case Number	Company
EO-2018-0062	Kansas City Power and Light Company
EO-2018-0051	Union Electric Company-Ameren Missouri
WM-2018-0023	Liberty Utilities LLC
SA-2018-0019	Missouri American Water Company
GR-2018-0013	Liberty Utilities Corporation
WR-2017-0343	Gascony Water Company
WR-2017-0285	Missouri American Water Company
WA-2017-0278	Missouri American Water Company
WR-2017-0259	Indian Hills Utility Operating Company
GR-2017-0216	Missouri Gas Energy
GR-2017-0215	Laclede Gas Company
WR-2017-0206	TUK LLC