

Exhibit No.:
Issues: Revenue Requirement
Witness: Robin Kliethermes
Sponsoring Party: MO PSC Staff
Type of Exhibit: Rebuttal Testimony
Case No.: GR-2014-0086
Date Testimony Prepared: July 11, 2014

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

REGULATORY REVIEW DIVISION
Tariff, Safety, Economic & Engineering Analysis

REBUTTAL TESTIMONY

OF

ROBIN KLIETHERMES

SUMMIT NATURAL GAS OF MISSOURI, INC.

CASE NO. GR-2014-0086

Jefferson City, Missouri
July 2014

Staff Exhibit No. 115
Date 8-19-14 Reporter KS
File No. GR-2014-0086

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8

REBUTTAL TESTIMONY

OF

ROBIN KLIETHERMES

SUMMIT NATURAL GAS OF MISSOURI, INC.

CASE NO. GR-2014-0086

TABLE OF CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY..... 1
APPROPRIATE TRANSPORTATION REVENUES 1

Rebuttal Testimony of
Robin Kliethermes

1 | tariff sheets² for all TS customers except three customers whose usage was multiplied by a
2 | rate lower than the maximum commodity charge.

3 | Q. What is inappropriate with SNG's methodology?

4 | A. SNG's methodology used to compute TS revenues in this case is inappropriate
5 | for two reasons. First, if the maximum commodity charge is not applied than the revenues for
6 | the TS class will be understated by the amount of the reduced rate and the TS class as a whole
7 | or even customers in other rate classes would have to make up the difference in revenues.
8 | Secondly, Staff is concerned that SNG could decide to charge the maximum commodity
9 | charge, which would increase sales revenue beyond the annualized level if the maximum
10 | commodity charge was not imputed in this case.

11 | Q. Does this conclude your rebuttal testimony?

12 | A. Yes, it does.

² Under SNG's Transportation Service tariff sheets, customers are charged a customer charge and a commodity charge. A maximum commodity charge and a minimum commodity charge are outlined in the tariff.