BEFORE THE MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

)))

)))))

In the Matter of the Joint Application
of Entergy Arkansas, Inc., Mid South
TransCo LLC, Transmission Company
Arkansas, LLC and ITC Midsouth LLC
for Approval of Transfer of Assets and
Certificate of Convenience and Necessity,
and Merger and, in connection therewith,
Certain Other Related Transactions

File No. EO-2013-0396

EXHIBIT CMB - 5

Overview of Credit Quality Enhancement Benefits





Credit Quality Enhancement Overview 3

Credit Quality Enhancement Overview

We believe the merger between ITC and Entergy's transmission assets ("Mid South Operating Companies") will lead to material interest expense savings which will be beneficial to Entergy's customers due to:

- The FERC regulatory model has been viewed favorably by the rating agencies and fixed income investors historically which supports lower funding costs given:
 - Ability to realize allowed ROEs
 - Reduced regulatory lag
 - Formula based forward looking rate construct with true-up mechanism results in more
 predictable cash flows
 - More conservatively-capitalized OpCos (status quo Entergy OpCos have ~50% debtto-cap. vs. 40% for ITC's OpCos)
- The timing of the Mid South Operating Companies debt refinancing will likely result in interest savings due to the current attractive debt markets which should be transitory
- Improved access to capital with less pricing volatility

ļ

Rating Agency Considerations

 The senior secured credit ratings of ITC's OpCos vs. status quo Entergy OpCos highlight the favorable rating agency sentiment...

	Senior Secured		
ITC's Opto's	5&P	Moody's	
HC Transmission	A	A1	
METC	A	Al	
ITC Midwest	A	A1	

	Senior Secured			
Entergy's Opco's	5&P	Moody's		
Entergy Arkansas	Α .	A3		
Entergy Louislana	Α.	A3		
Entergy Gulf States	888+	A3		
Entergy New Orleans	888+	Baa3		
Entergy Mississippi	٨.	Baal		
Entergy Texas	888+	Baa2		

...Moreover, the ratings agencies have indicated as much in their public commentaries:

Moody's. April 20, 2012. "[ITC OpCo's] supportive federal regulatory framework provides a robust set of recovery mechanisms and healthy returns resulting in strong credit metrics.....[ITC OpCo's] credit supportive regulatory environment and formula-based rate making significantly drive its credit quality."

S&P. January 10, 2011, upon putting ITC's ratings on positive outlook for a ratings upgrade. "The company has been able to improve its cash flow measures...banefiting from the FERC's constructive regulation."

 The ratings of ITC and its subs were all subsequently upgraded by S&P in December of 2011, the day of the announced Merger



Quantifying Credit Quality Enhancement



٠



- The transparent cost recovery mechanism inherent in the FERC regulatory model is viewed favorably by debt investors. As such, we foresee the following nearterm and long-term benefits for the Mid South Operating Companies once merged with ITC:
 - Refinanced Debt: Refinancing the Mid South Operating Companies' debt during a period of historically low rates offers material interest savings relative to the existing weighted average cost of debt at Entergy's OpCos
 - Future Debt: Improved credit quality should also lead to lower debt financing rates for Mid South Operating Companies under ITC ownership which will be beneficial in funding future rate base growth
- Though we expect the financings to take place in mid-2013 (around closing), for analytical purposes, we have assumed a 1/1/2014 close to capture the annual benefits
- We have quantified the long-term interest savings over a five-year period from 2014-2018



Bond Financing Cost Comparison

Corporate bond pricing in the U.S. bond market is based on two components:

- Credit Spreads: A measure of the idiosyncratic risk of a particularly issuer and/or debt tranche
- US Treasury Yields: The market proxy for the risk-free rate whose tenor will correspond with the underlying corporate bond tenor
- Indicative new issue credit spreads provided by JPMorgan, our financial advisor, demonstrates the credit quality differential between ITC's existing OpCos and Entergy's existing OpCos
- In the current market, ITC's OpCos could issue 10-yr sr. secured bonds at ~70 basis points "bps" (or 0.70%) over the 10-yr Treasury*
 - The debt costs for ITC's OpCos range from 45 to 65 bps lower than Entergy's OpCos rates in today's market



Note: Indicative rates are based on current market conditions and are subject to change * Source: JFM. Indicative spreads as of February 29, 2012,

Estimated New ITC Operating Companies Refinancing Rate

- Upon closing the transaction, we believe the Mid South Operating Companies future debt issuances will price comparably to that of ITC's OpCos given the aforementioned benefits
- In consultation with our financial advisors, the Mid South Operating Companies cost of debt estimate as of closing is ~3.5% which is comprised of the following:
 - A sr. secured indicative spread of 70 bps (indicative of 10yr credit spreads as of 2/29/12)
 - A 10-yr forward U.S. Treasury estimate of 2.75% as of July 2013*
- Comparatively, Entergy's OpCo's estimated weighted average cost of existing debt is ~6%** *

Entity	Weighted Average Cost of Debl
Entergy Arkansas	5.29%
Entergy Louisiana	6.14%
Entergy Gulf States	5.80%
Entergy New Orleans	6.08%
Entergy Mississippi	8.11%
Entergy Texas	6.73%
Average	-6.0%

As a result of the refinancing, customers will benefit from material Interest savings (3.5% vs. 6.0%)

Note. Indicative rates are based on current market conditions and are subject to change. "Source PM

8 * 'Parllocts estimated weighted avarage cost of debt in 2014 provided by Entergy witness, Mr. Jay Lawis.

Credit Spread Differential In Context

- The credit spread comparison between ITC's OpCos (70 bps) and Entergy's OpCos (115-135 bps) provides some measure of the potential benefits of credit quality enhancement for Mid South Operating Companies future debt financings under ITC ownership
 - However, the current credit spread differential may be understating the long-term benefit
- In fact, today's market is one of the best periods for issuers in recent memory
 - Yields for utilities have been lower less than 1% of the time over the past 5 years





- Limited issuance activity among other factors precludes us from observing the historical credit spread differential between ITC's OpCos and Entergy's OpCos over a meaningful period
 - In order to estimate the high end of the credit spread differential range between the ITC and Entergy OpCos, we compared the historical yields of the Barclays Single-A and BBB Utility Corporate Bond Indices*
 - Though imperfect, we believe these indices are suitable proxies as evidenced by their spread differential in the current market (74bps) which is comparable to that of ITC's OpCos and Entergy Opcos (45-65 bps)
- During the credit crisis, BBB-rated utilities issued debt at much wider levels than Single-A rated utilities suggesting that the spread differential could spike meaningfully in a downside scenario





Application to Future Debt Financings

- In light of the uncertainty around the long-term credit spread differential of Mid South Operating Companies pre- and post-closing (i.e., status quo vs. under ITC ownership), we have established a range to quantify the interest savings attributable to future debt financings above the initial \$1.2B refinancing
 - Low End of Range (45-65 bps): Reflects the difference between the market debt rates for ITC's OpCos vs. Entergy's OpCos*
 - High End of Range (185-205 bps): An approximation of the 90th percentile distribution of the credit spread differential between Single A and BBB Utilities over the past five years**





	OpCo-specific amounts	Description of effect	Entergy cost of debt ¹	ITC cost of debt
Existing T debt to be refinanced post closing (Total \$1.2B across	EAI - \$400M EGSL - \$176M ELL - \$238M EMI - \$178M ENOI - \$9M ETI - \$198M Total - \$1.2B	 Debt for the T business would move from Entergy to ITC Results in ~\$1.2B of OpCo debt at ITC post spin- merge, in line with 60/40 E/D cap structure² Debt at Entergy is at higher cost vs. debt at ITC 	 EAI - 5.29%, EGSL - 5.80% ELL - 6.14%, EMI - 6.11%, ENOI - 6.08% ETI - 6.73% Average ~6.0 % 	 ITC – ~3.5%. Calculation assumes refinancing with 10-yr senior secured bonds³
Future debt Incurred over 2014-2018 (Total \$0.8B	EAI - \$152M EGSL - \$114M ELL - \$137M EMI - \$141M ENOI - \$9M ETI - \$254M Total - \$0.8B	 New debt to be incurred to finance the CapX investments planned across OpCos New debt will be incurred at a lower cost of debt due to ITC's higher credit ratings compared to Entergy OpCos 	Cost of financing futur ITC is 45/65 - 185/205 b under Entergy EAI - 50-190bps EGSL - 55-195bps ELL - 45-185bps EMI - 60-200bps ENOI - 65-205bps ETI - 65-205bps	

Detailed Assumptions

Discloimer: Actual rates are subject to hisrket fluctuations; numbers used reflect current estimates. Numbers may not add up due to rounding. Note: For analytical purpenes estimated dobt balances and interest savings reflect fullyear. 2014 rate effects 1. Weighted average cost of delt for Entergy in 2014 provided by Entergy witness, No. 107 Lewis. 2. Octobes ~5575M of MidSouth Transco Holding Company debt which will oble hossisment by FTC at classing. 3. Working assurgation of 10 yr debt is emispaniable to TTC's weighted average dobt.

timated Interest Expense Savings Range

Methodology

Existing T debt post closing¹ - \$1.28 total

- Refinanced in 2014 with senior secured bonds under 60/40 E/D capital structure when transaction takes place
- Under Entergy: Average ~6.0% in interest rates!
- Under ITC: ~3.5% expected³

Future debt incurred over 2014-2018² - \$0.8B total

- Happens at a higher cost of debt under Entergy than under ITC
- Entergy ITC spreads:
 - Current credit spread differential 45-65bps4
 - Spreads could increase as in late 2005 early 2009 when spreads between high and low_ investment grade debt reached \$85-205bps 5

Annual savings on interest payments - Total



Interest expense savings are a direct benefit to customers through reduced rates

Discloimer: Actual rates are subject to market fluctuations; numbers used reflect estimates as of February 29, 2012.

1. Long town debi conviron to meap to be OpCos at BQ/40-2. For ecosied Juliue debt levels 3. Based on indicative spreads as of Q1 2012 and 10 year treasury estimates as 4 Source: IPM, ENOLINGUATIVE sourced not available, so ETT's value used as approximation due to proximity of credit ration



B" discourt rate us of to calculate APV of benefits over 2014-2018 Note: Values not adjusted for inflation or time vale of money in nominal calculations. Base case capital assumptions used. Aggregate numbers shown for simplicity - calculations used DaCe-specific 14 debt and interest rates



Estimated Retail Savings Range

Annual savings on interest payments – retail customers



Interest cost savings are a direct benefit to customers through reduced rates



Disclosing: Actual rates are subject to analytel fluctuations; numbers used reflect current estimates.

Noto: Values not adjusted for lightion or time vale of money in nominal calcylations. Base cash capital assumptions used Approval Approach numbers shown for simplicity – calculations used OpCo-specific debt and interest relev. Allocation among netral and wholesale customers based on percentage of the revenue requirement. B% discount rate used for talculations.

Appendix

n za za na statu za na statu na na na Na

Supporting Detail Retail Savings (Low End of Range)

				and I	lower co	nitial refinal ost — 45 to 651	-
ОрСо	'14	'15	'16	'17	'18	Total	(\$M)
						Nominal	NPV
						'14-'18	'14-'18
EAI	4.8	4.9	5.0	5.2	5.3	25,2	20.0
EGSL	3.3	3.4	3.5	3.6	3.6	17.4	13.8
ELL	5.2	5.2	5.3	5.4	5.4	26.5	21.1
EMI	4.2	4.3	4.4	4.5	4.6	22.0	17.5
ENOI	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	1.0	0.8
ETI	6.0	6.4	6.6	6.8	7.0	32.8	26.0
Total	23.7	24.4	25.0	25.7	26.1	124.9	99.2

RITC

Nate: 8% discount rate used to calculate NEV of benefits. Numbers may not add up due to munding

Supporting Detail Retail Savings (High End of Range)



Note 3% discount interused to calculate NPV of benefits. Numbers may not odd up due to retaiding.

