

FILED  
March 6, 2017  
Data Center  
Missouri Public  
Service Commission

Exhibit No.: 205  
Issue(s): Revenue  
Witness: Michelle Bocklage  
Sponsoring Party: MoPSC Staff  
Type of Exhibit: Surrebuttal Testimony  
Case No.: ER-2016-0285  
Date Testimony Prepared: January 27, 2017

**MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION**

**COMMISSION STAFF DIVISION**

**OPERATIONAL ANALYSIS DEPARTMENT**

**TARIFF/RATE DESIGN UNIT**

**SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY**

**OF**

**MICHELLE BOCKLAGE**

**KANSAS CITY POWER & LIGHT COMPANY**

**CASE NO. ER-2016-0285**

Jefferson City, Missouri  
January 2017

Staff Exhibit No. 205  
Date 2-28-17 Reporter KH  
File No. ER-2016-0285

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11

**TABLE OF CONTENTS OF  
SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY  
OF  
MICHELLE BOCKLAGE  
KANSAS CITY POWER & LIGHT COMPANY  
CASE NO. ER-2016-0285**

RESPONSE TO KCPL WITNESS MARISOL MILLER REGARDING STAFF'S  
ADJUSTMENT FOR RATE SWITCHERS..... 1

RESPONSE TO KCPL WITNESS ALBERT R. BASS, JR. REGARDING STAFF'S LARGE  
POWER ADJUSTMENTS ..... 2



Surrebuttal Testimony of  
Michelle Bocklage

1           A.     Yes. Ms. Miller identified “the treatment and adjustment for rate switchers” as  
2 one of the major drivers of the difference in revenues between Staff and KCPL. Ms. Miller  
3 did not identify the potential cause for the differences and refers to the testimony of KCPL’s  
4 witness Mr. Bass, Jr., for additional details.

5           Q.     Has Staff attempted to identify the cause of the discrepancy in large power  
6 revenues?

7           A.     Yes. During a conference call between KCPL and Staff on January 23, 2017, a  
8 mismatch of methodologies utilized to calculate the adjustment to kilowatt (“kW”) demand  
9 billing determinants for Large Power rate switchers was identified. For example, if a  
10 customer leaves the Large Power classes to move to the Large General service class, Staff  
11 removes the weather normalized kW and kilowatt hour (“kWh”) billing determinants of that  
12 specific customer or customers. KCPL, however, removes the kWh for that specific customer  
13 but removes an average level of kW demand billing determinants rather than just the kW  
14 demand for that customer. Utilizing the actual demand to calculate the revenue associated  
15 with those customers allows for a more precise calculation of the impact on revenues. KCPL  
16 agreed to review its process.

17 **RESPONSE TO KCPL WITNESS ALBERT R. BASS, JR. REGARDING STAFF’S**  
18 **LARGE POWER ADJUSTMENTS**

19           Q.     Did you review Mr. Bass’s rebuttal testimony at page 3, lines 19-21, and  
20 page 4, lines 1-8, regarding large power rate switchers?

21           A.     Yes. Mr. Bass stated that Staff did not include seven additional large power  
22 customers that switched rates between December 2015 and June 2016. Staff has updated the  
23 rate switcher calculations to include these seven additional rate switchers.

Surrebuttal Testimony of  
Michelle Bocklage

1           Q.     Did you review Mr. Bass's rebuttal testimony at page 4, lines 10-21, regarding  
2 Staff's DR 0113?

3           A.     Yes. Mr. Bass addressed an error in the response to Staff's DR 0113 that  
4 KCPL submitted. Staff did not receive the corrected data prior to drafting testimony for  
5 inclusion in its Cost of Service filing.

6           Q.     Did Staff since update the calculations upon receiving the corrected data?

7           A.     Yes. Staff edited its workpapers to reflect the corrections.

8           Q.     Does this conclude your surrebuttal testimony?

9           A.     Yes.

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of Kansas City Power & Light )  
Company's Request for Authority to ) Case No. ER-2016-0285  
Implement A General Rate Increase for )  
Electric Service )

**AFFIDAVIT OF MICHELLE BOCKLAGE**

STATE OF MISSOURI )  
 ) ss.  
COUNTY OF COLE )

**COMES NOW MICHELLE BOCKLAGE**, and on her oath declares that she is of sound mind and lawful age; that she contributed to the foregoing Surrebuttal Testimony; and that the same is true and correct according to her best knowledge and belief.

Further the Affiant sayeth not.

*Michelle Bocklage*  
\_\_\_\_\_  
**MICHELLE BOCKLAGE**

**JURAT**

Subscribed and sworn before me, a duly constituted and authorized Notary Public, in and for the County of Cole, State of Missouri, at my office in Jefferson City, on this 26<sup>th</sup> day of January, 2017.

DIANNA L. VAUGHT  
Notary Public - Notary Seal  
State of Missouri  
Commissioned for Cole County  
My Commission Expires: June 28, 2019  
Commission Number: 15207377

*Dianna L. Vaught*  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Notary Public