FII FD December 28, 2017 **Data Center** Missouri Public Service Commission

Exhibit No.: 592

Issues: Injuries and Damages

Insurance

Michael Jason Taylor Witness:

MoPSC Staff Sponsoring Party: Type of Exhibit:

Rebuttal Testimony GR-2017-0215

Case No.: GR-2017-0216

October 17, 2017 Date Testimony Prepared:

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

COMMISSION STAFF DIVISION

AUDITING DEPARTMENT

REBUTTAL TESTIMONY File No GRADING STERNING PROPERTY OF THE NO GRADING STERNING PROPERTY OF THE NO. OF THE NO.

OF

MICHAEL JASON TAYLOR

SPIRE MISSOURI, INC., D/B/A SPIRE LACLEDE GAS COMPANY AND MISSOURI GAS ENERGY GENERAL RATE CASE

CASE NOS. GR-2017-0215 and GR-2017-0216

Jefferson City, Missouri October 2017

** Denotes Confidential Information **

1	TABLE OF CONTENTS
2	REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF
3	MICHAEL JASON TAYLOR
4	SPIRE MISSOURI, INC., D/B/A SPIRE
5	LACLEDE GAS COMPANY AND MISSOURI GAS ENERGY
7	GENERAL RATE CASE
8	
9	CASE NOS. GR-2017-0215 and GR-2017-0216
10	INJURIES AND DAMAGES EXPENSE

11

1	REBUTTAL TESTIMONY					
2	OF					
3	MICHAEL JASON TAYLOR					
4	SPIRE MISSOURI, INC., D/B/A SPIRE					
5 6 7	LACLEDE GAS COMPANY AND MISSOURI GAS ENERGY GENERAL RATE CASE					
8 9	CASE NOS. GR-2017-0215 and GR-2017-0216					
10	Q. Please state your name and business address.					
11	A. Michael Jason Taylor, Fletcher Daniels Office Building, 615 East 13th Street,					
12	Room 201, Kansas City, Missouri, 64106.					
13	Q. By whom are you employed and in what capacity?					
14	A. I am a Utility Regulatory Auditor with the Missouri Public Service					
15	Commission ("Commission").					
16	Q. Are you the same Michael Jason Taylor who previously testified in this case?					
17	A. Yes. I contributed to Staff's Cost of Service Report ("Report") filed					
18	September 8, 2017. Within the Report, I testified on injuries and damages, insurance, and					
19	PSC assessment.					
20	Q. What is the purpose of your rebuttal testimony?					
21	A. I will respond to Laclede Gas Company ("LAC") and Missouri Gas Energy					
22	("MGE") witness Michael R. Noack's testimony and his sponsored work papers concerning					
23	injuries and damages expense.					
24	INJURIES AND DAMAGES EXPENSE					
25	Q. What is LAC's and MGE's position regarding injuries and damages expense?					

Rebuttal Testimony of Michael Jason Taylor

- A. LAC and MGE used both accrual and cash basis approaches for normalizing injuries and damages expense. LAC and MGE normalized injuries and damages expense using cash payments for workers compensation, auto, and property claims, but used an accrual method for other claims. For LAC and MGE, other claims are claims that are not categorized under workers compensation, auto, and property claims.
- Q. Does Staff agree with LAC's and MGE's use of the accrual method for the other claims category when determining a normalized level of injuries and damages expense?
- A. No. The accrued amount that LAC and MGE used for other claims is based on projections that are not representative of the actual costs that they have incurred presently or in the recent past. Staff normalized workers compensation, auto, property, and other claims using actual cash payments made by LAC and MGE.
- Q. Explain why Staff prefers normalizing costs using the cash method vs. the accrual method.
- A. For injuries and damages expense, Staff's position is that cash payments are a better reflection of actual known and measureable costs. Accrued amounts are projections that do not represent real costs; but instead are estimates of what LAC and MGE expect the amount of a future liability to be for items such as a workman's compensation claim. The use of an accrued amount may overstate or understate a true normalized level of injuries and damages expense.
- Q. Are the accrued amounts that LAC and MGE used for the other claims category reflective of the actual cost incurred by MGE and LAC?
- A. No. As shown in the following table, the accruals for other claims have exceeded actual cash payments in 2014, 2015, 2016, and January through June 30, 2017:

Rebuttal Testimony of Michael Jason Taylor

1	A.	No. As shown in	n the follow	ing table, the	accruals for o	ther claims have		
2	exceeded ac	tual cash payments in	2014, 2015,	2016, and Janu	ary through Jui	ne 30, 2017. ¹		
3	**							
								
4	4							
:								
		<u></u>			-			
5	**		I			······································		
6	Q.	Q. Please summarize your rebuttal testimony						
7	A.							
8	requirement on Staff's recommended normalized level of expenses associated with injuries							
9	and damages, which Staff calculates using known and measurable actual cash payments made							
10	to determine the appropriate level of expense for all categories of this expense.							
11	Q.	Does that conclude	your rebuttal	testimony?				
12	A.	A. Yes.						
	1 *	*						
	 							

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

in the Matter of Laclede Gas Company's Request to Increase Its Revenues for Gas Service)))	Case No. GR-2017-0215
n the Matter of Laclede Gas Company I/b/a Missouri Gas Energy's Request to Increase Its Revenues for Gas Service)	Case No. GR-2017-0216
AFFIDAVIT OF MI	CHAEL	, JASON TAYLOR

STATE OF MISSOURI) ss COUNTY OF JACKSON)

COMES NOW MICHAEL JASON TAYLOR and on his oath declares that he is of sound mind and lawful age; that he contributed to the foregoing Rebuttal Testimony; and that the same is true and correct according to his best knowledge and belief.

Further the Affiant sayeth not.

Subscribed and sworn before me, a duly constituted and authorized Notary Public, in and for the County of Jackson, State of Missouri, at my office in Jefferson City, on this

JURAT

_ day of October, 2017.

Notary Public

BE My C

BEVERLY M. WE8B My Commission Expires April 14, 2020 Clay County Commission #12464070

AYLOR