021

Exhibit No.:

Issues: Purchased Power

Capacity Contract

Witness: Kevin T. Noblet

Sponsoring Party: Aquila Networks-MPS

& L&P

Case No.: ER-

Before the Public Service Commission of the State of Missouri



Missouri Public Service Commission

Direct Testimony

of

Kevin T. Noblet

Denotes Highly Confidential Information

NP

Case No(s). Rptr 4

TABLE OF CONTENTS DIRECT TESTIMONY OF KEVIN T. NOBLET ON BEHALF OF AQUILA, INC. D/B/A AQUILA NETWORKS-MPS AND AQUILA NETWORKS-L&P CASE NO. ER-____

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	2
MPS AND L&P ADDITIONAL CAPACITY SOLUTION	••••

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI DIRECT TESTIMONY OF KEVIN T. NOBLET ON BEHALF OF AQUILA, INC. D/B/A AQUILA NETWORKS-MPS AND AQUILA NETWORKS-L&P CASE NO. ER-_____

1	Q.	Please state your name and business address.
2	A.	My name is Kevin Noblet. My business address is 10750 E. 350 Highway, Kansas City,
3		Missouri, 64138
4	Q.	By whom are you employed and in what capacity?
5	A.	I am employed by Aquila, Inc., ("Aquila or Company") as Vice President, Energy
6		Resources, in its regulated electric utility operations.
7	Q.	Please describe your responsibilities in that position.
8	A.	Within its regulated electric utility operations, Aquila has functionally separated the
9		supply of electric energy from the transmission and distribution on energy. I am
10		employed within the energy supply operation. My major responsibility is managing the
11		electric supply resource contracts we have in connection with the Company's Colorado,
12		Kansas and Missouri electric utility operations.
13	Q.	What are your educational qualifications, training, and experience?
14	A.	I hold a Bachelor of Arts Degrees in Physics from William Jewell College, a Bachelor of
15		Science Degree in Electrical Engineering from Washington University and a Masters in
16		Business Administration from Kansas University. I am a licensed Professional Engineer
17		in the state of Missouri. I have over 14 years of experience in the electric energy
18		industry. I began my career in 1992 at Burns & McDonnell Engineering Company as an

1 electric/controls engineer in its Energy Division. In 1997 I accepted a position with Aquila's merchant affiliate, Aquila Power Corporation. I transferred to Aquila's 2 3 regulated business in the spring of 2004. For the past two years, my responsibilities have been as described above. 5 **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY** What is the purpose of your direct testimony? Q. 6 7 The purpose of this testimony is to present and support Aquila's position in this case A. 8 before the Missouri Public Service Commission ("Commission") regarding an additional capacity solution for the Aquila Networks-MPS ("MPS") and Aquila Networks-L&P 10 ("L&P") operating divisions of Aquila including a discussion of both short-term and 11 long-term energy purchases. In summary, my testimony identifies the costs necessary for 12 a long-term capacity purchase which Aquila expects to have in place prior to the 13 requested true up date. If we are unable to procure such a contract, my testimony 14 outlines available alternatives. 15 MPS AND L&P ADDITIONAL CAPACITY SOLUTION 16 Q. How is short-term energy priced? 17 A. Pricing for short-term Power Purchase Agreements ("PPAs") is driven by the quoted 18 forward energy markets. If a generator decides to sell, the generator has the choice of 19 selling its output bilaterally to other counterparties or entering into a forward contract for 20 its output. Premiums and discounts are used to adjust the quoted forward energy markets 21 for basis (location), energy type (liquidated damages, system firm, or unit contingent) 22 and liquidity. 23 Q. How is long-term energy priced?

1 A. Forward markets are only quoted for a couple of years and even though energy may be quoted in future months or years, there is little liquidity or depth to the market. Since 2 3 there is not a good long-term forward market, generators have to use different methods for pricing long-term PPAs. For long-term PPAs, Independent Power Producers ("IPPs") have adopted a method based on the IPP's return on investment for a particular project. 5 Basically, the IPP determines what future cash flow streams create the required return on initial investment. The actual required return will vary over time as well as from IPP to IPP. 8 9 Q. Define "short-term" and "long-term". 10 A. The definition of short-term and long-term will differ from company to company. 11 Typically transactions are considered "short-term" if the transaction starts and concludes 12 within the liquid portion of the quoted forward energy market(s). The liquid portion of 13 the quoted forward energy market(s) would be the portion where one could quickly buy 14 or sell a particular item without causing a significant price movement. Quoted forward 15 energy markets are typically liquid up to one year and although less liquid, still quoted for up to 3 years. Therefore, short-term transactions typically are less than a 3 year term. 16 "Long-term" transactions are typically 5 years and longer. Companies typically blend 17 18 prices between their short-term pricing curves and long-term pricing curves (i.e. if a 19 company defines short-term as only two years and long-term 5 years and beyond, the company would typically interpolate between its short-term curve and its long-term curve 20 21 to cover year 3 and year 4). Are there any proposed short-term resources included in the MPS/L&P system for this 22 Q.

23

rate case?

- 1 A. No.
- 2 Q. Are there any proposals for new long-term resources included in the MPS/L&P system
- 3 for this rate case?
- 4 A. Yes. An additional capacity solution is included in this case. The additional capacity
- 5 solution is for ** ** MW. The term of the proposed additional capacity solution is for
- 6 a minimum of 20 years.
- 7 Q. Please describe in more detail the additional capacity solution.
- 8 A. The additional capacity solution is not finalized at this time. At this time, Aquila is assuming
- 9 the additional capacity solution may be a local natural gas-fired combined cycle facility that
- is currently scheduled to be sold this year. Because there is the potential that this asset may
- not be sold, or that Aquila may not be the successful bidder if it is sold, Aquila is proposing a
- placeholder value as determined by pricing out a long-term PPA.
- 13 Q. How would pricing of an asset purchase differ from the pricing of a long-term PPA?
- 14 A. If the term of the long-term PPA is assumed to be for the life of the plant and the
- purchased asset was not a distressed asset, the pricing would not be substantially
- 16 different.
- 17 Q. What is a "distressed asset"?
- 18 A. A distressed asset is an asset which its owner has decided to sell at a price that is below
- 19 its depreciated book value. Most distressed assets would fall in the category as not being
- 20 strategic to the future plans of the selling company, as well as, assets that are under
- 21 performing financially (i.e. not meeting the desired rate of return on the investment now
- or in the foreseeable future).
- 23 Q. Have there been distressed generating assets available in the market?

1 A. Yes. Has Aquila purchased a distressed asset in the past? 2 O. 3 No. The distressed assets available in the market have not been in locations that would A. 4 benefit MPS or L&P. 5 Q. Does Aquila expect additional distressed generating assets to become available? Other than the asset mentioned above, Aquila does not anticipate any other distressed 6 A. 7 generating assets becoming available in this region. When does Aquila expect to have a contract in place for the additional capacity? 8 Q. 9 Aquila is expecting a signed contract prior to the true up date as requested in Company Α. 10 witness Susan Bruan's direct testimony. What rate treatment is Aquila asking in this case for the additional capacity solution? 11 Q. As an initial placeholder, Aquila is requesting a demand charge, including transmission 12 A. and fuel transport, of \$**____**/kW-month for a total of \$**____** (assumes 13 a contract quantity of **___** MW). The fixed charges are included in pro forma 14 adjustments sponsored by Company witness Susan Braun and the related energy costs are 15 16 included in Company witness Davis Rooney's direct testimony. What is the basis for including these costs for rate treatment? Q. 17 Since Aguila anticipates that this will be a long-term purchase, the return on initial 18 A. investment method would be appropriate to use to price this transaction. \$** **/kW-19 20 month is a very good estimate for what a typical 2-on-1 combined cycle would required for a long-term PPA. This estimate is consistent with Mike Apprill's direct testimony in 21 Case No. ER-2005-0436. In Mr. Apprill's direct testimony it references a five-year 22 proposal, received in June 2004, from Calpine with a demand charge of \$** **/kW-23

1 month. Calpine has subsequently submitted Aquila other proposals. One such proposal received on July, 1, 2005 is similar in nature to Aquila's additional capacity solution 2 described herein. In this proposal, Calpine proposed a demand charge of 3 **/kW-month for year one escalating to \$**____**/kW-month in year ten. 4 How does Aquila propose the Commission handle the uncertainty of pricing as this rate 5 Q. 6 case proceeding progresses? 7 A. Aquila is suggesting that the \$** **/kW-month demand charge and the associate 8 energy price modeling be used as an initial placeholder and then trued up for any known 9 and measurable changes subject to the final contracts that are expected to be in place 10 prior to the requested true up date. 11 Q. What if Aquila is unsuccessful in obtaining a long-term solution to its capacity needs? 12 A. Although Aquila is focused on solving its capacity needs with a long-term option, Aquila 13 realizes that such a solution may not be available in the market. If Aquila is unsuccessful 14 in obtaining a long-term solution, Aquila will pursue a short-term solution. Such solution 15 would consist of one or more short-term PPA(s) that would bridge the time until a longer 16 term solution could be put in place. 17 Q. Does this conclude your testimony at this time? 18 A. Yes

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the matter of Aquila, Inc. d/b/a Aquila Networks-MPS and Aquila Networks-L&P, for authority to file tariffs increasing electric rates for the service provided to customers in the Aquila Networks-MPS and Aquila Networks-L&P area) Case No. ER)))
County of Jackson) ss	:
State of Missouri)	
AFFIDAVIT	OF KEVIN NOBLET
testimony was prepared by him and under made as to the facts in said testimony and s	led "Direct Testimony of Kevin Noblet;" that said his direction and supervision; that if inquiries were chedules, he would respond as therein set forth; and are true and correct to the best of his knowledge,
	Kevin Noblet
Subscribed and sworn to before me this 14.	day of July 2006. Notary Public Terry D. Lutes
My Commission expires:	
	TERRY D. LUTES

8-20-2008

Notary Seal

Jackson County
My Commission Expires
August 20, 2008