Late Filed Exhibit 10

No. DD3

Data Information Request
From Union Electric Company d/b/a AmerenUE
MPSC Case No. EO-2000-580

Requested From: David Dorris

Requested By: Richard J. Kovach

Date of Request: 08/18/00

Information Requested:

Page 2 of your testimony also refers to operating losses in terms of lost revenues from the sales of cement, following interruptions under the 10(M) tariff. Please provide a detailed summary of such operating losses and lost revenues actually sustained by Holnam as a result of each of AmerenUE's individual curtailments of Holnam during each of the years 1995-1999.

Response:

The attached information provided to Union Electric Company in response to the above Data Information Request is accurate and complete and contains no material misrepresentations or omissions, based upon present facts of which the undersigned has knowledge, information or belief. The undersigned agrees to immediately inform Union Electric Company if, during the pendency of Case No. EO-2000-580 before the Commission, any matters are discovered which would materially affect the accuracy or completeness of the attached information.

If these data are voluminous, please (1) identify the relevant documents and their location; (2) make arrangements with requestor to have documents available for inspection at a location mutually agreeable. Where identification of a document is requested, briefly describe the document (e.g., book, letter, memorandum, report) and state the following information as applicable for the particular document: name, title, number, author, date of publication and publisher, addresses, date written, and the name and address of the person(s) having possession of the document. As used in this Data Request, the term "document(s)" includes publication of any format, workpapers, letters, memoranda, notes, reports, analyses, computer analyses, test results, studies or data recordings, transcriptions and printed, typed or written materials of every kind in your possession, custody or control within your knowledge. The pronoun "you" or "your" refers to the person identified in the "Requested From" block above and all other employees, contractors, agents or others employed by or acting on behalf of the organization, group or governmental unit associated with that person.

Response Provided By: ______________________ Date: ______________
(Please print)

Signed By: ______________________

Late-Filed Exhibit No. 10
Date 12-4-00 Case No. EO-2000-580
Reporter ____________

Holnam's Response to Ameren UE's request for information.

DD1:

The method of Holnam reaching the 7,000kW power level is to shut down the finish and raw mills. Each finish mill consumes approximately 7,000kW of power and the raw mill with its auxiliary equipment consumes approximately 6,500kW. The mills can be shut down within a 30 minute time frame. The reason for the 30 minutes is to clear off the belts, empty out the airslides and shut equipment down in the proper sequence to facilitate startup. During the summer months Holnam has been running with a peak power level in excess of 25MW. With all equipment running, a level of 28MW is not uncommon. The Control Room Operators have indication of our current power demands on the computer screens used to control the plant. There was a 1 hour minimum notification agreement with UE.

DD2:

Once the curtailment period has ended we restart the equipment that had been shut down. The restart time is approximately the same as the shutdown time with the exception that it takes longer to get the product coming out of the mills to meet specified quality. To "lineout" the mill takes between 1 and 3 hours.

DD3:

We worked well under the 10M tariff and wished to continue with that arrangement because the limited curtailments did not cause any losses. The new Rider M tariff is the problem. The number of curtailments under this rider could potentially increase to a level where losses could be sustained.

DD4:

See attached sheets. The power levels before and after curtailment are not available prior to 1999. We did not record those values on an hourly basis. This information would be in UE's data base. During all curtailment periods Holnam maintained a level that was less than the 7,000kW limit. The reason was that to exceed this level would cost Holnam $78,350 per MW for each month from the month that the Assurance Power level was not met. Assurance power, with a Demand Charge rate twice that of the Interruptible power, would increase to the new level set when power was not curtailed and remain at this level for the next 12 months.

DD5:

Of the 32 calls for curtailment within the last 5 years, 6 were less than one hours notice (19%). The method of notification was not great. A procedure was set up, but UE did not follow that procedure. We