Exhibit No.:

Issues: Overview of Electric Generation;

> Fuel and Purchased Power Expense: Fuel Prices; Demand Charges-Purchased Power Capacity Contracts; Fuel Inventories; Transmission Expense;

and Emission Allowances

Graham A. Vesely Witness: Sponsoring Party:

MoPSC Staff Direct Testimony

Type of Exhibit: Case Nos.:

ER-2004-0034 and

HR-2004-0024 (consolidated)

Missouri Public Service Commission

APR 2 9 2004

Date Testimony Prepared:

December 9, 2003

### MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION UTILITY SERVICES DIVISION

### **DIRECT TESTIMONY**

**OF** 

GRAHAM A. VESELY

AQUILA, INC. d/b/a AQUILA NETWORKS-MPS (Electric) AND AQUILA NETWORKS-L&P (Electric and Steam) CASE NOS. ER-2004-0034 AND HR-2004-0024

(Consolidated)

Jefferson City, Missouri December 2003

> Exhibit No. Case No(s). FR-2004-003-

# BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the matter of Aquila, Inc. d/b/a Aquila Network L&P and Aquila Networks MPS to implement general rate increase in electricity.	
In the matter of Aquila, Inc. d/b/a Aquila Network L&P to implement a general rate increase in Stear Rates.	
AFFIDAVIT OF GRAI	HAM A. VESELY
STATE OF MISSOURI ) ) ss. COUNTY OF COLE )	
Graham A. Vesely, of lawful age, on his of preparation of the following Direct Testimony	in question and answer form, consisting of se; that the answers in the following Direct edge of the matters set forth in such answers;
Subscribed and sworn to before me this day	of December 2003.
O. NOTARY PUBLIC	Y Public  TONI M. CHARLTON  OTARY PUBLIC STATE OF MISSOURI  COUNTY OF COLE  Commission Expires December 28, 2004

1	TABLE OF CONTENTS
2	DIRECT TESTIMONY OF
3	GRAHAM A. VESELY
4	AQUILA, INC. d/b/a AQUILA NETWORKS-MPS (Electric)
5	AND AQUILA NETWORKS-L&P (Electric and Steam)
6	CASE NOS. ER-2004-0034 AND HR-2004-0024
7	(Consolidated)
8	OVERVIEW OF ELECTRIC GENERATION
9	FUEL AND PURCHASED POWER EXPENSE
10	FUEL PRICES
1	DEMAND CHARGES-PURCHASED POWER CAPACITY CONTRACTS11
12	FUEL INVENTORIES12
13	TRANSMISSION EXPENSE
14	EMISSION ALLOWANCES
15	

1	DIRECT TESTIMONY OF	
2	GRAHAM A. VESELY	
3	AQUILA, INC. d/b/a AQUILA NETWORKS-MPS (Electric)	
4	AND AQUILA NETWORKS-L&P (Electric and Steam)	
5	CASE NOS. ER-2004-0034 AND HR-2004-0024	
6	(Consolidated)	
7	Q. Please state your name and business address.	
8	A. Graham A. Vesely, Noland Plaza Office Building, 3675 Noland Road	d,
9	Suite 110, Independence, MO 64055.	
10	Q. By whom are you employed and in what capacity?	
11	A. I am a Regulatory Auditor for the Missouri Public Service Commission	n
12	(Commission).	
13	Q. Please describe your education background.	
14	A. In May of 1985, I received a Bachelor's degree in Civil Engineering from	m
15	Saint Martins College, Olympia, Washington. In May of 1998, I completed an MBA	A
16	degree with a focus in Accounting from Central Missouri State University, Warrensburg	g,
17	Missouri. I am a Certified Public Accountant with a permit to practice in Missouri.	
18	Q. Please describe your employment history.	
19	A. In May of 1985, I was employed as a Facilities Maintenance Engineer b	у
20	the United States Air Force. From March 1988 until May 1995, I was employed by th	ıе
21	Army Corps of Engineers as a member of a construction management group	p.
22	Subsequently, I began working with the engineering firm of Malsy & Associates	s,

A.

proposed rate application?

which I have participated.

Lincoln, Missouri, as a Civil Engineer. On February 26, 1999, I began my current employment with the Commission.

- 3
- Q. What is the nature of your duties while in the employ of this Commission?

I am responsible for assisting in the audits and examinations of the books

- 4 5
- and records of utility companies operating within the state of Missouri.
- 6
- Q. With reference to Case Nos. ER-2004-0034 and HR-2004-0024, have you
- 7
- made an investigation of the books and records of Missouri Public Service (MPS) and
- 8
- Light and Power (L&P), two divisions of Aquila Inc. (Aquila or Company) relating to the
- 9
- A. Yes, with the assistance of other members of the Commission
- 11

10

- Staff (Staff).
- 12
- Q. Have the electric and steam cases been combined?
- 13
- A. Yes, these two cases have been consolidated by the Commission's Order
- 14
- Q. Have you filed testimony previously?

Consolidating Cases issued July 24, 2003.

16

15

- A. Yes. Schedule 1 attached to this direct testimony identifies the cases in
- 17
- Q. Please describe your principal areas of responsibility in this case.
- 19

18

- A. In the area of fuel and purchased power expense I am responsible for
- 20
- determining the price of coal, natural gas, and fuel oil that was used in the Staff's case, as
- 21
- well as for assigning the value of fuel inventories used in the Staff's case. I am also responsible for annualizing the expense associated with sulfur dioxide emissions
- 22
- allowances, and transmission expense.

1	Q. What knowledge, skills, experience, training, or education do you have in
2	these subjects?
3	A. I have acquired general knowledge of these topics through my experience
4	in previous rate cases before this Commission. I have reviewed the testimony, work
5	papers, and order from the previous MPS and L&P cases. I have reviewed the
6	Company's testimony, work papers, and data request responses related to these topics.
7	In addition, my college coursework included accounting, auditing, and engineering
8	classes. During my employ with the Commission I have attended formal training on
9	regulatory issues and received informal on-the-job training from senior audit Staff
10	throughout the course of this and previous audits.
11	Q. What adjustments are you sponsoring in Case Nos. ER-2004-0034 and
12	HR-2004-0024
13	A. I am sponsoring the following adjustments to the Income Statement
14	Accounting Schedule 9:
15	MPS: S-10.5, S-15.3, S-22.2, S-30.1, S-31.1, and S-39.1
16	L&P (Electric): S-10.4, S-12, S-16.2, S-23.1, S-28.1, S-29.1, and
17	S-38.1
18	L&P (Steam): S-5.1
19	OVERVIEW OF ELECTRIC GENERATION
20	Q. What generating facilities does the Company own and use for the
21	production of electric power?

### 

#### Missouri Public Service (MPS)

A. Aquila owns, wholly or in part, the following electrical power generating facilities:

Jeffrey Energy Center--Units 1, 2 and 3 (8% ownership share)

Sibley Units 1, 2 and 3 (100%)

Greenwood 1, 2, 3 and 4 (100%)

Nevada (100%)

Ralph Green (100%)

KCI (100%)

- Q. Please describe each plant, including the type of units at each plant and the primary and secondary fuel sources for each.
- A. The <u>Jeffrey Energy Center</u> (Jeffrey) is jointly owned by Westar Energy (Westar) and Aquila-MPS, with Aquila-MPS's ownership share being 8%. Westar is the operating partner of the three generating units at Jeffrey. Each of the Jeffrey units is a base-load steam unit utilizing coal as the primary fuel and No. 2 oil for start-ups and flame stabilization. The first unit at Jeffrey went into service in 1978 and the last unit went into commercial operation in 1983.

The <u>Sibley</u> generating station consists of three coal-burning base-load units, the first and last units of which went into commercial operation in 1960 and 1969, respectively.

The <u>Greenwood</u> plant consists of four gas turbines. The first went into service in 1975 and the last went into commercial operation in 1979. In 1996, this

facility was converted from oil to natural gas as its primary fuel. Oil continues to be used mainly as an emergency backup fuel.

The <u>Nevada</u> generating facility, which consists of one oil-fired turbine used for peaking purposes, went into service in 1974.

The <u>Ralph Green</u> plant went into commercial operation in 1981 and consists of one gas turbine peaking unit.

The KCI plant was purchased by Aquila-MPS in 1977, and consists of two gas turbine peaking units.

#### Light&Power (L&P)

Aquila-L&P's generating facilities include the Lake Road station and the Iatan station. L&P owns 100% of the Lake Road station. Kansas City Power & Light Company (KCPL) is the majority owner (70%) and operator of the Iatan station. L&P owns 18% of the Iatan station, while The Empire District Electric Company owns the remaining 12%.

- Q. Please describe the latan station and Lake Road station.
- A. <u>latan</u> is a large 670-megawatt (MW) base-load power plant that utilizes low cost, low sulfur western coal as the boiler fuel. No. 2 fuel oil is required for boiler start-ups and flame stabilization.

The <u>Lake Road</u> station consists of four steam-turbine generators, three combustion turbines, six steam boilers and one heat recovery steam generator. The station's generating units have a combined net electric generating capability of 254 MW. The station consists of three separate systems: a 900-pound system, an 1,800-pound

system and a combustion turbine (CT) system. The 900-pound system also supplies steam to industrial customers.

The CT system consists of CT No. 5 and two aircraft jet turbines. CT No. 5 uses natural

The 900-pound system uses coal and natural gas. The 1,800 pound system

3

Q. What types of fuel do these systems use?

4

uses coal as the primary fuel and natural gas as the start-up fuel or as an alternative fuel.

Α.

5

6

8

7

gas and the jets burn No. 2 fuel oil.

### FUEL AND PURCHASED POWER EXPENSE

9

Q. What was your responsibility in this case with regard to fuel and

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

purchased power expense? A. I was responsible for establishing the prices that the Staff would adopt in its case for coal, natural gas, and fuel oil burned in the Company's generating facilities;

I also calculated the annual level of demand expense Aquila incurs under its existing purchased power contracts, except for the MEPPH contract for which I was provided a value by Staff witness Mark L. Oligschlaeger. I provided MPS and L&P fuel prices to Staff witness David Elliott (of the Engineering Section of the Energy Department) for input into the RealTime<sup>TM</sup> production cost model (production cost model or fuel model) on a joint dispatch basis. Staff witness Elliott input these prices to the fuel model to compute normalized net system fuel and purchased power expense, exclusive of

utilities), and cost of energy exchanged. I subsequently added the costs associated with purchased power capacity (demand) charges to the fuel model's results. I also added the

purchased power demand charges, cost of off-system sales (sales to other electric

following costs to the fuel model's results to arrive at an overall total annualized level of fuel and purchased power expense:

- Maintenance and leasing costs for unit trains
- Fixed (demand) natural gas transportation costs
- Non-labor fuel handling costs

The RealTime<sup>TM</sup> production cost model will be discussed in detail by Staff witness Elliott in his direct testimony. Labor costs related to fuel handling will be addressed in Staff witness Dana E. Eaves' payroll annualization. Property taxes related to unit trains will be addressed in Staff witness Trisha D. Miller's property tax annualization.

#### **FUEL PRICES**

- Q. Were the coal prices the same for each plant?
- A. No. The coal burned at each plant may not be the same, may be provided under a different contract, and may be subject to different freight charges.
  - Q. How were the fuel prices for coal determined?
- A. The fuel prices were based on contractual coal and freight prices at September 30, 2003. Aquila uses a blend of two different coals at its Sibley and Lake Road coal-burning plants that is optimal for the operational characteristics of the unit; therefore I provided Staff witness Elliott with a blending percent for each coal, in accordance with the mix used historically at each plant. At Jeffrey Energy Center the contract identifies a price for the first specified level of tons per year of coal received under the contract ("Tier 1" price), and another price for all coal beyond that amount

received under the contract ("Tier 2" price). This fact is reflected in my computation of

2

coal prices provided to witness David Elliott for input to the Staff's fuel model.

\_

Q. How did you arrive at the fuel oil prices that you provided to Staff witness

4

1

Elliott?

5

\_

6

7

8

O

9

10

11

12

Staff's model?

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

A. Aquila burns No. 2 oil as a primary fuel at its Nevada facility. All other

No. 2 fuel oil is burned as an emergency fuel or for start-ups and flame stabilization.

I am sponsoring a price for fuel oil at the Nevada, Greenwood, and Lake Road plants

based on data of Aquila's most recent purchases at that plant. Finally, at latan I am

sponsoring a fuel price based on prices used by Aquila to value its fuel inventory at that

site, as no other information was obtained in response to a Staff data request.

Q. How did you arrive at the price of natural gas for generation used in the

A. I averaged the prices Aquila actually paid throughout the test year and the

update period. Further, instead of trying to establish one single price for all of Aquila's

power plants where natural gas is used, I am sponsoring a separate natural gas price at

each location in order to better reflect Aquila's actual cost at that site. All of the Staff's

natural gas prices reflect the commodity price of natural gas and include any pipeline

costs Aquila is charged for delivery to each power plant site. These prices do not include

any fixed demand charges for pipeline transportation. Those fixed charges are added to

fuel costs to arrive at total fuel expense.

Q. Why did you select an average of gas prices over the twelve-month period

of the test year and the nine months of the update period, for a total of twenty-one

months?

- A. First, consistent with the Staff's practice, I have relied on historical prices only and not on any forecasts for the price of gas beyond September 30, 2003. Second, because the price of natural gas tends to fluctuate up and down, it is common to use some kind of averaging method. The actual price Aquila paid for natural gas did vary widely from the beginning of the test year through the end of the update period. The Staff's averaging method gives equal weight, without bias, to the price Aquila paid each month for natural gas at each power plant.
  - Q. Why is the Staff not recommending a mechanism of the type that includes an additional charge to customers for a limited period of time, subject to true-up <u>and</u> refund if gas prices ended up being low enough?
  - A. Such a mechanism might be helpful; however, it is the Staff's understanding that this Commission cannot impose such a measure on Aquila and that, rather, a proposal of this type requires the concurrence of Aquila.
    - Q. Please describe one example of such a mechanism.
- A. Staff has previously concurred with a plan under which a base amount of fuel and purchased power expense is designed into permanent utility rates, and an additional amount of expense is included in rates on a temporary basis during the period of especially high natural gas price uncertainty. At the end of the pre-determined period of time an audit is held of the utility's fuel and purchased power costs, and any over-collection (determined based, among other things, on the cost paid for natural gas) is refunded to customers, with interest.
  - Q. Where has this type of mechanism been used before?

A. Most recently it was used in Case No. ER-2001-299, The Empire District Electric Company (Empire). Earlier, in the 1980s, it was employed to include forecasted fuel costs in Kansas City Power and Light and Empire cases when the price of coal and natural gas increased to significantly above normal historical levels.

Q. If the Staff did propose using this type of mechanism in this case, what range of natural gas prices would it recommend for computing total fuel and purchased power expense?

A. While I cannot say what the impact on total fuel and purchased power expense would be at this point, based on a review of historical natural gas prices, the Staff would recommend a base fuel and purchased power expense amount with a ceiling, subject to a true-up and refund provision. As part of this process, natural gas prices included in the Staff's calculations would be higher than those being recommended in this direct filing.

Q. What would be the benefit of doing this?

 A.

approved such a plan, with rates to be set accordingly, Aquila would have some

If an agreement among all parties were reached and the Commission

protection against having to pay natural gas prices above those I am sponsoring in my

direct testimony. Customers' rates would reflect natural gas prices actually paid by

Aquila (up to the top of the range) in providing them with utility service. However, any

funds collected for fuel and purchased power above those required to meet actual costs

would be refunded to customers, with interest. If natural gas prices paid by Aquila for

producing electrical service turned out to be low enough, customers could well see

	Direct Testimony of Graham A. Vesely
1	savings they would otherwise not experience using the prices I am sponsoring in my
2	direct testimony.
3	Q. Is Aquila's current financial condition a concern in using this type of a
4	mechanism?
5	A. Yes. As Aquila would be collecting from customers funds that are subjec
6	to refund, the Staff would agree with using this mechanism only if it were possible to
7	exclude these funds from being in any way subject to the claims of Aquila's creditors and
8	shareholders.
9	DEMAND CHARGES-PURCHASED POWER CAPACITY CONTRACTS
10	Q. Please list the capacity contracts that Aquila had as of the end of the
11	update period.
12	A. Aquila had contracted with the following organizations to secure firm
13	purchased power arrangements:
14	<u>MPS</u>
15	Sunflower Electric Power Corporation
16	MEP Pleasant Hill (Base)
17	MEP Pleasant Hill (Peak)
18	<u>L&amp;P</u>
19	Sunflower Electric Power Corporation
20	Nebraska Public Power District
21	Q. How did you reflect the contractual purchased power demand costs in thi
22	case?

A. I annualized the demand costs Aquila pays under these contracts by multiplying the respective monthly demand charges by twelve and summing up the results, with the exception of the MEP Pleasant Hill contract. Staff witness Mark L. Oligschlaeger provided me with the total (base and peak) annualized demand charge for MEP Pleasant Hill.

### **FUEL INVENTORIES**

- Q. What was your responsibility in this case regarding fuel inventories?
- A. My responsibility was to determine a reasonable value for fuel inventory to include in rate base. Aquila maintains inventories of coal at its Sibley, Jeffrey, Lake Road, and latan plants. It maintains fuel oil inventories at Greenwood, Nevada, Lake Road and latan.
  - Q. What coal inventory levels have you included in this case?
- A. The Staff has included a 61-day supply for coal inventories at the Sibley plant, a 72-day supply at Jeffrey, a 49-day supply at Iatan and a 75-day supply at Lake Road. The numbers of days are consistent with the inventory policies of Sibley, Jeffrey, Iatan and Lake Road generating facilities. The inventory tonnages represent coal quantities sufficient for the respective number of average-burn days, as per the results of the generation levels determined using the production cost model. A 13-month average has been used for oil inventories for purposes of this case.

#### TRANSMISSION EXPENSE

- Q. Please explain your adjustment in this area.
- A. Aquila has contracts securing the ability to use the transmission lines owned by other companies or organizations, in order to be able to receive the power it

purchases under certain firm commitments. For Aquila-MPS I have annualized the transmission expense paid to Sunflower and MAPP in order to be able to transmit the power received under the firm purchase agreement with Sunflower. For Aquila-L&P I have annualized the transmission expense paid to NPPD in order to be able to transmit the power received under the firm purchase agreement with NPPD. The adjustments represent the amounts by which the test year level of expense must be increased or decreased in order to include the Staff's annualized values in this case.

### **EMISSION ALLOWANCES**

- Q. What were your responsibilities in this area?
- A. I was responsible for including in the Staff's case the annualized level of expense Aquila pays to secure rights from the Federal Government to produce sulfur dioxide emissions from its power plants as a result of burning fossil fuels. Aquila secures these rights by purchasing emission credits, or allowances, which are then held in reserve until they are either used up by Aquila or possibly, if not entirely needed for its operations, sold to other utilities. The unused level of emissions allowances that Aquila carried on its books at September 30, 2003 is included, on a 13-month average basis, in rate base.
  - Q. Does this conclude your direct testimony?
  - A. Yes, it does.

### GRAHAM A. VESELY

### **CASE PARTICIPATION**

Date Filed	Issue	Case Number	Exhibit	Case Name
4/19/2001	Payroll	GR2001292	Direct	Missouri Gas Energy, A Division of Southern Union Company
4/19/2001	Payroll Taxes	GR2001292	Direct	Missouri Gas Energy, A Division of Southern Union Company
5/13/1999	Maintenance Expense Normalization	ER99247	Direct	St. Joseph Light & Power Company
4/19/2001	Cash Working Capital	GR2001292	Direct	Missouri Gas Energy, A Division of Southern Union Company
5/13/1999	Maintenance Expense Normalization	EC98573	Direct	St. Joseph Light & Power Company
5/13/1999	Customer Growth	EC98573	Direct	St. Joseph Light & Power Company
5/13/1999	Customer Growth	ER99247	Direct	St. Joseph Light & Power Company
5/13/1999	Maintenance Expense	GR99246	Direct	St. Joseph Light & Power Company
4/19/2001	Bonuses	GR2001292	Direct	Missouri Gas Energy, A Division of Southern Union Company
5/13/1999	Normalization	GR99246	Direct	St. Joseph Light & Power Company
12/6/2001	Payroll Taxes	EC2002265	Direct	UtiliCorp United Inc. d/b/a Missouri Public Service
12/6/2001	Incentive Compensation	EC2002265	Direct	UtiliCorp United Inc. d/b/a Missouri Public Service
10/16/2002	Fuel and Purchase Power Expense	ER2002424	Surrebuttal	The Empire District Electric Company
8/16/2002	Fuel Inventory	ER2002424	Direct	The Empire District Electric Company
3/1/2000	Pension Asset Transfer	GM2000312	Rebuttal	Atmos Energy Company and Associated Natural Gas Company
12/6/2001	Payroll	EC2002265	Direct	UtiliCorp United Inc. d/b/a Missouri Public Service
12/6/2001	Fuel Inventories	ER2001672	Direct	UtiliCorp United Inc. d/b/a Missouri Public Service
8/16/2002	Fuel and Purchase Power	ER2002424	Direct	The Empire District Electric Company
12/6/2001	Fuel Inventories	EC2002265	Direct	UtiliCorp United Inc. d/b/a Missouri Public Service
12/6/2001	Insentive Compensation	ER2001672	Direct	UtiliCorp United Inc. d/b/a Missouri Public Service
12/6/2001	Payroll	ER2001672	Direct	UtiliCorp United Inc. d/b/a Missouri Public Service

Date Filed	Issue	Case Number	Exhîbit	Case Name
12/6/2001	Employee Benefits	EC2002265	Direct	UtiliCorp United Inc. d/b/a Missouri Public Service
12/6/2001	Payroll Taxes	ER2001672	;	UtiliCorp United Inc. d/b/a Missouri Public Service
12/6/2001	Employee Benefits	ER2001672	Direct	UtiliCorp United Inc. d/b/a Missouri Public Service
1/22/2002	Incentive Compensation	EC2002265		UtiliCorp United Inc. d/b/a Missouri Public Service
1/22/2002	Incentive Compensation	ER2001672	1	UtiliCorp United Inc. d/b/a Missouri Public

### **INFORMAL CASES**

Raytown Water Company

Timbercreek Sewer Company

Silverleaf Resorts

Taney County Utilities