

General Telephone Company Of The Midwest

February 27, 1987 LR 10-12-1

> 11 Eleventh Ave. - P.O. Box 330 Grinnell, Iowa 50112

Mr. Harvey G. Hubbs, Secretary Missouri Public Service Commission Truman State Office Building 5th Floor, 301 West High Street P. O. Box 360 Jefferson City, Missouri 65102

Dear Mr. Hubbs:

SUBJECT: CASE NO. A0-87-48 - IMPACT OF TAX REFORM ACT OF 1986

As requested in Case No. AO-87-48, issued November 3, 1986, General Telephone Company of the Midwest (General) provides the enclosed updated response quantifying the effects of the Tax Reform Bill of 1986. This is based upon 1986 unadjusted operating results. Please note that the impact of tax reform has also been included in the Staff's rate complaint Case TC-87-57 using <u>adjusted</u> data for a twelve month period ending June 30, 1986. Since this adjusted data incorporates the impact of future changes on General, I believe it is significantly more accurate, and should be used in lieu of the unadjusted data.

General has determined that, based on the adjusted data filed in TC-87-57, the impact of the tax changes does not have a significant impact on the Company's projected intrastate rate of return, which is estimated to be approximately 4.2%. This return includes the effect of major Commission orders in addition to tax reform.

Should you have any questions regarding this submission, please contact Kip Hendrickson, State Director-Regulatory Affairs, at (515) 269-2807.

Singerely,

for G. E. ALDRICH, JR. State Vice President-General Manager

GEA:GGY:11d

Enclosure

FILED

MAR 2 1987

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

COMMENTS OF GENERAL TELEPHONE COMPANY OF THE MIDWEST CASE NO. A0-87-48

In response to Case No. AO-87-48 relating to the Tax Reform Act of 1986, General Telephone Company of the Midwest submits the following information based on unadjusted 1986 intrastate operating results:

- Request 1: Based on the tax law in effect in 1986, calculate a revenue requirement showing the operating results of unadjusted calendar year 1986.
- Response 1: As shown on Exhibit 1, page 3 of 3, in the column labeled "Base Case-1986 Tax Law", General's revenue requirement is \$5,552,000 based up on the Commission's surveillance return of 11.2%. These operating results indicate that General's return is 7.35%, which is far below the Commission's surveillance level.
- Request 2: Based on the new tax law using the tax rate(s) and other known tax changes applicable to calendar year 1987 taxable income (e.g. a 40% phased tax rate for 1987), calculate a revenue requirement showing the operating results of unadjusted calendar year 1986.
- Response 2: As shown on Exhibit 1, page 2 of 3, in the column labeled "1987 Tax Law", the additional intrastate income tax expense because of the 1987 changes applied to 1986 unadjusted operating results is \$39,000. General has an intrastate revenue requirement of \$5.0 million and an estimated rate of return (assuming all other things constant) of 7.33%. The workpapers detailing the change in total income taxes are shown on pages 1 and 2 of Exhibit 1.
- Request 3: Based on the new tax law using the tax rate(s) and other known tax changes applicable to tax years subsequent to calendar year 1987, calculate a revenue requirement showing the operating results of unadjusted calendar year 1986.
- Response 3: As shown on Exhibit 1, page 2 of 3, in the column labeled "1988 Tax Law", the reduction in intrastate income tax expense because of the 1988 changes applied to 1986 unadjusted results is \$483,000. General continues to have a large intrastate revenue requirement need of over \$3.8 million and an estimated rate of return (assuming all other things constant) of 7.99%. The workpapers detailing the change in total income taxes are shown on pages 1 and 2 of Exhibit 1.
- Request 4: Provide the workpapers supporting the amount of excess deferred tax reserves attributable to the turnaround of tax/book timing differences at a tax rate lower than the rate(s) at which the reserve has thus far been established.

- 1 -

COMMENTS OF GENERAL TELEPHONE COMPANY OF THE MIDWEST CASE NO. A0-87-48

- Response 4: These amounts are identified on Exhibit 1, page 1 of 3, as "Reversal of Deferred Taxes Associated with Rate Change". Also a schedule supporting the calculation is included as Exhibit 2.
- Request 5: All deferred tax expense and reserve information shall be presented in a fashion which will allow a ready disaggregation between the types of tax/book timing differences which gave rise to the deferred tax expense or reserve (e.g., accelerated depreciation, ITC, Schedule M items normalized, phase-in plans, etc.).
- Response 5: These amounts have been identified on Exhibit 1.
- Request 6: Please provide further information which the Company deems necessary in examining the revenue requirement impact of the tax law change.
- Response 6: The magnitude of the tax impact will vary widely across companies. To presume that the tax change has a direct relationship with authorized earnings would unfairly penalize or reward companies. General believes that the Commission should examine each company individually by comparing the actual earnings level of each to its authorized return level. In addition, General strongly points out that the tax reform impacts included in this package are based upon unadjusted earnings for the twelve months ending December 31, 1986 and the industry environment as it existed during that time. The Tax Reform impacts and base level of earnings ending December 31, 1986 in no way represents General's future earnings and anticipated Tax Reform impacts. There are a myriad of other changes that will be taking place over the next twelve to eighteen months. For example, six months after the new tax rate is fully effective, the revised Uniform System of Accounts (USOA) will be implemented. The revised accounting system generally has the effect of increasing revenue requirement by expensing items that were previously capitalized. Any decrease in tax liability may be useful in meeting the revenue requirement caused by USOA. The Missouri Commission has also issued an order deregulating embedded customer premise equipment (CPE) on December 31, 1987. Therefore, the contribution that CPE has made to local service rates will disappear and this will also increase General's revenue requirement. In addition there are a number of events evolving in the toll/access arena. such as changes in compensation plans and the crucial need to transition nontraffic sensitive costs to the local ratepayer. Also the critical need for additional capital recovery should also be reviewed.

The impact of these events have been specifically quantified in the Missouri Commission Staff's rate complaint case TC-87-57. General

COMMENTS OF GENERAL TELEPHONE COMPANY OF THE MIDWEST CASE NO. A0-87-48

Response 6: (Cont'd)

has filed testimony in this case indicating that, based upon an adjusted test year ending June 30, 1986, incorporating tax reform and these other very important events, a return of only 4.427 would be earned.

General recognizes that the Commission is concerned that utilities not unfairly benefit by the changed tax laws. However, only by reviewing each company's earnings on a case by case basis and considering the other changes occurring throughout the telecommunication's industry can the Commission make an appropriate evaluation. This method would be equitable to both ratepayers and stockholders. SENERAL TELEPHONE COMPANY OF THE NIDNEST

TOTAL FIT

1895

-

Exhibit 1 Page 1 of 3

(REFORM				INTRASTATE					
i ca y 26, 5, 5, 5, 5 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	1984 1984			1997 TAX LAN			L788 TAX LAW		
	INTRASI BOOK			i BDOK	INTRASTATE		: BOOK	INTRASTATE	
	TOTAL	PCT		TOTAL	PCT		i TOTAL	PCT	ANT
DPER. EXP.	58950 45846		41106	58750 45846		41106	58950 45846		4110 3358
	13104	-		13104	•		13104	-	752
ADD: DEPR ADJ	2761	76 619	1954	1 2791	74 414			-	
BAD DEBIS ADJ	2791 RA	70.01Z NA	-	: 2791 ; 54	70.012 70.012			70.012	
MEALS/ENTERTAINMENT			NA	, 34 ; 46	70.01%		i 34 i 46	70.017	
INTEREST CAPITALIZED			NA	267	70.017		267		19
PROP TAX CAPITALIZED	NA	NA	NA	144	70.017	101		70.012	10
LESS:			****	}			477		
FIXED CHARGES	-3704	70.012	-2733	-3904	70.01X	-2733	-3904	70.01%	-273
PENSION COSTS		70.011		l NA	NA		NA NA	NA	
PAYROLL TAXES		70.01%	-141	t NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	1
SALES TAXES	-273	70.01%	-191	t NA	NA	NA	NA NA	NA	N
EXCESS DEPRECIATION	-3917		-2742	-3917			-3917		
ADJUSTED INCOME	7070	-	3301	8585		436: 1	8585	-	456
	38227913		1,722557,1		-	**************************************	23867333		#2222
CULATION OF CURRENT FEGERA		TAX				1			
ADJUSTED INCOME	7070		3301	8585		4361	8585		436
LESS: STATE TAX	195		91	263	_	134	288		14
FEDERAL TAXABLE INC	6875		3209	8322	_	4228	8297	-	421
FED RATE	462	-	462	1 40X	-	40%	342	-	3
FED TAX LESS:	3162			3329		1691 I	2821		143
	-1267	70.01%	-897	-1267	70.01%	-887 ;	-1267	70.012	-88
TOT FED TAX BEFORE Reversal of Excess Defeared Taxes	1895		587	2062		804 t 1	1554		54
RE VERSAL OF DEFERRED TAX						1			

1929

589 :

711 1

1289

360

GENERAL TELEPHONE COMPANY OF THE NIDWEST Case NO. AQ-87-48 Tay despen act de 1994 Exhibit 1 Page 2 of 3

TAX REFORM ACT OF 1986

TAX REFORM

EFFECT OR MISSOURI INTRASTATE OPERATIONS (CONT.)

CALCULATION OF CURRENT STATE INCOME TAX

	-	1986 TAX LAN			1987 TAX LAN			1988 TAX LAN		
	BOOK	INTRASTATE) ·) BOOK	INTRASTATE		l I BOOK	INTRASTATE		
	TOTAL	PCT	AHT	TOTAL	PCT	ANT	TOTAL	PCT	ANT	
ADJUSTED INCOME	7070		3301	: 8585		4361	l 1 8385		4361	
LESS: Federal Tax	3162		1476	3329		1691	1 1 2821		1433	
NET OF FED	3708	•	1824	5256		2670	5764		2928	
STATE SIT RATE	0.05		0.05	0.05		0.05	0.05		0.05	
CURRENT STATE INCOME TA	X 195 AE388833	z	91 *******	263		134	288		146	
TOTAL CURRENT INCOME TA	X 2091		680	 2192 =======		844 	 [577 =======		506	
CALCULATION OF DEFERRED INCO				1			: : :			
EXCESS DEPRECIATION	3917 0.4749			i 1 3917 1 0,4184			3917 0.3622			
	1860	70.01%	1302	1639	70.01)	1147	1419	70,012	993	
TOTAL INCOME TAX	3951 arexxee		1983	 3931 		1992	2996		1499	
INCREASE (DECREASE) IN TOTAL INCOME TAXES				; ; ; –120			, _955		-483	
DUE TO TAX REFORM				********		*******	84402222			

GENERAL TELEPHONE COMPANY OF THE MIDWEST

MISSOURI CASE NO. AO-87-48 TAX REFORM ACT OF 1986

INTRASTATE REVENUE REQUIREMENT (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	Base Case (1986 Tax Law)	1987 Tax Law	<u> 1988 Tax Law</u>
Rate Base	\$75439	\$75439	\$75439
Rate of Return	11.20%	11.20%	11.20%
Return	8449	8449	8449
Adjusted Net Income	5542	5533	6026
Income Deficit	2907	2916	2423
Gross Up Factor	1.91	1.72	1.57
Add'l Revenue Requirement	\$ 5552	\$ 5016	\$ 3804
Increase/(Decrease) in Total Revenue Requirement Due to Tax Reform:			
Income Tax Expense		16	<759>
Gross Up Factor		<552>	<989>
Earned Rate of Return	7.35%	7.33%	7.99%

Exhibit 2

NISSOURI LASE ND. A0-97-48 EFFECTS OF TAX REFORM (000)

REVERSAL OF DEFERRED TAXES ATTRIBUTABLE TO THE TURNAROUND OF TAX/BOOK TIMING DIFFERENCES AT RATES LOWER THAN THE RATE AT WHICH THE RESERVE HAS THUS FAR BEEN ESTABLISHED.

TO DETERMINE THE AMOUNT OF REVERSAL, A STUDY WAS MADE OF THE REVERSALS WHICH OCCURRED IN THE 1985 TAX YEAR. THIS IS THE MUST RECENT YEAR THAT ACTUAL INFORMATION IS AVAILABLE.

AMOUNT OF THE REVERSAL IN 1795 IN Excess of the 34% rate

. 4

[

. .

294

-28

266

AMOUNT OF THIS REVERSAL ATTRIBUTABLE TO A RATE IN EXCESS OF THE CURRENT 46% RATE. (THIS AMOUNT IS NOT ATTRIBUTABLE TO THE TAX REFORM ACT.)

AMOUNT IN 1985 ATTRIBUTABLE TO THE Rate change from 46% to 34% outlined in the tax reform Act.

ONE-HALF EFFECT FOR 1987, WHERE RATE IS 402

133