FILED March 19, 2020 Data Center Missouri Public Service Commission

Exhibit No.: Issue(s):

Witness: Sponsoring Party: Type of Exhibit: Case No.: Date Testimony Prepared: Facilities, Lease Expense. Amortization Expense Jason Kunst, CPA MoPSC Staff Rebuttal Testimony ER-2019-0335 January 21, 2020

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

9

FINANCIAL and BUSINESS ANALYSIS DIVISION

AUDITING DEPARTMENT

REBUTTAL TESTIMONY

OF

JASON KUNST, CPA

UNION ELECTRIC COMPANY, d/b/a Ameren Missouri

CASE NO. ER-2019-0335

Jefferson City, Missouri January 2020

Staff Exhibit No. 117 P Date 3/4/20 Reporter 8ms File No. ER-2019 - 0335

** Denotes Confidential Information **

1	REBUTTAL TESTIMONY				
2	OF				
3	JASON KUNST, CPA				
4 5	UNION ELECTRIC COMPANY, d/b/a Ameren Missouri				
6	CASE NO. ER-2019-0335				
7	Q. Please state your name and business address.				
8	A. Jason Kunst, 111 North 7 th Street, Suite 105, St. Louis, MO 63101.				
9	Q. By whom are you employed and in what capacity?				
10	A. I am employed by the Missouri Public Service Commission ("Commission") as				
11	a Utility Regulatory Auditor IV.	a Utility Regulatory Auditor IV.			
12	Q. Are you the same Jason Kunst, CPA who filed direct testimony as part of Staff's	Q. Are you the same Jason Kunst, CPA who filed direct testimony as part of Staff's			
13	revenue Requirement Cost of Service Report ("Report") that was filed on December 4, 2019,				
14	as part of this rate proceeding?				
15	A. Yes.				
16	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY				
17	Q. Please provide a brief summary of your rebuttal testimony.				
18	A. My rebuttal testimony will address the proposal in Ameren Missouri witness				
19	Laura M. Moore's direct testimony to include an amortization of the municipal tax settlement				
20	regarding gross receipts tax that was paid by Ameren Missouri as a result of a class action				
21	lawsuit. I will also address Staff's proposed adjustment to reduce the rate base of the				
22	replacement substation for the donation of the former central substation site to Saint Louis				
23	University ("SLU"), as well as Staff's proposed adjustments to remove the cost for Ameren				
24	Missouri to **				

1 ** of the 701 Colorado Ave facility located in Eldon, Missouri. Lastly, I will 2 provide an update for Staff's adjustment for software maintenance agreements. 3 4 MUNICIPAL TAX SETTLEMENT What are "gross receipt taxes" and how are they assessed? 5 Q. Generally, these taxes are levied by municipalities in Missouri on the basis of 6 A. 7 the gross receipts derived from the sale of utility services within their jurisdiction. These type of taxes go by various names depending on the local ordinance such as utility tax, business 8 license tax, municipal tax, etc. Depending on the ordinance of the municipality, Ameren 9 10 Missouri files a monthly, quarterly, or yearly return that declares its revenue generated in that locality and pays the appropriate tax at that time. 11 Please provide a brief summary of the events that led to the settlement payment 12 Q. 13 for which Ameren Missouri witness Laura M. Moore has proposed recovery over five years as 14 part of her direct testimony. In November of 2011, the Cities of Winchester and Creve Coeur,¹ Missouri filed 15 A. 16 a class action lawsuit against Ameren Missouri claiming that Ameren Missouri had been underpaying Business License Taxes or gross receipt taxes by excluding certain revenues from 17 18 the municipal tax base.² 19 In April of 2017, Ameren Missouri reached a settlement agreement with the municipalities³ and agreed to pay \$13 million for taxes "allegedly due and owing through 20

¹ The Cities of Winchester and Creve Coeur were the lead plaintiffs in the lawsuit. In total there were 254 members of the settlement class.

² The municipal tax base is the revenue generated within the jurisdiction of a taxing authority.

³ As part of the settlement agreement, the municipalities had the option to assign a portion of their payment to the Missouri Municipal League or the Municipal League of Metro St. Louis.

1	March 31, 20	017" ⁴ and additional accrued ⁵ and future taxes on certain miscellaneous revenue		
2	accounts eff	accounts effective April 1, 2017. The settlement was approved by the Circuit Court in		
3	December of	f 2017, and Ameren Missouri paid approximately \$13.4 ⁶ million in January and		
4	February of 2	2018 to finalize the agreement.		
5	Q.	Did the settlement agreement include all municipalities where Ameren Missouri		
6	provides elec	etric service?		
7	А.	No. During the court proceeding, the judge dismissed claimants who operated		
8	under franch	ise payment ordinances and not license tax ordinances. Other municipalities were		
9	never part of	the lawsuit. Schedule JK-r1, lists the communities served by Ameren Missouri		
10	electric opera	ations and indicates if they received a settlement payment as a result of the lawsuit.		
11	Q.	What were the accounts that Ameren Missouri agreed to pay back taxes and also		
12	include in fu	ture tax filings?		
13	А.	Ameren Missouri agreed to pay gross receipt taxes on the following accounts:		
14		• 300 Series Contributions in Aid of Construction;		
15		• 364 Pole Charges;		
16		• 450 Forfeited Discounts;		
17		 451 Changing/Connection/Disconnection Fees; 		
18		• 451005 Customer Installations – Trouble Calls,		
19		 451006 Customer Installations – Other Work; 		
20		451007 Temporary Facilities;		
21	,	• 454MJM Third Party Facility Rentals,		
22		• 454001 Pole Space Rentals;		
23		• 454002 Other Property Rental Revenue;		
24		• 454004 Agricultural Land Rental Revenue;		

⁴ See Settlement Agreement, page 10, paragraph A, City of Winchester Missouri, et al., v. Union Electric Company d/b/a Ameren Missouri, available at https://cdn.ymaws.com/www.mocities.com/resource/collection/ECCB0F55-

 A 19D-4839-BFB2-397297C07536/2017.08.04SettlementAgreement.pdf.
 ⁵ Ameren Missouri agreed to accrue gross receipt taxes of \$108,333 per month for the agreed to accounts.
 ⁶ The final payment consisted of \$5,188,000 to the plaintiff's attorneys and \$8,242,824 to the plaintiffs. Additionally Ameren Missouri paid \$627,590 between April 2017 and January 2018 for the accrued taxes for a total of \$14,058,413.

1	 454006 Facility Rentals for Interchange Customers; 		
2	 454007 Facility Rentals for Third Party Customers; 		
3	 456010 Miscellaneous Billings, 		
4	 456011 Miscellaneous Bill Adjustments; 		
5	 456005 Overheads Billed – Other Parties; 		
6	 456007 Collecting and Remitting Taxes. 		
7	Q. Do all of the accounts that Ameren Missouri agreed to include in future tax		
8	filings represent revenue accounts from retail customers?		
9	A. No. While some of the accounts are generated from retail customers,		
10	450 Forfeited Discounts for example, others such as 454001 Pole Rentals represent revenue		
11	streams generated from contracts with third parties for the use of Ameren Missouri property.		
12	While the revenue Ameren Missouri receives from these contracts is used to determine the		
13	revenue requirement, the contracts are negotiated between Ameren Missouri and the third		
14	parties and are not subject to the tariffed rates.		
15	Q. Do the rental agreements Ameren Missouri has in place with third parties		
16	address taxes?		
17	A. **		
18			
19			
20	**		
21	Q. How does Ameren Missouri collect gross receipts taxes from retail customers?		
22	A. Under its approved tariff, gross receipts taxes are not included in the tariffed		
23	rate, however Ameren Missouri is allowed to collect these taxes in addition to the tariffed rate		
24	as a separate line item. Specifically, the tariff language is as follows:		

1 2 3 4		<u>TAX ADJUSTMENT</u> Any license, franchise, gross receipts, occupation or similar charge or tax levied by any taxing authority on the amounts billed hereunder will be so designated and added as a separate item to bills rendered to customers under the jurisdiction of the taxing authority.
5	Q.	How are these taxes treated for ratemaking purposes?
6	А.	Gross receipts taxes are considered pass through taxes during a rate case
7	proceeding. Be	ecause these are a pass through item, both the revenue and the expense associated
8	with the collec	ction and remittance of the gross receipt taxes during the test are removed from
9	the cost of serv	vice calculation.
10	Q.	Did Ameren Missouri include gross receipt taxes in its calculation of rates in
11	this case?	
12	А.	No. In fact, Ameren Missouri indicated in its Application that it filed tariff
13	sheets "desigr	ned to decrease Ameren Missouri's base gross annual electric revenues by
14	approximately	\$0.8 million, exclusive of applicable gross receipts, sales, franchise or
15	occupation fe	es or taxes." ⁷
16	Q.	Did the settlement agreement address the potential pass through of what it called
17	the "Past Tax J	Payment" utilizing an electric rate surcharge?
18	А.	Yes. The Settlement Class Members took no position on whether or not Ameren
19	Missouri may	or should collect the taxes from customers. ⁸
20	Q.	How has Ameren Missouri accounted for the settlement payment?

 ⁷ EFIS Item No. 2, Application, page 2 paragraph 6 (emphasis added).
 ⁸ See Settlement Agreement, page 17, paragraph G, City of Winchester Missouri, et al., v. Union Electric Company *d/b/a Ameren Missouri, available at* https://cdn.ymaws.com/www.mocities.com/resource/collection/ECCB0F55-A19D-4839-BFB2-397297C07536/2017.08.04SettlementAgreement.pdf.

In December of 2013, Ameren Missouri began to record an accrual for the A. 1 potential outcome of the case. The chart below summarizes the amounts that were accrued for 2 3 the settlement payment: 4 Date Accrued Amount Accrued \$4,500,000 12/31/13 \$500,000 6/30/14 \$2,400,000 12/31/14 \$5,600,000 9/30/16 \$775,000 6/30/17 1/31/18 (\$344,176) \$13,430,824 Total

5

6

7

The accrual entries were recorded to the provision for injuries and damages, debiting expense account 925 and crediting liability account 228.

Were any of the entries above included in a test year during a previous Ameren 8 Q.

Missouri rate case? 9

Yes. The initial accrual was included in the test year ending December 31, 2014, 10 A. in Ameren Missouri rate case ER-2014-0258. 11

Did Staff or any other intervening party propose an adjustment to the provision 12 Q. for injuries and damages in Case No. ER-2014-0258? 13

No. The test year level of accrual was included in the cost of service calculation A. 14 by both Staff and Ameren Missouri in that case. 15

Has Ameren Missouri already collected a portion of the settlement payment 16 Q. 17 in rates?

A. Yes. The rates established in ER-2014-0258, which included the unadjusted
 accrual for the municipal gross receipts law suit, went into effect on May 5, 2015, and remained
 in effect until April 1, 2017. During this time frame Ameren Missouri collected approximately
 \$8.3 million in rates for the municipal tax lawsuit.

Q. Please summarize Staff's position with regard to the proposed amortization of
the municipal tax settlement payment.

7 Å. The municipal tax settlement payment is a non-recurring payment of back taxes; these taxes are typically reflected and collected separately from tariffed rate revenues as a 8 separate line item on a customer's bill. It would be inappropriate to include an amortization of 9 the total settlement payment, which represents the back taxes, in the calculation of ongoing 10 rates in the current case, as it would result in all retail ratepayers subsidizing these taxes. 11 Ameren Missouri has a method to collect these pass through taxes through the Tax Adjustment 12 tariff provision. However, if the Commission were to decide in favor of Ameren Missouri 13 and include the amortization of the settlement payment in rates, the amount \$13.4 million 14 proposed by Ameren Missouri should be reduced by the \$8.3 million that has already been 15 16 collected in rates.

17

DONATED UTILITY PROPERTY

18

19 property?

0.

A. Yes. Staff is concerned that it is becoming common occurrence for Ameren
Missouri to donate utility property that has been included for recovery in rates without seeking
Commission permission, informally notifying Staff, or mentioning it in rate case testimony.
These asset donations include the land the former central substation was located on to Saint

Does Staff have any concerns regarding Ameren Missouri's recent donations of

Louis Unive	rsity (SLU), the Eldon operating facility, several bucket trucks and other power		
operated equ	operated equipment. ⁹ These utility properties were included in rates and fully or partially paid		
for by rate pa	yers, and were then donated and subsequently replaced by Ameren Missouri, who		
again sought	recovery for the replacement through rates.		
Q.	Did Ameren Missouri seek Commission approval before donating any of the		
above proper	ty?		
А.	No.		
Q.	Did Ameren Missouri give notice to Staff and other parties by disclosing the		
donations in	testimony during rate case filings?		
А.	No.		
Q.	Does Staff wish to address any specific donations?		
А.	Yes, specifically the donations of property by Ameren Missouri of the former		
central subst	ation site to SLU and the donation and ** ** of the		
operations fa	cility located at 700 Colorado Ave in Eldon, Missouri that were mentioned in		
Staff's Cost o	of Service Report on pages 38-40.		
<u>a) SL</u>	<u>UDONATION</u>		
Q.	Has Ameren Missouri completed its donation of the former central substation		
site to SLU?			
A.	Yes. The donation of the property between Ameren Missouri and SLU was		
completed in	early December 2019.		
	operated equ for by rate pa again sought Q. above proper A. Q. donations in A. Q. donations in A. Q. A. central subst operations fa Staff's Cost o <u>a) SL</u> Q. site to SLU? A.		

⁹ Ameren Missouri's response to Staff Data Request No. 0489 indicated that it donated ten Bucket Trucks, a Freight Liner, a Flat Bed Truck, a Bobcat Mini Excavator, a Bobcat Skid Loader among other items during the period of January 1, 2017 through December 31, 2019.

central su	bstation	site to SLU.
A	**	
		10
		······································
		11
		**
Q.	Did	Ameren receive anything from SLU as a result of the donation?
		Thirden receive any ming from 51/6 as a result of the domaion.
A.	**	
÷		
	**	<
Q.	Doe	es Staff have any concerns regarding the donation of the property?
A.	Yes	. Staff is concerned that Ameren Missouri is donating valuable utility
ronerty tl	nat was i	ncluded in rates and partially, if not fully, paid for by ratepayers when it wa
necessary	to replac	e the donated property without a) seeking Commission approval for the sa
		he property and, b) failing to obtain fair market value for the property that

¹¹ See Confidential Schedule JK-r4.

.

	г		
1	could have be	een used to offset the construction or procurement of the replacement property.	
2	Staff contends that the appropriate approach would have been to sell the property and use the		
3	proceeds to o	ffset the construction of the replacement substation.	
4	Q.	Did Ameren Missouri replace the central substation?	
5	А.	Yes. In 2008, Ameren Missouri purchased land for ** ** on which	
6	to construct	the replacement for the central substation, which was placed into service in	
7	November of	2012.12	
8	Q.	What is Staff's proposed ratemaking treatment for the donation?	
9	А.	Staff proposes an adjustment to reduce the land value of the replacement	
10	substation by	the amount of the gain Ameren Missouri would have received by selling the land	
11	to SLU, ¹³ le	ss any costs Ameren Missouri incurred to ultimately prepare the site for	
12	sale/donation.		
13	Q.	How much did Ameren Missouri incur to prepare the land for sale/donation?	
14	А.	In response to Staff Data Request No. 0495, Ameren Missouri indicated that	
15	they incurred	approximately \$214,000 of costs related for environmental testing and clean up	
16	to prepare the	site for sale/donation.	
17	Q.	Why is Staff making its recommendation?	
18	А.	It is Staff's position that it was inappropriate and imprudent for Ameren	
19	Missouri to de	onate property that was included in rates without Commission consent or giving	
20	consideration	to the ratepayers when the proceeds of the sale could have been used to offset the	
21	construction c	of the replacement substation site.	

¹³ Based upon appraised value, \$1,095,624 less the original cost of the land and attachments \$162,105.

**

.

1	b) ELDON DONATION	
2	Q. Please provide a brief summary of the Eldon donation.	
3	A. In 2006, Ameren Missouri donated the facilities located at 701 C	Colorado Ave,
4	in Eldon, Missouri to the American Legion Post 229 in order to facilitate the sa	le of property
5	that Ameren Missouri was leasing to the American Legion near Bagnell Dam.	As a result of
6	the donation of the facility, Ameren Missouri constructed a replacement fa	acility for its
7	operations at nearby location in Eldon, MO. **	
8		
9	14 **	
10	Q. Did Staff address the donation and ** **	* of the Eldon
11	facility as part of the recent Ameren Missouri gas Case No. GR-2019-0077?	
12	A. Yes, as referenced on page 38, lines 10-15 of the Staff Co	st of Service
13	Report filed in this case, Staff proposed an adjustment as part of that case to *	*
14		
15		
16		
17	**	
18	Q. Why is it necessary to make a similar adjustment in this case?	
19	A. **	
20		×
21	**	
	14 ** **	

1	Q.	Why is Staff proposing this adjustment?
2	А.	It is Staff's position that it was imprudent and inappropriate for Ameren
3	Missouri to de	onate the facility without Commission approval given that Ameren Missouri had
4	to construct a	replacement facility to house the operations that were located at the donated
5	facility and *	**
6		
7		
8		**
9	Q.	**
10	A.	**
11		
12		
12		
14		**
15	Q.	**
16		**
17	А.	**
18		**
10		
19	SOFTWARE	MAINTENANCE EXPENSE
20	Q.	Do you have any updates or corrections to Staff's adjustment for software
21	maintenance e	xpense?
22	А.	Yes. Staff received additional software maintenance agreements in response
23	to Staff Data	Request No. 0433 that were not provided at the time of Staff's direct filing.

After reviewing these renewed contracts, Staff made an adjustment to include the costs for these agreements. Additionally, in its direct filing Staff made an error calculating the proposed adjustment to annualize the expense. After correcting the calculation error and including the additional software maintenance agreements, Staff now recommends an adjustment of ** ** software maintenance expense.

Does this complete your rebuttal testimony?

A. Yes.

Q.

6

7

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of Union Electric Company d/b/a Ameren Missouri's Tariffs to Decrease Its Revenues for Electric Service

Case No. ER-2019-0335

AFFIDAVIT OF JASON KUNST, CPA

STATE OF MISSOURI)	
)	SS.
ST. LOUIS COUNTY)	

COMES NOW JASON KUNST, CPA and on his oath declares that he is of sound mind and lawful age; that he contributed to the foregoing *Rebuttal Testimony of Jason Kunst, CPA*; and that the same is true and correct according to his best knowledge and belief.

Further the Affiant sayeth not.

JASÓN KUNST, CPA

JURAT

Subscribed and sworn before me, a duly constituted and authorized Notary Public, in and for St. Louis County, State of Missouri, at my office in St. Louis, on this 20^{44} day of January, 2020.

LISA M. FERGUSON Notary Public - Notary Seal State of Missouri Commissioned for St. Louis County My Commission Expires: June 08, 2020 Commission Number: 16631502





AMEREN MISSOURI - COMMUNITIES SERVED - ELECTRIC

Affton	Bel-Nor	Bucoda
Alexandria		Buell
Allenton	Benton	Bunceton
Altamont		Bymes Mill
	Berger	Cadet
	Berkeley	
Anniston	Bernie	
Antonia	Bertrand	Calverton Park
	Beverly Hills	Canaan
	Big Spring	Canady
		Canalou
Amold	Black Jack	Canton
Ashburn	Blackwater	Cape Girardeau
Ashland	Blackwell	
Ashley	Bland	Carsonville
Athens		Caruth
Augusta	Bonne Terre	
Auxvasse	Bonnots Mill	Catawissa
	Boonville	
Ballwin	Bowling Green	
Baring		Cave Springs
Barnett	Braggadocio	Cedar Hill
Barnhart	Brashear	Center
Belgrade	Brasher	Centralia
Bella Villa	Braymer	
Bellair	Breckenridge	Chaffee
Belle	Breckenridge Hills	STORIES MARK
Bellefontaine Neighbors	Brentwood	Chamois
Bellerive Acres	Bridgeton	
Belleview	Bridgeton Terrace	Charlack
Bellflower	Brookfield	Charleston
<u> </u>		Chesterfield

Schedule 5 – Min. Filing Reqmt. 3(b)5.

AMEREN MISSOURI – COMMUNITIES SERVED – ELECTRIC

Clark	Deering	Fairport
Clarksburg	Defiance	Fairview Acres
R.H. STIMMERKY	Dellwood	Farber
Clarksville	Delta	Fenton
	Des Peres	Ferguson
Clayton	Desloge	Festus
Clifton Hill	De Soto	Flamm City
Continues	Dexter	Fletcher
Concord	Dittmer	Flint Hill
Concord Hill	Doe Run	Flordell Hills
Cool Valley	Downing	Florence
	Dutchtown	Florissant
Corso	Dutzow	Foley
Cottleville	East Prairie	Foristell
Country Club Hills	Edgewood	Fortuna
Jounton Life, Aere-	Edina	Four Seasons
Cowgill	Edmundson	Frankclay
Crestwood	Eldon	Frankford
Creve Coeur	Ellisville	Franklin
Crowder	SPITTY:	headburg
Crystal City	Televice.	Frontenac
Crystal Lake Park	Elston	Gasconade
	Serie	Gerald
Curryville	Essex	Gibbs
Cyrene	Esther	Gibson
Danville	Estill	Cithen
The management	Ethlyn	Gilmore
Davis	Eugene	Filen Echo Park
Dawn	Eureka	Glencoe
De Lassus	Ewing	Glendale
Deer Run	Excelsior Springs	Gordonville

Schedule 5 - Min. Filing Reqmt. 3(b)5.

AMEREN MISSOURI - COMMUNITIES SERVED - ELECTRIC

Gorin	and the second	Klondike
Graniteville	A TANK	Knob Lick
Sound to the second	Hollywood	Knox City
Gray Summit	Holstein	Koeltztown
Green Castle	Holt	Labadie
Green City	Holts Summit	La Belle
Green Park	Management	Laddonia
Greendale	Marshipp.	Ladue
Greentop	Huntsville	LaGrange
Grubville	Hurdland	Lake MyKee
Hallsville	Ilasco	Lake Ozark
Hamilton	Illmo	Lake St. Louis
Hale, and	Iron Mountain Lake	Lakeland
Hartsburg	I sing hide	Lakeshire
Harvester	in all the	di di
lawk Point	Jamestown	Lakeview
	Jefferson City	Camilicat
A COMPLETE OF	Jennings	Lathrop
Haywood City	Jonesburg	Latty
Hazelwood	Josephville	Lawson
Hematite	Kaiser	Leadington
Henke	Kampville	Leadwood
Henley	Kearney	Les die
Herculaneum	Keitse	Lewistown
Higbee	Kidder	Liberty
High Hill	Common styles	Libertyville
High Ridge	with cloim City	Liguori
Highley Heights	Kingston	Lilbourn
Hillsboro	Kinloch	Linn
Hillsdale	Kirksville	Linn Creek
Decemb	Kirkwood	Lohman
		Lonedell

Schedule 5 – Min. Filing Reqmt. 3(b)5.

Case No. ER-2019-0335 Schedule JK-r1, Page 3 of 6

AMEREN MISSOURI - COMMUNITIES SERVED - ELECTRIC

		anna an ann an an an an an an an an an a
Loose Creek	Miner	n zaciona de la constante de la constante Militaria de la constante de la
Louisiana		North Wardell
	Mirabile	Northwoods
Luebbering	Moberly	 Mathematical and a second s
		Noser Mill
	Moline Acres	Novinger
Madison	Montgomery City	Oakland
Manchester	Mooresville	Oakville
Mapaville	Morehouse	Oermann
Maplewood	Morley	O'Fallon
Contender Ma	Morrison	Old Mines
	Morse Mill	Old Monroe
Marthasville	Mosby	
Martinsburg	Moscow Mills	Olivette
Marys Home	Moselle	Olney
Maryknoll	Mt Sterling	(), any other stallings
Maryland Heights	Murphy	Oran
Matson	Nelson	Orchard Farm
Matthews	Nettleton	Osage Beach
Maxville	New Bloomfield	Osage City
Maysville	New Court	Osborn
McFall	New Florence	Otterville
McGirk	New Franklin	Otto
McKittrick	New Hartford	Overland
Mehlville	New Haven	Owensville
Meta	New Hope	Pacific
Mexico	New London	Pagedale
Middle Brook	No. A Constant	Park Hills
Middletown	New Truxton	
	Sector	PRIMANAN
Mineola	Normandy	Parma

Schedule 5 - Min. Filing Reqmt. 3(b)5.

AMEREN MISSOURI - COMMUNITIES SERVED - ELECTRIC

Pasadena Hills	Rosebud		
	Rush Hill	Stark	
	Russellville	State Line	
Peerless Park			
Peers	Santa Rosa	Stephens	
	Saverton	Stover	
Peruque	Schubert	Sturgeon	
Pevely	Scott City	Sublette	
Pilot Grové	Scottsdale	Sulphur Springs	
	Selma	Sunset Hills	
Pine Lawn	2 1 1 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Desamble Stills	
Piney Park	Shrewsbury	Syracuse	
Plattsburg	Silex	Taos	
Polo	Smithton	Tenbrook	
Condition Promotion	Speed	Thompson	
	Spring Town	Tiff	
	South Gorin	Tipton	
Prairie Home	St. Albans	Town & Country	
AND AND AND AND	St. Ann	Townley	
Queen City	St. Catherine	Treloar	
	St. Charles	Тгоу	
	St. Clair	I HILSENS	
Revere	St. Clement		
STATISTICS	St. Elizabeth		
Rich Fountain	St. Francisville	Turpin	
Richmond Heights	St. George	Fusindar	
Richwoods	St. John	COMIZED ST	
Risco	St. Louis	Union	
	St. Louis County	University City	
Rock Hill	St. Martins		
Rockview			
	St. Peters		

Schedule 5 – Min. Filing Reqmt. 3(b)5.

AMEREN MISSOURI - COMMUNITIES SERVED - ELECTRIC

Valles Mines	Wardsville	Westphalia
Valley Park	Ware	Westerner
Vandalia	Warrenton	Winnende
Vandiver	Warson Woods	Whiting
Sections	Washington	Weilborg Plast
Velda City	Wayland	Wildwood
Chine Bully Statis	Weatherby	Williamsburg
Versailles	Weber Hill	Winchester
Vibbard	Webster Groves	Winfield
S. Hain in the	Weldon-Spring	Winston
Victoria	Welldon Social Heights	Wood Heights
Vienna	Wellston	Woodson Terrace
Villa Ridge	Wellsville	Wright City
Vinita Park	Wentzville	Wyaconda
Vinita Terrace	Weit Alton	
J mart		
	u.	
	2°	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	γ	n
19		1 "
·		
and the second secon		
	· · · · ·	

Schedule 5 - Min. Filing Reqmt. 3(b)5.

Case No. ER-2019-0335 Schedule JK-r1, Page 6 of 6

5

Schedule JK-r2

through

Schedule JK-r5

HAVE BEEN DEEMED

CONFIDENTIAL

IN THERE ENTIRETY