

1 only be completed when the reactor is offline. The most recent outage of this nature occurred in  
2 fall 2020, concluding on December 22, 2020 and is known as “Refuel 24.”

3 Ameren Missouri sought authority to defer and amortize certain costs related to  
4 Callaway Energy Center (“Callaway”) refueling outages as part of case No. EU-2020-0114. On  
5 January 29, 2020, the parties to the case stipulated that the Commission should issue its order  
6 authorizing Ameren Missouri, starting with “Refuel O & M costs” incurred and to be incurred  
7 for Callaway refueling outage number 24 (which commenced in the fall of 2020), and for  
8 subsequent refueling outages, to defer such costs to Federal Energy Regulatory Commission  
9 (“FERC”) Uniform System of Account No. 174 and to amortize the deferred costs as follows: a.  
10 Ameren Missouri will track the deferred costs within Account No. 174 to allow direct  
11 assignment of the costs, via an amortization of the costs to FERC Account No. 524  
12 (miscellaneous nuclear power expenses) and FERC Account No. 530 (maintenance of reactor  
13 plant equipment), as appropriate. The amortization begins in the month Callaway is brought back  
14 online after completion of each refueling outage if such date occurs on or before the 15th day of  
15 the month in which Callaway is brought back online; otherwise, the amortization begins in the  
16 month following the month in which Callaway is brought back online; and b. the deferral is on a  
17 straight line basis starting in the month described above and ending the month prior to the month  
18 in which amortization of the next refueling outage is scheduled to begin. The deferral balance is  
19 not included in the Company’s rate base for ratemaking purposes.

20 Staff verified that all costs were deferred correctly and that amortization began per the  
21 stipulation. Ameren Missouri, as part of its direct case, has proposed to average the actual  
22 non-labor costs from the last three refuels to establish a normalized level of Callaway refueling  
23 expense. Staff agrees and has included two thirds of the average of the labor and non-labor costs  
24 from the last three Callaway refuelings so as to build in an appropriate level of ongoing costs in  
25 the cost of service.

26 *Staff Expert/Witness: Lisa M. Ferguson*

## 27 **12. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (“NRC”) Fees**

28 The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) is an agency that regulates the operation of  
29 nuclear power plants within the United States. Ameren Missouri is subject to NRC’s regulation

1 because it owns and operates the Callaway Nuclear Power Plant, and thus must pay for NRC  
2 services to fund such regulation. There are two components to the NRC Fees:

3 1) A fixed annual fee, which Ameren Missouri pays in quarterly  
4 installments, for the maintenance of its license to operate the Callaway  
5 Nuclear Facility.

6 2) A variable fee, based on the number of hours billed to Ameren  
7 Missouri, by the NRC for costs such as baseline inspections, resident  
8 inspector expenses, and operator licensing activities. Both of these fees  
9 are set each year by statute.

10 Staff annualized the cost of these fees by using the most recent, effective fixed annual  
11 fee, and per hour fee amounts. Staff applied the most current NRC fee as of April 2021, to the  
12 number of hours billed to Ameren Missouri during the twelve months ending December 31,  
13 2020, to include in the cost of service as well as the most current fixed annual fee. Staff will  
14 continue to review NRC Fees as part of its true-up audit.

15 *Staff Expert/Witness: Christopher D. Caldwell*

### 16 **13. Board of Directors Expense**

17 During the test year ending December 31, 2020, Ameren Missouri was allocated certain  
18 expenses related to the activities of the Ameren Corporation Board of Directors. These expenses  
19 include \*\* [REDACTED]

20 [REDACTED]  
21 [REDACTED]. \*\* Ameren  
22 Missouri witness Mitchell Lansford proposed an adjustment to remove \$349,000 of these  
23 expenses as part of Ameren Missouri's direct filing. The costs proposed for removal relate to the  
24 \*\* [REDACTED] \*\*.

25 Staff has proposed an adjustment to remove additional expenses beyond those proposed  
26 for removal by Ameren Missouri witness Lansford. The costs which Staff disallowed are related  
27 to additional costs within the test year for \*\* [REDACTED] \*\*, \*\* [REDACTED]  
28 [REDACTED] \*\*, and the use of \*\* [REDACTED] \*\* that were not included in the adjustment  
29 proposed by Ameren Missouri as part of its direct filing. It is Staff's position that these costs are

1 excessive in nature as Ameren has other options available them for holding board meetings and  
2 airline travel. These additional expenditures are not necessary and Missouri ratepayers should  
3 not bear the costs.

4         Additionally, during the test year, Ameren Corporation \*\* [REDACTED]  
5 [REDACTED]. \*\* Staff has proposed an adjustment to \*\* [REDACTED]  
6 [REDACTED] \*\* as this expense  
7 is non-recurring in nature.

8 *Staff Expert/Witness: Jane C. Dhority*

9                 **14. Leases**

10         During the test year, Ameren Missouri incurred lease expense for items such as land,  
11 equipment and facilities that are utilized to provide service to ratepayers. Staff reviewed leases  
12 in order to remove leases that have expired and were not renewed, to include an annualized level  
13 of cost associated with new leases, and to annualize the expense for leases with premiums that  
14 have increased. Staff has also proposed an adjustment to remove costs associated with the Bank  
15 of America lease from test year expenses as this contract expired on June 30, 2021 and will not  
16 be continued. Staff witness Lisa M. Ferguson will address all new lease/easement agreements  
17 regarding the High Prairie and Atchison wind facilities that recently went into service.

18 *Staff Expert/Witness: Jane C. Dhority*

19                 **15. Software Rental Expense**

20         Ameren Missouri leases several software programs from its affiliates. Staff annualized  
21 affiliate software rental expense by applying the last known amount of rental expenses in June  
22 2021 for a 12-month period. Staff will continue to review this issue through the true up cutoff  
23 date of September 30, 2021.

24                 **16. Software Maintenance Expense**

25         Staff proposed adjustments to remove software maintenance agreements that have  
26 expired and to include contracts that were renewed during the test year, as well as to reflect the  
27 current contract pricings. Staff will continue to review this issue through the true up cutoff date  
28 of September 30, 2021.

29 *Staff Expert/Witness: Paul K. Amenthor*

1                           **17. PSC Assessment**

2           Commission operations are funded by assessments levied upon utility companies under  
3 its jurisdiction. The required funding level from each utility is re-evaluated each year, and a new  
4 assessment amount is billed to each regulated utility on July 1. All of the assessments collected  
5 in total are used to meet the Commission’s operating costs for regulating those utilities. Staff’s  
6 PSC assessment annualization adjustment represents the difference between the amount of PSC  
7 assessment recorded on Ameren Missouri’s electric books during the test year, or twelve months  
8 ending December 31, 2020 and the most recent PSC assessment that went into effect as of July 1,  
9 2021, (fiscal year 2022).

10 *Staff Expert/Witness: Christopher D. Caldwell*

11                           **18. Call Center Costs**

12           Ameren Missouri has a contractual agreement with First Contact/IQOR to manage part of  
13 its incoming customer calls. Staff learned during its review that the initial three-year contract  
14 between Ameren Missouri and First Contact/IQOR expired in November 2020 and the parties  
15 are negotiating an amendment. Ameren Missouri records an accrual of this expense at the  
16 beginning of each month and reverses it once it pays it. Staff annualized call center costs by  
17 applying the current hourly rate to the actual hours worked during the twelve months ending  
18 June 2021. Staff will review the new contract once it is finalized and propose an adjustment, if  
19 necessary, as part of its true up audit.

20 *Staff Expert/Witness: Paul K. Amenthor*

21                           **19. Miscellaneous Expenses**

22           Miscellaneous expenses are recorded in FERC Uniform System of Accounts (USOA)  
23 900 accounts and are expenses that have not been included within lobbying, dues & donations,  
24 memberships, advertising, outside services and board of directors’ expenses. Staff reviewed  
25 these miscellaneous expenses along with the monthly expense reports of Ameren Missouri and  
26 Ameren Services officers. Staff removed from the test year costs for items such as contributions  
27 to civic groups, sponsorships of community events, and various charges that are not necessary in  
28 the provision of safe and adequate service.



1 Staff discovered that some of the miscellaneous expense that Staff disallowed were  
2 recorded in capital overhead accounts. Staff has removed the charge from plant and has also  
3 removed estimated reserve from Account 398. These amounts will be addressed further once  
4 Staff has determined what capital accounts these charges were finally recorded in as well as  
5 calculate the actual reserve based on that account's depreciation rates.

6 *Staff Expert/Witness: Jane C. Dhority*

7 **20. Mark Twain Transmission Costs**

8 In case EA-2017-0345, Ameren Missouri applied for and received a certificate of  
9 convenience and necessity ("CCN") to construct transmission lines that would carry 345,000  
10 volts of electricity 96 miles from Palmyra, Missouri through Northeast Missouri to the Iowa  
11 border. The project also includes a 161,000 volt line to interconnect the existing Adair  
12 substation to the new Zachary substation. This project was approved by the Missouri Public  
13 Service Commission on January 10, 2018 after receiving multiple counties' approval, and the  
14 project is being constructed by Ameren Missouri's affiliate, Ameren Transmission Company of  
15 Illinois ("ATXI"). The Mark Twain Transmission Project was a MISO multi-value project  
16 ("MVP") approved in 2011 that was developed to address grid reliability, relieve congestion,  
17 promote renewable energy and meet local load serving needs. ATXI broke ground on the project  
18 in May 2018 and the project was placed into service on Dec.19, 2019. As part of this rate  
19 proceeding, Staff must make an adjustment to account for the Commission's Order in a separate  
20 case, EO-2011-0128. In that case, the Commission agreed with OPC concern about potential  
21 conflicts of interest between Ameren Missouri and its affiliates regarding capacity markets and  
22 construction of transmission resources. Under FERC Order 1000, a utility with a certificated  
23 service territory, such as Ameren Missouri, no longer has a right of first-refusal to construct  
24 transmission projects within its service territory if the reliability projects are subject to regional  
25 cost allocation. That means that both Ameren Missouri's affiliate company, ATXI, and other  
26 transmission companies not affiliated with Ameren Missouri, may be allowed to develop such  
27 projects within Ameren Missouri's service territory. Due to FERC Order 1000 and Ameren  
28 Missouri's participation in MISO, ATXI or another Ameren subsidiary could build transmission  
29 projects in Missouri, including MVP projects such as the Mark Twain Transmission Project.

1 MISO would allocate a part of the cost of those projects to Ameren Missouri, with the costs  
2 ultimately to be recovered from Ameren Missouri's ratepayers.

3 Another complication is the "filed rate doctrine" which ensures that sellers of wholesale  
4 power governed by FERC can recover the costs incurred by their payment of just and reasonable  
5 FERC-set rates. When FERC sets a rate between a seller of power and a wholesaler-as-buyer,  
6 a state may not exercise its undoubted jurisdiction over retail sales to prevent the  
7 wholesaler-as-seller from recovering the costs of paying the FERC-approved rate; such so-called  
8 "trapping" of costs is prohibited. This means that Ameren Missouri cannot be denied the ability  
9 to recover in rates the amounts that it must pay to transmission owners for FERC-established  
10 rates for power transmission, even if those FERC-established transmission rates are higher than  
11 would have been approved by the Missouri Public Service Commission. That is also true even if  
12 the transmission owner with a FERC-established rate is affiliated with Ameren Missouri. In  
13 order for Ameren Missouri to follow the "filed rate doctrine", and for Missouri ratepayers to not  
14 be disadvantaged in rates for affiliates using ROE values authorized by FERC that are higher  
15 than what has been established by the Missouri Public Service Commission, the Commission  
16 ordered in EO-2011-0128, pages 29-30 part S:

17 For transmission facilities located in Ameren Missouri's  
18 certificated service territory that are constructed by an Ameren  
19 affiliate and that are subject to regional cost allocation by the  
20 MISO, for ratemaking purposes in Missouri, the costs allocated to  
21 Ameren Missouri by the MISO shall be adjusted by an amount  
22 equal to the difference between: (i) the annual revenue requirement  
23 for such facilities that would have resulted if Ameren Missouri's  
24 Commission-authorized ROE and capital structure had been  
25 applied and there had been no CWIP (if applicable), or other FERC  
26 Transmission Rate Incentives, including Abandoned Plant  
27 Recovery, recovery on a current basis instead of capitalizing  
28 pre-commercial operations expenses and accelerated depreciation,  
29 applied to such facilities and (ii) the annual FERC-authorized  
30 revenue requirement for such facilities.

31 Because Ameren Missouri has been allocated costs for construction of the Mark Twain  
32 Transmission Project that ATXI constructed, Staff has, for purposes of this direct testimony,  
33 accepted Ameren Missouri's adjustment to remove the revenue requirement difference between

1 FERC's ROE and Ameren Missouri's ROE that was established as part of their last general rate  
2 case, 9.53 percent.

3 The Mark Twain Transmission Project is now complete which means that Ameren  
4 Missouri's billings of its allocated portion of costs will be ending. Staff will continue to review  
5 the amount to be removed and will verify that all adjustments for the billings from MISO have  
6 been contemplated and that the calculations reflect all removals and to determine if the ongoing  
7 FERC ROE proceedings will have an effect on this project's billings during the true-up phase of  
8 this rate case.

### 9 **ATXI – Limestone Ridge Project**

10 In case, EA-2021-0087, Ameren Transmission Company of Illinois (ATXI) is proposing  
11 to construct a 15-mile, 138 kV greenfield transmission line (the "Transmission Line") and a  
12 138 kV 15 to 161 kV switching station (the "Whipple Substation" referred to collectively with  
13 the Transmission Line) in cooperation with Citizens Electric Cooperative ("Citizens") and  
14 Wabash Valley Power Alliance ("Wabash Valley") in Perry and Cape Girardeau Counties in  
15 Southeast Missouri ("the ATXI-Wabash Development"). Ameren Services was approached by  
16 Wabash Valley in 2018 about the prospect of pursuing a transmission project in Southeast  
17 Missouri intended to provide a new source of transmission-voltage supply to the area. In  
18 particular, one main driver this project is to provide an additional networked transmission source  
19 to support a critical distribution substation (Trail of Tears) in Citizens' distribution system, as  
20 well as a large manufacturing facility in the area. That facility sits in Citizens' retail service  
21 territory. Wabash Valley communicated that they intended to pursue a project with or without  
22 ATXI or Ameren Missouri's involvement, but offered to partner in the endeavor in an effort to  
23 leverage experience in the transmission development business.

24 Staff had concerns that this project was similar in nature to the Mark Twain transmission  
25 project and thus was planning to propose similar adjustments to, construction work in process  
26 (CWIP), capital structure, and return on equity. Staff issued discovery to determine what  
27 impacts would occur for Ameren Missouri's transmission schedules and if Ameren Missouri  
28 believed the projects were similar and needed to be accounted for as such. Ameren Missouri  
29 responded to Staff DR No. 0757, stating

30 The transmission line and switching station to be constructed by  
31 ATXI as part of the ATXI-Wabash Development would be

1 included in the development of the AMMO pricing zone Schedule  
2 9 rate. Therefore, Ameren Missouri would pay its load ratio share  
3 of the resulting revenue requirement. In response to a data request  
4 in EA-2021-0087 (Staff-ATXI DR No. 0020 (subpart 4 and the  
5 corresponding [Confidential] attachment)), ATXI estimated its  
6 portion of the project would result in a first year cost to Ameren  
7 Missouri of approximately \$3.90 million which would be included  
8 in Ameren Missouri's cost of service. There would be no  
9 meaningful change in Ameren Missouri's transmission revenues.  
10 This project should be treated differently than Mark Twain. The  
11 Limestone Ridge Project is not subject to the ratemaking  
12 adjustments contained in the Report and Order in Docket No. EO-  
13 011-0128 (see Ordering paragraph 2(S)) in that the facilities to be  
14 constructed by ATXI are not in Ameren Missouri's certificated  
15 service territory, nor are they subject to regional cost allocation.  
16 Also, please note that ATXI did not seek a FERC incentive for  
17 CWIP in rate base for the Limestone Ridge Project like it did for  
18 Mark Twain, so Limestone Ridge will be treated like typical  
19 projects which accrue AFUDC during construction and are  
20 included in rates upon being placed in-service.

21 As this project is in its beginning stages, Staff will need to perform discovery on this  
22 issue in Ameren Missouri's next general rate proceeding to determine the full effect of this  
23 project on Ameren Missouri's customer rates. Staff will need to review the details of the project,  
24 including whether the order in case EO-2011-0028 does or does not apply and determine any  
25 impact on capital structure and return on equity, if any.

26 *Staff Expert/Witness: Lisa M. Ferguson*

## 27 **21. Netting of Amortizations of Regulatory Assets and Liabilities**

28 The *Unanimous Stipulation and Agreement* that was approved by the Commission in  
29 Ameren Missouri Case No. ER-2016-0179 provided guidelines for the accounting treatment for  
30 over and under-recovery of various regulatory assets and liabilities as part of this rate case, Case  
31 No. ER-2021-0240. Staff recommends that the total balance of these seven "netted"  
32 amortizations be recovered by Ameren Missouri through an amortization over three years,  
33 beginning with the effective date of rates in this rate case.

34 Staff has examined all of Ameren Missouri's existing amortizations related to various  
35 regulatory assets and liabilities as part of its audit in this rate proceeding. Consistent with the



1 terms of the Commission approved *Unanimous Stipulation and Agreement* referenced above,  
2 Staff recommends a “netting” of the following seven amortization balances that will exist at the  
3 February 28, 2022 operation of law date:

- 4 FIN 48 – Case No. ER-2016-0179 – No Rate Base Inclusion
- 5 Storm Restoration Tracker – ER-2014-0258 – No Rate Base Inclusion
- 6 Storm Restoration Tracker – ER-2016-0179 – No Rate Base Inclusion
- 7 Over/Under Collection Amortization ER-2019-0335 – No Rate Base Inclusion
- 8 Over/Under Collection Amortization ER-2019-0335 – Rate Base Inclusion

9 By the February 28, 2022 effective date of rates, Ameren Missouri will have  
10 under-recovered approximately \$6.5 million for these seven amortizations collectively. The  
11 intended goal of the recommended ratemaking treatment is to simplify the accounting required  
12 for all of these various amortizations, as well as to ultimately prevent over-recovery or  
13 under-recovery of the costs associated with all of these amortizations that are addressed above.

14 *Staff Expert/Witness: Lisa M. Ferguson*

## 15 **22. Renewable Energy Standard Costs**

16 Renewable Energy Standard (“RES”) related expense consists of items such as customer  
17 solar renewable energy credits (“RECs”), non-customer solar RECs, wind RECs and Maryland  
18 Heights Energy Center fuel costs. For purposes of its direct RES related expense consists of  
19 items such as customer solar RECs, non-customer solar RECs, wind RECs and Maryland  
20 Heights Energy Center fuel costs. For purposes of its direct filing, Staff has reflected  
21 approximately \$6.98 million for RES related expense in the Staff’s cost of service calculation  
22 which includes an annualized cost of methane fuel used to power its Maryland Heights Energy  
23 Center. Staff will analyze actual RES spending through the September 30, 2021, true-up cut-off  
24 and may recommend further adjustment to this level as a result of the true-up audit.

25 *Staff Expert/Witness: Lisa M. Ferguson*

## 26 **23. Maryland Heights**

27 The Maryland Heights Renewable Energy Center began operations in 2012 and is an  
28 8MW facility where methane gas from the nearby landfill is used to power combustion turbine  
29 generators. Methane gas is considered a renewable resource for meeting Ameren Missouri’s



1 required Renewable Energy Standard (RES) requirement. As such, the cost of the methane gas  
2 that Ameren Missouri procures for operations is included in rates through its Renewable Energy  
3 Standard Accounting Authority Order (RES AAO) deferral. This cost will remain in the RES  
4 AAO per the stipulation & agreement between the parties as ordered by the Commission in case  
5 EA-2018-0202. Staff has annualized the cost for methane gas for inclusion in the cost of service  
6 by pricing out the actual volumes experienced for the twelve months ending June 30, 2021 by  
7 the most recent cost per MMBtu of methane gas. Staff will review this issue again as part of its  
8 true-up audit.

9 *Staff Expert/Witness: Lisa M. Ferguson*

#### 10 **24. Renewable Energy Standard AAO Amortization**

11 As part of Case No. ER-2019-0335, the Commission established a base level of  
12 approximately \$10.9 million for Ameren Missouri's Renewable Energy Standard Compliance  
13 Cost Tracker. As part of its audit in this rate proceeding Staff examined all RES costs incurred  
14 by Ameren Missouri during the period covering January 1, 2020, through June 30, 2021<sup>121</sup> and  
15 that are eligible for deferral and recovery in the existing RES AAO regulatory deferral rate  
16 mechanism. Based upon this examination, Staff determined that a regulatory liability exists.  
17 Staff has included a three-year amortization of this regulatory liability balance in the cost of  
18 service calculation, with no rate base treatment for the unamortized balance. This ratemaking  
19 treatment is consistent with the Commission's decision that established the ongoing AAO  
20 treatment for deferred RES costs in Ameren Missouri Case No. ER-2012-0166. Staff has  
21 continued to follow the Commission's guidance from that Order concerning all RES AAO  
22 regulatory asset and liability balances.<sup>122</sup> Staff will examine all actual RES costs eligible for  
23 recovery through the RES AAO through September 31, 2021 and may recommend further  
24 adjustments as part of its true-up audit in this rate proceeding.

25 *Staff Expert/Witness: Lisa M. Ferguson*

---

<sup>121</sup> SB 564 and the terms of the Stipulation and Agreement approved by the Commission in Ameren Missouri Case No. EA-2018-0202 specify how Ameren Missouri is required to seek recovery of certain RES costs going forward under the RESRAM rate mechanism.

<sup>122</sup> Staff has already netted all three prior RES AAO amortizations that were established in Case Nos.: ER-2012-0166, ER-2014-0258 and ER-2016-0179. Staff has proposed inclusion of the RES AAO amortization from Case No. ER-2019-0335 also be netted as part of this current rate proceeding. The most current amortization is included in the netting of regulatory assets and liabilities section of this Report.

1                   **25. Renewable Energy Standard Rate Adjustment Mechanism**  
2                   **(RESRAM)**

3                   The renewable energy standard requires a certain percentage of Ameren Missouri's retail  
4 sales to come from renewable energy sources (RES), in order to meet that requirement Ameren  
5 Missouri filed a case seeking a certificate of convenience and necessity to own and operate a  
6 wind facility. In Case No. EA-2018-0202, Ameren Missouri also requested a RESRAM, which is  
7 a special ratemaking mechanism that allows Ameren Missouri to recover RES-related capital  
8 investment and expenses through a rider in between rate cases. In the stipulation and agreement  
9 that was approved by the Commission in that case Ameren Missouri's RESRAM tariff and  
10 related parameters regarding exactly how the mechanism will work. Additionally, Ameren  
11 Missouri also requested approval of certain waivers to the RESRAM rule that addressed the  
12 following items: (a) exactly what portion of the accumulated RESRAM costs will be moved to  
13 base rates during a rate case, (b) how the RESRAM charge will be billed to customers as a flat  
14 rate per kWh of energy consumed, (c) allowing a RESRAM rate change of 2% or more to take  
15 effect 120 days after filing (similar to FAC rules), and (d) allowing RES energy and capacity  
16 sales revenue to be included in the Fuel Adjustment Clause (FAC) so as to prevent a potential  
17 double recovery of RES amounts through both the FAC and RESRAM. All RES costs already  
18 being recovered in base rates, all current and future costs associated with existing renewable  
19 generation facilities, renewable energy credits (RECs) from existing renewable purchase power  
20 agreements and REC's purchased prior to the January 1, 2019 establishment of the RESRAM  
21 must be recovered by Ameren Missouri through the existing RES AAO tracker and existing solar  
22 rebate tracker that was established in prior rate proceedings.

23                   In Ameren Missouri's most recent electric rate case, Case No. ER-2019-0335 the parties  
24 agreed in the Commission approved Non-Unanimous Stipulation and Agreement not to rebase  
25 the RESRAM and did not include any RESRAM costs in the revenue requirement.

26                   In addition to the RESRAM mechanism, Ameren Missouri elected to use Plant-in-Service  
27 Accounting ("PISA") on September 31, 2018 as part of Case No. EO-2019-0044. Investment  
28 that is eligible for RESRAM recovery must first pass through the PISA mechanism, which  
29 allows for the deferral of 85% of the depreciation and return on assets. The Commission found  
30 in Case No. EA-2018-0202 that Ameren Missouri could recover "depreciation expense and  
31 return associated with the High Prairie project recorded to plant-in-service on the utility's books

1 as it is permitted to do by the RES statute, exclusive of the eighty-five percent of that expense  
2 and return deferred for future recovery pursuant to the PISA statute. As part of its review,  
3 Staff reviewed the amounts that were included in both PISA deferral and RESRAM rider to  
4 ensure that no duplication of the capital investment was contained with the two recovery  
5 mechanisms. Additionally Staff met with Ameren Missouri on June 16, 2021 to discuss the  
6 RESRAM rider and on July 26, 2021 to discuss the interaction between the PISA deferral and  
7 the RESRAM rider.

8 **a. Return on Plant**

9 As part of its direct filed cast, Staff is including the estimated plant-in-service and  
10 reserve balances as of September 30, 2021 in the revenue requirement. However during its  
11 discovery \*\* [REDACTED]

12 [REDACTED]  
13 [REDACTED]  
14 [REDACTED]  
15 [REDACTED]  
16 [REDACTED]  
17 [REDACTED] \*\* The proposed reduction to the plant in service reduces the amount of the return on  
18 plant included in the RESRAM base.

19 Staff has reviewed the historical levels of RESRAM eligible expenses through June 30,  
20 2021 to determine a base level of RESRAM expenses to include in the RESRAM Base. These  
21 include RECS purchased after January 1, 2019, solar rebates authorized in Section 393.1670,  
22 RSMo (Senate Bill 564), operations and maintenance ("O&M") expenses for the Atchison and  
23 High Prairie Renewable Energy Centers, insurance, property taxes, and interconnection expenses  
24 for the Atchison and High Prairie Renewable Energy Centers

25 **b. RECS**

26 Staff is including the test year amount of RECs incurred in the test year as the base level  
27 of expense. Staff will continue to review the level of RECs to include RESRAM base through  
28 the September 30, 2021 true-up cut-off date.

1                                   **c. Solar Rebates**

2           Staff is including the test year level of solar rebates paid as the base level of expense in  
3 the RESRAM base and will continue to review the amount of solar rebates paid under Section  
4 393.1670, RSMo (Senate Bill 564) through the true-up cut-off date in this case.

5                                   **d. Wind Facility Operations & Maintenance Expense**

6           The High Prairie Wind Facility was considered in service by Ameren Missouri in  
7 December 2020. The facility consists of 175 wind turbines for an overall capacity of 400 MW.  
8 The Atchison Wind Facility was considered in service by Ameren Missouri, with the exception  
9 of 1 wind turbine in June 2021. The final wind turbine at Atchison is anticipated to be in service  
10 in the fourth quarter of 2021.

11           The wind facilities incur operations and maintenance costs similar to any of Ameren  
12 Missouri's other generating facilities. The wind facilities incur transmission interconnection  
13 costs, employee labor costs, easement costs, outside vendor maintenance and environmental  
14 monitoring costs. Property taxes and insurance costs related to the wind facilities will be  
15 addressed in their respective sections in this report. In its direct case filing, Ameren Missouri  
16 included the current 5 year forecast of each wind facility for turbine maintenance, land lease  
17 payments, and balance of plant costs. These estimates excluded transmission maintenance fees.  
18 The company included an estimated level of O&M costs as no vendor contracts had been  
19 executed at their time of filing.

20           \*\* [REDACTED]  
21 [REDACTED]  
22 [REDACTED]  
23 [REDACTED]  
24 [REDACTED]  
25 [REDACTED]  
26 [REDACTED]  
27 [REDACTED]  
28 [REDACTED]  
29 [REDACTED]  
30 [REDACTED]



1 [REDACTED]  
2 [REDACTED]  
3 [REDACTED]  
4 [REDACTED]  
5 [REDACTED]  
6 [REDACTED]  
7 [REDACTED]

8 [REDACTED] \*\*

9 Ameren Missouri is currently in the process of developing contracts for general site  
10 maintenance but at the time of Staff's direct testimony filing, these contracts have not been  
11 finalized. If agreements are executed with known and measureable terms prior to September 30,  
12 2021, Staff will include a level of costs associated with these contracts in the cost of service.

13 As for the service agreements with \*\* [REDACTED] \*\*, the contracts state terms regarding  
14 the \*\* [REDACTED]

15 [REDACTED]  
16 [REDACTED]  
17 [REDACTED]  
18 [REDACTED]  
19 [REDACTED]  
20 [REDACTED]  
21 [REDACTED]  
22 [REDACTED]

23 [REDACTED] \*\*

24 Each facility also incurs costs related to \*\* [REDACTED]

25 [REDACTED]  
26 [REDACTED]  
27 [REDACTED]  
28 [REDACTED]  
29 [REDACTED]  
30 [REDACTED]



1 [REDACTED]  
2 [REDACTED]  
3 [REDACTED]  
4 [REDACTED]  
5 [REDACTED]  
6 [REDACTED]  
7 [REDACTED]  
8 [REDACTED]  
9 [REDACTED]  
10 [REDACTED]  
11 [REDACTED]  
12 [REDACTED]  
13 [REDACTED]  
14 [REDACTED]  
15 [REDACTED]

16 [REDACTED] \*\*

17 The labor portion for the two employee site supervisors at each wind facility will be  
18 included in Staff's annualized payroll addressed by Staff witness Paul K. Amenthor. In regards  
19 to the non-labor O&M, Staff has included an annualized level of easement lease payments based  
20 on the most current easement contract terms. For vendor contracts with variable contract rates,  
21 Staff has included the most current contract rates applied to the total MW of wind turbines that  
22 have met in-service criteria. For vendor contracts with non-variable rates, Staff has included an  
23 annual level of the fixed costs also based on the most current executed contract terms.

24 These annualized operations and maintenance costs as well as an annualized level of  
25 transmission interconnection costs will be included by Staff witness Jason Kunst in the cost of  
26 service as part of this rate case as well as the RESRAM base in which to track future  
27 accumulated renewable costs.

28 *Staff Expert/Witness: Lisa M. Ferguson*

1 **e. Property Taxes**

2 Staff has included the actual property taxes paid in December of 2020 as the base level of  
3 property tax expenses in the RESRAM base. The actual property taxes has been adjusted to  
4 reflect Staff's recommendation to remove plant that was determined to not be in service.

5 **f. Insurance Expense**

6 Staff has included an annualized amount of insurance expense for the wind facilities in  
7 the RESRAM rebase.

8 **g. Interconnection Costs**

9 Staff has included an annualized level of annual facility service agreement payments  
10 based upon the payments in place through June 30, 2021. Staff will continue to review these  
11 costs through the true-up cut-off in this case. The annualized amount has been adjusted to reflect  
12 Staff's adjustment to remove plant that was determined to not be in service.

13 **h. Production Tax Credits**

14 Staff included an estimated amount of grossed up production tax credits in the RESRAM  
15 based upon the full production of Staff's in-service MWs for the facilities. Staff will continue to  
16 review this calculation through the true-up cut-off in this case.

17 **i. Depreciation Expense**

18 Staff has included an annualized level of depreciation expense based on Staff's  
19 plant-in-service amount and Staff's proposed depreciation expense for the facilities. Staff will  
20 continue to review the depreciation expense through the true-up cut-off.

21 **j. Capitalized Incentive Compensation**

22 Ameren Missouri capitalizes a portion of the incentive compensation that is paid to  
23 employees, this includes both long- and short-term incentive compensation. Historically, both  
24 Staff and Ameren Missouri have proposed adjustments during rates cases to remove capitalized  
25 incentive compensation that is tied to earnings or otherwise deemed to be of no benefit to the  
26 ratepayers of Ameren Missouri. During a rate proceeding the portion of the incentive  
27 compensation that is tied to earnings would be removed from rate base and Ameren Missouri  
28 would not earn a return or recovery depreciation expense on that portion of the investment

1 through rates. Therefore, Staff is recommending a disallowance to remove a portion of the  
2 capitalized incentive compensation from the plant-in-service that is being included in the  
3 RESRAM Base amount. Staff will continue to review the capitalized incentive compensation  
4 that is included in RESRAM eligible projects through the September 30, 2021 true-up cut-off  
5 date in this case.

6 **k. RESRAM Rebase**

7 Staff has calculated a new base level of RESRAM expense based upon the value of the  
8 production tax credits, the return on eligible plant, depreciation expense, and the normalized  
9 level of RESRAM eligible O&M expenses.

10 **l. RESRAM Accruals**

11 Additionally as the RESRAM is being rebased in this case, it is necessary to remove the  
12 amortizations that were recorded in the test year. Therefore, Staff has made an adjustment to  
13 remove the amounts recorded during the test year.

14 *Staff Expert/Witness: Jason Kunst, CPA*

15 **m. RESRAM Prudence Review**

16 The Commission first authorized a Renewable Energy Standard Rate Adjustment  
17 Mechanism (RESRAM) for Ameren Missouri in Case No. EA-2018-0202. RESRAM is a  
18 mechanism which allows periodic billing rate adjustments to recover prudently incurred  
19 Renewable Energy Standards (RES) compliance costs or pass-through savings benefits from  
20 RES to customers as billing credit. The RESRAM charge or credit is a line item on all Ameren  
21 Missouri customers' bills. Commission rule 20 CSR 4240-20.100(6)11 and 20.100(6)26 requires  
22 the interval for prudence reviews to be established when the RESRAM is established. Ameren  
23 Missouri's RESRAM tariff specifies the interval for prudence reviews to be no less than every  
24 24 months. In this first prudence review of Ameren Missouri's RESRAM for the period  
25 January 1, 2019 through December 31, 2020, Staff reviewed items affecting Ameren Missouri's  
26 Renewable Energy Standard Compliance costs.

27 For Renewable Energy Standards compliance, Ameren Missouri purchases Renewable  
28 Energy Credits (REC) from various sources via brokers and direct negotiated transactions.  
29 A REC represents that one megawatt hour of electricity has been generated from renewable

1 energy resources. Renewable energy resources includes energy produced from wind, solar,  
2 biomass, and other qualified sources. RECs expire three years from the date the electricity was  
3 generated.<sup>123</sup> Solar rebates are offered to customers installing or expanding approved solar  
4 systems. Depreciation, operation and maintenance, interconnection, and property tax expenses  
5 are also recovered through the RESRAM.

6 Staff reviewed Ameren Missouri's 2019 and 2020 RES Compliance Plans  
7 (EO-2020-0328 and EO-2021-0325), annual tariff adjustment filings (ER-2021-0090) for the  
8 RESRAM rate applied to customers' bills, general ledger data, and various data request  
9 responses from Ameren Missouri.

10 Staff found no evidence that Ameren Missouri's management of RES compliance costs  
11 during the review period was imprudent. Staff did find a Missouri solar system installer whose  
12 Secretary of State business registration was dissolved on October 28, 2019 for failure to pay  
13 taxes. While there is no rule in statute or tariff about a matter such as this, Staff recommends  
14 that Ameren Missouri consider checking contractor standing with Missouri Secretary of State  
15 periodically, to ensure that participating solar installers are in good standing with the State of  
16 Missouri. Staff has no other recommendations or disallowances at this time.

17 *Staff Expert/Witness: Nancy L. Harris*

## 18 **26. Solar Rebates from Case No. ET-2014-0085**

19 The Commission approved a *Non-Unanimous Stipulation and Agreement* in Ameren  
20 Missouri Case No. ET-2014-0085, allowing Ameren Missouri to record solar rebate spending up  
21 to \$91.9 million, plus a 10% cost adder for "carrying costs," in a regulatory asset to be  
22 considered for recovery in subsequent general rate cases, utilizing a three-year amortization. The  
23 Stipulation also stated that if Ameren Missouri had not paid \$91.9 million by the completion of  
24 its next rate case, then one or more regulatory assets shall be subsequently reflected on Ameren  
25 Missouri's books to record additional solar rebate payments made, equaling the difference  
26 between the amount of solar rebate payments deferred in the initial regulatory asset and  
27 \$91.9 million, plus a 10% adder. Ameren Missouri cannot defer in the regulatory asset balance

---

<sup>123</sup> RECs may be used for compliance during a calendar year in which it expired so long as it was valid at any time in that year.



1 any solar rebate amounts paid that are in excess of the \$91.9 million cap. Finally, once the  
2 \$91.9 million cap is met, Ameren Missouri is required to track and to produce a true-up of all  
3 differences and between the normalized billing units used to calculate rates in a general rate  
4 proceeding where some or all of the balance of the regulatory asset will be included in rates  
5 through a three year amortization and the actual billing units associated with cost recovery,  
6 among other factors,<sup>124</sup> once Ameren Missouri had paid solar rebates totaling \$91.9 million. This  
7 tracking and true-up, shall be addressed in the first general rate case occurring after the general  
8 rate case when the last dollar of the \$91.9 million balance of solar rebates has been paid out to  
9 customers.

#### 10 **27. Solar Rebate Regulatory Asset Balances**

11 The over-collection balance in Case No. ER-2014-0258 was included in the netting of  
12 over and under collected regulatory asset and liability balances in case ER-2019-0335.

13 The over-collection balance in Case No. ER-2016-0179 was included in the netting of  
14 over and under collected regulatory asset and liability balances in Case No. ER-2019-0335.

15 In Ameren Missouri's last electric rate case, Case No. ER-2019-0335, Staff determined  
16 that Ameren Missouri had deferred and accumulated approximately \$367,933 for solar rebate  
17 payments in a regulatory asset account for the period covering January 1, 2017, (the first day  
18 following the true-up cut-off established by the Commission in Case No.: ER-2016-0179)  
19 through September 30, 2019. Coupled with the 10% cost adder of approximately of  
20 approximately \$36,793, Ameren Missouri started to recover approximately \$410,996 over a  
21 three year amortization period, beginning with the April 1, 2020, effective date of rates in Case  
22 No. ER-2019-0335. Staff verified in the last rate case that Ameren Missouri had prudently paid  
23 \$91.9 million of solar rebates and did not attempt to seek recovery in the deferred regulatory  
24 asset for any amount that exceeds the \$91.9 million solar rebate cap agreed to in Case No.  
25 ET-2014-0085.

---

<sup>124</sup> Tracking and true-up is specifically addressed in subparagraph e. found on pages 6 through 7 in the Nonunanimous Stipulation and Agreement approved by the Commission in Ameren Missouri Case No. ET-2014-0085.



1 **Over or Under-Collection of Solar Rebates**

2 Since Ameren Missouri has now paid a total of \$91.9 million of solar rebates to its  
3 customers according to the terms and conditions of the stipulation in Case No. ET-2014-0085,  
4 Ameren Missouri was required to track and provide a true-up as part of this general rate case as  
5 outlined in the stipulation in subparagraph e. as follows:

6 Because of the likely difference between the normalized billing units used to calculate  
7 rates in a general rate proceeding where some or all of the balance of the regulatory asset  
8 provided for in subparagraph d will be included in rates through the three-year amortization and  
9 actual billing units associated with cost recovery, and also because of the likely difference  
10 between the three year amortization period and the actual time interval between when rates are  
11 set in rate cases, a true-up will be required to reflect whether the sums billed to customers  
12 through the amortization are greater or less than the sums that it was assumed would be billed to  
13 customers based on the billing units and amortization period used to calculate rates in the general  
14 rate proceeding. Because of this, Ameren Missouri shall track such differences. In the first  
15 general rate case occurring after the general rate case when the last dollar of the balance of the  
16 regulatory asset provided for in subparagraph (d) was included in rates, the difference shall be  
17 included as either a positive or negative amortization in rates over a three-year period. It is the  
18 intent of the Signatories that Ameren Missouri shall ultimately bill customers for an amount as  
19 close as reasonably practicable (separately for the residential and non-residential customer  
20 classes) to the total solar rebates paid plus the additional amount provided for in subparagraph d  
21 above.

22 As of September 30, 2019 Ameren Missouri had paid out \$91.9 million of solar rebates to  
23 customers prior to the true-up cutoff in Ameren Missouri's last rate proceeding, December 31,  
24 2019. As such this current rate case is the "first general rate case occurring after the general rate  
25 case when the last of the solar rebate balance had been paid out to customers. Staff reviewed the  
26 actual billing units associated with the cost recovery of the solar rebates and Staff included the  
27 under recovered amount amortized over three years in the cost of service in this case.

28 *Staff Expert/Witness: Lisa M. Ferguson*

1                                   **28. Callaway License Extension and Regulatory Asset Amortization**

2           On March 6, 2015, the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (“NRC”) issued a license  
3 extension that will allow Ameren Missouri to continue to operate its Callaway Nuclear Power  
4 Plant through 2044. Ameren Missouri recorded the costs associated with obtaining the Callaway  
5 license extension from the NRC in FERC plant account 302, Franchises and Consents, soon after  
6 the NRC issued the license extension. None of these costs were included in the cost of service  
7 calculation in Ameren Missouri rate case ER-2014-0258. Instead, Ameren Missouri was granted  
8 an accounting authority order as part of that case to defer carrying costs at the company’s short  
9 term interest rate as well as amortization accruals related to the Callaway relicensing balance at  
10 the effective date of rates of that 2014 case. That AAO was effective until base rates changed in  
11 case no. ER-2016-0179. This approved stipulation allowed Ameren Missouri to defer and  
12 amortize certain items pertaining to its completed efforts to extend Callaway’s operating license  
13 through 2044. In Case No. ER-2016-0179, Staff reflected an appropriate amortization of these  
14 costs that were recorded through the December 31, 2016 true-up cutoff that was established by  
15 the Commission in that general rate case. This amortization was included in the cost of service  
16 calculation and the recovery period was synchronized with the remaining life of the Callaway  
17 license, which is effective through October 2044.

18           As part of this rate case, Staff included an amortization of the regulatory asset which  
19 consists of the original amount as well as the short term interest rate carrying costs that were  
20 established in Ameren Missouri’s electric rate case, Case No. ER-2016-0179, in the cost of  
21 service calculation over a recovery period that is synchronized with the remaining life of the  
22 Callaway license, which is effective through October 2044.

23 *Staff Expert/Witness: Lisa M. Ferguson*

24                                   **29. Sioux Construction Accounting**

25           Ameren Missouri began construction of the Sioux Wet Flue Gas Desulfurization Project  
26 (“scrubber”) during April 2005 and the project was declared in service in November 2010. As  
27 part of Case No. ER-2010-0036, Ameren Missouri was granted construction accounting as part  
28 of the Commission ordered First Unanimous Stipulation and Agreement. Ameren Missouri was  
29 allowed to defer the depreciation expense (but no other Sioux scrubber related expense) related

1 to the Sioux Scrubbers until they were recorded into plant-in-service. As a result, two separate  
2 construction accounting deferrals were amortized over 22 years and 20 years, respectively, in  
3 prior rate proceedings. In this current case, Staff has reviewed the test year amortization expense  
4 levels and verified that Ameren Missouri is correctly amortizing these two amounts in  
5 accordance with the Stipulation.

6 *Staff Expert/Witness: Christopher D. Caldwell*

7 **30. Permanent Cleaning Expense**

8 Ameren Missouri initiated ongoing permanent cleaning procedures due to the COVID-19  
9 pandemic that are beyond cleaning costs currently in base rates. Ameren Missouri seeks  
10 recovery of this additional cleaning cost. Staff annualized this cost by including the actual  
11 expense incurred from July 2020 through June 2021. Staff will review Ameren Missouri's new  
12 cleaning contract once it is approved and propose an adjustment, if necessary, as part of its true  
13 up audit.

14 *Staff Expert/Witness: Paul K. Amenthor*

15 **31. Vegetation Management & Infrastructure Inspections**

16 In Ameren Missouri's previous rate case, Case No. ER-2019-0335, Staff discovered that  
17 Ameren Missouri had initiated an \*\* [REDACTED]

18 [REDACTED]

19 [REDACTED]

20 [REDACTED]

21 [REDACTED] \*\* Staff reviewed the historical costs for the vegetation  
22 management program which show that the costs have decreased since Ameren Missouri  
23 implemented the cost savings measures. Staff is recommending to include the 12 months ending  
24 June 30, 2021 as the annualized level of costs for vegetation management expenses.

25 As part of its cost savings measures, Ameren Missouri indicated to Staff in response to  
26 Staff DR No. 0345 and in the cost savings report presented to Staff in July, \*\* [REDACTED]

27 [REDACTED]

28 [REDACTED]. \*\*

29 After reviewing the cost savings measures implemented by Ameren Missouri and the historical

1 costs, Staff is recommending that 12 months ending June 30, 2021 be included as the annualized  
2 level of costs for infrastructure inspections.

3 Staff will continue to review the actual costs and cost savings implementations for each  
4 of the programs through the end of the true-up period of September 30, 2021, and make further  
5 adjustments if necessary based upon updated information.

6 **a. Amortization Expense**

7 Ameren Missouri had an outstanding amortization relating to the previous vegetation  
8 management and infrastructure inspection tracker which was discontinued in ER-2014-0258 for  
9 a regulatory liability for the tracker balance that occurred between January 1, 2015 and May 30,  
10 2015. The balance was fully amortized as the effective dates in the last Ameren Missouri rate  
11 case ER-2019-0335 and it was netted with the other expiring or expired amortizations. Staff has  
12 made an adjustment to remove the amortizations that occurred in the test year.

13 *Staff Expert/Witness: Jason Kunst, CPA*

14 **32. Storm Restoration Costs**

15 **a. Annualization**

16 In order to determine a normalized level of non-labor storm restoration expenses, Staff  
17 has reviewed historical non-labor major related expenses for Ameren Missouri. Staff is  
18 recommending inclusion of a normalized level of major storm restoration expense based upon a  
19 five year average ending June 30, 2021 which is consistent with past practice as variability exists  
20 in the level of storm costs experienced on a year to year basis. As part of its true-up audit, Staff  
21 will continue to review the actual non-labor major storm costs through September 30, 2021.

22 **b. Amortization**

23 In Ameren Missouri Case No. ER-2012-0166, the Commission approved Ameren  
24 Missouri's request to implement a two-way tracking mechanism for its non-labor major storm  
25 restoration costs. As part of the approval, a base level of expected major storm restoration costs  
26 was established and included in Ameren Missouri's revenue requirement. The actual non-labor  
27 storm costs incurred by Ameren Missouri were tracked against the base level to create a  
28 regulatory asset if the costs exceeded the base level or a regulatory liability if the costs were



1 below the base level to create a regulatory liability. The resulting regulatory asset or liability  
2 would then be amortized as part of the next general rate case. In Ameren Missouri Case No.  
3 ER-2014-0258, the Commission determined the storm tracker was no longer appropriate.

4 The two outstanding regulatory assets that were being amortized from the tracker that  
5 was previously authorized are proposed to be included in the unamortized portion in its netting  
6 of under- and over-amortized assets and has removed the test year costs amounts.

7 *Staff Expert/Witness: Jason Kunst, CPA*

### 8 **33. Amortization of Excess ADIT**

#### 9 **a. Federal Corporate Tax Rate Reduction**

10 The Tax Cuts and Jobs Act was signed into law in December 2017, and as part of that a  
11 reduction in the corporate tax rate required the revaluation of accumulated tax timing differences  
12 that were previously valued at 35% to be revalued at 21%. This excess deferred tax value is  
13 required to be returned to customers based on whether the excess deferred taxes are protected or  
14 unprotected. Protected excess ADIT is the portion associated with accelerated depreciation tax  
15 timing differences that must be “normalized” for rate making purposes and where the flow back  
16 of excess ADIT cannot be returned to customers any more quickly than over the estimated life of  
17 the assets that gave rise to the ADIT. Unprotected excess ADIT is the portion of the deferred tax  
18 reserve that resulted from normalization treatment of tax timing differences other than  
19 accelerated depreciation. As part of the Stipulation & Agreement in case ER-2018-0362, the  
20 Parties agreed to track the protected excess ADIT and return that using the Average Rate  
21 Assumption Method (ARAM) as described above and return the unprotected ADIT over a  
22 10 year period.

#### 23 **b. State Corporate Tax Rate Reduction**

24 In the last Ameren Missouri electric rate case, Staff reflected the ongoing state corporate  
25 tax reduction that was set to occur on January 1, 2020 and as part of that a reduction in the  
26 corporate tax rate accumulated tax timing differences that were previously valued at 6.25% had  
27 to be revalued at 4.00%. All of Ameren Missouri’s state related accumulated deferred taxes are  
28 considered unprotected and began amortization as of the effective date of rates in the last electric  
29 rate case, April 1, 2020.



1 Staff recommends continuation of the amortization of the return of excess ADIT for both  
2 the federal and state corporate tax reductions in rates for Ameren Missouri gas customers as part  
3 of restating rates in this rate proceeding.

4 *Staff Expert/Witness: Lisa M. Ferguson*

#### 5 **34. Nuclear Safety Study Costs Amortization**

6 In Case No. ER-2014-0258, a ten-year amortization of costs associated with a mandatory  
7 study to address nuclear power safety in the aftermath of the Fukushima incident was first  
8 included in Ameren Missouri's rates. A full year of amortization expense was included in the  
9 cost of service calculation in Ameren Missouri's previous rate cases, ER-2016-0179 and  
10 ER-2019-0335. The amortization began on May 30, 2015, the effective date of rates established  
11 in Ameren Missouri Case No. ER-2014-0258 and is scheduled to expire on May 29, 2025.  
12 In this case, during the test year ending December 31, 2020, Ameren Missouri recorded a full  
13 year of amortization expense associated with this nuclear power safety study as there was no  
14 resetting of the amortization period in the last rate case, therefore Staff proposes no adjustment to  
15 this amortization as part of this general rate proceeding.

16 *Staff Expert/Witness: Lisa M. Ferguson*

#### 17 **35. Plant in Service Accounting Amortization**

18 On June 1, 2018, Senate Bill 564 was signed into law, which allowed investor owned  
19 utilities in the State of Missouri the option of deferring 85% of all depreciation expense and  
20 return associated with qualifying electric plant that was recorded to plant-in-service as a  
21 regulatory asset on or after the date the utility elects the PISA option. Qualifying plant for the  
22 purposes of the PISA deferral is all rate base additions that are not new nuclear, coal, or gas-fired  
23 generation or investment for new services. During a general rate case after the PISA election,  
24 the regulatory asset must be amortized over twenty years and the unamortized balance is  
25 included in rate base and allowed a return. Any utility that elects the PISA deferral must file  
26 every year a five-year capital investment plan with the Commission on February 28<sup>th</sup> with  
27 specific capital investment detailed within the plan. Additionally in the years after filing the first  
28 capital investment plan the utility must submit an annual report detailing the actual capital  
29 investment from the prior year. At least 25% of the capital investment included in the plan must

1 be for grid modernization projects and additionally during the first five years, and smart meter  
2 investment is limited to 6%. PISA remains in effect until December 31, 2023; however electric  
3 utilities may request the Commission approve a five year continuation prior to the cutoff date.  
4 Any existing balances that remain after the expiration of the PISA option would continue to be  
5 amortized and recovered through base rates by the electric utility. Additionally Ameren  
6 Missouri received Commission approval for a RESRAM in Case No. EA-2018-0202. RESRAM  
7 eligible investment must first pass through the PISA Mechanism and then as the Commission  
8 determined in their Report and Order in Case No. EA-2018-0202, “that Ameren Missouri may  
9 recover depreciation expense and return associated with the High Prairie project recorded to  
10 plant-In-service on the utility’s books as it is permitted to do by the RES statute, exclusive of the  
11 eighty-five percent of that expense and return deferred for future recovery pursuant to the PISA  
12 statute.”<sup>125</sup>

13 Ameren Missouri filed its election to use PISA on September 31, 2018 as part of Case  
14 No. EO-2019-0044. Ameren Missouri submitted its five-year capital investment plan on  
15 February 14, 2019, and has submitted subsequent plans and reports on February 26, 2020 and  
16 February 19, 2021 in compliance with the PISA requirements. Ameren Missouri has established  
17 a regulatory asset account on its books and has recorded all return and depreciation on eligible  
18 plant additions.

19 In the previous Ameren Missouri rate case, Case No. ER-2019-0335, Staff reviewed the  
20 PISA regulatory asset balance through true-up cut-off date in that case of December 31, 2019.  
21 The regulatory asset established for the first accumulation period (September 1, 2018 through  
22 December 31, 2019) was included in rate base and a twenty-year amortization was calculated.  
23 The second accumulation period which started on January 1, 2020 includes the deferred amounts  
24 from the prior accumulation period that were not included in the regulatory asset as of  
25 December 31, 2019 but accumulated until the effective date of rates in the prior case.

26 Staff has reviewed the costs that were included in the regulatory asset for the period of  
27 January 1, 2020 through June 30, 2021 and met with Ameren Missouri to discuss how Ameren  
28 Missouri determined PISA eligible amounts and as well as the calculations for the amounts  
29 included in the regulatory asset. Staff has determined that the amounts included in the PISA

---

<sup>125</sup> Report and Order, Case No. EA-2018-0202, Page 11.

1 deferral are in compliance with the statutes, and has included them in the deferred asset. Staff is  
2 recommending two adjustments to the PISA regulatory asset that are further described below.  
3 Staff has included in the revenue requirement a twenty-year amortization of the PISA regulatory  
4 asset as required and included the adjusted unamortized balance as of June 30, 2021 in rate base  
5 as required by statute, along with estimated amounts through September 30, 2021. Staff will  
6 continue to review the costs included in the PISA deferral through the September 30, 2021  
7 true-up cut-off in this case and will adjust the amortization and rate base inclusion based upon  
8 the actual costs. Any qualifying electric plant amounts that are incurred subsequent to the rate  
9 base cutoff date of September 30, 2021 will be deferred into a new regulatory asset account until  
10 the true-up cut-off in the next Ameren Missouri general rate proceeding.

11 **a. Capitalized Incentive Compensation in PISA**

12 Ameren Missouri capitalizes a portion of the incentive compensation that is paid to its  
13 employees, this includes both long- and short-term incentive compensation. Historically, both  
14 Staff and Ameren Missouri have proposed adjustments during rate cases to remove incentive  
15 compensation that is tied to earnings or otherwise deemed to be of no benefit to the ratepayers of  
16 Ameren Missouri. During a rate proceeding the portion of the incentive compensation that is  
17 tied to earnings would be removed from rate base and Ameren Missouri would not earn a return  
18 or recovery depreciation on the investment through rates. However, as the adjustment to remove  
19 earnings based incentive compensation is a regulatory adjustment during a rate case proceeding,  
20 the investment that flows through the PISA mechanism includes capitalized incentive  
21 compensation that should also be adjusted out of rate base once the plant is included in base  
22 rates. Staff believes that is inappropriate for Ameren Missouri to earn a deferred return and  
23 depreciation expense on costs that normally would be removed as part of a rate case proceeding.  
24 Staff has proposed an adjustment to remove a portion of the capitalized incentive compensation  
25 that is aligned with Staff's proposed adjustment to remove capitalized incentive compensation in  
26 this case from projects that were included in the PISA deferral mechanism since January 1, 2020  
27 through June 30, 2021. Staff's adjustment reduces the deferred depreciation expense and  
28 deferred return on investment and reduces the overall amount of the deferred regulatory asset.  
29 Staff will continue to review the capitalized incentive compensation that is included in the PISA  
30 projects through the September 30, 2021 true-up cut-off date and will propose an adjustment to

1 remove the additional earnings based incentive compensation that is capitalized on PISA eligible  
2 projects during that period. Staff recommends an adjustment of \$603,100 to remove capitalized  
3 incentive compensation from the PISA deferred asset.

#### 4 **b. Community Solar Removal**

5 The community solar programs, O'Fallon and Lambert, are designed so that if fully  
6 subscribed the subscribers will cover 100% of the costs of the facilities over the life of the  
7 systems through the levelized cost of energy. The levelized cost of energy constitutes all costs of  
8 the facility as structured by the program, thus the solar generation charge, when calculated using  
9 the levelized cost of energy assumes the full recovery of the depreciation expense and return on  
10 the investment. It is Staff's position that since that Ameren will recover 100% of the costs of the  
11 facilities from the subscribers, that allowing Ameren Missouri to recover the 85% of the  
12 deferred deprecation and return that has been recorded to the PISA regulatory asset would  
13 amount to double recovery of those costs, once through the solar generation charge and again  
14 through the PISA deferral. Staff is proposing an adjustment to remove the costs for the  
15 community solar facilities from the PISA deferred regulatory assets. The removal of the  
16 community solar projects from the deferred assets results in a decrease to the deferred asset from  
17 the first PISA deferral period of \$46,522 and a reduction to the regulatory asset for the second  
18 PISA deferral period of \$65,936.

19 *Staff Expert/Witness: Jason Kunst, CPA*

#### 20 **36. TCJA Stub Period Amortization**

21 The Trump administration introduced a Congressional revenue act that amended the  
22 Internal Revenue Code of 1986, called the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act (TJCA). This Act became law  
23 on December 20, 2017, and modified both personal and business tax law effective for tax years  
24 subsequent to December 31, 2017. A major change to the tax code that was brought about due to  
25 this Act was a reduction in the corporate tax rate on businesses from 35% to 21%. This tax rate  
26 reduction affected the current income tax calculation as well as the accumulated deferred income  
27 tax (ADIT) calculation included in the base rates of a utility. The ADIT tax timing changes were  
28 initially calculated assuming a 35% rate but going forward they were overstated as the new tax



1 rate was only 21%. This difference in the tax rate as applied to individual tax timing differences  
2 is considered “excess” ADIT.

3 Ameren Missouri was to quantify and track all impacts of the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act of  
4 2017 potentially affecting electric service rates from January 1, 2018, going forward. Once  
5 Senate Bill 564 was signed into law, the 90 day deadline mandated under Section 393.173.3  
6 began for the Commission to reflect the tax rate change, establish excess accumulated deferred  
7 income tax (ADIT) deferrals, and order the amount that would be included in a regulatory  
8 liability for the “stub period” (*the period of January 1, 2018, through the date the electrical*  
9 *corporation’s rates were adjusted on a one-time basis for the tax rate change*).

10 On June 4, 2018, Case No. ER-2018-0362 was opened to reflect the impact of the TCJA  
11 on the rates of Ameren Missouri electric customers as called for under SB 564. The Parties  
12 joined a unanimous stipulation and agreement that reflected, among other things, that the  
13 amortization period for the stub period deferrals would also be determined in Ameren Missouri’s  
14 next rate case, case No. ER-2019-0335. The stipulation & agreement was approved by  
15 Commission on July 5, 2018 with new rate schedules going into effect on August 1, 2018. This  
16 action also established the stub period deferral period as running from January 1, 2018 through  
17 July 31, 2018.

18 In Ameren Missouri’s last rate case, ER-2019-0335, Staff recommended and all of the  
19 parties stipulated to amortizing the stub period regulatory liability back to customers over a  
20 3 year period. In this current case, Staff reviewed the amortization and has reset this  
21 amortization period in this case to 2 years.

22 *Staff Expert/Witness: Lisa M. Ferguson*

### 23 **37. Low-Level Radioactive Waste Expense**

24 In its cost of service calculation, Staff has included a three year average ending June 30,  
25 2021 of actual expense incurred by Ameren Missouri associated with low-level radioactive waste  
26 disposal. Staff will continue to examine these costs through the true-up cut-off date in this case  
27 and evaluate whether revision of its recommendation is warranted.

28 *Staff Expert/Witness: Lisa M. Ferguson*

1                   **38. Non-Labor Power Plant Maintenance Expense**

2                   Staff reviewed Ameren Missouri's historical non-labor power plant maintenance costs,  
3 including the costs of major outages, for the period of January 2015 through June 2021. Because  
4 these costs' fluctuate, Staff recommends an ongoing level of maintenance expense based on a  
5 6-year average ending June 2021. Due to the impending retirement of the Meramec generating  
6 facility at the end of 2022 and the request for a tracking mechanism in this proceeding, Staff  
7 included one fifth (1/5) of Meramec's normalized power plant maintenance in the cost of service  
8 and four fifths (4/5) of the power plant maintenance in the tracking mechanism. Staff will re-  
9 examine the non-labor power plant maintenance costs as part of its true up audit.

10 *Staff Expert/Witness: Paul K. Amenthor*

11                   **39. Cybersecurity Expense**

12                   In order to enhance its cybersecurity capabilities, Ameren Missouri invested in key  
13 capabilities and processes, including phase three multi-year network access control, multi-year  
14 network segmentation projects and a cybersecurity tool suite. Further, it developed a third party  
15 cybersecurity risk team to respond to upcoming North American Electric Reliability Corporation  
16 ("NERC") CIP-013 supply chain standards. Staff analyzed the non-labor cyber security costs and  
17 noted its significant increase during the test year. Staff normalized these costs using a three-year  
18 average ending June 2021.

19                   Staff will reexamine these costs through September 30, 2021 as part of its true up audit.

20 *Staff Expert/Witness: Paul K. Amenthor*

21                   **40. MEEIA Non-Labor Expense**

22                   Staff removed all MEEIA-related expenses from the test year to avoid double recovery  
23 through both the rider and base rates. For a complete discussion of the MEEIA revenue removal  
24 from the test year, refer to the MEEIA revenue section of this report, sponsored by Staff witness  
25 Jason Kunst.

26 *Staff Expert/Witness: Paul K. Amenthor*

**41. Customer Convenience Fees**

Ameren Missouri proposes including the costs of convenience fees<sup>126</sup> incurred at walk-in locations and credit card processing fees in its revenue requirement. These fees are currently paid by individual customers who choose to utilize these services. The convenience fees at walk-in locations are \$1.10 and credit card payment fees are \$1.85 per transaction.

**Current Payment Methods and Fees**

Ameren Missouri currently provides customers several options and methods to pay their utility bill. The chart below shows the fee customers currently pay associated with each payment type<sup>127</sup>:

<b>Mobile App</b>	Electronic Check (No Fee)	Credit Card (\$1.85)	Debit Card (\$1.85)
<b>Guest Pay/Website</b>	Electronic Check (No Fee)	Credit Card (\$1.85)	Debit Card (\$1.85)
<b>Quick Pay/E-mail Link</b>	Electronic Check (No Fee)	Credit Card (\$1.85)	Debit Card (\$1.85)
<b>By Phone</b>	Electronic Check (No Fee)	Credit Card (\$1.85)	Debit Card (\$1.85)
<b>Walk-in Location or Convenience Fee</b>	Cash (\$1.10)	Check/Cashier's Check (\$1.10)	Money Order (\$1.10)
<b>to Pay (Direct Debit)</b>	Auto Deduction Checking (No Fee)	Auto Deduction Savings (No Fee)	
<b>Electronic Data Interchange (EDI)</b>	Electronic Payment (No Fee)		
<b>CheckFree</b>	Bank Website (No Fee)		
<b>Non-Authorized Walk-in Locations or Pay Stations</b>	Various payment methods no contract (Unknown)		
<b>Mail</b>	Check (No Fee)		

**Ameren Missouri's Payment Vendors**

Ameren Services has contracted with FirsTech, Inc. to provide customers an option to pay their utility bills at certain authorized partner locations. Currently each customer utilizing this method is charged a processing fee of \$1.10 that is distributed between FirsTech and the partner location to assist with paying for the computer equipment, supplies, internet connection,

<sup>126</sup> Convenience fees are a charge for making a payment in person at a pay station or a walk-in location.

<sup>127</sup> Ameren Missouri Data Request Response to Staff DR No. 0165.

1 and customer service. At these walk-in locations, customers can only pay with check, cash,  
2 cashier's check or money order. Credit cards are not accepted at the walk-in locations.<sup>128</sup> Ameren  
3 Services contacted FirsTech to inquire about anticipated increase of utilization of walk-in  
4 locations if the fee to customers is waived. FirsTech indicated there is no expectation of an  
5 increase due to the fact that many customers pay at these locations because that is their only  
6 option and most payments taken at walk-in locations are cash.<sup>129</sup>

7 Ameren Missouri uses Speedpay ACI Payments Inc. (Speedpay) to offer credit card or  
8 debit payment options for customers. A request for proposal (RFP) was conducted to select this  
9 vendor. The final selection was made based on the vendor meeting certain cyber and security  
10 requirements and being the lowest cost option to Ameren Missouri's customers.<sup>130</sup> Ameren  
11 Missouri discussed the anticipated increase of credit card usage with its vendor. Based on similar  
12 instances with other regulated utility clients, Speedpay indicated that credit card payments may  
13 increase 15-30%. Ameren Missouri could not identify any point within the range that seemed  
14 more or less likely, so it estimated the anticipated increase of utilization of credit card payments  
15 to be 22.5%.<sup>131</sup>

#### 16 Staff's Analysis

17 Ameren Missouri has a contract in place for all of the authorized walk-in locations and  
18 the fee (\$1.10) remains consistent for all of its authorized locations. Staff reached out to other  
19 large Missouri investor owned utilities (IOU's) and found that some have contracts with  
20 authorized locations and others do not. Most Missouri utilities stated various locations charge  
21 different amounts and some do not charge a fee at all. Customers are encouraged to call ahead as  
22 the locations can make changes to hours and fees. Most of the utilities' authorized walk-in  
23 payment locations only take cash or check. Some walk-in locations are trying to eliminate  
24 customers paying via personal checks.

25 Staff recognizes other states include the convenience fees in their rates. According to  
26 Ameren Missouri, \*\* [REDACTED] \*\* are the IOU's  
27 that include walk-in fees in their rates. The states that allow these in their rates include

---

<sup>128</sup> Ameren Missouri Data Request Response to Staff DR No. 0629.

<sup>129</sup> Ameren Missouri Data Request Response to Staff DR No. 0629.

<sup>130</sup> Ameren Missouri Data Request Response to Staff DR No. 0625.

<sup>131</sup> GR-2021-0241 Ameren Missouri Data Request Response to Staff DR No. 0342.





1 track performance, savings, usage, and communication plans pertaining to payment options. If  
2 the Commission approves this treatment, Staff recommends that Ameren Missouri be ordered to  
3 track performance and savings for both the Company and its customers from this initiative. Staff  
4 further recommends that should the Commission order convenience and credit card fees to be  
5 included in the overall cost of service, Ameren Missouri be required to monitor the level of  
6 customers using the walk-in location and credit card options, along with the increase in the  
7 number of payments, if any, for these methods. In addition, Staff recommends that the savings  
8 to the customer and/or Ameren Missouri be tracked. Staff requests that the communication plan  
9 that Ameren Missouri utilizes to inform customers that there is no fee to pay their bill by walk-in  
10 and credit card, be provided to Staff.

11 Staff witness Jane C. Dhority is sponsoring the adjustments proposed by Staff in  
12 regard to inclusion of convenience fees and customer credit card payment processing costs in  
13 cost of service.

14 *Staff Expert/Witness: Tammy Huber*

15 **a. Accounting for Customer Convenience Fees**

16 Customers who pay by credit card or at walk-in locations are charged a convenience fee  
17 per transaction. Ameren Missouri is proposing to eliminate individual customer-facing  
18 convenience charges and include them for recovery in the cost of service for this case.

19 Staff is not opposing the elimination of fees charged to customers paying by credit card  
20 or at walk-in locations and including them in Ameren Missouri's cost of service.

21 Ameren Missouri's adjustment is based on current convenience fees for walk-in and  
22 credit card payments for a forecasted number of transactions. The Company arrived at this  
23 number using 2019 actual payment levels adjusted to represent an estimated change in  
24 transactions due to the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic. However the magnitude of this  
25 impact is not clearly known at this time.

26 Staff's proposed adjustment is based on analysis of a 5-year history of actual payment  
27 transactions ending June 30, 2021. This is the known and measureable level of actual customer  
28 transaction experience and takes into account some of the impact COVID-19 has had on  
29 transaction levels. Staff normalized this amount and then applied the current contracted fees for

1 processing payments through Ameren Missouri's third-party vendors to arrive at the amount to  
2 be included in the cost of service for this case. Staff will update its position on this issue during  
3 its true-up audit.

4 *Staff Expert/Witness: Jane C. Dhority*

5 **42. Electric Vehicle Employee Incentive**

6 \*\*

7 [REDACTED]  
8 [REDACTED]  
9 [REDACTED]  
10 [REDACTED]  
11 [REDACTED] \*\* Staff has made an adjustment to remove the incentive  
12 payments that were charged to Ameren Missouri during the test year, as these charges should be  
13 borne by ratepayers.

14 *Staff Expert/Witness: Jason Kunst, CPA*

15 **43. Charge Ahead Program**

16 In Case. No. EA-2018-0132 Ameren Missouri filed an application seeking to approve  
17 new tariffed programs that were referred to as "Charge Ahead". Included in these programs  
18 were proposed tariffs to implement electric vehicle charging stations incentives. The four  
19 sub-programs were: corridor charging, multi-family charging, public charging, and work place  
20 charging. In its order issued on February 6, 2019, the Commission approved the corridor  
21 charging sub-program and allowed for deferral accounting for the program costs. The  
22 Commission also opened a working group, EW-2019-0229 to allow the parties to continue  
23 discussions regarding solutions for electric vehicle charging station installations. The parties later  
24 came to an agreement and filed a stipulation and agreement with regards to the other  
25 sub-programs. As part of the Commission approved agreement the parties agreed to a budget of  
26 \$6.6 million for the programs<sup>139</sup> for program costs and allowed for deferral accounting as ordered  
27 by the Commission for the corridor charging program. Through June 30, 2021 Ameren Missouri

<sup>139</sup> The parties agreed to a \$6.6 million budget for the program, with a maximum for incentives of \$6 million and \$600,000 for administrative and marketing expenses.

1 has spent \$3.1 million on the corridor charging program and \$710,000 on the other three  
2 programs, which are within the budgets for the programs. Staff has reviewed the costs for the  
3 program through June 30, 2021 and is recommending a seven year amortization of the costs as  
4 proposed by Ameren Missouri. Staff will continue to review the costs through the September 30,  
5 2021 true-up date.

6 *Staff Expert/Witness: Jason Kunst, CPA*

7 **44. Misbooking of Electric Costs during Test Year**

8 During the discovery process in this case, Staff reviewed the electric charges that were  
9 erroneously allocated to gas operations in during the test year. These instances were limited to  
10 the administrative and general accounts (A&G) 921 through 935 because of the recording  
11 process in which Ameren Missouri allocates electric and gas in these particular accounts. During  
12 the last gas case, GR-2019-0077, after the problem was brought to Ameren Missouri's attention  
13 in the spring of 2019, Ameren Missouri relayed to Staff that a special code would be added to the  
14 general ledger recording process that will distinctly identify electric and gas charges in order to  
15 prevent this mis-recording. Based on discussions with Company personnel this coding change to  
16 Ameren Missouri's general ledger has not occurred as the Company expects to change its general  
17 ledger software around the end of 2022 or beginning of 2023. Staff expects that that Ameren  
18 Missouri would have had this issue resolved by this current case and recommends the  
19 Commission order Ameren Missouri to make the changes necessary to prevent this mis-  
20 recording in the future.

21 Staff is not including the mis-recorded charges for the Alliance for Transportation  
22 Electrification, the Western Coal Traffic League, USWAG Annual Dues (Lobbying Org.), 2017  
23 Utility Air Regulatory Group (UARG) Fees, the Steptoe & Johnson (Midwest Ozone Group) fees  
24 nor the dues for Illinois Environmental Regulation. Please see elsewhere in this report for  
25 discussion on Staff's treatment of these types of costs. These groups endeavor in legislative  
26 goals for the utility.

27 *Staff Expert/Witness: Christopher D. Caldwell*



1                   **45. Company Owned Life Insurance**

2                   During the test year, Ameren Missouri recorded Company Owned Life Insurance (COLI)  
3 gains net of the premiums paid in the amount of \$11,458,268 in FERC Account 920  
4 (Administrative and General Salaries). Ameren Missouri is requesting to normalize the gains  
5 and losses by using a five-year average to include COLI gains in cost-of-service. The gains and  
6 losses related to the COLI are due to market fluctuation and death claims. Staff recommends  
7 that all gains/losses and premiums be excluded from the cost of service and booked into FERC  
8 Account 426.2 (Life Insurance) in the future. FERC Account 426.2 is a below-the-line account.

9                   COLI is a life insurance policy that pays a benefit to the company if an insured employee  
10 dies. Usually this policy is taken out on one or more critical employees. The Company pays the  
11 premium and retains full ownership of the cash value of the policy. According to Ameren  
12 Missouri, the intent of the COLI program is to provide an income stream that will approximately  
13 offset the expenses of its deferred compensation program.<sup>140</sup>

14 In FERC Docket No. ER20-1237-000 Ameren Illinois Company was ordered to book COLI  
15 amounts to account 426.2. FERC stated in the Order of Formal Challenge:

16                   67. We find that Ameren Illinois improperly recorded  
17 company-owned life insurance amounts for officers and other  
18 employees for policies in which Ameren Illinois is the beneficiary  
19 in Account 920, instead of Account 426.2 (Life Insurance). As a  
20 result, Ameren Illinois overstated Account 920 in the challenged  
21 rate year, which is included in the wholesale transmission formula  
22 rate, and overbilled wholesale transmission customers. Account  
23 426.2 states, "This account shall include all payments for life  
24 insurance of officers and employees where company is beneficiary  
25 (net premiums less increase in cash surrender value of policies)," while Account 920 states, "This account shall include the  
26 compensation (salaries, bonuses, and other consideration for  
27 services, but not including directors' fees) of officers, executives,  
28 and other employees of the utility properly chargeable to utility  
29 operations and not chargeable directly to a particular operating  
30 function."

31  
32                   68. We are not persuaded by Ameren's argument that  
33 company-owned life insurance falls within the parameters of  
34 employee compensation includable in operations. Nor has Ameren

---

<sup>140</sup> Ameren Missouri Response to Staff DR No. 0463.

1 provided any regulatory approval that would indicate to us that  
2 such amounts are properly included in rates.

3 Staff agrees with FERC's position on this expense as it applies to Ameren Missouri.

4 Staff made a positive adjustment of \$11,458,268 to FERC Account No. 920 to reflect the  
5 removal of COLI amounts. Staff recommends that Ameren Missouri record future COLI  
6 amounts in FERC Account No. 426.2. For the test year, Ameren Missouri did not record any of  
7 the COLI amounts to the gas operations, thus no adjustment is needed for the gas operations.

8 *Staff Expert/Witness: Kimberly K. Bolin*

9 **46. Equity Issuance Costs**

10 As of February 2021, Ameren Missouri has incurred \$7,003,504 to issue equity in  
11 connection with its new wind generation facilities. If additional costs are incurred after  
12 February 2021 and prior to September 30, 2021, Staff will include those costs in its true-up audit.  
13 Staff is recommending a five-year amortization of these costs. Staff also proposes that the  
14 unamortized balance be excluded in rate base since the nature of these costs are included in the  
15 return on equity.

16 *Staff Expert/Witness: Kimberly K. Bolin*

17 **47. Legal Expense**

18 Prior to January 2019, Ameren Missouri and Ameren Services would accrue legal  
19 expense, and then compare that to actual legal spending each month and then adjust the accrual  
20 accordingly. As of January 2019, Ameren Missouri and Ameren Services still maintain an  
21 accrual reserve, but now monitors the accrual balance for legal expenses to ensure it is  
22 appropriate based on the current level of legal expenses and current facts and circumstances of  
23 ongoing legal matters. However, the comparison and, if needed, adjustment of the accrual to the  
24 actual legal payouts is now completed on an annual basis. The change in mechanics or  
25 procedure still has no effect on expense incurred in any given period. Staff has included the  
26 twelve months ending legal expense in the cost of service.

27 Amongst other litigation, Staff will specifically address two specific issues currently  
28 litigated for which Ameren Missouri is incurring or being allocated costs: the Environmental

1 Protection Agency's case against Ameren Missouri regarding the Clean Air Act and the two  
2 complaint cases for Ameren's (Ameren Missouri, Ameren Illinois and Ameren Transmission)  
3 arguments for maintaining a higher return on equity for the FERC formula rate allocation.

4 **a. Rush Island Clean Air Act Litigation**

5 In 2011, the United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) filed a case  
6 against Ameren Missouri for violating the Clean Air Act (CAA) for not having the proper  
7 emission controls at the Rush Island Power Plant.

8 Rush Island is a pulverized coal-fired power plant located in Jefferson County, directly  
9 adjacent to the Mississippi River. It was grandfathered into the amended Clean Air Act of 1977,  
10 due to the two units coming on-line in 1976 and 1977, immediately before the Amended Clean  
11 Air Act of 1977. The 1977 amendments to the Clean Air Act allowed existing plants to continue  
12 to operate for their natural lifespan without pollution controls, as long as they were not modified  
13 in any way beyond routine maintenance that increased emissions. Rush Island's major boiler  
14 components were experiencing performance problems which required Ameren Missouri to take  
15 the aging units offline for repairs. After Ameren Missouri completed these capital improvements  
16 at each unit, each unit's electric generating capacity as well as emissions increased.

17 Ameren Missouri must comply with the federal environmental regulations including the  
18 Clean Air Interstate Rule (CAIR), published in the Federal Registry on May 12, 2005; the Clean  
19 Air Mercury Rule (CAMR), published in the Federal Registry on May 18, 2005; the Missouri  
20 NOx SIP (State Implementation Plan) Call; PM2.5 standards; Ozone Standards; and Regional  
21 Haze rules. In addition, the State of Missouri participates in the Central Regional Air Planning  
22 Organization which is one of five regional planning organizations (RPO) that determines the  
23 requirements for emission controls known as Best Available Retrofit Technology (BART).  
24 Ameren Missouri's power generation that is BART eligible under the rules set by the RPO are  
25 Labadie Unit Nos. 1-4, Rush Island Unit Nos. 1-2 and Sioux Unit Nos. 1-2, however only Sioux  
26 Unit Nos. 1-2 are currently outfitted with scrubbers.

27 On January 23, 2017, Judge Rodney Sippel of the United States District Court for the  
28 Eastern District of Missouri the federal court initially ruled against Ameren Missouri, stating that  
29 the Rush Island Power Plant violates the Clean Air Act due to the plant emitting significantly  
30 more pollution after Ameren Missouri made major modifications to boost the output of the

1 power generating units without obtaining the proper permits. Ameren Missouri appealed and on  
2 February 27, 2019, the 8<sup>th</sup> Circuit Court of Appeals upheld the previous ruling that Ameren  
3 Missouri violated the Clean Air Act and ordered Ameren to apply for a permit within 90 days.  
4 Ameren appealed again and was yet again ruled against on September 30, 2019. Ameren  
5 Missouri appealed again to the Eight Circuit Court of Appeals.

6 \*\* [REDACTED]

7 [REDACTED]  
8 [REDACTED]  
9 [REDACTED]  
10 [REDACTED]  
11 [REDACTED] \*\* According to  
12 evidence provided by United States Environmental Agency (USEPA) in the Eastern District  
13 Court of Missouri, the lifespan of Rush Island's component parts is 30 to 40 years. Based on a  
14 30-year lifespan, Unit 1 parts were installed in 2007 and are close to the halfway point of their  
15 useful life. Unit 2 parts were installed in 2010 and are close to 1/3 of their useful life.

16 On August 20, 2021, the Eighth Circuit Court of Appeals issued its opinion affirming the  
17 District Court's liability determination. The Court of Appeals reversed the portion of the  
18 District's decision regarding remedial measures for the Labadie plant. The Eighth Circuit case is  
19 still within the fourteen day timeframe for any party to file a petition for panel rehearing.  
20 Ameren Missouri still has appeal options available for this issue so Staff has normalized the legal  
21 costs in the case and included the twelve months ending June 30, 2021 costs in the cost of  
22 service. \*\* [REDACTED] \*\* Staff  
23 will continue to review this issue through the true-up cutoff of September 30, 2021.

#### 24 **b. FERC ROE Complaint**

25 Ameren Missouri participated in three FERC ROE dockets (EL14-12 in November 2013,  
26 EL15-145 in February 2015 and ER15-358 in November 2014) as part of the MISO  
27 Transmission Owners Group (MISO TO Group) that was represented by the law firm Wright &  
28 Talisman. Wright & Talisman hired a consultant to submit updated analysis on the appropriate  
29 rate of return on equity. Ameren Missouri (nor its affiliates) did not separately hire consultants;



1 rather, the MISO TO Group as a whole utilized the services of the consultants and shared the  
2 associated costs.

3 The total billing from Wright & Talisman for all the work related to the dockets and the  
4 external fees were not a separate line item, therefore an amount was allocated to each  
5 Transmission Owner who was involved in the respective docket using the ratio of the Owner's  
6 transmission gross plant divided by the total gross plant of all Owners listed on the appropriate  
7 docket. For Ameren, this allocation is then split further by the gross plant percentage for each  
8 Ameren segment divided by the total Ameren gross plant and then applied to Ameren Missouri,  
9 Ameren Illinois and Ameren Transmission Company of Illinois.

10 Similar to Ameren Missouri's last rate Case No. ER-2019-0335, Staff proposes  
11 disallowing the legal and consultant fees that were incurred during the 2020 test year related to  
12 the ongoing FERC ROE complaint cases. The FERC ROE is a return on investment. ROE is the  
13 amount of revenue that is left-over after all expenses have been paid. Therefore, the FERC ROE  
14 legal fees were incurred for the benefit of the Company because the level of ROE is purely a  
15 benefit to shareholders and not customers. As such, customers should not have to pay the legal  
16 fees associated with arguing for a higher ROE.

17 *Staff Expert/Witness: Christopher D. Caldwell*

#### 18 **48. Sales Tax Audit Cost Adjustment**

19 During the course of its review, Staff discovered payments made by Ameren Missouri to  
20 the Missouri Department of Revenue as a result of a sales tax audit for years 2015 through 2017.  
21 In response to Staff DR No. 0640, Ameren Missouri indicated that the audit is still ongoing,  
22 however Ameren Missouri made the payments to avoid paying interest. Ameren Missouri  
23 indicated they are in the process of appealing the audit results. Staff is recommending that these  
24 payments be removed from the test year as they represent a non-recurring payment and has made  
25 an adjustment to remove them from the revenue requirement.

26 *Staff Expert/Witness: Jason Kunst, CPA*

#### 27 **49. Research & Development Expense**

28 Ameren Missouri has a membership with the Electric Power Research Institute ("EPRI")  
29 in which Ameren Missouri received research and development ("R&D") information. During

1 the course of its review, Staff inquired if Ameren Missouri was able to determine any  
2 quantifiable benefit to Ameren Missouri ratepayers for the research and development work  
3 performed by EPRI and other parties. Ameren Missouri's response was that they conduct a  
4 value analysis that determines the amount of cost savings to Ameren Missouri for performing the  
5 equivalent research in house, but do not conduct any cost savings with regards to the research  
6 itself. Staff is concerned that Ameren Missouri spending money on research and development  
7 and does not have a method for determining if the research and development actually results in  
8 cost savings or other tangible benefits for Ameren Missouri ratepayers. In the future Staff needs  
9 to see additional justification for Ameren Missouri's research and development costs. This  
10 would include listing and tracking each individual research and development project or pilot  
11 program that Ameren Missouri undertakes; which would include tracking the start date,  
12 implementation dates, costs associated with the project expense and capital, as well as a timeline  
13 for each project. Additionally it should include a list of all qualitative and quantitative benefits  
14 that Ameren Missouri ratepayers will receive as a result of the research. Additionally Staff  
15 would like to see a cost benefit analysis for each project rather than a value analysis for the  
16 savings to Ameren Missouri for not conducting the research internally.

17 Additionally Staff has become aware in Ameren Missouri's FAC filing in Case No.  
18 ER-2022-0026 that Ameren Missouri is engaging in a research and development venture at the  
19 Sioux Power Plant regarding the mining of digital assets. Staff has reviewed the invoices  
20 received from EPRI and found no costs associated with the Sioux R&D project. For additional  
21 information please see the R&D testimony by Staff witness Lisa M. Ferguson at the beginning of  
22 this report.

23 *Staff Expert/Witness: Jason Kunst, CPA*

#### 24 **50. Keeping Current Low-Income Pilot Program**

25 The Keeping Current component of the Low Income Pilot Program provides participants  
26 with year round monthly bill credits and arrearage reduction for customers who continue to make  
27 monthly bill payments. Ameren Missouri introduced the Keeping Current Program ("Program")  
28 in Case No. ER-2010-0036. The Keeping Current Program was approved by the Commission on  
29 April 14, 2010 in the *Third Non-Unanimous Stipulation and Agreement*. The Program is the

1 result of discussions resulting from the Commission's *Order Directing the Parties To Address*  
2 *the Concerns Raised By AmerenUE's Low-Income Residential Customers* issued February 10,  
3 2010. The parties involved were Ameren Missouri, Staff, OPC, Missouri Industrial Energy  
4 Consumers ("MIEC"), AARP/Consumers Council, and the Missouri Retailers Association,  
5 collectively known as "the Signatories". A representative from each Signatory group make up  
6 the members of the advisory collaborative group ("Collaborative")

7 The energy assistance program has two components -- The Keeping Current year-round  
8 component and the Keeping Cool summer assistance component.

9 The Keeping Cool component of the Program provides participants bill credits in the  
10 summer months, primarily June, July, and August to offset the costs of air conditioning usage.

11 The Program is reevaluated in Ameren's Rate Cases.

12 The objectives of the program are as follows.

- 13 • Improve affordability of utility payments for very low-income customers.
- 14 • Promote a level of usage that ensures health and safety.
- 15 • Minimize program costs and maximize efficiencies by working with agencies  
16 that serve low-income households.
- 17 • Minimize program costs and maximize efficiency by linking program  
18 participation to application for Weatherization and the Low Income Home  
19 Energy Assistance Program ("LIHEAP").

20 The Signatories agreed an evaluation would be performed annually<sup>141</sup> on the Program to  
21 determine its effectiveness in addressing the challenges faced by low-income customers, as well  
22 as the effect on costs borne by all Ameren Missouri ratepayers. The Collaborative was tasked  
23 with the selection of an independent third party evaluator ("Evaluator"). Up to 7% of the funds  
24 allocated may be used for administrative costs of the administering agencies and 3% to secure  
25 the services of the Evaluator.

---

<sup>141</sup> Due to the length of time each evaluation took from start to finish, the start of each evaluation would be within 12 months of the completion of the previous evaluation but y were not completed within each calendar year.

1 Applied Public Policy Research Institute for Study and Evaluation (“APPRISE, Inc”)<sup>142</sup>,  
2 was contracted to conduct the first program evaluation and ultimately conducted all four process  
3 and impact evaluations of the program. These evaluations assess program design,  
4 implementation, participation, retention, impacts to the participants and ratepayers, and make  
5 recommendations for program improvements. The evaluations have found that the Keeping  
6 Current Program has been successful in enrolling low-income households, improving energy  
7 affordability, improving participants’ bill payment regularity, coverage rates, and reducing  
8 collections actions. The evaluations have led to recommendations for Program refinements that  
9 Ameren implemented and have resulted in improved outcomes for participants.

10 In Ameren’s most recent rate case, ER-2019-0335,<sup>143</sup> the Commission approved an  
11 agreement that the total budget for the Keeping Current Program was to be increased from  
12 \$1.3 million to \$2 million, with a 50/50 ratepayer/shareholder funding sharing mechanism for the  
13 entire budget. The Commission also ordered a third party independent evaluation be conducted  
14 on the Program’s sustainability and potential growth going forward.

15 On March 18, 2020, the Commission issued an *Order Approving Stipulation and*  
16 *Agreements*<sup>144</sup> approving the *Corrected Non-Unanimous Stipulation & Agreement*. Shortly after  
17 the funding increase was approved, the COVID-19 global pandemic began to have a more  
18 significant impact in the Company’s service territory and Keeping Current Program enrollment  
19 decreased 57% from 2019 to 2020, leaving approximately \$1.3 million of the 2020 budgeted  
20 program funds unused.

21 The representatives of the Keeping Current Collaborative discussed reallocating the  
22 unused funding and identified an appropriate use of the unused program funds and on August 12,  
23 2021 filed the *Unanimous Stipulation and Agreement Regarding Keeping Current Funds and*  
24 *Motion For Expedited Treatment*. The Signatories agreed:

---

<sup>142</sup> APPRISE is a non-profit research institute dedicated to collecting and analyzing data and information to assess and improve public programs, for federal and state government agencies, utility companies, and nonprofit organization.

<sup>143</sup> *Corrected Non-Unanimous Stipulation & Agreement*, page 17, paragraph 45 filed March 2, 2020, EFIS #229.

<sup>144</sup> *Order Approving Stipulation and Agreements*, page 4 paragraph 1, filed March 18,2020, EFIS Item No. 248.



- 1 • \$150,000 shall be used to fund for a two-year period a Keeping Current Program  
2 Manager position, inclusive of salary, benefits, incidentals, and administrative  
3 support;
- 4 • \$150,000 will be distributed to the Keeping Current agencies to facilitate hiring of  
5 additional personnel, communications and outreach, or other administrative overhead  
6 costs to help with the processing of the increasing volume of applications for  
7 weatherization, LIHEAP, and rental and mortgage assistance;
- 8 • \$1 million will be provided as energy assistance to vulnerable customers in need of  
9 assistance, and;
- 10 • The Keeping Cool program will expand to include shoulder months from the  
11 currently approved June through August to May through September.<sup>145</sup>

12 APPRISE, Inc. was selected to conduct the program design review, ordered by the  
13 Commission, to assess alternative bill payment designs and make recommendations for  
14 refinement or redesign of Ameren's program.

#### 15 **Recommendations**

- 16 1. Administration: Ameren should continue to administer the Keeping Current Program  
17 with assistance from the agencies on outreach, intake, and data management.
- 18 2. Outreach: Ameren should conduct additional outreach for the Keeping Current Program  
19 through agencies and their own call center representatives. Agencies may need  
20 additional education to consider the Program not only as a special option for extreme  
21 circumstances and not only for customers with high arrearages. This may require  
22 ongoing outreach and education at the agencies due to turnover and seasonal employees.
  - 23 a. Agencies should develop plans that specify several outreach methods to reach  
24 various segments of their populations in need. Ameren should re-assess the  
25 agency payments (\$25 for each Keeping Current enrollment and \$10 for each  
26 Keeping Cooling enrollment) and consider whether higher fees should be paid to  
27 compensate agencies adequately for outreach, intake, and referrals.

---

<sup>145</sup> The Keeping Current Collaborative's exploration of whether the Keeping Cool program period should be expanded was a settlement term found at Paragraph 14 of the Unanimous Stipulation and Agreement submitted on July 22, 2020, in File No. EE-2019-0382.

- 1           **b.** Ameren call center representatives should be trained to screen payment-troubled  
2           customers for eligibility, refer eligible customers to their local agency, and send  
3           lists of eligible customers to their local agency so that the agency can also follow  
4           up with the customers.
- 5           **3.** Intake: Agencies should continue to encourage customers to visit offices for in-person  
6           Program intake. This process allows for in-depth education about the Program, referrals  
7           to LIHEAP and weatherization, and education about other potential sources of assistance.  
8           However, agencies should provide flexibility to customers who are unable to visit the  
9           office because they are homebound, are working during the agency’s office hours, or do  
10          not have transportation or childcare available.
- 11          **4.** Income Eligibility: Ameren should maintain the current income eligibility level of 150  
12          percent of the FPL. They should base eligibility on one month of income to ensure that  
13          customers who recently became unemployed due to COVID-19 are eligible.
- 14          **5.** Other Eligibility Requirements: Ameren should continue the following additional  
15          eligibility requirements:
- 16           • Weatherization: Apply for the Program. (continued)
  - 17           • LIHEAP: Apply for the Program (continued) and apply benefits to Ameren bill if  
18           an Ameren gas or Ameren electric heating customer (new).
  - 19           • Consistent Bill: Enroll in budget billing (in the absence of a new Percentage of  
20           Income Program that provides a fixed monthly bill).
- 21          **6.** Additional Populations: Ameren should consider enhanced benefits for formerly  
22          homeless customers to help them pay off past balances and open a new Ameren account.
- 23          **7.** Recertification: Ameren should continue to require participants to re-certify their  
24          eligibility every two years. This will be especially important if they move to a  
25          Percentage of Income Payment program (“PIPP”).
- 26          **8.** Enrollment Level: Ameren and their agencies should provide additional outreach as  
27          discussed above to educate more customers about the Program.
- 28          **9.** Bill Subsidy Determination: Ameren should consider moving to a Percentage of Income  
29          Payment Program (PIPP) to provide participants with a fixed energy burden at an

1 affordable level. The end of this section provides a comparison of the costs of the current  
2 program to the costs of a PIPP.

3 **10. Target Energy Burden:** Ameren should consider targeting a three percent energy burden  
4 for alternative electric heat participants and a six percent energy burden for electric heat  
5 participants. If the cost of these energy burden targets is beyond a target program budget,  
6 Ameren should consider a somewhat higher energy burden to reduce costs.

7 **11. Minimum Payments and Maximum Credits:** Ameren should consider a minimum  
8 monthly payment and a maximum annual credit to limit program costs. Customers who  
9 reach the maximum annual credit should be targeted for weatherization.

10 **12. Arrearage Forgiveness:** Ameren should continue the arrearage forgiveness program  
11 where participants pay 1/12 of their arrearages when they enroll and have 1/11 of the  
12 remaining amount forgiven each month. We recommend that forgiveness be provided for  
13 bills that are made up following the initial bill due date. Participants should receive  
14 education so that they understand that this is an important benefit of the program.

15 **13. LIHEAP:** Ameren and the agencies should provide additional education and outreach to  
16 ensure that participants apply for LIHEAP assistance. They should send reminders to  
17 participants to re-apply to LIHEAP and emphasize that they can receive benefits from  
18 both LIHEAP and Keeping Current at the same time.

19 **14. Energy Efficiency:** Ameren should prioritize high usage Keeping Current Program  
20 participants for weatherization. They should educate landlords about the Program and  
21 encourage landlords to provide authorization for program measures.

22 **15. Program Removal:** Participants are currently removed from the Keeping Current Program  
23 if they are not current within two billing cycles. We recommend that customers remain  
24 on the Program as long as they remain customers and are not terminated due to  
25 nonpayment. We also recommend that customers receive monthly bill credits for all  
26 made up past due monthly bills.

27 The Keeping Current Program Stakeholders have reviewed the study and have had some  
28 discussion on what next steps are however due to the COVID-19 pandemic, the recent changes to

1 the Program have been related to reallocating the unspent funds to help enhance and sustain the  
2 Program during the pandemic.

3 Staff recommends the Commission order the program to be funded at the current  
4 approved amount of \$2 million with the 50/50 pay structure between shareholders and  
5 ratepayers.

6 *Staff Expert/Witness: Kory J. Boustead*

7 **51. “Keeping Current” Revenue and Expense**

8 In the stipulation and agreement filed in the most recent Ameren Missouri rate case  
9 (ER-2019-0335), the parties agreed to increase the budget from \$1.3 million to \$2 million, with  
10 fifty percent of the funding coming from ratepayers. Staff has made an adjustment to remove  
11 both the revenue and the expense for the surcharge.

12 Due to the COVID-19 pandemic, there was approximately \$1.3 million of unused  
13 assistance from the Keeping Current budget for 2020. On August 25, 2021 the Commission  
14 approved a Stipulation and Agreement in Case No. ER-2019-0335 with how to use the unspent  
15 funds as follows:

- 16 • \$150,000 will fund a Keeping Current Program Manager position for a  
17 two-year period.
- 18 • \$150,000 will be distributed to the Keeping Current agencies to facilitate  
19 those agencies hiring additional personnel and other outreach to help with  
20 the processing of the increasing volume of applications.
- 21 • The remaining \$1 million will be distributed to provide energy assistance  
22 for vulnerable customers.

23 *Staff Expert/Witness: Jason Kunst, CPA*

24 **52. Income Eligible Weatherization Assistance Program (“LIWAP”)**

25 The Ameren Missouri low-income weatherization program (“LIWAP”) provides  
26 supplemental funding in support of the larger federally funded nationwide US Department  
27 of Energy (“DOE”), Low-Income Weatherization Assistance Program. LIWAP provides  
28 eligible households with home energy conservation services. The program provides  
29 cost-effective, energy-efficient home improvements to Missouri’s low-income households,  
30 especially the elderly, children, those with physical disadvantages and others most affected by  
31 high utility costs.



1           The purpose of LIWAP programs is to lower utility bills and improve comfort while  
2 ensuring health and safety. Income eligible home owners and tenants with their landlord's  
3 permission are eligible. Typical weatherization measures include air sealing to reduce  
4 infiltrations, attic insulation, sidewall insulation, floor and foundation insulation, pipe or duct  
5 insulation, water heater blankets, energy efficient lighting replacement and heating and cooling  
6 system repair or replacement.

7           The Commission authorized Ameren Missouri's LIWAP (or "Program") on August 14,  
8 2007, in Case No. ER-2007-0002. In that case, the Commission approved the agreement  
9 between the Company and Missouri Department of Natural Resources ("DNR"), Division of  
10 Energy to allow social service agencies operating in Ameren Missouri's service territory to  
11 receive the supplemental funding to the DOE LIWAP program to weatherize homes. The  
12 Commission initially approved \$1.2 million in annual funding for the Ameren LIWAP. This  
13 amount is to be paid in one lump sum by the Company to the State Environmental Improvement  
14 and Energy Resources Authority ("EIERA").<sup>146</sup> In later rate cases, the Commission approved the  
15 Ameren LIWAP to continue at the current funding level and administration.<sup>147</sup>

16           In Ameren's most recent rate case, ER-2019-0335, it was agreed in a *Non-Unanimous*  
17 *Stipulation and Agreement* issued February 28, 2020 and approved March 16, 2020, that the  
18 Company would assume administration of the program from DE and would work with DE to  
19 transition the administration to the Company. The annual budget of \$1.2 million would remain  
20 the same and allow rollover of unspent funds to subsequent years. The Company agreed to take  
21 over the administration of the weatherization program which would stop the forwarding of the  
22 program funds to the EIERA and allow the Company to pay the social service agencies directly.  
23 With the change of administration the strict adherence to the USDOE guidelines on how the  
24 weatherization dollars were able to be used was removed. This allows the social service  
25 agencies to utilize the supplemental funds provided by the utility for broader repair issues which  
26 previously would have caused the home to be ineligible for weatherization. The name of the  
27 program was also changed to the Income-Eligible Weatherization Assistance Program  
28 ("IEWAP").

---

<sup>146</sup> In Case No. ER-2011-0028, Report and Order, the terms of how the program funds are administered by the State Environmental Improvement and Energy Resources Authority are detailed.

<sup>147</sup> Case Nos. ER-2011-0028, ER-2012-0166, ER-2014-0285 and ER-2016-0179 and ER-2019-0335.

1 Staff has confirmed with the Company the transition from DNR to Ameren Missouri is  
2 complete and the program funds paid directly to the social service agencies for their use in the  
3 current program year. Staff has reviewed the program year budgets and quarterly weatherization  
4 reports from the social service agencies. Staff reserves the right to comment on this program or  
5 respond to any testimony filed at a later date in this case.

#### 6 **Recommendation**

7 It is Staff's recommendation that the Commission authorize the Income Eligible  
8 Weatherization Assistance Program to remain at the current level of \$1.2 million annually.

9 *Staff Expert/Witness: Kory J. Boustead*

### 10 **XIII. Depreciation**

#### 11 **A. Depreciation Rate Recommendations**

12 Staff reviewed the depreciation study provided in the Direct Testimony of John J.  
13 Spanos, of Gannett Fleming, Valuation and Rate Consultants, LLC. Staff also requested the  
14 source data for the depreciation study in Staff DR Nos. 0505 and 0636. Staff analyzed the data  
15 submitted and is proposing the rates as shown in Accounting Schedule 5.

16 Staff also recommends that Ameren Missouri correct issues in its Continuing Property  
17 Record ("CPR") and update this information in Ameren's next depreciation study.

#### 18 **Discussion**

19 Ameren Missouri is required to submit a depreciation study as part of rate increase  
20 requests under rule 20 CSR 4240-3.160, unless the Commission Staff has received a study within  
21 5 years prior to the filing for a rate increase. On March 31, 2021, Ameren Missouri submitted a  
22 depreciation study prepared by Gannett Fleming, Valuation and Rate Consultants, LLC for the  
23 capital assets of Ameren based on plant balances as of December 31, 2020. This study was  
24 submitted in the Direct Testimony of John J. Spanos.

25 Depreciation is defined as "the loss in service value not restored by current maintenance,  
26 incurred in connection with the consumption or prospective retirement of electric plant in the  
27 course of service from causes which are known to be in current operation and against which the  
28 utility is not protected by insurance. Among the causes to be given consideration are wear and  
29 tear, decay, action of the elements, inadequacy, obsolescence, changes in the art, changes in