Exhibit No. 248

Commission Staff – Exhibit 248
Michelle Bocklage
Rebuttal Testimony (Gas)
File Nos. ER-2021-0240 & GR-2021-0241

Exhibit No.:

Issue(s): Revenues

Witness:

Michelle Bocklage Sponsoring Party: MoPSC Staff

Type of Exhibit:

Rebuttal Testimony

Case No.:

GR-2021-0241

Date Testimony Prepared: October 15, 2021

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION INDUSTRY ANALYSIS DIVISION TARIFF/RATE DESIGN DEPARTMENT

REBUTTAL TESTIMONY

OF

MICHELLE BOCKLAGE

UNION ELECTRIC COMPANY d/b/a Ameren Missouri

CASE NO. GR-2021-0241

Jefferson City, Missouri October 2021

1	REBUTTAL TESTIMONY					
2	OF					
3	MICHELLE BOCKLAGE					
4 5	UNION ELECTRIC COMPANY d/b/a Ameren Missouri					
6	CASE NO. GR-2021-0240					
7	Q Please state your name and business address.					
8	A. My name is Michelle Bocklage. My business address is 200 Madison Street,					
9	Jefferson City, Missouri 65101.					
10	Q. By whom are you employed and in what capacity?					
11	A. I am employed by the Missouri Public Service Commission					
12	("Commission") as a Senior Research/Data Analyst of the Tariff/Rate Design Department, of					
13	the Industry Analysis Division of the Commission Staff.					
14	Q. Are you the same Michelle Bocklage who has previously filed testimony					
15	in Staff's Revenue Requirement Cost of Service Report in this case?					
16	A. Yes.					
17	Q. What is the purpose of your rebuttal testimony?					
18	A. The purpose of my rebuttal testimony is to address two errors; one is an error in					
19	one of the formulas Staff used in its workpaper to calculate its weather normalization					
20	and 365-day revenue adjustment for the Standard Transport class and the second corrects the					
21	total Missouri normalized revenue for the Standard Transport rate class referenced in					
22	Staff's Cost of Service Report and included in Staff's direct accounting schedules.					
23	Q Are there any corrections to sections of Staff's Cost of Service ("COS") Repor					
24	that you sponsored?					

Rebuttal Testimony of Michelle Bocklage

1	A. Yes. On page 50 of the Staff Direct COS Report, the total Missouri normalized					
2	revenue is listed as \$11,137,417. This amount should have been \$9,341,226.					
3	Q. What is Staff's correction to its revenue workpaper for the Standard Transport					
4	class?					
5	A. The standard transport class (ST class) consists of both standard transport					
6	(Transport) and standard transport schools (Schools). The rate structure varies, so the Transport					
7	and Schools are calculated separately initially and then combined for final revenues for the					
8	ST class as a whole. When totaling the customer charge count, Staff inadvertently summed					
9	both the Transport and Schools customer counts in the weather normalization and day					
10	adjustment calculations for Transport customers. Since the revenues for Schools were					
11	calculated separately due to the rate structure, those customers should not have been included					
12	in that step. The total overall revenue for the ST class includes the total revenues for both					
13	Transport and Schools.					
14	This correction in customer count for the Transport customer count resulted in a					
15	decrease in the overall adjustment to the total ST Class of \$323,933 due to differences in rates.					
16	The correction resulted in a decrease in class revenue from \$9,341,226 to \$9,017,292.					
17	This revenue change has been updated in the adjustments listed in the table below.					
18	·					
19	Rate Class Total MO Normalized Revenue					
20	Residential Service \$45,079,797					
21	General Service \$15,585,762					
22	Standard Transport \$ 9,017,292					
23	Large Transport \$ 5,064,541					

Rebuttal Testimony of Michelle Bocklage

1	Interruptible		\$	399,297
2	Specia	Special Contract		546,979
3				
4	Q.	Does this conclude your rebuttal testimony?		
5	A.	Yes.		
6				