Robin Carnahan

Secretary of State Administrative Rules Division

RULE TRANSMITTAL

Administrative	Rules	Stamp

•		
Rule Number 4 CSR 240-13.060		
Use a "SEPARATE" rule transmittal sheet for EACH individual rulemaking.		
Name of person to call with questions about this rule:		
Content Morris Woodruff Phone 573-751-2849 FAX 573-526-6010		
Email address morris.woodruff@psc.mo.gov		
Data		
Entry Chris Koenigsfeld Phone 573-751-4256 FAX 573-526-6010		
Email addresschristine.koenigsfeld@psc.mo.gov		
Interagency mailing address Public Service Commission, 9th Fl, Gov.Ofc Bldg, JC, MO		
TYPE OF RULEMAKING ACTION TO BE TAKEN		
Emergency rulemaking, include effective date		
Proposed Rulemaking		
Withdrawal Rule Action Notice In Addition Rule Under Consideration		
Order of Rulemaking		
Effective Date for the Order		
Statutory 30 days OR Specific date		
Does the Order of Rulemaking contain changes to the rule text? NO		
∑ YES—LIST THE SECTIONS WITH CHANGES, including any deleted rule text: Section (2)		

Small Business Regulatory Fairness Board (DED) Stamp

JOINT COMMITTEE ON
DEC 0 4 2013
ADMINISTRATIVE RULES

JCAR Stamp



Commissioners

ROBERT S. KENNEY
Chairman

STEPHEN M. STOLL

WILLIAM P. KENNEY

Missouri Public Service Commission

POST OFFICE BOX 360 JEFFERSON CITY, MISSOURI 65102 573-751-3234 573-751-1847 (Fax Number) http://www.psc.mo.gov JOSHUA HARDEN General Counsel

MORRIS WOODRUFF
Secretary

WESS A. HENDERSON Director of Administration and Regulatory Policy

CHERLYN D. VOSS
Director of Regulatory Review

KEVIN A. THOMPSON Chief Staff Counsel

Jason Kander Secretary of State Administrative Rules Division 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, Missouri 65101

Re: 4 CSR 240-13.060 Settlement Agreement and Payment Agreement

Dear Secretary Kander,

CERTIFICATION OF ADMINISTRATIVE RULE

I do hereby certify that the attached is an accurate and complete copy of the proposed rulemaking lawfully submitted by the Missouri Public Service Commission.

Statutory Authority: sections 386.250 and 393.140, RSMo 2000

If there are any questions regarding the content of this proposed rulemaking, please contact:

Morris L. Woodruff, Chief Regulatory Law Judge Missouri Public Service Commission 200 Madison Street P.O. Box 360 Jefferson City, MO 65102 (573) 751-2849 morris.woodruff@psc.mo.gov

Morris L. Woodruff

Chief Regulatory Law Judge

Morris I Wooduff

Title 4 – DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT Division 240 – Public Service Commission Chapter 13 – Service and Billing Practices for Residential Customers of Electric, Gas, Sewer and Water Utilities

ORDER OF RULEMAKING

By the authority vested in the Public Service Commission under section 386.250(6) RSMo 2000, and section 393.140(11) RSMo 2000, the commission amends a rule as follows:

4 CSR 240-13.060 is amended.

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the text of the proposed amendment was published in the *Missouri Register* on September 3, 2013 (38 MoReg 1375). Those sections with changes are reprinted here. This proposed amendment becomes effective thirty (30) days after publication in the *Code of State Regulations*.

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS: The public comment period ended October 7, 2013, and the commission held a public hearing on the proposed amendment on October 10, 2013. The commission received timely written comments from Kansas City Power & Light Company and KCP&L Greater Missouri Operations Company; Laclede Gas Company, Ameren Missouri, and The Empire District Electric Company (collectively the Missouri Utilities); the Office of the Public Counsel; Jacqueline Hutchinson, Vice President of Operations for People's Community Action Corporation in St. Louis Missouri: AARP, the Consumers Council of Missouri, and Legal Services of Eastern Missouri, Inc. (collectively the AARP group); Missouri-American Water Company; and the Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission. In addition, the following people offered comments at the hearing: Rick Zucker, representing Laclede Gas Company and Missouri Gas Energy; Jim Fischer, representing Kansas City Power & Light Company and KCP&L Greater Missouri Operations Company; Allison Erickson on behalf of Kansas City Power & Light Company and KCP&L Greater Missouri Operations Company (KCP&L and GMO); Russ Mitten, representing The Empire District Electric Company: Sarah Giboney, representing Ameren Missouri; Kathy Hart on behalf of Ameren Missouri; Tim Luft, on behalf of Missouri-American Water Company; Marc Poston, representing the Office of the Public Counsel; John Coffman, representing AARP and Consumers Council of Missouri; Jacqueline Hutchinson on behalf of Community Action Corporation in St. Louis Missouri; Jackie Lingum, representing Legal Services of Eastern Missouri, Inc.; Akayla Jones, representing the Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission; and

JOINT COMMITTEE ON

DEC 0 4 2013

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES

Gay Fred and Lisa Kremer on behalf of the Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission.

The commission considered this particular rule in conjunction with eleven other rules within Chapter 13. Not all persons offering comments addressed this particular rule.

COMMENT: The commission's staff offered a written comment indicating that it continues to support the amendment as proposed.

RESPONSE: The commission thanks staff for its comment.

COMMENT: In one of its comments to 4 CSR 240-13.015, Public Counsel objected to part of that rule's definition of "payment agreement". The commission agreed with that objection and indicated it would move the objected to portion of the definition to this rule. The language in question limited the duration of such payment agreements to twelve months unless the customer and utility agree to a longer period.

RESPONSE AND EXPLANATION OF CHANGE: The commission will insert that time limitation at the end of section (2).

COMMENT: Public Counsel objects to the proposed elimination of section (4), which authorizes the utility and its customer to enter into an extension agreement when the customer claims an inability to pay their bill on time.

RESPONSE: The amendment is not eliminating authority to enter into an agreement to extend time to pay a utility bill. Rather, it is eliminating the term "extension agreement" here, and in 4 CSR 240-13.015, as an unnecessary duplication of a "payment agreement". The commission will not make the change proposed by Public Counsel.

4 CSR 240-13.060 Settlement Agreement and Payment Agreement

(2) Every payment agreement resulting from the customer's inability to pay the outstanding bill in full shall provide that service will not be discontinued if the customer pays the amount of the outstanding bill specified in the agreement and agrees to pay a reasonable portion of the remaining outstanding balance in installments until the bill is paid. For purposes of determining reasonableness, the parties shall consider the following: the size of the delinquent account, the customer's ability to pay, the customer's payment history, the time that the debt has been outstanding, the reasons why the debt has been outstanding, and any other relevant factors relating to the customer's service. Such a payment agreement shall not exceed twelve (12) months duration, unless the customer and utility agree to a longer period.