FILED
December 19, 2007
Data Center
Missouri Public
Service Commission

Exhibit No.: 020

Issue: Overview of Synergy Savings and

Operational Impacts of the Merger

Witness: John R. Marshall Type of Exhibit: Direct Testimony

Sponsoring Party: Great Plains Energy Incorporated and

Kansas City Power & Light Company

Case No.: EM-2007-_

Date Testimony Prepared: April 2, 2007

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

CASE NO.: EM-2007-____

DIRECT TESTIMONY

OF

JOHN R. MARSHALL

ON BEHALF OF

GREAT PLAINS ENERGY INCORPORATED

AND

KANSAS CITY POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

Kansas City, Missouri April 2007 Case No(s). EM. 2007-0314

Date Reference Rote Person

DIRECT TESTIMONY

OF

JOHN R. MARSHALL

Case No. EM-2007-____

1	Q:	Please state your name and business address.	
2	A:	My name is John R. Marshall. My business address is 1201 Walnut, Kansas City,	
3		Missouri 64106.	
4	Q:	By whom and in what capacity are you employed?	
5	A:	I am employed by Kansas City Power & Light Company ("KCPL") as Senior Vice	
6		President, Delivery Division. KCPL is a direct, wholly-owned subsidiary of Great Plains	
7		Energy Incorporated ("Great Plains Energy").	
8	Q:	What are your responsibilities?	
9	A:	My responsibilities include overseeing Customer Operations, Transmission Services,	
10		Information Technology and Energy Solutions.	
11	Q:	Please describe your education, experience and employment history.	
12	A:	I graduated from the University of Arkansas at Fayetteville in 1976 with a Bachelor of	
13		Science degree in Electrical Engineering. Further education from 1990 through 1997	
14		includes management development at Columbia University, The Aspen Institute, The	
15		Wharton School, and Harvard Business School Advanced Management Program. I	
16		began employment at KCPL in May 2005. Prior to joining KCPL, I was a Senior	
17		Executive Resource for GFI Energy Ventures LLC; Chairman of InfraSource Services	

1		Inc.; Chairman of SPL World Group Inc.; and a Director of Power Measurement	
2		Holdings, Inc. From 2001-2002, I was Senior Vice President of Customer Service at the	
3		Tennessee Valley Authority, and from 1999-2001, I served as President of Duquesne	
4		Light Company, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania. Prior to joining Duquesne Light, I was Vice	
5		President of Entergy Corporation and served in various nuclear and fossil generation,	
6		transmission, distribution, customer service, information services and retail operations	
7		positions from 1976 through 1999.	
8	Q:	Have you previously testified in a proceeding at the Missouri Public Service	
9		Commission or before any other utility regulatory agency?	
10	A:	I testified before the Missouri Public Service Commission ("Commission") and the	
11		Kansas Corporation Commission in KCPL's 2006 rate cases. I have also testified in	
12		proceedings before the Texas Public Utility Commission.	
13	Q:	What is the purpose of your testimony?	
14	A:	The purpose of my testimony is to provide an overview of the synergy savings Great	
15		Plains Energy anticipates realizing as a result of its acquisition of Aquila, Inc. ("Aquila")	
16		(the "Merger"). I also provide an overview of the operational impacts of the Merger and	
17		other post-Merger considerations.	
18		I. MERGER SYNERGY SAVINGS	
19	Q:	What amount of synergy savings does Great Plains Energy anticipate realizing as a	
20		result of the Merger?	
21	A:	As described more thoroughly in the direct testimony of Robert T. Zabors of Bridge	
22		Strategy Group, LLC, Great Plains Energy anticipates realizing approximately \$500	
23		million in pre-tax synergy savings over the next five years (2008-2012). That figure can	

1 be broken down into four general categories, i.e., savings attributable to: (i) a lower cost 2 of debt for Aquila following the Merger; (ii) shared services between KCPL and Aquila; 3 (iii) more efficient operations; and (iv) economies of scale, better bargaining leverage, 4 and other efficiencies associated with procurement for a larger entity. Although Great 5 Plains Energy anticipates only minor changes in projected synergies as the transition 6 work progresses, we will provide the Commission an update in August of 2007. 7 Q: What is Great Plains Energy's estimated cost to achieve the Merger savings? 8 A: Great Plains Energy estimates that it will cost approximately \$181 million to achieve the 9 anticipated synergy savings. This includes both the transition-related costs associated 10 with integrating Aquila operations into Great Plains Energy's operations and the 11 transaction costs associated with completing the Merger. Although Great Plains Energy 12 anticipates only minor changes in projected costs to achieve as the transition work 13 progresses, we will include updated costs-to-achieve data in our August 2007 update. 14 Q: You mentioned that there are four general categories of synergy savings, could you 15 please elaborate on those? 16 A: Yes, as I noted, Great Plains Energy anticipates realizing significant synergy savings as a 17 result of reduced debt costs, shared services, operational efficiencies, and a more 18 favorable procurement position. Mr. Zabors elaborates on the nature of these savings, 19 however, the following is a general description of the estimated synergies: 20 **Debt Interest Savings:** 21 As explained in the direct testimony of Terry Bassham, Great Plains Energy estimates 22 that Aquila will achieve approximately \$188 million in debt interest savings over the

five-year period as a result of the Merger. Great Plains Energy will retire or refinance all

of Aquila's currently outstanding debt with the exception of two debt issuances that contain "make-whole" provisions that make their retirement uneconomic. Great Plains Energy estimates that the debt tender costs associated with refinancing and retiring Aquila's debt will equal approximately \$35 million.

Shared Services:

Great Plains Energy estimates that KCPL and Aquila will achieve approximately \$143 million in synergy savings over the five-year period as a result of KCPL and Aquila sharing certain services. The components of shared services synergies are labor costs associated with shared services functions, and associated benefit costs, third-party spend, executive compensation, and other overhead. Great Plains Energy anticipates eliminating approximately 110 duplicative support or administrative positions. Great Plains Energy anticipates spending less money enterprise-wide on third-party services such as audit services and legal counsel, than KCPL and Aquila currently spend in aggregate. Overhead and other costs associated with the top Aquila officers will be eliminated following their departure upon consummation of the Merger. Great Plains Energy also anticipates achieving other general overhead savings.

Operations:

Great Plains Energy estimates that KCPL and Aquila will achieve approximately \$119 million in synergy savings over the five-year period as a result of operational efficiencies gained as a result of the Merger. The components of operating synergies are labor costs associated with operating functions, emission credits and associated benefit costs, and other operating synergies. Great Plains Energy anticipates eliminating approximately 188 Aquila positions over time due to changes in process or technology and economies of

1 scale efficiencies. Great Plains Energy also anticipates realizing a number of operations 2 and maintenance synergy savings, as Mr. Zabors discusses in his direct testimony. 3 Procurement: 4 Great Plains Energy estimates that KCPL and Aquila will achieve approximately \$50 5 million in synergy savings over the five-year period as a result of more efficient 6 procurement practices, a superior bargaining position and other efficiencies gained as a 7 result of the Merger. As Mr. Zabors explains in his direct testimony, this amount was 8 estimated based on a reduction of 2.5% of total spent. 9 II. POST-MERGER OPERATIONS 10 Q: Please provide a general overview of the impact of the Merger on KCPL's and 11 Aquila's operations. 12 A: Representatives from the Joint Applicants have been and will continue to coordinate 13 efforts to ensure that the Merger has no adverse impacts on operations or the day-to-day 14 services presently received by KCPL's and Aquila's retail customers. Great Plains 15 Energy anticipates retaining nearly all of Aquila's operational employees. 16 **Generation Fleets** 17 Q: Please describe the generation fleet operational efficiencies that you anticipate as a 18 result of the Merger? 19 A: As discussed more fully in the direct testimony of F. Dana Crawford, representatives 20 from both companies, through the Plant Operations Integration team process, will be 21 tasked with identifying operational efficiencies and implementation strategies. Team 22 goals and objectives include: (i) defining and delivering key best practice operations and

maintenance strategies with emphasis on safety and training to support top-tier

performance; (ii) developing an organizational structure that supports the Great Plains Energy "winning culture" initiative; (iii) identifying optimum on-going staffing requirements and necessary skill levels; and (iv) integrating key data information streams.

Through this team process we will take a collaborative look at KCPL's previously identified performance improvement projects and evaluate the implementation strategy for the current Aquila generation fleet. Great Plains Energy will also evaluate additional performance improvement projects that are identified through the integration process and look for opportunities to implement these projects where appropriate throughout the KCPL/Aquila generation fleet.

Transmission Operations

- Q: Please summarize the impact of the Merger on the transmission operations of KCPL and Aquila and their Regional Transmission Organization ("RTO") participation.
- A: As discussed more fully in the direct testimony of Richard A. Spring, KCPL employees will operate the transmission systems of KCPL and Aquila following the Merger. KCPL proposes to take the following actions in order to build a reliable and efficient organization: (i) consolidate transmission control center operations; (ii) integrate Aquila's planning functions with KCPL's planning functions; (iii) incorporate Aquila's transmission and substation field operations into KCPL's operations; (iv) combine the transmission and substation engineering processes; and (v) include the Aquila facilities in the KCPL comprehensive transmission asset management plan in order to achieve Tier I reliability levels for all customers.

Aquila currently is a conditional member of the Midwest Independent
Transmission System Operator RTO, with long-term participation contingent on

regulatory approval. KCPL is a member of the Southwest Power Pool RTO. KCPL will evaluate the strategy of RTO membership when the acquisition is completed, taking into consideration multiple factors including the advantages of operating both transmission systems within a single RTO structure and the results of the pending cost-benefit study evaluating the relative benefits of Aquila's RTO options. Please refer to the testimony of Richard A. Spring for further detail regarding transmission and RTO issues.

Distribution Operations

1

2

3

4

5

6

- 8 Q: Please discuss combining the distribution operations of KCPL and Aquila.
- 9 A: By acquiring Aquila's Aquila Networks-MPS and Aquila Networks-L&P electric 10 utilities, the number of retail customers served by Great Plains Energy's electric utility 11 subsidiaries will increase to approximately 800,000 in western Missouri and eastern 12 Kansas. It will create a footprint that spans the majority of the Kansas City metropolitan 13 area and links KCPL's metropolitan areas with its East District. This will enable 14 efficiencies in terms of improved service, design and maintenance of infrastructure. The 15 combined service territory will be comprised of 21,770 distribution primary circuit miles 16 over approximately 18,000 square miles.
- Q. What areas of distribution operations do you anticipate resulting in synergy savingsand efficiencies?
- As discussed more fully in the direct testimony of William P. Herdegen, III, synergy savings and efficiencies are anticipated in the following departments: (i) Safety and Training; (ii) Engineering; (iii) Resource Management; (iv) Vegetation Management; (v) Emergency Preparedness; and (vi) Field Operations.

ı	Customer Service		
2	Q:	Please briefly describe KCPL's customer service operations.	
3	A:	KCPL's customer service operations consist of the following departments: (i) Call	
4		Center; (ii) Billing Services; (iii) Credit and Collection; and (iv) Customer Relations.	
5	Q.	Will customer service operations be impacted by the Merger?	
6	A.	As discussed more fully in the direct testimony of William P. Herdegen, III, Great Plains	
7		Energy believes the Merger will improve customer service operations for both KCPL and	
8		Aquila. Synergies are being evaluated and integration will focus on the best practices of	
9		both companies.	
10		III. OTHER POST-MERGER CONSIDERATIONS	
11	Q:	What other Merger-related issues would you like to bring to the Commission's	
12		attention while it considers the overall impact of the Merger on the operations and	
13		retail customers of KCPL and Aquila?	
14	A:	I would also like to provide a brief overview of the impact of the Merger on energy	
15		efficiency and conservation issues, the potential for transition services temporarily being	
16		provided either by Great Plains Energy and/or its subsidiaries to Black Hills Corporation	
17		("Black Hills") or vice versa, and KCPL's and Aquila's community involvement	
18		activities.	
19	Ene	rgy Efficiency and Conservation Issues	
20	Q:	How will the Merger affect KCPL's and Aquila's current energy efficiency and	
21		conservation initiatives?	
22	A:	As discussed more fully in the direct testimony of Kevin Bryant, Great Plains Energy	
23		plans to evaluate Aquila's energy efficiency conservation and other related programs	

KCPL will continue its current programs, and Great Plains Energy will evaluate extending those programs to Aquila's customers. Great Plains Energy will also explore expanding any successful Aquila programs to KCPL's customers.

Transition Services

1

2

3

4

- Q: How do Great Plains Energy and Aquila propose to address the need to provide or
 receive transition services following the Merger?
- 7 A: It is contemplated that following the Merger and Black Hills' acquisition of Aquila's 8 non-Missouri assets, Great Plains Energy, or one if its subsidiaries, might need to provide 9 services to Black Hills, or vice versa, on a temporary basis. Such services might include, 10 among other things, customer support, information technology, and accounting services. 11 Consequently, the parties entered into a Transition Service Agreement ("TSA"). Under 12 the TSA, the parties have composed a transition service committee to examine these 13 transition service issues, and the parties have agreed to finalize a transition service plan 14 setting forth the steps to be taken by each party in order to resolve the transition service 15 issues by July 30, 2007. The parties will provide the Commission with the transition plan 16 after the parties finalize the services to be provided under the TSA.

Communities

- 18 Q: How, if at all, will the Merger impact the work Great Plains Energy, KCPL and
 19 Aquila presently do in support of communities in which the companies currently
 20 serve and operate?
- 21 A: Currently Great Plains Energy and KCPL support initiatives targeted toward: (i)
 22 improving the lives of vulnerable youth; (ii) environmental programs that build on our
 23 current business practices, including energy efficiency/weatherization, tree care and

plantings and conservation; and (iii) agencies and initiatives focused on retaining and stimulating economic and community development, as well as utility-related workforce development. This community strategy is supported by financial contributions, as well as an aggressive volunteerism program allowing employees to participate with partner agencies through a combination of personal and company time. Great Plains Energy and KCPL plan to review Aquila's current community support activities and will assess the effectiveness of those activities. Great Plains Energy and KCPL will continue those programs that align with its focus areas and philosophy of community improvement and offer the best value and effectiveness for the communities served.

- 10 Q: Does that conclude your testimony?
- 11 A: Yes, it does.

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of the Joint Application of Great Plains Energy Incorporated, Kansas City Power & Light Company, and Aquila, Inc. for Approval of the Merger of Aquila, Inc. with a Subsidiary of Great Plains Energy Incorporated and for Other Requester Relief) Case No. EM-2007					
AFFIDAVIT OF JOHN R. MARSHALL						
STATE OF MISSOURI) ss						
COUNTY OF JACKSON)						
John R. Marshall, being first duly sworn on his oath, states:						
1. My name is John R. Marshall. I work in	Kansas City, Missouri, and I am					
employed by Kansas City Power & Light Company as	Senior Vice President, Delivery Division					
2. Attached hereto and made a part hereof	for all purposes is my Direct Testimony					
on behalf of Great Plains Energy Incorporated and Kan	sas City Power & Light Company					
consisting of ten (10) pages, having be	een prepared in written form for					
introduction into evidence in the above-captioned docket.						
3. I have knowledge of the matters set fort	h therein. I hereby swear and affirm that					
my answers contained in the attached testimony to the questions therein propounded, including						
any attachments thereto, are true and accurate to the best of my knowledge, information and						
belief. John R. M	Mallell Marshall					
Subscribed and sworn before me this day of April 2 Notary Pu	col A. Wen					
My commission expires: Feb. 4,2011	"NOTARY SEAL" Nicole A. Wehry, Notary Public Jackson County, State of Missouri My Commission Expires 2/4-20-1 Commission Number 07391200					