Jo	hn R. Ashcroft	Administrative Rules Stamp
Secr	retary of State ninistrative Rules Division	RECEIVED
RUI	LE TRANSMITTAL	MAY 1 0 2017
	e e	SECRETARY OF STATE ADMINISTRATIVE RULES
		CODIS
Rule N	Jumber 4 CSR 240-4.020 Rescission	Uppy
Use a '	"SEPARATE" rule transmittal sheet for	r EACH individual rulemaking.
Conter	of person to call with questions about t nt_Michael BushmannPhone_57 addressMichael.bushmann@psc.mo.	73-751-4393 FAX <u>573-526-6010</u>
	ntry Chris KoenigsfeldPhone <u>57</u> address <u>Christine.koenigsfeld@psc.m</u>	
Interag	ency mailing address <u>Public Service</u> C	commission, 9 th Fl., Gov. Ofc. Bldg., JC, MO
Case Determined to	OF RULEMAKING ACTION TO BE ergency rulemaking, include effective	
Pro Pro	posed Rulemaking	·
	quest for Non-Substantive Change	In Addition Rule Under Consideration
	tement of Actual Cost ler of Rulemaking	# A
	ve Date for the Order	ы — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —
	tutory 30 days OR Specific date	
	ne Order of Rulemaking contain change S—LIST THE SECTIONS WITH CH	es to the rule text? [X] NO ANGES, including any deleted rule text:
ið.		
	20	* * *
	Small Business Regulatory Fairness Board (DED) Stamp	JCAR Stamp
		JOINT COMMITTEE ON

Small Busi	ness R	egulator	y	
Fairness Boa	ard (DH	ED) Star	mp	
			-	
	-			
			, >	
		3		

JCAR Stamp JOINT COMMITTEE ON APR 0 6 2017 ADMINISTRATIVE RULES



GOVERNOR OF MISSOURI JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 720 (573) 751-3222

March 29, 2017

Daniel Hall Public Service Commission 200 Madison Street P.O. Box 360 Jefferson City, Missouri 65102

ERIC R. GREITENS

GOVERNOR

Dear Daniel:

This office has received your rulemaking for ex parte / extra-record communications: 4 CSR 240-4.015; 4 CSR 240-4.017; 4 CSR 240-4.020; 4 CSR 240-4.030; 4 CSR 240-4.040; and 4 CSR 240-4.050.

Executive Order 17-03 requires this office's approval before state agencies release proposed regulations for notice and comment, amend existing regulations, or adopt new regulations. After our review of this rulemaking, we approve the rules' submission to JCAR and the Secretary of State.

Sipcerely Justin D. Smith

Deputy Counsel



Commissioners

DANIEL Y. HALL Chairman

STEPHEN M. STOLL

WILLIAM P. KENNEY

SCOTT T. RUPP

MAIDA J. COLEMAN

Missouri Public Service Commission

POST OFFICE BOX 360 JEFFERSON CITY, MISSOURI 65102 573-751-3234 573-751-1847 (Fax Number) http://www.psc.mo.gov SHELLEY BRUEGGEMANN General Counsel

MORRIS WOODRUFF Secretary

WESS A. HENDERSON Director of Administration

NATELLE DIETRICH Staff Director

John R. Ashcroft Secretary of State Administrative Rules Division 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, Missouri 65101

Re: 4 CSR 240-4.020 Ex Parte and Extra-Record Communications

Dear Secretary Ashcroft,

CERTIFICATION OF ADMINISTRATIVE RULE

I do hereby certify that the attached is an accurate and complete copy of the order of rulemaking lawfully submitted by the Missouri Public Service Commission.

Statutory Authority: sections 386.410, RSMo 2000

If there are any questions regarding the content of this order of rulemaking, please contact:

Michael Bushmann, Senior Regulatory Law Judge Missouri Public Service Commission 200 Madison Street P.O. Box 360 Jefferson City, MO 65102 (573) 751-4393 Michael.bushmann@psc.mo.gov

"Morris I. Woothf

Morris L. Woodruff Chief Regulatory Law Judge

Enclosures

RECEIVED

MAY 1 0 2017

Title 4 – DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMEN ECRETARY OF STATE Division 240 – Public Service Commission Chapter 4 – Standards of Conduct

ORDER OF RULEMAKING



By the authority vested in the Public Service Commission under section 386.410, RSMo 2000, the commission rescinds a rule as follows:

4 CSR 240-4.020 Ex Parte and Extra-Record Communications is rescinded.

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the proposed rescission was published in the *Missouri Register* on January 3, 2017 (42 MoReg 18-19). No changes have been made in the proposed rescission, so it is not reprinted here. The proposed rescission becomes effective thirty (30) days after publication in the *Code of State Regulations*.

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS: The public comment period ended February 2, 2017, and on February 16, 2017, the commission held a public hearing on the proposed rescission. Timely written comments were received from the Office of the Public Counsel (OPC), the Missouri Energy Development Association (MEDA), the Missouri Cable Telecommunications Association, Union Electric Company d/b/a Ameren Missouri (Ameren Missouri), Missouri Industrial Energy Consumers (MIEC), Midwest Energy Consumers Group (MECG), and Consumers Council of Missouri. In addition to those entities, the commission's staff, Missouri American Water Company, Laclede Gas Company, Kansas City Power & Light Company, Empower Missouri, and AARP all offered comments at the hearing. The comments of regulated utilities and utility associations generally supported rescinding the existing rule and replacing it with six new rules. The Office of the Public Counsel and other organizations representing consumers all strongly opposed rescinding the existing rule.

COMMENT #1: MEDA, the Missouri Cable Telecommunications Association, Missouri American Water Company, Laclede Gas Company, Kansas City Power & Light Company, and Ameren Missouri submitted written or oral comments that are generally supportive of the Commission's proposal to rescind the existing rule 4.020 and replace it with six new rules. These organizations state that the proposal (1) better encourages the free exchange of information; (2) more fairly imposes requirements on all litigants, not just the utilities; and (3) replaces rule language that is unduly complex and difficult to apply in practice. Staff testified at the hearing that it mostly supports rescinding the existing rule and replacing it with the proposed new rules which are, compared to the existing rule, more in accordance with Section 386.210, RSMo.

JOINT COMMITTEE ON

APR 0 6 2017

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES

1

RESPONSE: The Commission agrees that rescinding the existing rule 4.020 and replacing it with six new rules furthers the Commission's goals to comply with Section 386.210.4, RSMo; simplify compliance with the rule; and promote consistency and fairness.

COMMENT #2: Consumers Council of Missouri, MIEC, MECG, Empower Missouri, AARP, and OPC all strongly oppose rescinding the existing rule 4.020, stating that changing the circumstances under which disclosure and notice of non-public meetings between a Commissioner and a utility representative are required will create an appearance of impropriety. OPC provides additional criticism of the rescission and replacement rules, stating that the Commission already has sufficient mechanisms in place to obtain information from stakeholders and interested persons, and removing disclosure and notice requirements will impair the detection and enforcement of any violations.

RESPONSE: The Commission disagrees with the comment that rescinding the existing rule and replacing it with six new rules will, by itself, lead to either actual impropriety or an appearance of impropriety. Rescission and replacement will better facilitate free communication between any person and Commissioners regarding general regulatory policy not related to a pending case; will more fairly and equally impose restrictions on communications between Commissioners and participants in the regulatory process; and will properly exclude participants in proceedings, and investigations, from those notice and disclosure requirements. The Commission has improved and strengthened the prior notice and disclosure requirements for persons filing cases before the Commission