Appendix N

Stormwater Calculations

Ameren Missouri Labadie Energy Center Proposed Utility Waste Landfill December 2012

Appendix N

STORMWATER DRAINAGE STRUCTURE SUMMARY

10 CSR 80-11.010 (8)(B)1.F.II of the Missouri Solid Waste Management Regulations requires that "On-site drainage structures and channels shall be designed to prevent flow onto the active portion of the utility waste landfill during peak discharge from at least a twenty-five (25)-year storm...." 10 CSR 80-11.010 (8)(B)1.F.III of the Missouri Solid Waste Management Regulations requires that "On-site drainage structures and channels shall be designed to collect and control at least the water volume resulting from a twenty-four (24)-hour, twenty-five (25)-year storm." In this document, the capacities of the stormwater drainage structures are calculated and compared to expected storm flows using the Rational Method equation. Channel design calculations utilized a 1-hour, 25-year storm intensity as the basis for estimating runoff and peak discharge. The 1-hour intensity storm results in a larger peak flow than the 24-hour intensity storm. Pond storage capacity calculations utilized the 24-hour, 25-year storm intensity for the peak design volume.

Drainage Areas and Flows

To determine the spacing of letdown structure, limits on the grade within the side benches were set. The flow line of the benches were set at a grade of 200H:1V (0.5%), and were limited to a depth of 1.5 feet difference in elevation along the length of the bench. Using those limits, the letdown structures were spaced approximately 600 feet apart, with benches rising away in both directions from each letdown structure. Figure N-1 shows the locations of letdown structures. The first letdown ditch is expected to be built in line with the eastern side of Pond 1 in Cell 1. From this location, letdown structures are numbered proceeding clockwise around the footprint of the landfill. Ponds are located on Figure 1 and are numbered in the order they are expected to be constructed.

Table N-1 is a summary of the letdown structures and is set up to indicate which pond will serve each letdown structure. The letdown structure flows are directed to the nearest pond. Table N-1 lists:

- a location at a letdown structure or pond,
- the distance along the perimeter of the side-slope crest,
- the side slope area below the top of slope which is conservatively assumed to collect in the perimeter ditch at the letdown ditch,
- the side slope area below the top of slope is also assumed to flow into the perimeter ditch through the letdown structure,
- the sum of the total drainage area flowing in the letdown structure,
- the sum of all areas served by the perimeter ditch at and above the letdown structure,
- the flow contributed to the perimeter ditch at each letdown structure, and;
- the cumulative flow in the perimeter ditch at the location of each letdown structure.

The table is set up to allow convenient coordination with the tables estimating the water profiles in the perimeter ditch.

Capacity

The Rational Method was used to estimate the landfill's runoff. The rational method equation is:

Q = CIA

Where: Q is the flow rate (cfs) C is the runoff coefficient (unitless) I is the rainfall intensity (in/hr) A is the drainage area (acres)

A runoff coefficient of C=0.4 is used and is considered representative for low to moderate permeability soils with emergent ground cover on steep slopes.

Areas served by side benches and letdowns are expected to be less than 11 acres each. For a 25-yr, 1-hr storm, the expected rainfall is 2.63 in/hr and the anticipated runoff from 11 acres is:

The 25-yr, 1-hr storm intensity (2.63 in/hr) is used as more conservative than the 25-yr, 24-hr storm intensity of 5.6 in. The 24-hr storm intensity would require the flow to be adjusted by dividing by 24 hours; 5.6 in/24 hr. = 0.233 in/hr. The storm intensity table is found in Rainfall Frequency Atlas of the Midwest by Floyd A. Huff and James R. Angel, Table 7 (<u>http://www.sws.uiuc.edu/pubdoc/B/ ISWSB-71.pdf</u>). Capacity for flow was evaluated for top of slope diversion berms, intermediate bench diversion berms (side benches) and letdown structures. The largest flow of 11.67 cfs is also used in Appendix M to test the stability of these structures for erosion during peak flow.

Manning's equation was used to calculate the flow capacity of the three types of drainage features: top of slope diversion berms; intermediate bench diversion berm; and letdown structures. Manning's equation is:

$$Q = (1.49/n)(A)(rH)^{2/3}(s)^{1/2}$$

Where: Q is the flow rate (cfs)

 n is Manning's coefficient of roughness (unitless)
 A is the drainage area (ft²)
 r_H is the hydraulic radius (ft), which equals A/P_w, where P_w is the wetted perimeter, and
 s is the slope (ft/ft).

Manning's equation is also used to define the water profile in the perimeter ditch.

Top of Slope Diversion Berms

The purpose of the top of slope diversion berms is to inhibit rill erosion on the upper part of the landfill cap and at the top of the 3:1 slope. Diversion berms are placed on the cap to direct run-off to the letdown structures. The diversion berms are simple mounds of soil constructed as a V-notch channel. The berms are modeled with Manning's equation using a triangular cross-section with side slopes of 3:1 and 50:1 (2%). The following calculation shows the capacity of a berm carrying 0.5 ft. of water with a flow line of one-half percent (0.5%), using a typical n value of .020 for the coefficient of roughness, and an area of 6.63 sq. ft.

Q =
$$(1.49/0.020)(6.63)(0.25)^{2/3}(0.005)^{1/2}$$
 = 13.9 cfs > 11.67 cfs

This capacity exceeds the flow anticipated at each individual letdown structure shown on Table N-1.

Intermediate Bench Diversion Berm (Side Benches)

The intermediate benches are 1.5 ft deep and have a flowline of one-half percent (0.5%). They have a triangular cross-section with side slopes of 10H:1V and 3H:1V. When full, they have a cross-sectional area of 14.625 sq. ft., a wetted perimeter of 19.8 ft and a hydraulic radius of 0.74 ft. The coefficient of roughness is 0.025.

Q =
$$(1.49/0.025)(14.625)(0.74)^{2/3}(0.005)^{1/2}$$
 = 50.4 cfs > 11.67 cfs

This capacity exceeds the flow anticipated at each individual letdown structure shown on Table N-1.

Letdown Structure

The letdowns are 1.5 ft deep and have a maximum flowline slope of 33% (3:1). They have a trapezoidal cross-section with and 8 ft bottom and 3:1 side slopes. When full, they have a cross-sectional area of 18.8 sq. ft., a wetted perimeter of 17.5 ft and a hydraulic radius of 1.1 ft. The typical coefficient of roughness equal to 0.035 was used.

$$Q = (1.49/0.035)(18.8)(1.1)^{2/3}(0.33)^{1/2} = 492 \text{ cfs} > 11.67 \text{ cfs}$$

Table N-1 and Figure N-1 (see attached) show the estimated areas served and the estimated flows from each berm and letdown structure.

Perimeter Ditch

Because the perimeter ditch is long and flat and it is expected to flow at a "subcritical" level. Therefore, Manning's equation used alone does not model its capacity well. A combination of Manning's equation and Bernoulli's equation were used to describe the flow in the perimeter ditch. Bernoulli's equation is

$$H = P/\delta + v^2/2g + Z$$

Where: H is the energy measured as depth of water (ft.)

P is the pressure on the water, taken as zero for open systems

 δ is gamma, the unit weight of water (lb/ft³)

- v is the velocity of water (fps)
- g is the gravity constant (32 fps²)
- Z is the elevation of the fluid element (ft.)

Bernoulli's equations were used to estimate the energy at each letdown structure leading to a specific stormwater pond. Manning's equation was used to estimate the slope of the energy line between the letdown structures. The perimeter ditch was broken into sections between letdowns (see Figure N-1). The depth of flow at the structure was adjusted to estimate the slope of the energy line necessary to match the distances between letdown structures or a letdown structure

and the center of the entrance into a stormwater pond. The combination of these equations is used to evaluate the length of the perimeter ditch and the assumption of non-uniform flow.

The flow for the landfill, calculated using the rational method as described above, was proportionally divided between each section and is shown as a cumulative value approaching each pond.

An energy balance was applied to each section to determine the head loss and rise in depth. Bernoulli's and Manning's equations were used to calculate the depth of flow and elevation of the water level in the perimeter ditch. The attached tables summarize these calculations and show the estimated water elevations in each section (see Tables N-2 to N-7). Since these ponds are built at different times during the life of the landfill, consideration was given to the stormwater volumes to those ponds as each cell is constructed. The ponds generally serve the following cells:

•	Pond 1	Cells 1 and 2	Tables N-2 and N-3
•	Pond 2	Cells 3 and 4	Tables N-4 and N-5
•	Pond 3	Cells 3 and 4	Tables N-6 and N-7

Ponds are placed around the Ameren Labadie Energy Center utility waste landfill where space allowed and to minimize the length of flow in the perimeter ditch. The ditch is modeled with a flat bottom width of 9 feet. At a 3:1 slope, two feet (2 ft.) of cover requires 6 feet of the perimeter ditch space. The difference is the placement of soil cover on the initial phases allows for subsequent development of cells without having the amount of infiltration on the caps. The maximum water elevation in the perimeter ditch for all modeled conditions is 485 ft., which is less than the perimeter berm top elevation of 488 ft.

Stormwater Inlet Crests

Runoff flow enters the ponds over stormwater inlet crests constructed in the top of the perimeter berm. These inlets were modeled as broad-crested weirs. Vennard suggests estimating the flow over a broad-crested weir by calculating the flow over the unit length of the weir using the following equation:

$$q = (2/3)^{3/2} X g^{1/2} X E^{3/2}$$

Where: q is the flow per unit width of a broad-crested weir (cfs/ft.)

g is the gravity constant (32 fps2)

E is the height of the energy line calculated for the entrance to the pond (ft.)

Since the constraints are dependent with not only the flow rate going into the stormwater ponds, but also the weir length of the pond, both elements must be considered. The stormwater collection ponds have the following minimum weir lengths at elevation 483 feet:

Pond 1:	217 ft.
Pond 2:	65 ft.
Pond 3:	300 ft.

The lowest estimated energy grade line coming into any single pond is 0.511 ft. at the influent to Pond 1. Pond 1 has a weir length of 217 ft (see Table N-3). Pond 1 also has the largest design

flow at a combined, estimated 69.77 cfs (see Pond 1, Table N-1). Using the equation above, the capacity of the influent structure to Pond 1 is calculated as:

Q =
$$(2/3)^{3/2}(32)^{1/2}(0.646)^{3/2}$$
 = 1.59 cfs/ft.
1.59 cfs/ft * 217 ft = 345 cfs > 69.77 cfs

Therefore, the influent structure to Pond 1 has sufficient capacity for the anticipated design flow.

Pond 2 has the shortest weir length, with a weir length of 65 ft. Pond 2 has an estimated energy grade line of 1.064 ft. (see Pond 2, Table N-5). The combined, estimated design flow into Pond 2 is estimated at 50.37 cfs.

Q = $(2/3)^{3/2}(32)^{1/2}(0.836)^{3/2}$ = 2.35 cfs/ft. 2.35 cfs/ft * 65 ft = 152.75 cfs > 50.37 cfs

Therefore, the influent structure to Pond 2 has sufficient capacity for the anticipated design flow.

It is concluded that the influent structures for the stormwater collection ponds have adequate flow capacity based on their respective weir length and the estimated height of energy grade line entering the ponds.

Stormwater Ponds

Three stormwater ponds will be placed around the landfill for stormwater runoff storage and management. They are identified as Pond 1, collecting runoff from Cells 1 and 2; Pond 2, collecting runoff from Cells 3 and 4; and Pond 3, collecting runoff from Cells 3 and 4. Tables N-8 through N-10 provide stage-storage data for Ponds 1 through 3, respectively. Run-off volumes were calculated using Rational Method theory (i.e., run-off Volume=CIA, where I = rainfall in total inches). A runoff coefficient of C= 0.4 is considered representative of low to moderate permeability soils with emergent ground cover on steep slopes.

A runoff coefficient of C= 1 is used for the stormwater ponds to reflect that any direct rainfall to the pond surface will accumulate completely to the pond's stored volume.

The following table compiles the estimated, maximum runoff volumes to each pond during the 25year, 24-hour design storm event of 5.6 inches. These pond volumes were checked to see if this volume is available at each respective pond to contain the design storm:

Pond 1	5.7 acres	5.6 in = 0.47 ft.	c=1	2.7 acre-feet
Cells 1 and 2	66.6 acres	5.6 in.= 0.47 ft.	c=0.4	12.4 acre-feet
			Total=	15.1 acre-feet
Pond 2	4.4 acres	5.6 in.= 0.47 ft.	c=1	2.1 acre-feet
Cells 3 and 4	47.8 acres	5.6 in.= 0.47 ft.	c=0.4	9.0 acre-feet
			Total=	11.1 acre-feet
Pond 3	3.4 acres	5.6 in.= 0.47 ft.	c=1	1.6 acre-feet
Cells 3 and 4	52.1 acres	5.6 in.= 0.47 ft.	c=0.4	9.8 acre-feet
			Total=	11.4 acre-feet

The ponds have been designed with an inlet spillway elevation of 483 feet, and are to be maintained at a minimum 3 foot depth (water surface elevation of approximately 471 feet) to inhibit aquatic vegetation. Based on the stage-storage data found in Tables N-8, N-9 and N-10, the following initial maximum water surface elevations have been determined for each pond that represents the 25-year, 24-hour storm runoff volume. All maximum water elevations are well below a water surface elevation of 483 feet, which is the elevation of the bottom of the perimeter ditch. Therefore, if properly managed, the ponds have excess capacity for the anticipated 25-year, 24-hour storm runoff volume.

Respective Pond	Min Elevation (ft.)	Max Elevation (ft.)	Corresponding Table
Pond 1	471	478	N-8
Pond 2	471	480	N-9
Pond 3	471	477	N-10

Temporary Perimeter Ditch Crossings

As phased construction proceeds, the UWL operator may elect to retain interior berms and their top-of-berm roads during subsequent UWL phases. If interior berms are retained, it will be necessary to provide culverts through the intermediate berms at their intersection with the perimeter ditches. Preliminary culvert sizes have been estimates based on the arrangement of letdown ditches and ponds described by the previous discussion. For the purposes of these preliminary size estimates, we have assumed inlet control and one foot of headwater at the culvert inlets. These culvert sizes were estimated using standard hydraulic charts and equations, and the 25-year, 1-hour design storm event (2.63 in/hr).

Culvert System	Letdown(s)	Accumulative Max. Flow (cfs)	Recommended Culvert Diameter (in.)
East Culvert-Cells 1 and			
2	4,5,6,7	22.01	30
West Culvert-Cells 1			
and 2	7,8,9,10	26.22	36
East Culvert-Cells 3 and			
4	17	6.69	15
West Culvert-Cells 3			
and 4	25,26	14.62	24

TABLES

			rea and Flov										
Location	Area Area Area in letdown, accumula												
	(ft)	(ac)			<u>`</u>	(ac)	in letdown, (cfs)	accumulative, (cfs)					
				/NS 1-11 (Ce									
		Deteting		low to Pond									
Letdown 2	500	2.17	1.89	176796	ide of Outlet Po 4.06	4.06	4.94	00.00					
Letdown 3	940	2.75	5.42	356250	8.18	4.06	4.31	35.00 30.69					
Letdown 4	1450	2.11	3.14	228750	5.25	17.49	<u> </u>	22.02					
Letdown 5	1850	2.73	1.40	180000	4,13	21.62	4.38	16.45					
Letdown 6	2500	2.51	1.91	192500	4,42	26.04	4.69	12.06					
Letdown 7	3000	2.53	4.42	302813	6.95	32.99	7.37	7.37					
				low to Pond									
		Rotating Cou	unter Clocky	vise from Ea	st Side of Outle	et Pond 1							
Leldown 1	220	4.19	2.48	290400	6.67	6.67	7.07	34.77					
Letdown 11	1020	4.19	4.15	363281	8.34	15.01	8.85	27.70					
Letdown 10	1550	2.48	4.82	317813	7.30	22.30	7.74	18.85					
Letdown 9	1950	3.80	2.97	295000	6.77	29.07	7.18	11,11					
Letdown 8	2920	2.59	1.11	161250	3.70	32.78	3.93	3.93					
				NS 12-29 (Ce low to Pond	,								
		Rota			s It side of Pond	3							
Letdown 14	0	3.24	2.85	265200	6.09	6.09	6.46	18.61					
Letdown 15	500	2.81	2,81	244400	5.61	11.70	5.95	10.01					
Leidown 16	950	2.81	3.04	254800	5.85	17.55	6.20	6.20					
	J		FI	ow to Pond									
		Rotating Cou	inter-Clockv	vise from We	est side of Outle	et Pond 2							
Letdown 18	860	4.82	2.18	305000	7.00	7.00	7.43	14,12					
Letdown 17	1360	2.81	3.50	274860	6.31	13.31	6.69	6.69					
				ow to Pond									
	·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			de of Outlet Po								
Letdown 19	600	4.53	1.42	259200	5.95	5.95	6.31	36.25					
Letdown 20	1100	2.87	4.99	342300	7.86	13.81	8.34	29,94					
Letdown 21	1600	2.87	1.03 1.26	170000	3.90	17.71	4.14	21.60					
Letdown 22 Letdown 23	2100 2600	3.46	0.09	180000 154800	4.13 3.55	21.84 25.40	4.38	17.46					
Letdown 24	3040	5.17	0.53	248000	5.69	25.40	<u>3.77</u> 6.04	13.08 9.31					
Letdown 25	3790	2.70	3,47	268600	6.17	33.82	6.54	3.27					
	0,001	2.001		Flow to Pon		00.02	0.04	0.27					
		Rotating Cou			st-Side of Outle	et Pond 3							
Letdown 13	320	1.32	0.22	67200	1.54	1.54	1.64	32.61					
Letdown 12	960	3.40	1.32	205900	4.73	6.27	5.01	30.97					
Letdown 29	1780	2.70	1.21	170000	3.90	10.17	4.14	25.96					
Letdown 28	2240	2.53	2.53	220000	5.05	15.22	5.36	21.82					
Letdown 27	2640	2.41	2.41	210000	4.82	20.04	5.11	16.46					
Letdown 26	3120	1.89	5.72	331600	7.61	27.66	8.08	11,35					
Letdown 25	3330	2.70	3.47	268600	6.17	33.82	6.54	3.27					

Inputs	25-yr, 1-hr storm	
Intensity	2.63	in
C Factor	0.4	unitless

						A		r Ditc Ele	Energy Cen h Water Pro vation for S ockwise fro Table N	file: 25-y tated Flo m Pond	vr, 1-hr e ow		ill					
			S _{s,left} =	3	S _{s,tight} =	3	Base Width (ft) ≂	9	Mannings N =	0.02	So (ft) =	0	Runoff Factor fo	r 2.63 in/ hr rai	nfall=	0.017		
Elevation	Depth	Channel Bottom Elevation	Slope of Water Surface	Adjusted Base	Adjusted Height	Area	Velocity	v²/2g	Specific Energy	Hydraulic Radius	Slope	S-So	Distance	Total Distance	True Distance	Location	Distance from Culvert	t Q
							Average Velocity		Change in Specfic Energy	Average Hydraulic Radius								
(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft/ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(sf)	(fps)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft/ft)	(ft/ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)		(ft)	(cfs)
483.59	0.59	483				6.35	1.898	0.0560	0.646	0.499			<u> </u>	0	0	Pond 1	0	12.06
	0.63		0.0011928				2.082		0.654	0.712	1.24E-03	1.24E-03	528	528				1
484.22	1.22	483		9.00	1.2200	15.45	2.266	0.0797	1.300	0.924					500	Letdown 2	500	35.00
	0.31		0.0007271				1.871		0.264	1.019	6.19E-04	6.19E-04	426	955				1
484.53	1.53	483		9.00	1.5300	20.79	1.476	0.0338	1.564	1.113					940	Letdown 3	940	30.69
	0.13		0.0002606				1.212		0.110	1.152	2.21E-04	2.21E-04	499	1453				
484.66	1.66	483		9.00	1.6600	23.21	0.949	0.0140	1.674	1.190					1450	Letdown 4	1450	22.02
404.70	0.04	100	0.0001138		1 7000		0.817		0.033	1.202	9.48E-05	9.48E-05	351	1805				
484.70	1.70	483	E 000E 0E	9.00	1.7000	23.97	0.686	0.0073	1.707	1.214					1850	Letdown 5	1850	16.45
484.74	0.04	483	5.238E-05	0.00	4 7400	0175	0.587	0.0007	0.036	1.225	4.76E-05	4.76E-05	764	2568				
484.74	<u>1.74</u> 0.01	483	2.724E-05	9.00	1.7400	24.74	0.487	0.0037	1.744	1.237	0.005.05				2500	Letdown 6	2500	12.06
484.75	1.75	483	2.724E-05	9.00	4.7500	24.04	0.392	0.0044	0.008	1,240	2.09E-05	2.09E-05	367	2936	~~~~		+	
404.70	1.10	400	1	<u> </u>	1.7500	24.94	0.296	0.0014	1.751	1.243	1	L	l	I	3000	Letdown 7	3000	7.37

1. Rainfall event used is 25-yr, 1-hr storm which produces 2.63 inches of rain.

2. Longitudinal slope of channel assumed to be as stated for So.

 Flows are split generally at half the distance between the entrances to the pond along the perimeter ditch.
 Flows coming to a letdown structure and from below the bench served by the letdown structure are combined as the flow at the letdown structure for modeling purposes.

488.00	
487.50	
487.00 Mate	ater
486.50	ofile in
486.00 dit	
485.50	
485.00	
484.50	
484.00	
483.50 🏟	
483.00	
0 1000 2000 3000 4000	

							Perime	ter Dit El	Energy Center ch Water Profile evation for Sta ter Clockwise fi Table N-3	e: 25-yr, ′ ted Flow rom Pond	1-hr eve					999 Y .		
			S _{s,left} =	3	S _{s,right} =	3	Base Width (ft) =	9	Mannings N =	0.02	So (ft) =	0	Runoff Factor fo	r 2.63 in/ hr rair	nfatl=	0.017		INTERNET
Elevation	Depth	Channel Bottom Elevation	Slope of Water Surface	Adjusted Base	Adjusted Height	Area	Velocity	v²/2g	Specific Energy	Hydraulic Radius	Slope	S-So	Distance	Total Distance	True Distance	Location	Distance from Culvert	, a
							Average Velocity		Change in Specfic Energy	Average Hydraulic Radius								
(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft/ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(sf)	(fps)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft/ft)	(ft/ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)		(ft)	(cfs)
483.59	0.59	483				6.35	1.898	0 0560	0.646	0.499				0	0	Pond 1	0	12.06
	0.37		0.0016659				2.473		0.458	0.628	2.06E-03	2.06E-03	222	222				
483.96	0.96	483		9.00	0.9600	11,40	3.049	0.1443	1.104	0.757					220	Letdown 1	220	34.77
	0.76		0.0009613				2,093		0.636	0.991	8.04E-04	8.04E-04	791	1013				
484.72	1.72	483		9.00	1.7200	24.36	1.137	0.0201	1.740	1,225			1		1020	Leldown 11	1020	27,70
10.4 190	0.07		0.0001422				0.935		0.058	1.246	1.18E-04	1.18E-04	492	1505			1	
484.79	1.79	483		9.00	1.7900	25.72	0.733	0.0083	1.798	1.266					1550	Leidown 10	1550	18.85
101.00	0.03		5.652E-05	5.3.0			0.578		0.019	1,273	4.40E-05	4.40E-05	442	1947			ļ	
484.82	1.82	483	1.0005 05	9.00	1.8150	26.22	0.424	0.0028	1.818	1.280					1950	Letdown 9	1950	11.11
404.00	0.02	400	1.269E-05	<u> </u>	1 0000		0.286	-	0.013	1.285	1.06E-05	1.06E-05	1182	3129			ļ	
484.83	1.83	483	<u> </u>	9.00	1.8300	26.52	0.148	0.0003	1.830	1.289	I	L	1		2920	Letdown 8	2920	3.93

1. Rainfall event used is 25-yr, 1-hr storm which produces 2.63 inches of rain. 2. Longitudinal slope of channel assumed to be as stated for So.

 Flows are split generally at half the distance between the entrances to the pond along the perimeter ditch.
 Flows coming to a letdown structure and from below the bench served by the letdown structure are combined as the flow at the letdown structure for modeling purposes. 5. Model is adapted from Illustrative problem on page 380 in "Elementary Fluid Mechanics" by John Vennard, Wiley and Sons, 1961.

488.0							
.487.5							
487.0	····						
486.5					-	Water	
486.0	s					profile in	
485.5	·					ditch	
485.0	s	A &		&			
.484.5							
.484.0							
483.5	۰						
483.0				$\{r_{i},\ldots,r_{i}\} \in \mathcal{A}$			
-482.5				$(i,j) \in \{1,\dots,n,n\}$			
482.0							
:	0	1000	2000	3000	4000		

								er Dit El	Energy Ce ch Water Pr evation for lockwise fr Table	rofile: 25 Stated F om Pone	i-yr, 1-h low							
$S_{s,loft} = 3$ $S_{s,right} = 3$ $S_{s,right}$																		
Elevation	Depth	Channel Bottom Elevation	Slope of Water Surface	Adjusted Base	Adjusted Height	Area	Velocity	v²/2g	Specific Energy	Hydraulic Radius	Slope	S-So	Distance	Total Distance	True Distance	Location	Distance from Culvert	Q
							Average Velocity		Change in Specfic Energy	Average Hydraulic Radius								
(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft/ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(sf)	(fps)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft/ft)	(ft/ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)		(ft)	(cfs)
483.80	0.80	483				9.12	3.975	0.2453	1.045	0.649]	<u> </u>		0	0	Pond 2	0	36.3
	1.03		0.001709				2.671		0.814	0.969	1.35E-03	1.35E-03	603	603	600			
484.83	1.83	483		9.00	1.8300	26.52	1.367	0.0290	1.859	1.289						Letdown 19	600	36,3
	0.10		0.000208				1.208		0.088	1.317	1.83E-04	1.83E-04	481	1083	1100			
484.93	1.93	483		9.00	1.9300	28.54	1.049	0.0171	1.947	1.346						Letdown 20	1100	29.9
	0.06		0.000111				0.887		0.051	1.363	9.44E-05	9,44E-05	541	1624	1600			
484.99	1.99	483		9.00	1.9900	29.79	0.725	0.0082	1.998	1.380						Letdown 21	1600	21.6
	0.03		5.5E-05				0.650		0.027	1.389	4.94E-05	4.94E-05	545	2170	2100			
485.02	2.02	483		9.00	2.0200	30.42	0.574	0.0051	2.025	1.397						Letdown 22	2100	17.5
105.00	0.01	12.2	3.76E-05				0.501		0.008	1.400	2.90E-05	2.90E-05	266	2436	2600			
485.03	2.03	483		9.00	2.0300	30.63	0.427	0.0028	2.033	1.403						Letdown 23	2600	13.1
105.05	0.02	400	1.68E-05	~ ~ ~ ~	2.2.175		0.364		0.014	1.407	1.52E-05	1.52E-05	891	3327	3040			
485.05	2.05	483		9.00	2.0450	30.95	0.301	0.0014	2.046	1.411						Letdown 24	3040	9.3
495.05	0.00	400	5.91E-06	0.00	0.0.175	04.05	0.150	n	0.001	1.412	2.59E-06	2.59E-06	423	3749	3790			
485.05	2.05	483		9.00	2.0475	31.00	0.000	0.0000	2.048	1.413	L	[Letdown 25	3790	3.3

1. Rainfall event used is 25-yr, 1-hr storm which produces 2.63 inches of rain.

2. Longitudinal slope of channel assumed to be as stated for So.

3. Flows are split generally at half the distance between the entrances to the pond along the perimeter ditch.

4. Flows coming to a letdown structure and from below the bench served by the letdown structure are combined as the flow at the letdown structure for modeling purposes.

488.0					· · · · ·	
487.5	÷	····				
487.0		······	··· ,····			Water
486.5	1941 - 4.5.			n, n, si ,n minin		profile in
486.0						ditch
485.5						
485.0		* *	¢	\$ \$.	
484.5	· · · · · · ·		······			
484.0	Å					
483.5						
483.0					····	
a A a a	0	1000	2000	3000	4000	

							erimete	er Dito Elo	Energy Cen ch Water Pro evation for S er Clockwis Table f	ofile: 25- Stated FI e from F	yr, 1-hr Iow							
			S _{s,left} =	3	S _{s,right} =	3	Base Width (ft) =	9	Mannings N =	0.02	So (ft) =	0	Runoff Factor fo	or 2.63 in/ hr ra	infall=	0.017		
Elevation	Depth	Channel Bottom Elevation	Slope of Water Surface	Adjusted Base	Adjusted Height	Area	Velocity	v²/2g	Specific Energy	Hydraulic Radius	Slope	S-So	Distance	Total Distance	True Distance	Location	Distance from Culvert	Q
							Average Velocity		Change in Specfic Energy	Average Hydraulic Radius								
(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft/ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(sf)	(fps)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft/ft)	(ft/ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)		(ft)	(cfs)
483.80	0.80	483	L		l .	9,12	1.530	0.0363	0.836	0.649				0	0	Pond 2	0	14
	0.36	400	0.000431				1.253		0.338	0.767	4.05E-04	4.05E-04	836	836	860			
484.16	1.16	483	0.000400	9.00	1.1600	14.48	0.975	0.0148	1.175	0.886						Letdown 18	860	14
404.00	0.06	400	0.000128	0.00	4 0000	4	0.704	0.000	0.048	0.905	1.03E-04	1.03E-04	468	1304	1360			ļ
484.22	1.22	483	<u> </u>	9.00	1.2200	15.45	0.433	0.0029	1.223	0.924	L	1.				Letdown 17	1360	7

1. Rainfall event used is 25-yr, 1-hr storm which produces 2.63 inches of rain.

2. Longitudinal slope of channel assumed to be as stated for So.

3. Flows are split generally at half the distance between the entrances to the pond along the perimeter ditch.

4. Flows coming to a letdown structure and from below the bench served by the letdown structure are combined as the flow at the letdown structure for modeling purposes.

488.0					
487.5	• · ··				
487.0	·				Water
486.5	····· ·				profile in
486.0					ditch
485.5	·····				diten
485.0					
			•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	a.	
484.0		· . ··· ··	* · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · ·	
483.5				,	
483.0					
	0	500	1000	1500	

								r Dito Ele	Energy Cer th Water Pro evation for S ockwise fro Table I	ofile: 25- Stated Fl om Pond	yr, 1-hr ow		fill					
			S _{s,left} ≔	3	S _{s,right} =	3	Base Width (ft) =	9	Mannings N =	0.02	So (ft) =	0	Runoff Factor for 2.63 in/ hr rainfall= 0.017					
Elevation	Depth	Channel Bottom Elevation	Slope of Water Surface	Adjusted Base	Adjusted Height	Area	Velocity	v²/2g	Specific Energy	Hydraulic Radius	Slope	S-So	Distance Iotal Irue Location from				Distance from Culvert	Q
							Average Velocity		Change in Specfic Energy	Average Hydraulic Radius							4-64-4	
(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft/ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(sf)	(fps)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft/ft)	(ft/ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)		(ft)	(cfs)
483.72	0.72	483 8.04 1.742 0.0471 0.767 0.593 0 0 Pond 3 0 14																
	0.00		0			ļ	2.029		0.036	0.593	1.50E-03	1.50E-03	24	24	0			
483.72	0.72	483	0.0000.00	9.00	0.7200	8.04	2,317	0.0833	0.803	0.593		L				Letdown 14	0	19
	0.41		0.000848				1.592		0.338	0.730	7.00E-04	7.00E-04	483	508	500			
484.13	1.13	483	1	9.00	1.1300	14.00	0.868	0.0117	1.142	0.867		I				Letdown 15	500	12

1. Rainfall event used is 25-yr, 1-hr storm which produces 2.63 inches of rain.

2. Longitudinal slope of channel assumed to be as stated for So.

3. Flows are split generally at half the distance between the entrances to the pond along the perimeter ditch.

4. Flows coming to a letdown structure and from below the bench served by the letdown structure are combined as the flow at the letdown structure for modeling purposes.

488.0	, e., e., e.,			····	
487.5					
487.0	·····				Water
486.5	···.				
486.0	a				profile in
485.5	······.				ditch
485.0					
484.5					
484.0					
483.5	•				
483,0	7			e.	
	Q	200	400	600	

							Perimete	er Dito Ele	Energy Cer ch Water Pro evation for S er Clockwis Table I	ofile: 25- Stated Fl e from F	∙yr, 1-hr Iow							
		$S_{s,left} = 3$ $S_{s,right} = 3$ Base Width (ft) =9Mannings N = 0.02So (ft) = 0Runoff Factor for 2.63 in/ hr rainfall=0.017																
Elevation	Depth	Channel Bottom Elevation	Slope of Water Surface	Adjusted Base	Adjusted Height	Area	Velocity	v²/2g	Specific Energy	Hydraulic Radius	Slope	S-So	Distance	Total Distance	True Distance	Location	Distance from Culvert	Q
							Average Velocity		Change in Specfic Energy	Average Hydraulic Radius								
(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft/ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(sf)	(fps)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft/ft)	(ft/ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)		(ft)	(cfs)
483.72	0.72	483				8.04	4.058	0.2557	0.976	0.593				0	0	Pond 3	0	32.61
	0.81	-	0.0024				2.813		0.592	0.853	1.77E-03	1.77E-03	334	334	320			1
484.53	1.53	483		9.00	1.5300	20.79	1.568	0.0382	1.568	1.113						Letdown 13	320	32.61
	0.20		0.0003				1.415		. 0.187	1.172	2.94E-04	2.94E-04	635	969	960			1
484.73	1.73	483		9.00	1.7300	24.55	1.262	0.0247	1.755	1.231						Letdown 12	960	30.97
	0.14		0.0002				1.106		0.129	1.271	1.61E-04	1.61E-04	803	1772	1780			
484.87	1.87	483		9.00	1.8700	27.32	0.950	0.0140	1.884	1.312						Letdown 29	1780	25,96
	0.05		0.0001				0.860		0.045	1.326	9.21E-05	9.21E-05	491	2262	2240			
484.92	1.92	483		9.00	1.9200	28.34	0.770	0.0092	1.929	1.340						Letdown 28	2240	21.82
	0.03		7E-05		L		0.670		0.021	1.347	5.47E-05	5.47E-05	381	2643	2640			
484.95	1.95	483		9.00	1.9450	28.85	t	0.0051	1.950	1.355						Letdown 27	2640	16.46
40.4.00	0.02	400	3E-05	A			0.480		0.013	1.359	2.77E-05	2.77E-05	480	3123	3120			
484.96	1.96	483	05.05	9.00	1.9610	29.19	0.389	0.0023	1.963	1.364						Letdown 26	3120	11.35
404.07	0.00	400	2E-05	0.00	1.0055	00.00	0.250		0.002	1.365	7.50E-06	7.50E-06	246	3369	3330			1
484.97	1.97	483	I	9.00	1.9650	29.27	0.112	0.0002	1.965	1.366	L	[[Letdown 25	3330	3.27

1. Rainfall event used is 25-yr, 1-hr storm which produces 2.63 inches of rain.

2. Longitudinal slope of channel assumed to be as stated for So.

3. Flows are split generally at half the distance between the entrances to the pond along the perimeter ditch.

4. Flows coming to a leldown structure and from below the bench served by the letdown structure are combined as the flow at the letdown structure for modeling purposes.



	Ameren Labadie Energy Center Utility Waste Landfill Stormwater Management Pond 1 Pond Volume Calculations Table N-8 Base Width of Pond in feet 373												
			373	_									
	-	ond in feet	573										
	Slope in f		1	_									
Run of :	Slope in fe	et	3	-									
WIDTH (FT)	LENGTH	WATER LEVEL (FT)	AVERAGE AREA (SQ FT)	VOLUME PER INCREMENT (VOL/FT)	TOTAL VOLUME OF POND (CU FT)	TOTAL VOLUME OF POND (ACRE FEET)	IN USE		Elevation				
<u></u>						(ACRE FEET)	ACRE FEET	(ACRE FEET)					
373	573								468				
379	579	1	108,293	108.293	108,293	2.5	**===		469				
385	585	2	111,167	111,167	219,459	5.0			409				
391	591	3	114,077	114,077	333,536	7.7			470				
397	597	4	117,023	117,023	450,558	10.3	0.0	34.3	472				
403	603	5	120,005	120,005	570,563	13.1	2.8	31.5	473				
409	609	6	123,023	123,023	693,585	15.9	5.6	28.7	474				
415	615	7	126,077	126,077	819,662	18.8	8.5	25.8	475				
421	621	8	129,167	129,167	948,828	21.8	11.4	22.8	476				
427	627	9	132,293	132,293	1,081,121	24.8	14.5	19.8	477				
433	633	10	135,455	135,455	1,216,575	27.9	17.6	16.7	478				
439	639	11	138,653	138,653	1,355,228	31.1	20.8	13.5	479				
445	645	12	141,887	141,887	1,497,114	34.4	24.0	10.2	480				
451	651	13	145,157	145,157	1,642,271	37.7	27.4	6.9	481				
457	657	14	148,463	148,463	1,790,733	41.1	30.8	3.5	482				
463	663	15	151,805	151,805	1,942,538	44.6	34.3	0.0	483				
469	669	16	155,183	155,183	2,097,720	48.2	37.8		484				

NOTES: 1 The table is valid for a triangular pond with a uniform interior side slope.

2 The table utilizes the 'end area method' of volume estimation utilizing the area of each one foot increment of pond depth, beginning at the bottom.

- 3 The volume due to the bottom slope below the 468 feet elevation was not considered in the capacity volume calculations. A minimum depth of three feet in the pond bottom is planned at all times.
- 4 The upper three feet of the pond are not counted in the capacity volume calculations due to the need to maintain a minimum freeboard to prevent wave damage above the maximum water level at all times.

Elevation:

- 468 Pond Bottom
- 471 Minimum working depth Three feet of water to prevent growth of objectionable vegetation.
- 483 Reserve for storm 25 year, 24 hour storm event.
- 484 Maximum high water Three feet below emergency spillway.
- 487 Flood protection elevation Height of emergency spillway.

¹ Rainfall intensities are from RAINFALL FREQUENCY ATLAS OF THE MIDWEST by Floyd A. Huff and James R. Angel, Midwestern Climate Center, 1992, http://www.sws.uiuc.edu/pubdoc/B/ISWSB-71.pdf

	Ameren Labadie Energy Center Utility Waste Landfill Stormwater Management Pond 2 Pond Volume Calculations Table N-9 Base Width of Pond in feet												
			144	_									
		ond in feet	714										
	Slope in f		1										
Run of	Slope in fe	et	3										
WIDTH (FT)	LENGTH	WATER LEVEL (FT)	AVERAGE AREA (SQ FT)	VOLUME PER INCREMENT (VOL/FT)	TOTAL VOLUME OF POND (CU FT)	TOTAL VOLUME OF POND (ACRE FEET)	IN USE	REMAINING CAPACITY (ACRE FEET)	Elevation				
144	714								468				
150	720	1	105,408	105,408	105,408	2.4		******	469				
156	726	2	110,628	110,628	216,036	5.0			470				
162	732	3	115,920	115,920	331,956	7.6	0.0	42.0	471				
168	738	4	121,284	121,284	453,240	10.4	2.8	39.2	472				
174	744	5	126,720	126,720	579,960	13.3	5.7	36.3	473				
180	750	6	132,228	132,228	712,188	16.3	8.7	33.3	474				
186	756	7	137,808	137,808	849,996	19.5	11.9	30.1	475				
192	762	8	143,460	143,460	993,456	22.8	15.2	26.8	476				
198	768	9	149,184	149,184	1,142,640	26.2	18.6	23,4	477				
204	774	10	154,980	154,980	1,297,620	29.8	22.2	19.8	478				
210	780	11	160,848	160,848	1,458,468	33.5	25.9	16.2	479				
216	786	12	166,788	166,788	1,625,256	37.3	29.7	12.3	480				
222	792	13	172,800	172,800	1,798,056	41.3	33.7	8.4	481				
228	798	14	178,884	178,884	1,976,940	45.4	37.8	4.2	482				
234	804	15	185,040	185,040	2,161,980	49.6	42.0	0.0	483				
240	810	16	191,268	191,268	2,353,248	54.0	46.4		484				

NOTES. '

1 The table is valid for a rectangular pond with a uniform interior side slope.

2 The table utilizes the 'end area method' of volume estimation utilizing the area of each one foot increment of pond depth, beginning at the bottom.

3 The volume due to the bottom slope below the 468 feet elevation was not considered in the capacity volume calculations. A minimum depth of three feet in the pond bottom is planned at all times.

4 The upper three feet of the pond are not counted in the capacity volume calculations due to the need to maintain a minimum freeboard to prevent wave damage above the maximum water level at all times.

Elevation:

468 Pond Bottom

471 Minimum working depth Three feet of water to prevent growth of objectionable vegetation.

- 483 Reserve for storm 25 year, 24 hour storm event.
- 484 Maximum high water Three feet below emergency spillway.
- 487 Flood protection elevation Height of emergency spillway.

¹ Rainfall intensities are from RAINFALL FREQUENCY ATLAS OF THE MIDWEST by Floyd A. Huff and James R. Angel, Midwestern Climate Center, 1992, http://www.sws.uiuc.edu/pubdoc/B/ISWSB-71.pdf

	Ameren Labadie Energy Center Utility Waste Landfill Stormwater Management Pond 3 Pond Volume Calculations Table N-10												
			233			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
	•	ond in feet	598										
	Slope in f		1										
Run of S	Slope in fe	et	3										
WIDTH (FT)		WATER LEVEL (FT)	AVERAGE AREA (SQ FT)	VOLUME PER INCREMENT (VOL/FT)	TOTAL VOLUME OF POND (CU FT)	OF POND	IN USE	REMAINING CAPACITY (ACRE FEET)	Elevation (FEET)				
233	598								468				
239	604	1	70,923	70,923	70,923	1.6			469				
245 251	610 616	2	73,452	73,452	144,374	3.3			470				
251	622	3	76,017	76,017	220,391	5.1			471				
263	628	4	78,618	78,618	299,008	6.9	0.0	24.0	472				
263	634	5	81,255	81,255	380,263	8.7	1.9	22.2	473				
209	640	6	83,928	83,928	464,190	10.7	3.8	20.2	474				
273	646	8	86,637	86,637	550,827	12.6	5.8	18.2	475				
287	652	9	89,382	89,382	640,208	14.7	7.8	16.2	476				
293	658	10	92,163	92,163	732,371	16.8	9.9	14.1	477				
293	664	11	94,980	94,980	827,350	19.0	12.1	11.9	478				
305	670	12	97,833	97,833	925,183	21.2	14.4	9.7	479				
303	676	12	100,722	100,722	1,025,904	23.6	16.7	7.3	480				
317	682	13		103,647	1,129,551	25.9	19.1	5.0	481				
323	688	14	106,608	106,608	1,236,158	28.4	21.5	2.5	482				
329	694	15		109,605	1,345,763	30.9	24.0	0.0	483				
02.0	034	1. 10	112,638	112,638	1,458,400	33.5	26.6		484				

NOTES. The table is valid for a triangular pond with a uniform interior side slope. 1

The table utilizes the 'end area method' of volume estimation utilizing the area of each one foot increment of pond depth, beginning at the 2 bottom.

3 The volume due to the bottom slope below the 468 feet elevation was not considered in the capacity volume calculations. A minimum depth of three feet in the pond bottom is planned at all times.

The upper three feet of the pond are not counted in the capacity volume calculations due to the need to maintain a minimum freeboard to 4 prevent wave damage above the maximum water level at all times.

Elevation:

468 Pond Bottom

471 Minimum working depth Three feet of water to prevent growth of objectionable vegetation. 25 year, 24 hour storm event.

483 Reserve for storm

484 Maximum high water Three feet below emergency spillway.

487 Flood protection elevation Height of emergency spillway,

¹ Rainfall intensities are from RAINFALL FREQUENCY ATLAS OF THE MIDWEST by Floyd A. Huff and James R. Angel, Midwestern Climate Center, 1992, http://www.sws.uiuc.edu/pubdoc/B/ISWSB-71.pdf

FIGURES

