FILED May 01, 2023 Data Center Missouri Public Service Commission

Exhibit No. 9

Ameren – Exhibit 9 Laura Moore Rebuttal Testimony File No. ER-2022-0337

Exhibit No.: Issue(s): Dues & Donations; EEI Witness: Laura M. Moore Type of Exhibit: Rebuttal Testimony Sponsoring Party: Union Electric Company File No.: ER-2022-0337 Date Testimony Prepared: February 15, 2023

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

FILE NO. ER-2022-0337

REBUTTAL TESTIMONY

OF

LAURA M. MOORE

ON

BEHALF OF

UNION ELECTRIC COMPANY

D/B/A AMEREN MISSOURI

St. Louis, Missouri February 2023

REBUTTAL TESTIMONY

OF

LAURA M. MOORE

FILE NO. ER-2022-0337

| 1 | | I. <u>INTRODUCTION</u> |
|-----------------------|--|---|
| 2 | Q. | Please state your name and business address. |
| 3 | А. | My name is Laura M. Moore. My business address is One Ameren Plaza, |
| 4 | 1901 Choute | au Ave., St. Louis, Missouri. |
| 5 | Q. | Are you the same Laura M. Moore that submitted direct testimony in |
| 6 | this case? | |
| 7 | А. | Yes, I am. |
| 8 | Q. | To what testimony or issues are you responding? |
| 9 | А. | My rebuttal testimony responds to Staff witness Antonija Nieto's direct |
| 10 | testimony regarding Edison Electric Institute ("EEI") dues. | |
| 11 | Q. | Please explain Staff's position on EEI dues as discussed in Staff witness |
| 12 Nieto's testimony. | | |
| 13 | А. | Staff has excluded EEI dues in the amount of approximately \$600,000 from |
| 14 | Ameren Missouri's revenue requirement because of EEI's involvement in lobbying activities. | |
| 15 | Q. | Do you agree that a portion of EEI's dues should be excluded in support of |
| 16 | 6 the lobbying activities? | |
| 17 | А. | No additional amount needs to be removed from the Company's revenue |
| 18 | requirement. | Ameren Missouri recorded the lobbying portion of the EEI dues "below-the-line" |
| 19 | and, as the Co | ommission is aware, any costs recorded "below-the-line" are already excluded from |

Rebuttal Testimony of Laura M. Moore

- 1 the revenue requirement calculated by Ameren Missouri in the Company's direct filing. To 2 exclude any additional amounts would be excluding that amount twice.
- 3 Q. Staff includes a list of lobbying work that EEI was involved in during 2022. 4 Is that an exhaustive list of EEI activities and benefits received by Ameren Missouri? 5 A. No. The Staff testimony only discusses the lobbying activities of EEI, but Staff
- 6 witness Nieto admits that there are several EEI activities that benefit ratepayers.
- 7 Q. What are the other considerations that justify including membership dues 8 in Ameren Missouri's revenue requirement?
- 9 A. Ms. Nieto includes a quote from a prior KCP&L Case regarding this topic, 10 which states that benefits to ratepayers must be considered. In KCP&L Case No. ER-82-66, the Commission stated the following: "...until the Company (KCP&L) can better quantify the 11 12 benefit and the activities that were the causal factor of the benefit, the Commission must disallow EEI dues as an expense."¹ 13
- 14

Did the Company provide any testimony quantifying the benefits of its Q. 15 membership in EEI?

16 Yes, I quantified specific benefits in my direct testimony, which Ms. Nieto A. 17 neither addressed nor disputed. In that testimony, I also discussed the many benefits of our 18 membership in EEI. Regarding quantified benefits, I specifically demonstrate that the 19 Company's participation in the EEI Mutual Assistance Program saved the Company between 20 \$5 and \$6 million in the test year alone. Although I do not attempt to quantify all of the benefits 21 received from EEI, this benefit from the Mutual Assistance Program participation alone justifies 22 the cost of Ameren Missouri's EEI dues.

¹ See In the Matter of Kansas City Power & Light Co., 28 MO P.S.C. (N.S.) 228, 259 (1986).

Rebuttal Testimony of Laura M. Moore

1 Q. Does this conclude your rebuttal testimony?

2 A. Yes, it does.

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of Union Electric Company d/b/a Ameren Missouri's Tariffs to Adjust) Its Revenues for Electric Service.

Case No. ER-2022-0337

AFFIDAVIT OF LAURA M. MOORE

)

)

STATE OF MISSOURI)) ss **CITY OF ST. LOUIS**)

Laura M. Moore, being first duly sworn states:

My name is Laura M. Moore, and on my oath declare that I am of sound mind and lawful age; that I have prepared the foregoing Rebuttal Testimony; and further, under the penalty of perjury, that the same is true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.

> /s/ Laura M. Moore Laura M. Moore

Sworn to me this 15th day of February, 2023.