THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

STATE OF MISSOURI

_____

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

Evidentiary Hearing

February 4, 2011

Jefferson City, Missouri

Volume 34

_____

In The Matter Of The Application Of Kansas City Power And Light Company For Approval To Make Certain Changes In Its Charges For Electric Service To Continue Implementation Of Its Regulatory Plan	)	File No. ER-2010-0355
In The Matter Of The Application Of KCP&L Greater Missouri Operations Company For Approval To Make Certain Changes In Its Changes For Electric Service	)	File No. ER-2010-0356

_____

RONALD D. PRIDGIN, Presiding
SENIOR REGULATORY LAW JUDGE.

ROBERT CLAYTON, Chairman
JEFF DAVIS
TERRY M. JARRETT
KEVIN GUNN
ROBERT S. KENNEY,
Commissioners.

_____

REPORTED BY:
LISA M. BANKS, CCR
TIGER COURT REPORTING, LLC

A P P E A R A N C E S

DOUG HEALY, Attorney at Law
Healy & Healy
939 North Boonville Avenue
Springfield, MO 65802
417.864.8800
FOR: MJMEUC

DAVID WOODSMALL, Attorney at Law
STUART CONRAD, Attorney at Law
Finnegan, Conrad & Peterson
428 E. Capitol, Suite 300
Jefferson City, MO 65101
573.635.2700
FOR: AGP/SIEUA/MEUA

CARL J. LUMLEY, Attorney at Law
Curtis, Heinz, Garrett & O'Keefe
130 S. Bemiston, Suite 200
Clayton, MO 63105
314.725.8788
FOR: Dogwood Energy, LLC

TODD J. JACOBS, Attorney at Law
DEAN COOPER, Attorney at Law
3420 Broadway
Kansas City, MO 64111
816.360.5976
FOR: Southern Union Company d/b/a Missouri Gas Energy

THOMAS R. SCHWARZ, JR., Attorney at Law
Blitz, Bardgett & Deutsch
308 E. High
Jefferson City, MO 65101
573.634.2500
For: Missouri Retailers Association

MARK W. COMLEY, Attorney at Law
Newman, Comley & Ruth, PC
601 Monroe Street, Suite 301
Jefferson City, MO 65102-0537
573.634.2266
FOR: City of Kansas City

MARK W. COMLEY, Attorney at Law
Newman, Comley & Ruth, PC
601 Monroe Street, Suite 301
Jefferson City, MO 65102-0537
573.634.2266
FOR: City of Lee's Summit

MICHAEL TRIPP, Attorney at Law
Smith Lewis, LLP
111 S. 9th Street
Columbia, MO 65201
573.443.3141
FOR: Ameren Missouri

JAMES SWEARENGEN, Attorney at Law
Brydon, Swearengen & England
312 E. Capitol Avenue
P.O. Box 456
Jefferson City, MO 65102-0456
573.635.0427
FOR: The Empire District Electric Company

ARTHUR PERRY BRUDER, Attorney at Law
1000 Independence Avenue, SW
Washington D.C. 20585
202.586.3409
FOR: U.S. Department of Energy

JAMES FISCHER, Attorney at Law
LARRY DORITY, Attorney at Law
Fischer & Dority, P.C.
101 Madison Street, Suite 400
Jefferson City, MO 65101
573.636.6758
FOR: Kansas City Power & Light Company

HEATHER A. HUMPHREY, Attorney at Law
ROGER STEINER, Attorney at Law
Kansas City Power & Light Company
P.O. Box 418679
Kansas City, MO 64141-9679
816.556.2314
FOR: Kansas City Power & Light Company

KARL ZOBRIST, Attorney at Law
SUSAN CUNNINGHAM, Attorney at Law
SNR Denton US LLP
4520 Main Street, Suite 1100
Kansas City, MO 64111
816.460.2400
FOR: Kansas City Power & Light Company

CHARLES HATFIELD, Attorney at Law
Stinson Morrison Hecker, LLP
230 W. McCarty Street
Jefferson City, MO 65101
573.636.6263
FOR: Kansas City Power & Light Company

GLENDA CAFER, Attorney at Law
Cafer Law Office, LLC
3321 Southwest Sixth Street
Topeka, KS 66606
785.271.9991
FOR: Kansas City Power & Light Company

MICHAEL AMASH, Attorney at Law
Blake and Uhlig PA
753 State Ave., 475
Kansas City, KS 66101
913.321.8884
FOR: IBEW Locals 412, 1613 and 1464

WILLIAM STEINMEIER, Attorney at Law
William D. Steinmeier PC
P.O. Box 104595
Jefferson City, MO 65110-4595
573.659.8672
FOR: The City of St. Joseph, Missouri

CAPT. SHAYLA MCNEILL, Attorney at Law
United States Air Force
119 Sugar Sand Lane
Santa Rosa Beach, FL 32459
312.371.2673
FOR: The Federal Executive Agencies

SARAH MANGELSDORF, Attorney at Law
P.O. Box 899
Jefferson City, MO 63130
573.751.0052
FOR: Missouri Department of Natural Resources

JOHN R. KINDSCHUH, Attorney at Law
Bryan Cave LLP
13220 Metcalf, Suite 320
Overland Park, KS 66213
913.338.7700
FOR: MIEC and FORD

JOHN B. COFFMAN, Attorney at Law
John B. Coffman, LLC
871 Tuxedo Boulevard
St. Louis, MO 63119
314.395.8002
FOR: AARP and Consumers Council of Missouri

ROBERT WAGNER
9005 N. Chatham Ave.
Kansas City, MO 64154
FOR: Pro Se Intervenors

STEVE DOTTHEIM, Chief Deputy Counsel
NATHAN WILLIAMS, Deputy Counsel
JAIME OTT, Legal Counsel
KEVIN THOMPSON, Chief Staff Counsel
JENNIFER HERNANDEZ, Legal Counsel
SARAH KLIETHERMES, Legal Counsel
ERIC DEARMONT, Legal Counsel
ANNETTE SLACK, Legal Counsel
MEGHAN MCCLOWERY, Legal Counsel
Public Service Commission
200 Madison Street
P.O. Box 309
Jefferson City, MO 65102
573.751.6514
FOR: The Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission

LEWIS MILLS
Office of Public Counsel
200 Madison Street
P.O. Box 2230
Jefferson City, MO 65102

FOR: Office of Public Counsel

JUDGE PRIDGIN: All right. Good morning. We are on the record. As I understood how we left it last night, Staff witness Rogers will be the first witness this morning, so he can hopefully get finished in time to make a 9:30 agenda. And I believe Mr. Rogers is on the stand.

We would then go to Mr. Hyneman, Dr. Warren, Mr. Bickford to finish DSM, low-income weatherization; is that correct?

I see a nod or two. Okay.

MS. HERNANDEZ: I believe so.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: Well, then, we'll have rate case expense -- I'm assuming we'll try, then -- please tell me in what order, I think -- I guess would be a better question. Rate case expense, Hawthorne 5, and LED lighting are still left to be tried; is that correct?

MR. STEINER: That's right.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: Are they being tried in that order?

MR. STEINER: That's right.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: Okay. And I don't -- and rate design will or will not be tried?

MR. STEINER: Will not.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: Okay. So that would be all the issues left. Okay.

MR. STEINER: We moved two of them to next

week --

JUDGE PRIDGIN: I'm sorry. The Coal Tax Credit -- the Coal tax credit, the KC earnings tax will be moved --

MR. STEINER: Right.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: -- to the GMO?

MR. STEINER: Right.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: Okay. All right.

Anything further from counsel before Mr. Rogers is sworn and stands cross?

All right.

If you'll raise your right hand to be sworn, please, sir.

(Witness sworn.)

JUDGE PRIDGIN: Thank you very much, sir. Please have a seat.

Ms. Hernandez, when you're ready.

JOHN A. ROGERS testifies as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. HERNANDEZ:

Q. Good morning. Can you state your name and spell it for the record, please.

A. John Arthur Rogers, R-o-g-e-r-s.

Q. And during the fuel switching testimony, you stated your occupation and duration of occupation, so we'll skip those questions.

But in terms of testimony, are you the same John Rogers that filed direct, rebuttal and surrebuttal in both the KCP&L and GMO cases?

A. Yes. I am.

Q. And do you have any corrections to make to those besides what you have corrected for the fuel switching issue that was heard on Monday?

A. No. I do not.

Q. And if I asked you those same questions, would your answers still be substantially the same today, or exactly the same?

A. Yes.

Q. And do your answers still remain true and accurate to the best of your knowledge, information and belief?

A. Yes.

MS. HERNANDEZ: Okay. So at this time, I would like to offer Mr. Rogers's rebuttal and surrebuttal in the KCP&L case, and the rebuttal and surrebuttal in the GMO case. And I'll find those exhibit numbers, Your Honor.

Let's see. It would be 239 rebuttal in the KCP&L, 240 KCP&L, which is the surrebuttal. And then the GMO will be 240 for rebuttal and 241 for surrebuttal, both HC and NP versions of all of those.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: I'm only showing a public

version of KCPL 240, but NP and HC versions of the other three exhibits; is that correct?

MS. HERNANDEZ: That's correct.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: All right. Thank you.

Those exhibits have been offered. Any objections?

Okay. Hearing none, KCPL 239 NP and HC, KCPL 240, GMO 240 NP and HC, GMO 241 NP and HC are all admitted.

(Wherein; Staff Exhibit Nos. KCP&L 239 NP, KCP&L 239 HC, KCP&L 240, GMO 240 HC, GMO 240 NP, GMO-241 NP and GMO-241 HC were received into evidence.)

MS. HERNANDEZ: And I'll tender this witness for cross.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: Thank you.

Any cross-examination.

Mr. Mills?

CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. MILLS:

Q. Mr. Rogers, have you heard testimony that what KCPL is looking for in this case is what they've called a bridge to the MEEIA rules?

A. Yes. I have.

Q. And just for the record, MEEIA is the Missouri Energy Efficiency Investment Act. Correct?

A. Correct.

Q. Do you have a role in the drafting of those

rules at the Commission?

A. Yes. I do.

Q. And what is that role?

A. Well, it's the primary role of the drafter.

Q. Okay. So you're the primary drafter?

A. I'm the primary drafter.

Q. So in that role, you have become familiar with the requirements of the Act?

A. Yes. I have.

Q. Okay.

MS. HERNANDEZ: You might want to move your mic.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: Thank you.

BY MR. MILLS:

Q. Now, is the Commission, under that Act, required to promulgate rules?

A. Yes. They are.

Q. Okay. And do you have a copy of that with you?

A. Yes. I do.

Q. Now, does the MEEIA require that electric corporations achieve all cost-effective demand-side savings, according to your interpretation?

A. My interpretation is that a goal of MEEIA is that utilities achieve all cost-effective demand-side

savings.

Q. Okay. So is that a goal or a requirement?

A. It's a stated goal of the legislation.

Q. Okay. And in your view as the primary drafter of the rules, do you consider that to be different than a requirement?

A. I believe the fact that it says "goal," I would say that "requirement" is different --

Q. Okay.

A. -- than a goal.

Q. So is a goal less stringent than a requirement?

A. Yes.

Q. Now, does the MEEIA Act require the Commission to develop cost recovery mechanisms?

A. Yes. It does.

Q. In what section is that requirement?

A. Section 3.

Q. Section 3. Is that the one that begins at, Shall be the policy of the State?

A. And Section 4.

Q. Okay. Well, let's take them one at a time. Where in Section 3 does it mention cost recovery mechanisms?

A. In 3.1 it says, Provide timely cost recovery for the utilities.

Q. Okay. Now, does that require a new mechanism that the Commission creates?

A. Not necessarily.

Q. Okay. Now, what other section were you saying?

A. Regarding cost recovery?

Q. Uh-huh.

A. Just cost recovery?

Q. Cost recovery, and cost recovery mechanisms specifically.

A. Section 4.

Q. Okay. Where in Section 4?

A. Within Section 4, Recovery of such programs shall not be permitted unless the programs are approved by the Commission resulting in energy and demand savings, and are beneficial to customers.

Q. Okay. Now, does that require -- does that sentence that you just read to me -- or that you paraphrased to me, require the Commission to develop cost recovery mechanisms?

A. No.

Q. Okay. And was there another section you were referring to?

A. Section 5.

Q. Okay. Now, doesn't Section 5 explicitly use

the word "may" rather than "shall"?

A. Yes.

Q. Okay. Does "may" imply, in your mind, a requirement or permission?

A. No. Not a requirement.

Q. So Section 5 doesn't require the Commission to develop a cost recovery mechanism, either, does it?

A. No.

Q. Okay. Was there another section that you were going to point to that, in your opinion, requires the Commission to develop cost recovery mechanisms?

A. I don't believe there is.

Q. Okay. Now, where in the drafting stage are you in the process on the rules to implement MEEIA?

A. The Commission is discussing the rules and preparing their order on all four rules to meet the requirement to file rules with the Missouri Joint Committee on Administrative Rules by February 10th, which is next Thursday.

Q. Okay. And do those rules, as you're aware that they are currently drafted, contain cost recovery mechanisms?

A. Yes. They do.

MR. MILLS: Okay. That's all the questions I have. Thank you.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: Mr. Mills, thank you.

Ms. Mangelsdorf?

MS. MANGELSDORF: No questions.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: Mr. Fischer?

MR. FISCHER: No, thank you.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: Commissioner Jarrett, any questions?

COMMISSIONER JARRETT: Good morning, Mr. Rogers.

THE WITNESS: Good morning.

COMMISSIONER JARRETT: I don't have any questions. Thank you.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: Redirect?

REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. HERNANDEZ:

Q. Do you remember the question Mr. Lewis [sic] asked you regarding the statute and whether the Commission was required to promulgate rules? Do you remember that question?

A. Yes.

Q. Can you explain for what the Commission needs to -- or needs to adopt rules?

A. Within the legislation of Senate Bill 376, there are a number of specific places where the Commission is required to promulgate rules.

The first place is in Section 2, Item 6 has

to do with the total resource cost test.

And within that section it says, Total resource cost test is a test that compares the sum of avoided utility costs and avoided probable environmental compliance costs to the sum of all incremental costs of end-use measures that are implemented due to the program as defined by the utility in rules.

So I interpret that -- that's one place where a rule is required to define the total resource cost test.

The next place where a rule is required in the statute is in the last sentence of Section 5, Prior to approving a rate design modification associated with demand-side cost recovery, the Commission shall conclude a docket studying the effects thereof and promulgate an appropriate rule.

And I would interject here that the Commission has not undertaken that rulemaking as of yet.

The next place where a rulemaking is required is in Section 8, Customers that have notified the utility corporation that they do not wish to participate in demand-side programs under this section shall not subsequently be eligible to participate in demand-side programs except under guidelines established by the Commission in rulemaking. That one has been done -- or close to being done.

The next place is in Section 9, Customers who participate in demand-side programs initiated after August 1st, 2009 shall be required to participate in program funding for a period of time to be established by the Commission in rulemaking.

And it looks like there's three more.

Section 11, The Commission shall provide oversight and may adopt -- not required -- may adopt rules and procedures and approve corporation-specific settlements and tariff provisions, independent evaluation of demand-side programs as necessary to ensure that electric corporations can achieve the goal of this section.

And in Section 14, Item 2, as a condition of participation in any demand-side program offered by an electric corporation under this section when such program offers a monetary incentive to the customer, the Commission shall develop rules that require documentation to be provided by the customer to the electric corporation to show that the customer has not received a tax credit listed in Subdivision 1 of this subsection. And that requirement is being addressed in the rules.

The last place is in Section 15, The Commission shall develop rules that provide for disclosure of participants in demand-side programs offered by electric corporations under this section when such programs provide

monetary incentives to the customer.

And I believe those are all the requirements in the statute to undertake a rulemaking process.

Q. And from your reading of those, none of those deal with cost recovery mechanisms or the drafting of cost-recovery mechanisms?

A. The only one that would is the one that has not been undertaken by the Commission Staff, and that is in Section 5, which states that, Prior to approving a rate design modification associated with demand-side cost recovery, the Commission shall conclude a docket studying the effects thereof in promulgating an appropriate rule.

Q. Okay. So -- but that relates more to rate design versus a proposed cost recovery mechanism; is that correct?

A. Rate design modification.

Q. Do you remember the questioning on goal versus requirement?

A. Yes.

Q. Okay. And -- but is it your understanding that the statute with the language "goal" is to be followed by electric utilities in the state of Missouri?

A. Yes.

Q. Whether or not the Commission has any rules in place regarding cost effect -- or -- I'm sorry -- cost

recovery mechanisms?

A. That is Staff's position.

MS. HERNANDEZ: I have no further questions. Thank you.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: Ms. Hernandez, thank you.

Mr. Rogers, thank you, sir. You can step down.

(Witness excused.)

JUDGE PRIDGIN: We're ready for Mr. Hyneman.

Mr. Hyneman, if you'll raise your right hand to be sworn, please.

(Witness sworn.)

JUDGE PRIDGIN: Thank you very much, sir. Please have a seat.

Ms. Hernandez, when you're ready.

CHARLES R. HYNEMAN testifies as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. HERNANDEZ:

Q. Good morning.

A. Good morning.

Q. Would you please state your name and spell it for the record, please.

A. It's Charles R. Hyneman, H-y-n-e-m-a-n.

Q. And where are you employed?

A. I am employed by Missouri Public Service Commission.

Q. And in what capacity?
A. Regulatory auditor.
Q. And for what duration?
A. Pardon?
Q. How many years have you held that position?
A. Approximately 17.
Q. Are you the same Charles Hyneman who prepared direct, rebuttal and surrebuttal in both the KCP&L and GMO cases?
A. In the KCPL case, yes. I'm not sure exactly if that was in the GMO case.
Q. You did prepare rebuttal and surrebuttal in the 0356 case. Correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Do you have any changes to make to that testimony today?
A. No. I do not.
Q. If I asked you the same questions contained in those filings, would your answers still be substantially the same or exactly the same today?
A. Yes.
Q. And are your answers still true and accurate to the best of your information, knowledge and belief?
A. Yes.
MS. HERNANDEZ: At this time, I would move

for the admission in the KCP&L case Mr. Hyneman's rebuttal testimony marked 225 and his surrebuttal, the HC and NP versions, marked 226; and in the GMO case, the rebuttal marked 224 and surrebuttal marked 225. The rebuttal has both HC and NP in the GMO, and the same for the KCP&L case. And also the surrebuttal in 355 also has HC and NP.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: Did you want his direct offered?

MS. HERNANDEZ: Well, we still have to go through part of that hearing, so I'm not --

BY MS. HERNANDEZ:

Q. You'll still offer testimony in the GMO case yet --

A. Yes.

Q. -- for the cost of service report?

MS. HERNANDEZ: So I'll hold off on that one.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: On both KCPL and GMO?

MS. HERNANDEZ: No. Just the GMO for the direct.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: So you want the direct offered for KCP&L?

MS. HERNANDEZ: Yes.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: Okay. All right.

Any objection to those offers?

All right. KCPL 224 HP and NP is admitted.

KCP&L 225 HC and NP is admitted. KCP&L 226 HC and NP is admitted.

GMO 224 HC and NP is admitted. GMO 225 HC and NP is admitted.

(Wherein; Staff Exhibit Nos. KCP&L 224 HC, KCP&L 224 NP, KCP&L 225 HC, KCP&L 225 NP, KCP&L 226 HC, KCP&L 226 NP, GMO 224 HC, GMO 224 NP, GMO 225 HC, and GMO 225 NP were received into evidence.)

MS. HERNANDEZ: And at this time, I'll tender the witness.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: Okay. Thank you.

Mr. Mills?

MR. MILLS: No questions.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: Ms. Mangelsdorf?

MS. MANGELSDORF: No.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: Mr. Fischer?

MR. FISCHER: No, thank you.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: Commissioner Jarrett?

COMMISSIONER JARRETT: No questions.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: Mr. Hyneman, thank you very much.

(Witness excused.)

We'll be going to Dr. Warren; is that correct?

All right. Dr. Warren, if you'll raise your

right hand to be sworn, please.

(Witness sworn.)

JUDGE PRIDGIN: Thank you very much, sir. Please have a seat.

Ms. Slack, when you're ready.

HENRY E. WARREN testifies as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. SLACK:

Q. Good morning.

A. Good morning.

Q. Would you please state your name for the record, please.

A. Henry E. Warren.

Q. And your address?

A. Is the Public Service Commission.

Q. And your title, please?

A. Regulatory economist.

Q. And how long have you been in this position?

A. Around 18 years.

Q. Are you the same Henry Warren who caused to be filed in this trial -- in this hearing the direct testimony which will be found in KCPL 210 in the cost of service report, and the surrebuttal testimony?

A. Yes. I am.

Q. Do you have any changes or corrections to your testimony?

A. No.

Q. If I were to ask you the same questions today, would they be the same or similar to the questions -- answers that you replied to in your direct and surrebuttal testimonies?

A. Yes. They would.

Q. And are those true to the best of your knowledge and belief?

A. Yes. They are.

MS. SLACK: Your Honor, I'd like to offer up the direct testimony of -- that is contained in the cost of service report, KCPL 210, and the surrebuttal that hasn't been offered and entered yet.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: Okay. I show his surrebuttal as KCPL 246. And I show KCPL 210 has already been admitted.

MS. SLACK: Correct.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: You're offering KCP&L 246?

MS. SLACK: Yes --

JUDGE PRIDGIN: Okay.

MS. SLACK: -- Your Honor.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: Any objection?

Hearing none, KCPL 246 is admitted.

(Wherein; Staff Exhibit No. KCP&L 246 was received into evidence.)

MS. SLACK: And I tender the witness for

cross.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: Thank you.

Mr. Mills?

MR. MILLS: No questions.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: Ms. Mangelsdorf?

CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. MANGELSDORF:

Q. Good morning.

A. Good morning.

Q. Do you see any particular problems with how KCPL's and GMO's current low-income weatherization programs are administered?

A. Well, the primary problem that I found was that they are not -- were not expending the budgeted funds. The -- and I'm referring to -- in the case of KCPL, their -- the budget in their regulatory plan. I'd have to -- I'd have -- I don't have -- I don't have the case number. Bear with me.

I'd have to -- I'll have to look it up to verify it, if you want it, but -- and then the -- the -- there was a budget filed for weatherization in the 2007 Aquila resource plan that is now being administered by KCPL/GMO since the takeover. And they're not -- they have not expended the budgeted amounts in those -- that appeared in those filings.

Q. Would Staff be agreeable to expensing the

weatherization funds?

A. I -- I can't speak for Staff on -- right now. I know in my testimony, I recommended that they be part of a regulatory asset account. And I don't believe Staff's position has changed at this time on that.

Q. Has Staff agreed to expensing of weatherization funds in other rate cases?

A. I believe they have -- well, to my knowledge, I don't believe -- I don't believe they've been expensed.

Q. So --

A. But --

Q. So is your answer that Staff has not agreed to expensing in other rate cases or that they have?

A. Well, I don't -- I don't have knowledge of all -- of all -- all the rate -- all rate cases where weatherization has been approved.

It's my understanding that it has been -- that with some utilities, they are collecting those in rates, and some utilities, the weatherization has actually been partially a -- put into a regulatory asset account, and sometimes -- in some cases, it's been directly funded by the utility stockholders.

And so I -- I don't know at this -- I'm not aware if there has been a case where these have been treated as a -- you know, as a -- an -- well, as a -- as an expense.

Q. Are you aware that for other utilities, these weatherization programs are administered by the Missouri Department of Natural Resources; the funds are deposited with and distributed from EIERA pursuant to a cooperation and funding agreement?

A. Yes. I am familiar with several utilities that have that procedure.

Q. And are you aware that the signatories to each of these cooperation and funding agreements are the utility company, the Missouri Department of Natural Resources, EIERA and the Missouri Public Service Commission?

A. That's my understanding, yes.

Q. And are the EIERA and the Missouri PSC parties to this case?

A. That's my understanding. Well, yes, I guess since DNR is a party that the EIERA would be a party. Yes.

Q. Are EIERA and the Missouri Department of Natural Resources the same organization?

A. I understand that they are under the -- how would I say -- they're a part of the Department. I don't know if that's -- I -- I know that when I go to the -- to the DNR web page, I get -- you know, I can find the EIERA under that. I don't -- I don't know the administry -- I'm sorry. I don't know the administrative structure precisely.

Q. Okay. Thank you.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: Mr. Fischer?

CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. FISCHER:

Q. Mr. Warren, let me -- or Dr. Warren, just let me follow-up on a question there. You -- I think you were suggesting that the problem that you had with KCPL's administering the weatherization funds was that they had not spent all of the money. Is that what you were saying?

A. Yes.

Q. And are you referring there to the fact that you mentioned on Page 4, Line 9 of your testimony that they spent 96 percent of the budgeted funds?

A. Yes.

Q. So your comment goes to the 4 percent that's remaining; is that right?

A. Yes. With KCPL, that's correct.

Q. Are you familiar with other utilities' weatherization programs?

A. Yes. I am.

Q. Do you know if other utilities in the state have spent all of their money related to weatherization?

A. Well, the utilities that -- as was mentioned by -- mentioned previously, that contribute -- place their funds in the EIERA, those funds are dedicated to weatherization.

And once they are transferred from the

utility to the -- to the EIERA, I consider those to be expended by -- by the utility.

I believe there are other utilities in the state that -- that -- that deal directly with the community action agencies and the weather -- the other weatherization agencies in the state, similar to KCPL. And it's -- as far as I've been able to determine, they -- they do expend their -- their annual budgets on weatherization.

Q. Which particular utilities are you referring to?

A. Missouri Gas Energy would be one. Empire Electric would be one. And Empire Gas would be another one.

Q. Are you familiar with whether the ER -- EIERA expends 100 percent of their funds every year for weatherization?

A. I don't -- I don't know that they -- no, I don't know that they expend all of the funds that they've received.

Q. Isn't it true they've also received substantial federal stimulus money for weatherization?

A. Yes.

Q. Is it true that that money has not been 100 percent expended at this point?

A. No. It is not. I believe the period for spending that extends into March of 2012.

Q. So you're saying they've spent all their money, or they have not?

A. They have not.

Q. Do you know what portion, by chance, is still remaining to be spent?

A. Well, I'm trying to think. I -- I attended a meeting of the weatherization directors a couple of weeks ago, and they were discussing that. But I don't remember what specific amounts.

Q. Is it more than half?

A. It seemed that in aggregate it was somewhere around half, maybe.

Q. Thank you very much.

MR. FISCHER: That's all I have.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: Mr. Fischer, thank you.

Commissioner Jarrett?

COMMISSIONER JARRETT: No questions. Thank you.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: Thank you.

Redirect?

REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. SLACK:

Q. I just have one question for you. You said the EIERA has extended their budget year until what time, did you say?

A. Well, the question was specifically about

the -- the American -- what -- A -- Resource and Recovery Act, ARRA, funds, was the -- was the specific -- the specific question.

And I think those funds were -- I was going to see when those were initially -- those were part of the 2009 stimulus funding that came forth. And there is a -- there's been -- there's a specific period of time in which those have to be expended.

And my understanding was that the -- that that period ends in March of 2012. So that -- that has to do with the -- so that is a -- a -- a specific type of federal funding that is available for the weatherization agencies separate from the utility funding and separate from the normal federal -- federal funding for weatherization. It was part -- it's part of the stimulus -- it was separate; it was part of the stimulus package.

Q. And even with that federal funding available and other local agencies with availability for low -- low-income weatherization, KCP&L has utilized 96 percent of their budget?

A. Yes. Yes. The -- the agencies that do weatherization for KCPL have used 96 percent of the -- of the -- of the funding.

And I guess I -- I might mention that the -- the City of Kansas City weatherization agencies, which

serves Jackson, Clay and Platt Counties, I believe receives about 95 percent of those -- of those funds.

And then I believe it's Missouri Valley, a community action agency, is -- receives a small -- you know, a small portion of those funds.

Q. Would that suggest to you that there's a need to continue the low-income weatherization program?

A. Definitely.

Q. And what is Staff's recommendation regarding the low-income weatherization fund?

A. Well, we're recommending that they -- that the funding -- the annual funding of weatherization by KCPL continue at the same level that it was in 2010 or -- for low-income weatherization.

MS. SLACK: Thank you. I don't have any further questions.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: All right. Thank you.

Dr. Warren, thank you, sir. You can step down.

(Witness excused.)

JUDGE PRIDGIN: Mr. Bickford, if you'll raise your right hand to be sworn, please.

(Witness sworn.)

JUDGE PRIDGIN: Thank you very much, sir. Please have a seat.

Ms. Mangelsdorf, when you're ready.

ADAM BICKFORD testifies as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. MANGELSDORF:

Q. Would you please state your full name and spell your last name for the court reporter.

A. It's Adam Bickford. It's B as in boy, i-c-k-f-o-r-d.

Q. And by whom are you employed, and in what capacity?

A. I am employed by Missouri Department of Natural Resources, Division of Energy, as a research analyst.

Q. And for whom are you testifying in this rate case?

A. The same.

Q. Are you the same Adam Bickford who prepared or caused to be prepared direct testimony, rebuttal testimony, and surrebuttal testimony in the KCP&L case that's been premarked Exhibit No. KCPL 601 through KCPL 605; and direct testimony and surrebuttal testimony in the GMO case that's been premarked Exhibit No. GMO 601 through Exhibit No. GMO 603?

A. Yes. I am.

Q. And I'd also like to note for the KCP&L case the direct testimony and rebuttal testimony, there's both an

NP and HC version; and for the direct testimony in the GMO case there's also an NP and HC version.

Was the testimony prepared by you or under your direct supervision?

A. Yes. It was.

Q. Do you have any changes or revisions in any of the testimony filed?

A. No. I don't.

Q. If I asked you the same questions as they appear in your testimony, would your answers be the same today?

A. Yes. They would.

Q. Are your answers true and correct to the questions asked?

A. Yes.

MS. MANGELSDORF: I would like to now move for the entry of Exhibits KCP&L 601 through KCP&L 605, and GMO 601 through GMO 603 into the record in this case.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: Any objection?

Hearing none, those exhibits are admitted.

(Wherein; DNR Exhibit Nos. KCPL 601, KCPL 602, KCPL 603, KCPL 604, KCPL 605, GMO 601, GMO 602 and GMO 603 were received into evidence.)

MS. MANGELSDORF: And I will now tender Mr. Bickford for cross-examination. Thank you.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: Thank you.

Cross-examination.

Mr. Mills?

MR. MILLS: No questions.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: Ms. Slack, Ms. Hernandez?

MS. SLACK: No.

MS. HERNANDEZ: No questions.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: Mr. Fischer?

MR. FISCHER: No questions. Thank you.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: All right. Thank you.

I should ask questions, just to make you feel better, but I won't.

Mr. Bickford, thank you.

THE WITNESS: Thank you.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: You may step down.

(Witness excused.)

JUDGE PRIDGIN: All right. Are we now ready to move on to rate case expense? Would that be Mr. Weisensee as the next witness?

MR. FISCHER: That's right.

MS. HERNANDEZ: If I can just have a moment --

JUDGE PRIDGIN: Do you need a few minutes?

MS. HERNANDEZ: -- to gather our staff. They'll probably hear it over the broadcast, so we should --

JUDGE PRIDGIN: Five minutes?

MS. HERNANDEZ: That would be fine.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: All right. We'll go off the record until 9:20.

(A short break was taken.)

JUDGE PRIDGIN: All right. Thank you. We are back on the record. And Mr. Weisensee is back on the stand to testify on rate case expense.

Is there anything further from counsel before he's sworn?

MS. CUNNINGHAM: Your Honor, am I permitted to make a brief opening statement?

JUDGE PRIDGIN: I'm sorry. Yes, you are.

MS. CUNNINGHAM: Thank you.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: You just mentioned that. Certainly.

MS. CUNNINGHAM: Thank you, and good morning.

With regard to rate case expense, I think it's important to note that Staff has not included any of the current rate case expenses in this case.

And let me back up a little. As a starting point, Staff started with a cumulative rate case expense booked as of June 2010. Then Staff removed the vast majority of that amount because it said it was, quote, "more capital in nature," end quote, and should not be included in

the rate case expense.

Staff provided no supporting detail for the amount it removed, and indicated it would review this further during True-up. In fact, to date, Staff has not transferred these amounts to the capital accounts, and they've appeared to have fallen off the table entirely.

In addition to the rate case expense booked as of June 2010, Staff also indicated it would review subsequently incurred rate case expenses in the True-up case. So effectively, this issue is being tried in the True-up case, which is inappropriate.

The company hasn't seen any numbers, and we have no mechanism to challenge Staff's findings at that point in the proceeding.

It is KCPL's position that substantive issues are to be heard in the evidentiary hearing. It's our understanding that the purpose of the True-up is to update costs to reflect the period ending December 31, 2010. The True-up is not the time to raise new issues, or even relitigate issues heard previously at hearing.

(REPORTER'S NOTE: An announcement was made; the agenda meeting will begin in five minutes.)

MS. CUNNINGHAM: Specifically, at Page 4 of its August 18, 2010 order approving the non-unanimous stipulation and agreement setting procedural schedule, and

clarifying order regarding construction of prudence audit, the Commission stated, quote, "The True-up hearing should cover the reconciliation of the numbers for Iatan projects and all traditional rate case True-up costs for the True-up period, and compliance with in-service criteria for Iatan 2," end quote.

Staff did not put on a direct case concerning rate case expense. Instead, Staff seeks to defer addressing this issue until the True-up phase of the case. This is not appropriate.

Not knowing Staff's position prevents KCP&L from providing a response to the Commission. The Commission's longstanding practice is to use the True-up phase of a hearing to reconcile numbers, not raise new issues.

The Commission should therefore reject Staff's attempts to do so here.

Thank you.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: Thank you.

Any further mini openings, or anything further before --

MS. HERNANDEZ: I have a short --

JUDGE PRIDGIN: When you're ready.

MS. HERNANDEZ: -- opening.

I'm sorry.

MR. WEISENSEE: Excuse me. That's all right.

MS. HERNANDEZ: Good morning. May it please the Commission.

A few short introductory remarks. The Staff will recommend a prudent and reasonable level of rate case expenses in the True-up hearing of this issue due to the fact of the Staff received the invoices to do a prudence review at such a late date.

The Staff received these invoices at the end of November -- November 29th, 2010, when -- although the Staff first requested these invoices during June of 2010.

The Staff will also have some recommendations on outside legal expense. The Staff will recommend that the rate case expense be adjusted to be more in line with the guidance of the MGE case, the 2004 rate case report and order.

And also, the Staff recommends that the Commission disallow repetitive costs as it is inequitable for the customers to pay for certain employees twice when charges for certain employees are built in rates currently being recovered by the Company.

Thank you.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: Thank you.

Any further mini openings before Mr. Weisensee is sworn?

Mr. Weisensee, if you'll raise your right hand to be sworn, please.

(Witness sworn.)

JUDGE PRIDGIN: Thank you very much, sir. You may have a seat.

Ms. Cunningham, when you're ready.

MS. CUNNINGHAM: Thank you.

JOHN P. WEISENSEE:

DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. CUNNINGHAM:

Q. Would you please state your name for the record.

A. Yes. John Weisensee, W-e-i-s-e-n-s-e-e.

Q. And you're a witness on behalf of Kansas City Power and Light; is that correct?

A. Yes.

Q. And Mr. Weisensee, you've testified previously in these hearings on numerous occasions, haven't you?

A. Yes. I have.

Q. Okay.

MS. CUNNINGHAM: Your Honor, out of an abundance of caution, at this time, I would like to ensure that the testimony of John P. Weisensee in the KCP&L case be admitted into the record. And that would include KCPL Exhibit 63, both HC and NP, Exhibit KCP&L 64, both HC and

NP, and Exhibit KCP&L 65.

So 63, 64 and 65. This is the last time this witness is up with regard to the KCP&L hearings.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: Any objection?

Hearing none, KCPL-63 HC and NP is admitted. KCPL-64 HC and NP is admitted. KCPL-65 is admitted.

(Wherein; KCP&L Exhibit Nos. KCP&L-63 HC, KCP&L-63 NP, KCP&L-64 HC, KCP&L-64 NP, and KCP&L-65 were received into evidence.)

MS. CUNNINGHAM: And Your Honor, at the conclusion of Mr. Weisensee's -- I'm double-checking to see if he will be testifying in the GMO proceeding.

Okay. He's shaking his head no. So before he leaves the stand, I will also ensure that his GMO testimony will be admitted into the record. I'll get those exhibit numbers for you, for clarity.

MR. STEINER: Here are the numbers.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: I have 42, 43 and 44.

MS. CUNNINGHAM: That's it. I would move for admission of those pieces of testimony, as well -- 42, 43 and 44 in the GMO case. Thank you.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: Any objection?

MS. HERNANDEZ: No objection.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: Hearing no objection, GMO-42 is admitted; GMO-43 --

MS. CUNNINGHAM: And at this --

JUDGE PRIDGIN:-- is admitted. GMO-44 is admitted.

I'm sorry.

(Wherein; KCP&L Exhibit Nos. GMO-42, GMO-43 and GMO-44 were received into evidence.)

MS. CUNNINGHAM: At this time, I would tender the witness for cross-examination.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: Cross?

Ms. Hernandez?

MS. HERNANDEZ: Yes. Thank you.

CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. HERNANDEZ:

Q. Good morning.

A. Good morning.

Q. Would you agree with the statement that as of September 23rd, 2010, that was the earliest date that the Staff received face sheets from the company to evaluate for rate case expense?

A. I don't know the specific dates, so I really couldn't agree or disagree with that.

MS. HERNANDEZ: Okay. I'm going to have an exhibit --

MR. STEINER: Thank you.

MS. HERNANDEZ: How many copies do you need? Judge, do you want one for all the commissioners?

BY MS. HERNANDEZ:

Q. So you have -- you have in front of you the Company's response to Mr. Hyneman's interrogatories, Data Request No. 141.1. Would you agree with that?

A. Yes. I do.

Q. And what is the date of the Company's response?

A. It seems to indicate at the top that the date is September 23rd, 2010.

Q. Okay. And would you agree that the Staff first asked for invoices to evaluate the prudence and reasonableness of the amounts requested by the Company for rate case expense in June of 2010?

A. Once again, I don't know what -- when the -- when this particular data request was submitted to the Company.

Q. Have you had an opportunity to page through this entire document?

A. Page through would be correct.

Q. And I may have some questions that your answer may require HC material. So if you --

A. Okay.

Q. -- feel that you need to answer in that respect, we can go in camera.

Looking at Page 5 of this document, can you

identify what -- this page -- what this page is?

A. Let me look at it for a minute. Okay. It's a check request for one of the firms that's worked for us in this rate case.

Q. Would you consider this check request an invoice?

A. No. We have invoices and we have check requests. This is a check request.

Q. Now, at the top of the page, you can see a total dollar amount. Do you see that --

A. Yes.

Q. -- listed on the top right?

A. Yes. I do.

Q. And it looks at -- towards the bottom of the page, that those amounts have been separated out for various services. One says KCP&L Missouri rate case; is that correct?

A. Yes. One is the Missouri rate case and one is the Kansas rate case. Yes.

Q. From that total amount, can you determine what services were provided under the statement KCPL Missouri rate case?

A. What -- what specific services were provided are not indicated on this check request. No.

Q. If you were in accounts payable, would you

pay a balance on this face sheet with the information?

A. Yes. We have a policy that -- particularly in terms of legal invoices such as this, that the detail is typically maintained in the law department for confidentiality purposes. It's not provided to the accounts payable department. As long as it's approved by the appropriate legal representatives, accounts payable will make this payment.

Q. So based on your knowledge, would the legal department approve a check request for this amount on this particular check request without any indication of the services performed or the -- or the hours worked, and at what rate?

A. No. The legal department would have received more detail than this. But it's just not provided to accounts payable, which, incidentally, is typical. All the companies I've worked for, that's typically the way this type of thing is handled.

Q. Okay. If you can turn to the next page, Page 6. And this appears to be an invoice from a law firm.

A. Yes.

Q. Correct?

A. This is one of the firms that has worked on our rate cases.

Q. And then without stating the amount of the --

of this sheet, there is an amount stated for services, you would agree, towards the middle of the page on --

A. Yes.

Q. -- the right?

A. The amount that's to be paid. Yes.

Q. Okay. Can you tell what services -- how many hours were worked and at what rate? Can you tell the information from this sheet?

A. No. And I would agree with you that any of these legal invoices you have -- we can go over each one, but they all would be the same.

Q. Okay. So you'll -- you would stipulate to Page 7, 8 --

A. Yes.

Q. -- 9, 10, 11 --

A. I don't have page numbers, but it looks like some of them have a little bit more information. But in general, they're what's referred to by Staff as face sheets with the detail maintained in the law department or other departments.

MS. HERNANDEZ: I'm not aware, Your Honor, of the next Staff exhibit number that this could be marked as.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: I would show 267 -- KCPL 267. Is that what you want the --

MS. HERNANDEZ: Yes.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: Okay.

MS. HERNANDEZ: If we can have the court reporter mark it 267, I'd offer it.

MR. STEINER: Jennifer, can you make it HC?

MS. HERNANDEZ: Oh, sure.

(Wherein; Staff Exhibit No. KCP&L 267 HC was marked for identification.)

JUDGE PRIDGIN: 267 HC is offered. Any objections?

Hearing none, it is admitted.

(Wherein; Staff Exhibit No. KCP&L 267 HC was received into evidence.)

MS. HERNANDEZ: I have another document. I suppose this would be 268.

(Wherein; Staff Exhibit No. KCP&L 268 was marked for identification.)

BY MS. HERNANDEZ:

Q. Have you had an opportunity to review this document?

A. No. Not yet.

Q. Okay. Just let me know when you have finished.

A. Okay.

Q. Can you identify the document?

A. Well, it's Staff Data Request Number 623. Is

that what you mean?

Q. Yes. And would you agree that this is the Company's response to that data request?

A. Yes.

Q. And at the -- towards the bottom of the page, under Response, Number 2, can you read the company's answer to that --

A. Yes.

Q. -- data request?

A. Okay. No position description is on file for the senior director-regulatory position. This position effectively assumed the job responsibilities of Mr. Giles when he retired, but a new position description was not prepared.

Q. Would you agree that Mr. Giles assumed the job responsibilities previously held by Mr. Blanc?

A. You have it reversed there.

Q. Oh, I had --

A. Mr. Blanc --

Q. -- it reversed.

A. -- assumed Mr. Giles's responsibilities. That's correct.

Q. Okay. I apologize.

Would it be your understanding that Mr. Giles's previous salary was built into rates in the last

KCP&L case?

A. Well, I'd have to think about that, because we had a -- we had a settled case; we didn't have a True-up, as we normally do.

And as I recall, Mr. Giles left that position sometime in mid 2009, which was kind of right in the beginning -- near the end of the last case. So I'm not sure about the timing of that.

All I can say is, we had one head of regulatory affairs in the payroll annualization in the last case. Whether that was Mr. Giles or Mr. Blanc would depend on whether you were looking at the payroll annualization in the filing versus the update or whatever. But there was no duplication of those costs.

Q. Do you remember what the update period was for the 2009 rate case?

A. I'll have to think about that a minute. The test year was 2007, and the true-up was about April of 2009. The update was either September 30th or December the 31st of 2008, although I can't remember right offhand which one it was.

Q. Would you agree that it's inequitable for customers to pay in rates the cost of an employee when the Company is also requesting it as part of rate case expense?

A. Okay. Did you say equitable or inequitable?

Q. Inequitable for customers to pay -- in general, is it inequitable for a customer to pay based in rates that they're currently paying someone's salary, and if the Company requested additional funds in rate case expense for that individual, that that would be inequitable for the customer to pay that charge twice?

A. Well, it would depend what that individual was doing. For example, in this particular situation, I think we're talking about Mr. Giles, who is now -- has a different function as a consultant. And --

Q. But in general, would it be -- I think that was yes or no. In general, would that be inequitable?

A. I don't think anybody's costs should be included twice in a case. No. As long as -- unless they were performing in a different function.

MS. HERNANDEZ: I think I'm going to have some HC questions, Your Honor.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: Okay. One moment, please.

(REPORTER'S NOTE: At this point, an in-camera session was held at Volume 35, pages 3629 to 3632.)

JUDGE PRIDGIN: We're back in public forum.

BY MS. HERNANDEZ:

Q. And you stated that Mr. Steiner began as in-house counsel about May 2010.

A. Well, you -- you had mentioned that date, and I said that's probably roughly --

Q. You're agreeable with that date?

A. I'm agreeable with that date.

Q. Okay. Is it your understanding that the rate case expense that he would have charged as an external counsel, that that is being recovered in rates today?

A. I'm not sure. It seems like Mr. Steiner did do some work in the last case for the company, and therefore it was part of rate case expense in the last case. I think that -- that's reasonable. Yes.

Q. But you're also asking for recovery of the expenses as part of his payroll for this case -- his salary?

A. Oh, yes. Yes. He's a full-time employee now. We should be -- we should be able to recover that.

Q. Okay. I think that's all the questions. I appreciate your time this morning.

A. Certainly.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: All right. Thank you. I will have a few questions. And I'll ask counsel to let me know if you think that we're getting into HC. Please let me

know and we'll go in camera.

QUESTIONS BY JUDGE PRIDGIN:

Q. Mr. Weisensee, I understand that you're going to file True-up testimony, so I'm -- I guess I'm trying to get some numbers on rate case expense, with the understanding that these may change with -- with True-up testimony. And so if you need to estimate, that is acceptable.

A. Okay.

Q. Excuse me. Do you know an estimate as of today what total rate case expense requested from the company would be?

A. Okay. I'm certainly going to provide you a number here. I just might -- I just want to mention that, of course, it depends on how this case progresses and the issues and how much briefing we have, and whether we have motions for reconsideration and all that.

But right now, what I can say is for the True-up adjustment that we're doing in this case for the True-up process, the estimate we're going to be putting in there is -- the total cost in the Kansas City Power and Light case is approximately $6.1 million of what I would call new costs, or costs associated with this particular case.

We also have carried forward from the last

case costs that came in after the last case was finalized, and have costs that have been transferred to this case under an agreement we've had with Staff to do that of $1.1 million. So the total, then, would be approximately $7.2 million.

Q. All right. Just one moment, please.

All right. I want to take you through rate case expense claimed -- excuse me -- from some firms. And do you know, out of that $7.2 million that -- that's being requested how much of that comes from Schiff Hardin?

A. No. All I can tell you is, I do have actual costs that we have incurred through December 31st. And I do have a breakdown there by -- by the main -- by the primary vendors, et cetera, such as Schiff Hardin. But for the costs beyond that, how that's broken out between the various vendors, I don't have that available.

Q. What is your -- what is your best estimate of what Schiff Hardin -- what Schiff Hardin's portion would be?

A. Of the final amount?

Q. Yes, sir.

A. I certainly want to provide any information I can, but there's -- the amount at December 31st was about right at -- just a little bit under $1 million -- $988,000.

And I might add that that was -- that's comparable to -- that was the amount that was in there --

some of that was transferred from the last case. Most of it was probably from the new case. And that was about $988,000. How much they're going to charge for the remainder of the case, I don't know.

Q. Yeah. I understand -- I understand these numbers will likely change with the True-up. But I'm just -- I'm just asking for your --

A. Sure.

Q. -- for your best estimate as of -- as of today. And I'll ask you the same questions for some -- it's the same questions for some different firms. And that same question for Stinson Morrison?

A. Well, once again, we have about $92,000 that's -- that we have accrued through December 31st of 2010. And how much more, I don't know.

I might also emphasize that that's what we have on the books at that date. Law firms and other consultants sometimes are a little bit slow in getting the invoices in.

So it doesn't necessarily mean those were the total services they performed as of December 31st, but that's what we had recorded on the books -- about $92,000. I don't know what the additional amounts will be in the next few months.

Q. And that same question for SNR Denton?

A. Okay. With the same provisions there, the amount at December 31st was about $423,000.

Q. And that same question for Fischer & Dority?

A. The amount at December 31st was $310,000.

Q. I'm sorry. How much?

A. 310.

Q. Thank you. And do you believe that the ratepayers get any benefit by the Company having such, you know, skilled advocates and experts working on behalf of the Company?

A. Yes. I do. Particularly in this -- in this case we're in with such significant prudence issues that are going to affect us for decades to come. I think it's important to get -- this level of expertise.

And we've had some other issues, such as depreciation, et cetera, that weren't -- that didn't exist in the prior cases, but particularly the prudence issues we've -- we've had some outside help we have needed.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: All right. Thank you. I believe those are all the questions I have.

Any recross based on bench questions?

MS. HERNANDEZ: Yes.

RECROSS EXAMINATION BY MS. HERNANDEZ:

Q. Can you state what you're basing your statement that the -- you have an agreement with the Staff,

or the Company has an agreement with the Staff, to carry forward costs in this case?

A. Yes. It's certainly not a -- it's not particular -- particularly a written agreement. But we've had -- this is the fourth case of the regulatory plan.

And in the first three cases of the plan -- well, let me say, the first couple of cases in the plan, we've -- we always have situations where legal fees come in after the case is concluded. And we have been allowed to transfer those to the next case, for recovery.

And I know that Staff's -- has made mention in their testimony in this case that that's not particularly the arrangement that they have with other utilities or that they want to continue with -- with this company in the future, but that -- I believe both Staff and the Company are comfortable with that procedure in this particular case.

Q. The numbers that you provided in terms of the current legal expenses or consulting expenses, were those just for KCP&L?

A. That's correct. Yes. I have -- I have numbers for -- for GMO, if anybody would like those. But those were just for KCP&L. Yes.

Q. Okay. Can you provide the numbers for the -- for the --

A. Sure. For the same -- for the same vendors

that --

Q. Yes. For the same vendors.

A. -- the judge was asking about?

Q. Yes.

A. Okay.

Q. Thank you.

A. Well, let's see if I can remember. Schiff Hardin, it seems like, was the first one. For MPS jurisdiction, as of December -- all these will be as of December actuals -- 275,000.

I'll just -- I'll just stay with MPS. Schiff Hardin, 275; Stinson Morrison was about 18,000; SNR Denton was 131,000; and Fischer & Dority was about 170,000. I think those were the ones he asked about.

And then for Light and Power, Schiff Hardin is about 89,000; Stinson Morrison was 28,000; SNR Denton was 123,000; and Fischer & Dority was also about 123,000.

MS. HERNANDEZ: That's all the questions I have. But I think I forgot to offer Staff's Number 268.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: Is that public?

MS. HERNANDEZ: We'll mark this one HC, as well.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: KCPL 268 HC is being offered. Any objection?

Hearing none, it is admitted.

(Wherein; Staff Exhibit No. KCP&L 268 HC was received into evidence.)

MS. HERNANDEZ: That's all the questions.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: Thank you.

Redirect?

MS. CUNNINGHAM: Yes. Thank you. Just a few questions.

REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. CUNNINGHAM:

Q. Mr. Weisensee, would you take a look at what has been marked as KCPL Exhibit 267?

A. Which item is that?

Q. This is the response to Hyneman, Chuck interrogatories, and I believe you indicated the date of response was 09/23/2010.

A. Okay. I've got it.

Q. Do you see on the front of that data request response where it says Data Response 09/23/2010?

A. Yes.

Q. Would you look at the line directly above that?

A. Okay.

Q. Does it appear to you that it was sent on September 3rd, 2010?

A. Okay. Yes. 2010, 09/03 -- September 3rd. That's correct.

Q. Okay. Did the Company timely provide the response to this data request response?

A. You know, as far as I know, we did. I -- I don't know exactly when the due date for this response was. But as far as I know, we did. We -- our reports are currently showing through the -- through this case that at this point in the case that we're about 99 percent on time for data request response. So I think this one probably was submitted on time.

Q. Okay. And you were asked a number of questions about this document and some of the face sheets that are included in this from Staff counsel. Do you remember that?

A. Yes. I do.

Q. And Mr. Weisensee, in your opinion, is it common to provide Staff face sheets for legal services?

A. Oh, to provide Staff?

Q. Yes.

A. Yes. That's -- as far as I know, that's what we've done in prior cases. And if Staff has wanted some more detail, they've asked for us and we've provided it, as I think we did in this case, on a timely basis.

Q. And I believe you just indicated, and Staff later did ask for additional detail. Is my recollection correct?

A. Yes. For several of these, particularly legal invoices, but a few other ones, they asked for some more detail, which we provided. And as far as I know, they were provided on time.

Q. And with regard to providing that greater detail when it comes to legal services, did the Company have to undertake the process of redacting certain information before it could provide that level of detail to Staff?

A. Yes. The legal invoices presented the most -- it took some time to get those, but they were provided timely, as far as I know.

But we have to -- we have to redact for a couple reasons: Because of attorney/client privilege considerations, and also just in general because of the confidential nature of certain items that the -- that legal firms are working on.

Q. Is that part of the reason why initially face sheets are provided, so that --

A. Yes.

Q. -- level of detail --

A. Kind of indirectly. Once again, the process, as a company, is to provide face sheets to accounts payable for that very reason. And then because that's what we do provide to accounts payable, that is what we provide when we respond to data requests, unless they ask for further

information.

Q. Okay. Could I turn your attention to what has been marked as KCPL 268. And this looks like it is Data Request Number 623. Do you have that in front of you?

A. Yes. I do.

Q. Okay. And I believe you were asked a question about duplicative services for Mr. Blanc and Mr. Giles. Do you recall those questions?

A. Yes. I do.

Q. Okay. And towards the bottom of the sheet, Staff counsel asked you to read Response Number 2 into the record. Do you recall that?

A. Yes.

Q. Was Mr. Giles a vice president with the Company when he left?

A. When he -- when he left the position, when he retired?

Q. Yes.

A. Yes. He was.

Q. Is Mr. Blanc a vice president at this time?

A. No. He's the senior director of regulatory affairs.

Q. So his title is senior director?

A. Yes. It's not vice president. That's correct.

Q. Okay. You were asked questions with regards to Mr. Giles's position with the Company and work on behalf of the Company in the last rate case. Do you remember that?

A. I can't remember if the question related to the last case or the current case, but there were some questions about that.

Q. Well, I believe Staff counsel asked you about services provided by Mr. Giles in the last rate case. And my question to you is, was there ever any more than one FTE in the last rate case as head of regulatory?

A. No. In our payroll annualization, we've always had one full-time equivalent, or one position for the head of regulatory affairs, in our last case and in the current case.

Q. So -- and that would equally apply to the current case?

A. Yes.

Q. Okay.

A. It would.

Q. In the last case, did Mr. Giles and Mr. Blanc perform the same functions?

A. In the last case? Well, once again, there's -- the case went on for a long time. But they never -- they've really never performed the same functions.

I mean, Mr. Giles has -- once he retired and

assumed his consulting roles, you know, helped with the transition and answered questions with -- for Mr. Blanc. But there's -- they never performed the same duties.

Q. What about in the current case, did Mr. Giles perform the same functions as Mr. Blanc in the current rate case?

A. No. Not at all. Mr. Giles has been a consultant, you know, particularly on Iatan matters, but not performing the same functions as Mr. Blanc.

Q. Okay. You were asked a few questions about your position with regard to carrying over costs from one rate case to the next rate case. Do you recall those questions?

A. Yes.

Q. How is rate case expense recovered?

A. You mean, in terms of how long is it amortized for, or --

Q. Correct.

A. Well, in the Missouri cases we've had, we've amortized those cases over two years.

Q. And pursuant to the regulatory plan, what has been the frequency of rate case filings? Has it been every two years?

A. It's generally been -- it's not -- it's not been exactly every year, but it's been every two years, year

and a half, something like that.

Q. Okay. Finally, you were also asked some questions about Mr. Steiner's role with the Company. Do you remember that?

A. Yes.

Q. And at one time, he was outside counsel for the Company; is that right?

A. That's correct.

Q. And approximately mid-year 2010 he became a full-time employee with the Company; is that right?

A. That's right.

Q. Okay. In this case, has the Company requested recovery of more than one FTE for that regulatory counsel position?

A. Okay. Would you repeat that question.

Q. In this case, has the Company requested recovery of more than one FTE for that regulatory counsel position?

A. No. It's the same as I mentioned for the -- for Mr. Giles and Mr. Blanc, as we have -- we make sure we have one FTE for that -- for a particular position such as that. So we -- we've had one regulatory lawyer for Missouri in our case, in this case and the last case.

MS. CUNNINGHAM: One moment, please.

That's all I have. Thank you.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: Okay. I think I have one quick follow-up, and then I'll give the chance for recross and redirect.

FURTHER QUESTIONS BY JUDGE PRIDGIN:

Q. Mr. Weisensee, I think earlier I asked if you thought ratepayers got any benefit from the advocacy of Schiff Hardin and the other attorneys. Same question for shareholders: What benefit, if any, do shareholders get from those advocates and experts working on KCPL's case?

A. Well, I'd say a particular benefit might be demonstrated by the experts we've brought in to help us with the Iatan prudence issues.

We want to make sure that we get full recovery of our costs, in this case and in cases that will affect us for decades to come, as I mentioned. So that's the primary benefit I think the shareholders receive in that regard.

Q. All right. Thank you.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: Recross based on that?

MS. HERNANDEZ: No, thank you.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: Redirect?

MS. CUNNINGHAM: Just one question.

FURTHER REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. CUNNINGHAM:

Q. With regard to fully recovering these costs, the costs of the rate case, I believe you were just asked a

question whether and how shareholders benefit; is that right?

A. Yes. That's correct.

Q. Do customers benefit if the Company doesn't get its full costs recovered? What happens to the Company's financial picture?

A. Oh, if the costs are not recovered?

Q. Correct.

A. Well, as I mentioned in some of the numbers I've given to the Judge here today is that we're talking about some pretty significant dollars because of the complexity of this case. And I think the numbers, as I mentioned to him, were over $7 million.

And he didn't -- he didn't ask about the -- particularly about the GMO dollars, but there's several million dollars more there. So that -- that would be a significant detriment to the Company in terms of cash flow and its ability to maintain the credit rating and that sort of thing might be affected.

Q. And if the Company is not able to maintain its credit rating, could that increase the cost of borrowing?

A. It could. I mean, the dollars -- I mean, they're not as significant as we're talking about in the Iatan prudence issue, for example, or whatever. But they

are fairly significant and could have a marginal impact, I would think. I'm not the treasurer or the expert on that, but --

Q. But that could ultimately affect ratepayers?

MS. HERNANDEZ: Your Honor, I'm going to object. There's no indication that Mr. Weisensee is a financial officer or has any expertise in those matters.

MS. CUNNINGHAM: Well, Your Honor, he was specifically asked whether customers and shareholders are hurt or benefitted from recovery of these costs. If he knows the answer, I think he should be permitted to answer.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: I'll overrule and let him answer.

THE WITNESS: Well, I think your last question was, you know, would an increase in borrowing costs be detrimental to ratepayers. And I think that's pretty -- an obvious yes.

MS. CUNNINGHAM: Okay. Thank you.

That's all I have.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: All right. Thank you.

Mr. Weisensee, thank you. You can step down, sir.

(Witness excused.)

JUDGE PRIDGIN: And we are ready for Mr. Majors; is that correct?

MS. CUNNINGHAM: Mr. Blanc.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: Mr. Blanc. Excuse me. I overlooked him. I'm sorry. And then Mr. Majors?

MS. HERNANDEZ: He was -- I don't think he was on the list.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: Yeah. I didn't see Mr. Blanc on --

MS. HERNANDEZ: He wasn't on their original --

JUDGE PRIDGIN: -- my rate case expense list.

MS. HERNANDEZ: -- list of witnesses.

MR. STEINER: Well, he has -- he has testimony on the issue, so --

JUDGE PRIDGIN: Okay. That's fine.

MR. STEINER: -- if Staff doesn't want to cross him, that's fine.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: Okay. Mr. Blanc, I'd like to ask you to raise your right hand to be sworn, please.

(Witness sworn.)

JUDGE PRIDGIN: Thank you very much, sir. You may have a seat.

Ms. Cunningham, when you're ready.

CURTIS BLANC testifies as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. CUNNINGHAM:

Q. Mr. Blanc, would you please state your name

for the record.

A. Curtis Blanc.

Q. And you're here on behalf of Kansas City Power and Light today?

A. That's correct.

Q. Okay. And you've previously testified on several occasions in this hearing?

A. That's correct.

MS. CUNNINGHAM: Your Honor, at this time, I want to ensure that Exhibit KCPL-7, which is HC and NP; Exhibit KCPL-8, and Exhibit KCP&L-9 are admitted into the record as this is the last time this witness will be testifying on behalf of KCP&L.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: Any objections?

MS. HERNANDEZ: No.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: Hearing none, KCPL-7 -- excuse me -- HC and NP is admitted. KCPL-8 is admitted. KCPL-9 is admitted.

(Wherein; KCP&L Exhibit Nos. KCP&L-7 HC, KCPL-7 NP, KCP&L-8 and KCP&L-9 were received into evidence.)

MS. CUNNINGHAM: And I would tender the witness for cross-examination.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: Thank you.

Ms. Hernandez?

MS. HERNANDEZ: Thank you.

CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. HERNANDEZ:

Q. Good morning.

A. Good morning.

Q. Do you have with you what Staff marked as Exhibit 267 HC?

A. I don't have an exhibit number. What data request number is that?

Q. That would be 141.1.

A. I do have that.

Q. Okay. And turn to page 5. Are you -- for the record, are you on the legal side or the accounts payable side? Mr. Weisensee was saying there's two sides to approval of those --

A. There are.

Q. -- check requests.

A. And my answer is going to be a little complicated just because of the time frame. At the time of this check request, May 11th of 2009, I was in the law department, so I was on the legal side. But then effective shortly thereafter, July 1, 2009, I went to regulatory affairs. I hope that's helpful.

Q. So during your time in the law department, you would have received these check request face sheets, and then approved payment or disapproved payment; is that correct?

A. I would not have received a check request. That's just -- that's simply an internal procedure to get a check drafted for a particular vendor. So I wouldn't have been involved in that. But I would have been involved in the invoice review.

Q. Okay. On the -- and the face sheets?

A. No. I would have -- not just the face sheets. I would have reviewed the entire invoice.

Q. Okay. Well, we'll skip the check requests then and go to Page 6, legal invoice -- or it's a legal -- it's more a face sheet. And you see the amount towards the top right-hand side of the page for legal services?

A. I do.

Q. Okay. Would you have paid that amount based on the information on this sheet?

A. The OK, CDB is actually for Curtis D. Blanc that's circled there. So I did in fact review not just this face sheet but the entire invoice, and approve it for payment. So not only would I have, I did.

Q. Okay. But you had to review further information to identify if this amount requested or payable was what -- or what work was performed for that -- for that fee, how many hours and the type of skills that were --

A. Sure. I reviewed the entire invoice.

Q. Okay. And this is just the face sheet,

though. Correct?

A. As routinely provided to Staff through all our rate cases, yes. And when they requested additional information, we provided it.

Q. Okay. On the next page -- well, I guess, similar to Mr. Weisensee, would you -- would you stipulate that your procedure for each of these face sheets would have been the same as you just explained, that you would have looked at further material to identify what the payment due upon receipt would be for the hours, the services, what services? Are you agreeable to that, that you would have looked at further information?

A. Yes. That would be the process. And my only clarification would go to timing. For example, the second one is dated May 12th, 2010. I was no longer in the law department, but it indicates that Roger Steiner reviewed it and William Riggins, our general counsel approved it. So it's just a timing function. But you described the process correctly. But I --

Q. Okay.

A. -- didn't continue doing it after July 1st, 2009.

Q. Is it your understanding or do you have an understanding that that process continued -- the same process that -- when you reviewing it -- excuse me -- that

Mr. Steiner would have used the same process?

A. Yes.

Q. Okay. Now, you stated that -- or it's your testimony that the Company often provides face sheets unless invoices are requested. Is -- did I --

A. For legal services, yes.

Q. For legal services. Do you remember that the Staff requested invoices from the company?

A. I don't. I assume it would have been in follow-up to this. And I agree with Mr. Weisensee's testimony that this data request was received September 3rd, 2010, and we responded September 23rd, 2010. And I understand that to be on time.

Q. But not in terms of this invoice, but in general, would you agree that the Staff first asked for invoices in June of 2010?

A. I don't know that to be true or not. I don't recall this ever being brought up at any of the monthly status hearings that were initiated to discuss discovery disputes, though.

Q. And then do you remember the testimony provided by Mr. Weisensee as to when Mr. Steiner began his employment with KCP&L?

A. Yes. I do.

Q. Okay. And that was, if I remember correctly,

May of 2010; is that correct? Was that -- would you agree with that, May 2010?

A. I know it was early -- I know it was spring 2010, but I --

Q. Okay.

A. -- I couldn't -- I couldn't say May, April, March or -- I just don't know.

Q. Was his salary included in rates in the last rate case -- the Company's last rate case?

A. I think -- I think I've got the test year. It would depend when the test year and True-ups for that case would have been.

No. The True-up in that case was settled, as Mr. Weisensee explained. But no, even had the true-up been applied, I believe that would have been September 1st, 2009. So he would have started after the true-up.

Q. But -- okay. So he wasn't -- there was nothing built in, in terms of his internal employment. But in terms of consulting outside, would there have been charges built into the last rate case?

A. Whatever he would have billed as an outside lawyer toward the rate case, and that would have been approved for recovery as a rate case expense. Yes. That would have been included in rates.

Q. Okay. And it's your understanding that as

part of the rate that the customer is paying today, part of that rate includes his expenses from the last rate case?

A. With the clarification that Mr. Weisensee gave, that there was about $1 million carryover from the last case that isn't reflected in rates at the moment, and I assume some component of that would be Mr. Steiner's time.

Q. And you're also asking in this rate case for the expenses of Mr. Steiner as an internal employee?

A. Yes. Different functions, different time periods. There's no overlap there.

Q. Okay. Did Mr. Steiner assume your prior job duties?

A. Yes. He did.

Q. Are you familiar with a Mr. Forrest Archibald?

A. Yes. I am.

Q. And he was a contractor for the Company before he was employed with KCP&L; is that -- would you agree to that?

A. Yes. I believe that's correct.

Q. And were -- is it your knowledge that his fees as a contractor, those fees were charged to the Iatan construction project?

A. Yes. But there would have been no overlap with his payments as a salaried employee; when one ended,

the other began.

Q. All right. Thank you for your time. I think that's all the questions I have.

A. Thank you.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: Thank you.

Redirect?

MS. CUNNINGHAM: Me? Oh, just a question or so.

REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. CUNNINGHAM:

Q. I believe Staff counsel asked whether Mr. Steiner is now filling the position you previously held. Do you recall that?

A. I do.

Q. In fact, didn't Ms. Vicky Shots (ph) take on the position that you previously held when you went to regulatory, and then Mr. Steiner actually took the spot vacated by Ms. Shots?

A. That's correct. I mean, Mr. Steiner fills the same position. And that's the question I was answering, and that's certainly true.

But there was an interim in that role. When I left to go to regulatory, an internal lawyer for the Company moved to do -- fill that job function -- the regulatory law function, and then she moved to do something else, and Roger Steiner was hired to fill that position. So

it's the same position, but there have been three different people in it over time.

Q. In a rate case test year period, would there be any more than one FTE recorded and sought to be recovered in a rate case for that one position?

A. No. Like I said, there was no overlap. We would have included -- or paid one person's salary up to a point. When the next person begun filling that function, the first person wouldn't get paid for it anymore, but the second person would. So there -- there would be continuity. One FTE, different people filling it.

Q. Do you believe that services performed by Mr. Steiner, for example -- although we could fill in the blank with Mr. Archibald or others, others who were outside consultants who are now employed by the Company -- do you believe that services performed by outside consultants are no longer eligible for recovery because at a later time they end up joining the Company?

A. Only if we were trying -- if Mr. Steiner, for example, were continuing to bill us as outside counsel while we were also paying him as inside counsel. If that overlap existed, that would clearly be inappropriate.

But that's not the case. He was outside counsel and paid as such until he was hired by the Company, and that stopped and he was paid as an employee. And that's

entirely appropriate for recovery.

MS. CUNNINGHAM: Thank you. That's all I have.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: All right. Thank you, Mr. Blanc. Thank you. You may step down.

(Witness excused.)

JUDGE PRIDGIN: I would like to take a break here, and then discuss scheduling with counsel. Let's go off the record. And we will resume at 10:40.

(A short break was taken.)

JUDGE PRIDGIN: All right. Good morning. We're back on the record.

Before we proceed to the next witness, I do want to correct some exhibit numbers. I learned during the break that I misnumbered some exhibits from Staff, and I want to make that correction for everyone.

Now -- and I went back and I checked my notes, and I believe these numbers to be correct. I think KCPL 265 is where my misnumbering began. That should actually be KCPL 289. I was only off by 24. That's not bad.

So KCPL 266 should now be KCPL 290. And on. KCPL 267 should be KCPL 291. KCPL 268 should be KCPL 292.

(Wherein; staff Exhibit Nos. KCP&L 291 and KCP&L 292 were remarked from KCP&L 267 and KCP&L 268 for

identification.)

Okay. Any questions or anything further before Mr. Majors takes the stand?

Mr. Majors, if you'll then come forward to be sworn, please, sir.

(Witness sworn.)

JUDGE PRIDGIN: Thank you very much, sir. Please have a seat.

Ms. Hernandez, when you're ready.

KEITH MAJORS testifies as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. HERNANDEZ:

Q. Good morning, Mr. Majors.

A. Good morning.

Q. I believe your credentials were stated in the record during the Iatan part of the hearing; is that correct? Am I understanding that correct? You said your name and occupation and where you're employed and address and all that information?

A. I believe so. Yes.

Q. Okay. So we won't go through that. But you are the same Keith Majors who prepared and caused to be filed direct, rebuttal and surrebuttal in both the KCP&L and GMO cases?

A. I did.

Q. Correct? Okay. Do you have any changes to

make to that testimony today?

A. I do not.

Q. And if I asked you the same questions contained in that testimony, would your answers be substantially the same or exactly the same?

A. They would be the same. Yes.

Q. Okay. And are your answers still true and accurate to the best of your knowledge, information and belief?

A. They are.

Q. Just a few more additional questions. Are you required to comply generally with generally accepted auditing standards as required by the Commission in this case?

A. I am.

Q. And does that -- is it your understanding that that requires you to --

MS. CUNNINGHAM: Objection. Is live direct examination permitted in this case? And if it was, I apologize for making the objection. But it was not my understanding that additional direct testimony would be permitted orally.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: Generally, no.

Ms. Hernandez?

MS. HERNANDEZ: Okay.

Well, then, I will offer at this time --

Let's see. Your direct has already been entered in the cost of service report, so -- in the KCP&L would be rebuttal NP 230, and then surrebuttal HC 231 and NP 231; and then in GMO, 229 rebuttal NP and 230 surrebuttal, HC and NP.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: Okay. My notes are showing that KCPL 230 and 231 have already been admitted.

MS. HERNANDEZ: Okay.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: Let me verify on GMO. Well, it will probably be quicker to simply see if there's any objection.

MR. STEINER: I think there would -- he still has GMO issues to address, so I think we'd like to reserve our ability to raise an objection until he's finished in GMO.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: All right.

Do you still want your offer pending, Ms. Hernandez, or do you want to withdraw and resubmit in the GMO case?

MS. HERNANDEZ: I suppose we could keep it pending, if that's okay.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: That's fine with me.

All right. Anything further before he stands cross?

MS. HERNANDEZ: No. Thank you.
JUDGE PRIDGIN: All right.
Ms. Cunningham?
MS. CUNNINGHAM: No questions. Thank you.
JUDGE PRIDGIN: You have no questions?
All right. Thank you.
Mr. Majors, thank you very much.
(Witness excused.)
MS. HERNANDEZ: Very good.
JUDGE PRIDGIN: All right. We'll then move on to Hawthorn 5. If I'm correct, that would be Mr. Blanc again?
MS. CUNNINGHAM: And Your Honor, might I be permitted a mini opening --
JUDGE PRIDGIN: Yes, ma'am.
MS. CUNNINGHAM: -- at the appropriate time? Thank you.
JUDGE PRIDGIN: Absolutely. Thank you. Whenever you're ready.
MS. CUNNINGHAM: Okay. Thank you. May it please the Commission.
The Hawthorn 5 settlement issue has two components. First, in 2007, we had an outage to replace the catalyst in the selective catalytic reduction system, or SCR, at the Hawthorn 5 coal plant. The outage period was

from February 24th through March 9th, 2007.

We sought reimbursement from Babcock & Wilcox, the vendor who built the SCR, to recover our damages associated with the outage, the majority of which were replacement power costs during the outage.

Our claim resulted in a settlement with B&W in the amount of 2.8 million, which was received in 2007. Now, while 2007 was the test year in our last rate case, we did not seek recovery of the expenses we incurred as a result of the outage, because fuel and purchase power costs are normalized in a rate case.

In addition, the last rate case resulted in a global black box settlement. The outage-related fuel and purchase power costs would have been addressed as part of that settlement.

Second, in 2005, we had a transformer outage at the Hawthorne 5 coal unit. We sought reimbursement from Siemens, the vendor who built the transformer, to recover our damages associated with the outage, almost entirely consisting of replacement power costs during the outage -- roughly 97 percent of those costs.

Our claim resulted in a settlement with Siemens in the amount of $6.7 million, which was received in 2008.

Now, while 2005 was the test year in our 2006

rate case, we did not seek recovery of the expenses we incurred as a result of the transformer outage because, as I had mentioned previously, fuel and purchase power costs are normalized in a rate case.

The order in the 2006 rate case resulted in ordered rates that did not include these unusual and non-recurring costs. In both of these instances, customers never paid the outage-related fuel and purchase power costs. Therefore, it is the Company's position that customers should not be reimbursed in the settlements for costs they did not pay.

Thank you.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: Ms. Cunningham, thank you.

Ms. Hernandez, any mini opening on this?

MS. HERNANDEZ: No.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: Ready to proceed to evidence?

Okay. Mr. Blanc, you are still under oath, sir.

Ms. Cunningham, anything before he stands cross?

MS. CUNNINGHAM: No. And again, I believe this is really the last time he's on this proceeding -- just ensuring that Exhibits -- KCPL Exhibits 7, 8, 9 are in the record, which reflects his testimony -- his direct, rebuttal and surrebuttal.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: Let me double-check. I thought those were just offered.

MS. CUNNINGHAM: I believe they've been offered and accepted. I'm just -- out of an abundance of caution --

JUDGE PRIDGIN: Understood. No. I show KCPL 7 HC and NP, KCPL 8 and KCPL 9 have all been admitted.

MS. CUNNINGHAM: Thank you.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: You're welcome.

MS. CUNNINGHAM: Nothing further, and I would tender Mr. Blanc for cross-examination.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: All right. Thank you.

Ms. Hernandez?

CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. HERNANDEZ:

Q. Good morning.

A. Good morning again.

Q. Do you have any training as an accountant?

A. I have an undergraduate degree in business, and there were accounting classes. I recall three or four accounting classes. But I don't profess to be an accountant, no.

Q. Do you have any training as an auditor?

A. No.

Q. Okay. Are you an expert in determining the cost of service for KCP&L?

A. An expert -- I participate in the ratemaking process and understand how it works. I don't know if that makes me an expert or not in that capacity.

Q. Okay. Have you ever examined the Company's books and records and developed a cost of service run?

A. I participate in that process. But no, I haven't personally done the entire thing. No.

Q. Have you ever been responsible for managing the Company's books and records for liability and asset amounts?

A. No.

Q. Are you aware of the KCP&L rate case ER-2006-0314 or the 2006 rate case?

A. Yes. I am.

Q. Okay. And is it true that the test year for that case was calendar year 2005?

A. That's correct.

Q. And the update period was through June 30th, 2006?

A. I don't -- I know when the True-up was, but I don't know when the update period was.

Q. Okay. Will you agree that the True-up period was through September 30th, 2006?

A. Correct. Yes.

Q. And the effective date of rates was January

1st, 2007?

A. Yes.

Q. Okay. And are you aware of the KCP&L rate case ER-2007-0291, or the 2007 rate case?

A. Yes. I am.

Q. And is it true that the test year for that case was calendar year 2006?

A. That's correct.

Q. Okay. The update period through March 31st, 2007?

A. The same answer. I know when the True-up was. I don't know when the update period was.

Q. Okay. So you agree the True-up period was through September 30th, 2007?

A. Yes.

Q. And effective date of rates of January 1st, 2008?

A. Correct.

Q. Okay. And are you aware of the KCP&L rate case ER-2009-0089 --

A. Yes.

Q. -- or the 2009 rate case?

A. Yeah. Sorry.

Q. Okay. And is it true that the test year for the -- for that case is calendar year 2007?

A. Correct.
Q. I'm assuming you don't know the update period?
A. Same answer. Yes.
Q. Okay. And the True-up period through March 31st, 2009?
A. That's correct.
Q. And effective date of rates of September 1st, 2009?
A. That's correct.
Q. Okay. Is it your understanding that the parties in the ER-2009-0089 case reached a stipulation and agreement that was approved by the Commission?
A. I would say a global comprehensive black box settlement. Yes.
Q. So the specific issues as found in this particular testimony in this case were never determined by the Commission through hearing?
A. No. The parties agreed how they would be handled as part of the global black box settlement.
Q. In that case?
A. Correct. Covering the test year 2007.
Q. Okay. Is it your understanding that the Company and Staff developed their respective revenue requirements in the 2006, 2007 and 2009 cases based on the

order or test years for each case?

A. Is the question if the Company does it and the Staff does it, as well?

Q. Right. I guess you can separate them out, if you need to, to --

A. No, no. Yeah. I'm just making sure I understood the question. Yes.

Q. Yes. Okay. And it included the Company and the Staff?

A. Yes.

Q. Okay. All right. So let's -- some questions on the selective catalytic reduction system. When was the rebuild of the Unit 5 boiler placed in service? Do you remember the date?

A. The SCR we're talking about would have been 2001. I think the boiler -- you're asking about the boiler or the SCR?

Q. The SCR.

A. 2001, I believe.

Q. And would you agree that the rebuild failed among the slip tests in 2001 and 2004?

A. I would agree that they didn't meet the contractual provisions, but the in-service criteria for the SCR was not affected.

Q. And then in 2004, the parts per million

standard for the slip test were lowered by a memorandum of understanding on the performance of the Hawthorn Unit 5 release of contract retentions and posting of an irrevocable letter of credit between KCP&L and B&W?

A. You're going to have to repeat that question. I apologize. It was just too fast.

Q. Okay. Is it your understanding that in 2004 the parts per million standards for the slip test were lowered by a memorandum of understanding -- and that is the long name of the document -- on the performance of the Hawthorn Unit 5 release of contract retentions and posting of an irrevocable letter of credit entered into between KCP&L and B&W?

A. I don't know about the irrevocable letter of credit piece. But I am aware that the standards were changed in a contract, and that did not impact the in-service criteria for the SCR.

Q. Now, your rebuttal testimony, Page 49, Line 2 --

A. One moment, please. I'm sorry. Page 49, Line 2?

Q. Correct.

A. Yes. I'm there.

Q. That -- your testimony in there states that the damages awarded to the Company were primarily for

purchase power costs, as well as to reimburse KCP&L for increased ammonia consumption, increased catalyst cleaning, and increased frequency of catalyst replacements; is that correct?

A. That's correct.

Q. Okay.

MS. HERNANDEZ: Did you say the latest exhibit number was going to be 292?

JUDGE PRIDGIN: Correct [sic].

MS. HERNANDEZ: Okay.

Could you mark this?

(Wherein; Staff Exhibit no. KCP&L 293 HC was marked for identification.)

MR. STEINER: Jennifer, can you make this HC?

MS. HERNANDEZ: Sure.

BY MS. HERNANDEZ:

Q. Do you recognize this document?

A. Yes. I believe I've seen it at one time. I believe it's the memorandum of understanding you were asking me about earlier.

Q. And are -- so you're familiar with the document?

A. I've read it at one time, but it's been a while. I couldn't quote from it.

Q. Do you need to review it -- some time to

review it? Because we'll have a couple questions --

A. It just depends what the questions are.

Q. And it was HC.

MS. HERNANDEZ: I probably should ask to be in camera.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: One moment, please.

(REPORTER'S NOTE: At this point, an in-camera session was held, which is at Volume 35, pages 3675 to 3676.)

JUDGE PRIDGIN: We are in public forum.

BY MS. HERNANDEZ:

Q. Okay. So the recurring SCR costs are part of the test year in this case. Would you agree with that? If you're having -- you stated earlier that these costs are ongoing.

A. Right. They --

Q. Those increased costs.

A. -- they would go on for the life of the unit. But I guess why I'm stopping is that the extent to which they would be normalized out immediately, I guess the real question is if it's a long-term impact to the unit -- if it's for the life of the unit, then it would, in my mind, eventually show up in rates. But immediately, it would be normalized out in the near term.

Q. Increased expenses would be taken out of this rate case? Is that what your testimony is?

A. If they were abnormal, what normalization is intended to do is to take out abnormal fuel expense, payroll -- take your pick, anything abnormal -- and as long as these continue to be abnormal, they would be normalized out. But if they continued over the life of the unit, then at some point they wouldn't be normalized out.

Q. If today the B&W proceeds remained above the line, as originally recorded by the Company, would this

offset to expense be considered as part of the Company's revenue requirement in this case?

A. As part of this case?

Q. Yes.

A. No. The -- both the expenses incurred and the settlement proceeds were both received during the test year of our last rate case, which is why we think it was addressed in a settlement of the last case.

Q. But that case was -- as you testified earlier, was stipulated to, a global settlement?

A. Sure. And I would suspect resolving all issues for that test year. Yes.

Q. Is there also a paragraph in that stipulation and agreement that states that there's no particular ratemaking treatment determination?

A. I don't have it in front of me. I know that's typical boilerplate to that effect.

Q. Is there any policy that requires the Company to remove and recharacterize the type of entry that the company put on its books to below the line prior to filing a rate case?

A. Generally, just as we did here, if customers didn't incur the costs associated with either insurance proceeds or settlement proceeds, we would remove both. That's what we did here.

Q. Would you agree that KCP&L employees were involved in litigating and obtaining a settlement agreement for the SCR performance issues?

A. Yes. KCPL employees would have been involved. Yes.

Q. And how does the Company pay for the costs of employee wages?

A. They're included in rates. But I think the question was, were there any incremental additional costs? And the answer to that would be no.

Q. That's not the question I asked.

So is it correct to say that there was customer support in the procurement of settlement proceeds acquired by the Company?

A. Customer support? I'm sorry. I don't understand what you mean.

Q. Customer support through the payment of rates.

A. Oh, I thought -- as I said before in my earlier answer, there were no incremental additional costs they would have paid. But they -- yes, the salaries of our employees are included in rates.

Q. And do you have an opinion on how it would be that the Staff has not captured these increased costs in rates after four rate cases, since 2005?

A. I'm sorry. I don't understand the question.

Q. Well, you stated earlier in your testimony that the Company has experienced increased maintenance costs due to the standard of the SCR.

A. Yes.

Q. Is that -- is that a fair characterization --

A. And in --

Q. -- of your testimony?

A. Increased catalyst and ammonia. Yes.

Q. Okay.

A. That's what I said.

Q. I guess the question is: If you've had those increased costs, how the Staff -- you're claiming that the customers never paid in the rates. How could it be that the Staff has not captured these increased costs in rates after the Company has had four rate cases since 2005?

A. I think there are two parts to that answer. The first would be that the settlement proceeds were primarily for purchase power, not for those increased costs you discussed. But two, to the extent there are increased costs, the reason you normalize -- the reason the normalization process occurs is if we have a one-time event where something costs more and it just happens to be during a test year, it would be inappropriate to include that in rates as a long-term cost to customers. If it's a one-time

event, that's why we normalize -- to make sure customers don't get penalized from a one-time event that happens to occur during the test year.

Q. But did you not testify that these increased maintenance costs over the life -- expected life of this plant, you would consider those recurring?

A. They could be, over time. It just depends if the ammonia improves or not. And that gets to the real, I guess, heart of the issue: The issue here in this case is how the settlement proceeds should be treated. And what you're describing as the long-term ammonia costs have nothing to do with that.

That would be the Company's ongoing fuel costs. If Staff, for example, thought the Company's fuel costs were unreasonably high at some point in the future because of this, that they could always raise that. Absolutely. But that's not the issue in this case.

Q. All right. If you can just keep in mind the rate case -- the rate cases that we discussed earlier and those periods -- the test year --

A. Uh-huh.

Q. -- and the update -- or not the update, but the True-up. Would you agree that the higher costs for the transformer failure were normalized in the last three rate cases?

A. Okay. Just to be clear, we're shifting from the SCR to --

Q. Yes.

A. -- the transformer? Okay.

Q. Yes.

A. Just to make sure I followed the subject change. Could you re-ask the question? I apologize.

Q. Would you agree that the higher costs for the transformer failure were normalized in the last three rate cases?

A. No. Again, what we're talking about here -- and in the example of the transformer failure, it's even clearer -- that the damages -- the reimbursement were for purchase power expenses.

As Ms. Cunningham said in the opening -- and it's correct -- 97 percent of the proceeds we received were to recover costs for replacement power during the two outages -- the one when the transformer initially failed and we had to -- found a backup transformer, and then there was a second one when we replaced the backup transformer with a permanent step-up transformer.

So the replacement power during those two outages is what the settlement proceeds were for.

Q. But the answer to my question, would you agree that -- is that a no, I guess?

A. Yeah. The customers --

Q. A qualified no?

A. -- customers would not have paid those costs. No. Those replacement power costs would have never been borne by customers.

Q. But would you agree that the higher costs for the transformer -- my question was: Would you agree that the higher costs for the transformer failure were normalized in the last three rate cases?

A. Sure. And that's why it would have been removed. Yeah. The purpose power cost I'm talking about, that's -- normalization is the process by which they would be removed. And that's actually to protect customers. To not remove it would say it's a normal test year expense, and that would be the same as saying we expected a catastrophic transformer failure to occur every year and expect customers to pay for that, and that wouldn't be appropriate.

Q. So you would not agree that since the transformer failed in August 2005 the Company has incurred higher fuel and purchase power costs that would have been put in the 2000 rate case and customers would have started paying on January 1st, 2007?

A. I would not agree with that. Those costs were normalized out, or they were removed by normalization. And this one is clear because you don't have the ammonia and

catalyst issue that does admittedly make the SCR issue more complicated. Here it's purchase power.

Q. Would you agree that the 2007 rate case included any higher costs for the transformer failure?

A. No. We've never sought from customers the increased purchase power costs associated with those outages -- those two outages.

Q. Okay. But the 2007 rate case used a test year of 2006. Correct?

A. It did.

Q. And the new transformer was installed in June 2006?

A. Let me see if I have that date. Yes. The first outage we discussed when the backup transformer was put in place was in 2005. That outage was from August 29th through September 29th, 2005.

And to your point, that would have been during the test year of the 0314 case. And had we not normalized and removed those costs, it would have been requested. But those were not requested.

And the second outage, to your question, occurred during the 2006 test year of the 0291 case. And the same answer: Had we not normalized and removed those costs, we would have asked for them. And it would have been inappropriate to ask for them.

Q. So you would not agree that the higher fuel costs through those increased Hawthorne 5 outages occurred in the -- in -- would have been part of the 2000 rate case by virtue of the use of an average outage schedule in the fuel model?

A. No. Something that extraordinary would not be captured. I mean, that was a large unanticipated, unusual, non-recurring -- to use the words the Commission has used in the past -- event.

Q. So let me just make sure I understand your testimony. You're saying that those outages would not have been factored into the fuel model?

A. No. Something that catastrophic -- at the risk of using too dramatic a word -- something that severe and impactful would not be captured in that. That would -- that would imply that that's -- that we expect something like that to happen on a normal basis, and that is certainly not the case.

Q. Have you ever conducted an analysis in the fuel and purchase power area for ratemaking purposes?

A. Have I personally; no. But our fuels group did, to evaluate and quantify what the purchase power costs associated were with these two events -- both the SCR and the transformer failure.

Q. But the question was: Have you in --

A. Oh, I personally; no.

Q. Okay. Do you -- so if you've never participated in the analysis -- I'll ask this question anyway -- do you know how fuel and purchase power costs are determined in the ratemaking process?

A. The normalization process we just discussed. And it's to protect customers, to make sure they don't continue to pay for an isolated one-time event that happened to occur during the test year.

Q. Okay. Now, do you remember your testimony -- you said that the purchase power costs were abnormal, so they would not have been reflected in rates. Is that a fair characterization?

A. Correct.

Q. Okay. Did the Company make an adjustment to remove the abnormal purchase power costs?

A. Yes. We did. And I guess that's -- that normalization process we were just talking about, that the test year period, to your point, the -- per the additional purchase power costs occurred during the 2005 test year with respect to the first outage, and in the 2006 test year, with respect to the second outage. And had we not normalized and effectively removed those, we would have asked for them. But we did normalize and they were removed.

MS. HERNANDEZ: I have an HC question, Your

Honor.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: Just one moment, please.

(REPORTER'S NOTE: At this point, an in-camera session was held, which is at Volume 35, pages 3698 to 3702.)

JUDGE PRIDGIN: All right. We're back in public forum.

THE WITNESS: Yeah. I'm not aware of the precise mechanism there, but I know it's the same general process to do an averaging over time so nothing abnormal gets reflected in rates.

BY MS. HERNANDEZ:

Q. Okay. Have you ever conducted the analysis of a maintenance -- the analysis of maintenance costs for ratemaking purposes?

A. No. I have not.

Q. Okay. Have you ever proposed adjustments in a rate case to normalize maintenance costs?

A. Have I ever proposed adjustments?

Q. Yes.

A. I don't believe so. No.

Q. Okay. And have you ever proposed adjustments in a rate case for fuel costs?

A. Other than the extent you could argue that the current issue we're talking about is, but other than this, no.

Q. The same question: Have you ever proposed adjustments in a rate case for purchase power costs?

A. It would be the same answer. Other than what we're talking about here, no.

Q. Thank you for your time. I know that was somewhat lengthy.

A. Not a problem.

Q. That's all I have.

A. Thank you.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: Thank you.

Redirect?

MS. CUNNINGHAM: Just a few questions. Thank you.

REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. CUNNINGHAM:

Q. Mr. Blanc, do you recall early on in your cross-examination by Ms. Hernandez questions related to time frames of prior rate cases --

A. I do.

Q. -- the test year, True-up period, that type of thing?

A. I do.

Q. Do you recall there has been some discussion with regard to the last rate case about the global black box settlement process?

A. I recall those questions. Yeah.

Q. Okay. In your opinion, is it appropriate for parties to relitigate in later rate cases issues that were part of a prior settlement?

A. No. And in this case, I guess especially in

this case, it makes -- it's clearer in the sense that you don't have either the expense or the reimbursement, one happening inside the test year and the other happening outside of test year.

In this case, the expenses were incurred in the test year for the case, and the reimbursement was received in the test year for the case. So based on that, I would have fully assumed it to be encompassed within the global black box settlement.

Q. By definition, are specific issues -- and I -- I'm assuming there could be some rare exceptions. But with regard to a global black box settlement, by definition, would you agree that individual expense items issues are specifically not itemized in the settlement?

A. Yeah. And that's usually the idea behind it. It's easier for the parties to agree to a number, than go issue by issue and agree to a value for each particular issue.

Q. Okay. Thank you. You were asked a number of questions about the catalyst outage. Do you recall those questions, generally?

A. I do.

Q. And I believe that you indicated the Company, in fact, incurred additional fuel and purchase power costs as a result of the catalyst outage. Is -- am I remembering

your testimony correctly?

A. That's correct.

Q. And those were real costs to the Company that they had to pay; is that right?

A. Absolutely.

Q. Did ratepayers pay for those extra costs?

A. No. Because of the normalization process we've been discussing, we removed those as an abnormal event. And that was appropriate. Because if we included those, it would be -- we would be asking customers to pay that for as long as rate -- those rates were effective, and that wouldn't be appropriate.

Q. So did shareholders receive a windfall by recording the settlement as an offset to expenses?

A. No. Absolutely not. In fact, the settlement didn't fully reimburse the Company for its expenses.

Q. You were asked a number of questions about KCPL Exhibit 294. And this is the Data Request Number 0133. Do you still have that in front of you?

A. I do.

Q. Okay. And do you recall that you were asked to read the third paragraph into the record?

A. I do.

Q. Okay. Could I get you to turn to your rebuttal testimony at Page 49 in the KCPL case.

A. I am there.

Q. Okay. Could I get you to look at Lines 5 and 6 of your rebuttal testimony. And in looking that -- in that testimony, did you in fact acknowledge in testimony that as a result of this outage, the company incurred increased ammonia consumption, increased catalyst cleaning -- cleaning, and increased frequency of catalyst replacements?

A. Yes.

Q. Okay. And that appears in your testimony?

A. Yes, it does; on Page 49.

Q. Thank you. Just one other question with regard to the questions related to the transformer outage. You mentioned on several occasions insurance proceeds. And did you mean to say insurance proceeds, or did you mean to say settlement proceeds? Or are there two different categories?

A. They're two different. The issue that's being litigated in this case are the settlement proceeds. But my understanding is that there were insurance proceeds. And question -- I don't know how the exact two amounts compare, but that they were booked basically as a credit against any increased capital costs associated with the new transformer.

Q. The insurance proceeds?

A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Thank you.
MS. CUNNINGHAM: That's all I have.
JUDGE PRIDGIN: All right.
Mr. Blanc, thank you very much.
(Witness excused.)
JUDGE PRIDGIN: Are we ready for Ms. Lyons?
MS. HERNANDEZ: Your Honor, I don't know if I offered 293 and 294.
JUDGE PRIDGIN: I don't show that you did.
MS. HERNANDEZ: I would like to do that at this time.
JUDGE PRIDGIN: And they're both HC?
MS. CUNNINGHAM: No objection.
MS. HERNANDEZ: Yes, Your Honor.
JUDGE PRIDGIN: Any objection?
MS. CUNNINGHAM: No objection.
JUDGE PRIDGIN: KCPL 293 HC and KCPL 294 HC are admitted.
(Wherein; Staff Exhibit Nos. KCP&L 293 HC and KCP&L 294 HC were received into evidence.)
JUDGE PRIDGIN: Are we ready for Ms. Lyons?
MS. CUNNINGHAM: Your Honor, the Company is waiving cross on both of Staff's witness -- witnesses on this issue. So if there's any need to put testimony into

the record, we are agreeable to waiving that in at this time. And they do not have to stand for cross-examination, unless you yourself have questions of them.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: I have no questions of either witness.

MS. HERNANDEZ: Okay. So do you need the exhibit numbers, then?

JUDGE PRIDGIN: Let me see what I've got. I have Ms. Lyons's rebuttal as KCPL 228, Lyons surrebuttal as KCPL 229.

MS. HERNANDEZ: And I believe there's one that's marked -- there should be -- it's marked HC and NP -- the rebuttal.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: You're correct --

MS. HERNANDEZ: Okay.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: -- on both, actually. I see that. Thank you.

MS. HERNANDEZ: So there will be two versions for each number, I guess, if that's how it's -- the numbers are working.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: Correct.

MS. HERNANDEZ: Okay.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: And --

MS. HERNANDEZ: And GMO -- oh, I'm sorry. It's not GMO. So that's all we have.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: That's all I have for KCPL for Ms. Lyons.

And then Mr. Featherstone is -- I would show 215, 216 and 217, all public.

And just to verify, I don't know that it's been offered. It's probably safer to --

MS. HERNANDEZ: The rebuttal is not -- that -- you're correct. It's not marked HC. And then surrebuttal is not marked that, either, so --

JUDGE PRIDGIN: Okay.

Ms. Hernandez, did you want to offer KCPL 228 NP and HC, 229 NP and HC, and then 215, 216 and 217?

MS. HERNANDEZ: Yes. That -- those are correct.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: All right. Those have been offered. Any objection?

MS. CUNNINGHAM: No objection.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: Okay. KCPL 228 NP and HC is admitted. KCPL 229 NP and HC is admitted. KCPL 215, KCPL 216, KCPL 217 are all admitted.

(Wherein; Staff Exhibit Nos. KCP&L 228 HC, KCP&L 228 NP, KCP&L 229 HC, KCP&L 229 NP, KCP&L 215, KCP&L 216 and KCP&L 217 were received into evidence.)

JUDGE PRIDGIN: And I understand -- Ms. Cunningham, you have no cross-examination for Ms. Lyons

or for Mr. Featherstone?

MS. CUNNINGHAM: That is correct.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: Okay. Is there anything, Ms. Hernandez, you needed to elicit from these witnesses?

MS. HERNANDEZ: If we've entered their testimony, I believe that's --

JUDGE PRIDGIN: Yeah. Their --

MS. HERNANDEZ: -- that's all. So --

JUDGE PRIDGIN: -- their prefiled has been offered and admitted. So there's nothing further from these witnesses?

MS. HERNANDEZ: You didn't have any changes to your testimony?

MS. LYONS: I have no changes to my testimony.

MS. HERNANDEZ: Oh, I'm okay.

JUDGe PRIDGIN: I'm sorry. Let -- Ms. Lyons, let me administer an oath, please.

(Witness sworn.)

MS. HERNANDEZ: I apologize.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: No. No problem.

KAREN LYONS testifies as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. HERNANDEZ:

Q. What correction did you need to make to your testimony?

A. In my surrebuttal testimony, on Page 53, Lines 14 through 17 need to be removed.

Q. What page again? I'm sorry.

A. 53.

Q. And the lines?

A. 14 through 17.

Q. Is there any other changes you would like to make?

A. No.

MS. HERNANDEZ: I guess with that adjustment, I need to reoffer it, or is -- there could just be an understanding to strike that portion.

MS. CUNNINGHAM: No objection, if that's how you'd like to handle it.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: All right.

Anything further?

MS. HERNANDEZ: I believe that's all.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: All right. Thank you.

MS. HERNANDEZ: Thank you.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: Cross-examination?

MS. CUNNINGHAM: None.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: All right. Thank you.

Ms. Lyons, thank you very much.

(Witness excused.)

JUDGE PRIDGIN: And does counsel want to

inquire if Mr. Featherstone has any corrections or --

MS. HERNANDEZ: There's no corrections to Mr. Featherstone's testimony.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: All right.

And I understand no cross-examination; is that correct --

MS. CUNNINGHAM: That is --

JUDGE PRIDGIN: -- Ms. Cunningham?

MS. CUNNINGHAM: -- correct. Thank you.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: All right. Thank you.

Mr. Featherstone, thank you.

And I show as the last witness LED lighting.

And did I understand from counsel that you might have some sort of agreement on that?

MS. HERNANDEZ: Yes. I -- if we could just take a short maybe five, ten-minute break, just to review some language? And then --

JUDGE PRIDGIN: Certainly.

MS. HERNANDEZ: -- I believe we'll be able to go back on the record.

JUDgE PRIDGIN: All right. We'll break until 11:55.

MS. HERNANDEZ: Thank you.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: You're welcome.

(A short break was taken.)

JUDGE PRIDGIN: All right. Good morning. We're back on the record.

I've conferred with counsel while off the record, and it's my understanding that KCP&L and Staff are working on some language on how to resolve the LED lighting dispute, and would like a little bit of time during lunch to deal with that, and that --

I understand there aren't any more witnesses to put on the stand, but after lunch, the parties would like to address the LED issue and how they -- how they propose to resolve that, and also will have some exhibits they would probably like to offer into evidence.

Did I -- did I state counsels' preference correctly?

MR. STEINER: That's right.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: Okay. And it would be my preference to break for lunch until approximately one o'clock.

Is there anything further from counsel before we adjourn for lunch?

MS. HERNANDEZ: No, thank you.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: All right. In that case, we will go back on the record at one o'clock. Thank you. We're off the record.

(A short break was taken.)

JUDGE PRIDGIN: Good afternoon. We are back on the record. As we adjourned -- excuse me -- I understood that the only remaining issue was LED lighting, and that over lunch, the parties were going to discuss if they could resolve that issue.

Does counsel have an announcement?

MS. HERNANDEZ: I believe we do, Your Honor. At this time, I'd just like to read into the record, if I may, some pending language -- settlement language that still needs to go through the final approval of the division directors here at the Commission.

But I guess I can also say that if anything would -- if this language wouldn't be finalized, that we would just move it to be tried in the GMO case. But --

JUDGE PRIDGIN: Okay.

MS. HERNANDEZ: -- all else -- it's expected that it will -- that this settlement language will be accepted.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: Okay.

MS. HERNANDEZ: I have no indication that it won't be, so -- and it's okay to read it at this time?

JUDGE PRIDGIN: Certainly.

MS. HERNANDEZ: Okay.

Both KCP&L and GMO agree that they shall file by the end of the calendar year 2012 either a LED lighting

tariff or when the Company anticipate filing such LED tariff. Also by the end of calendar year 2012, both KCP&L and GMO shall file the results of its LED study, which shall include a review of potential LED lighting health issues.

And that's --

JUDGE PRIDGIN: Ms. Hernandez --

MS. HERNANDEZ: -- that's the end of the settlement language.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: Okay.

MR. STEINER: The companies agree with that language.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: Okay. So I understand that the parties will either agree to this, or if they are unable to agree to it, that this would be tried in the GMO case?

MS. HERNANDEZ: That's my understanding.

MR. STEINER: Yeah. We're okay with the language. My understanding, the division directors and Staff haven't approved it yet. So if they approve it, then I think we have agreement. And if not, we'll try to get a new agreement or we'll try the issue in the GMO case.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: All right.

Any objection or comment?

All right. As I understand, we don't have any issues left to try; is that correct? In the KCPL case.

MR. STEINER: That's correct.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: All right. Is there anything further from counsel?

MR. STEINER: Would you mind, Your Honor, reading -- or letting us know which prefiled testimony exhibits you have admitted, and we could check that against our records.

And then I know there's some prefiled testimony to the stipulations that has not been put in yet, and we'd like to do that at this time.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: All right. I will be glad to go through my list. It is because we jumped back and forth, my list is not going to be pretty or perfect. But I am glad to go through --

MR. STEINER: Or if there's --

JUDGE PRIDGIN: -- it for anyone.

MR. STEINER: -- if there's a different way of -- whatever is easiest for you. We just -- I know there's testimony that hasn't been admitted yet because issues are settled.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: Correct. What I think I can do is go through -- if you'd like, Mr. Steiner, I'll just go through the KCPL exhibits that I have as admitted.

MR. STEINER: And we're just talking about prefiled testimony, not --

JUDGE PRIDGIN: Correct.

MR. STEINER: -- not hearing exhibits. Got you.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: Yes. Yes. I'm sorry. Yes. Prefiled testimony.

And I'm glad to do the same for any other party as well.

Okay. I show Exhibits 18, 19 and 20 as admitted. Those were Mr. Davis's prefiled testimonies.

5 and 6 are admitted; Mr. Bell's.

24 and 25; Mr. Giles, those are admitted.

21 and 22; Mr. Downey's, are admitted.

50 through 53; Mr. Roberts, are admitted.

46; Dr. Nielsen's are admitted.

Number 4; Mr. Archibald's are admitted.

43 through 45; Mr. Meyers, are admitted.

27 through 29; Dr. Hadaway's, are admitted.

And I'm -- I'm only going through KCP&L's. Is that sufficient?

MR. STEINER: We also -- there also were joint issues with KCPL/GMO we'll also need to do after this, or while we're doing this.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: Okay. Let me stick with KCPL --

MR. STEINER: Okay.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: -- and then I'll go back to

GMO.

KCPL 11 and 12; Mr. Cline's, are admitted.

KCPL 1, 2, 3; Mr. Alberts, are admitted.

Number 26; Mr. Goble's, is admitted.

Number 10, Mr. Blanc's, is admitted.

15; Mr. Crawford's, is admitted. And 16 and 17 as well.

63, 64, 65, Weisensee's, are all admitted.

58; Schnitzer's, is admitted.

35, 36 and 37; Mr. Ives', is admitted.

54, 55 -- I don't show 56 as being admitted -- 57 is admitted.

MR. FISCHER: Is that Tim Rush?

JUDGE PRIDGIN: Correct.

63, 64 and 65 are admitted. That's Weisensee's. We may have gone over those before.

MR. STEINER: Okay.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: I believe those are all the KCPL prefiled testimonies that I have admitted.

MR. STEINER: I think we did Blanc earlier today. That would be --

JUDGE PRIDGIN: 7, 8 and 9?

MR. STEINER: 7, 8, 9.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: Well, if I remember the number that quickly, I believe you're correct. I must have

overlooked that.

Let me verify that. I'm sure that we have, because I recalled the number so quickly.

MR. STEINER: If not, I'll move for admission now.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: Just let me verify. I -- my guess is I'm simply skimming my notes and missing it.

They have been admitted.

MR. STEINER: Okay.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: Anything else on KCPL only?

MR. STEINER: There's a couple of witnesses, their issues settled and we'd like to offer them into the record at this time.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: Okay.

MR. STEINER: The direct and rebuttal testimony of Greg Clizer, that's KCPL-13 and KCPL-14.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: All right.

MR. STEINER: Do you want me to just list them all, or do you want to go one by one?

JUDGE PRIDGIN: I think you can just list them all if you'd like.

MR. STEINER: Okay. Next would be the rebuttal testimony, both HC and NP, of Ellen Fairchild.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: That's 23?

MR. STEINER: And -- sorry. That is Exhibit

KCPL-23.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: All right.

MR. STEINER: And for the rebuttal and surrebuttal testimony of Terry Hedrick, which is KCPL-32 and 33.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: All right.

MR. STEINER: The rebuttal testimony of William Herdegen, which is KCPL-34.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: Okay.

MR. STEINER: The direct, rebuttal and surrebuttal testimony of Larry Loos, which is KCPL-39, 40 and 41. 41 has HC and NP.

The direct testimony of George McCollister, which is KCPL-42.

The direct, rebuttal and surrebuttal of Paul Normand, which is KCPL-47 HC and NP and KCPL-48 and 49.

The direct of John Spanos, which is KCPL-59.

The rebuttal and surrebuttal of Spanos, which is KCPL-60 and 61.

And the direct of Kenneth Vogl, which is KCPL-62.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: And you're offering those at this time?

MR. STEINER: Offering those at this time.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: Any objections?

MR. WOODSMALL: Your Honor, I'd just note, Mr. Normand is associated with the class cost of service issue, and that was subject of a non-unanimous stipulation.

I have no objection pending that stipulation being approved. If somehow that's rejected, then I don't want to infer that I'm waiving my rights to cross-examine him later.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: Okay. What I can do is show that admitted, but show that you have not waived the objection, and that if the stipulation is not approved, that you have not waived your rights to cross-examine.

Is that what I'm understanding?

MR. WOODSMALL: That's correct. Thank you.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: Okay. You're welcome.

MR. STEINER: And Your Honor, I have one more. It was rebuttal testimony of Tim Rush, KCPL-56.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: Thank you.

Any objection to that?

MS. HERNANDEZ: Can I clarify, Your Honor? Is this just the testimony that's part of the stipulation and agreement -- all the names that you listed?

MR. STEINER: Right.

MS. HERNANDEZ: Okay.

MR. STEINER: Right.

MS. HERNANDEZ: I guess I would just echo for

Staff the same objection as --

JUDGE PRIDGIN: Okay.

MS. HERNANDEZ: -- Mr. Woodsmall.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: And that is noted. All right. Thank you.

Anything else? Okay.

MR. STEINER: I would like to do GMO, as well.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: Okay. Let me show those exhibits -- let me read through them to show them admitted.

Pending an objection from Mr. Woodsmall and Ms. Hernandez simply on the stipulation and agreement in case it's not approved --

MR. MILLS: Well, and same here.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: And Mr. Mills as well.

The following KCP&L exhibits are admitted: 13, 14, 23 NP and HC, 32, 33, 34, 39, 40, 41 NP and HC, 42, 47 NP and HC, 48, 49, 59, 60, 61, 62 and 56.

(Wherein; KCP&L Exhibit Nos. KCP&L-13, KCP&L-14, KCP&L-23 HC, KCP&L-23 NP, KCP&L-32, KCP&L-33, KCP&L-34, KCP&L-39, KCP&L-40, KCP&L-41 HC, KCP&L-41 NP, KCP&L-42, KCP&L-47 HC, KCP&L-47 NP, KCP&L-48, KCP&L-49, KCP&L-59, KCP&L-60, KCP&L-61, KCP&L-62, and KCP&L-56 were received into evidence.)

JUDGE PRIDGIN: And then Mr. Steiner, you

wanted to go through GMO as well?

MR. STEINER: That's right.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: All right. I show GMO 15, 16 and 17 are admitted; Dr. Hadaway's testimony.

GMO 9; Mr. Cline's testimony, is admitted.

GMO 1, 2 and 3; Mr. Alberts' testimony, is all admitted.

GMO 14; Mr. Goble's testimony, is admitted.

GMO 7 NP and HC, that's Mr. Blanc's testimony, that's admitted.

GMO 10, Mr. Crawford's direct testimony NP and HC, is admitted.

GMO 42, 43 and 44, Mr. Weisensee's testimony, is admitted.

GMO 23 and 24, Mr. Ives' direct and rebuttal, is admitted.

GMO 32 NP and HC, 33 and 35 are admitted. Those are Mr. Rush's direct, rebuttal and surrebuttal -- but not the rebuttal on rate design.

GMO 42 through 44 -- I think that's a repeat -- Mr. Weisensee's, is admitted.

I believe that's all I have.

MR. STEINER: Okay. There's a couple -- there's several that won't be admitted until next week when the GMO issues are tried. But there are testimony that at

least settled that's KCPL/GMO issues that I would like to get admitted at this time.

MR. WOODSMALL: Can we wait on those? Because I just don't know which testimonies go with the -- the issues that are still live for two weeks from now. So I --

MR. STEINER: Well, this is the end of the KCPL. This is the last day of KCPL. Next week is GMO only, supposedly. So --

MR. WOODSMALL: Next week is a week off.

MR. STEINER: Huh?

MR. MILLS: Next week is a week off.

MR. STEINER: Not next week, the week -- so, I mean, none of this has to do with issues that are being tried next week, is what I'm saying. This is all like --

MR. WOODSMALL: These are joint issues --

MR. STEINER: Joint issues.

MR. WOODSMALL: Okay.

MR. STEINER: -- that got settled --

MR. WOODSMALL: Sorry.

MR. STEINER: These are Crossroads issue, that's -- we're not offering that now.

MR. WOODSMALL: Okay. I'm with you.

MR. STEINER: The rate design we're not offering now. Okay.

The rebuttal testimony of Ellen Fairchild, GMO-13. I'll just read these off.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: Thank you.

MR. STEINER: Rebuttal testimony of William Herdegen, GMO-22.

Rebuttal testimony of Ron Klote, GMO-26.

Direct of George McCollister, GMO-27.

John Spanos, direct and rebuttal and surrebuttals, GMO-38, 39 and 40.

And direct testimony of Kenneth Vogl, GMO-41.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: Are those all the GMO exhibits you wish to offer at this time, Mr. Steiner?

MR. STEINER: That's right.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: All right.

May I ask counsel, do you have the same objection to these as you did to the earlier KCP&L?

MR. WOODSMALL: Given Mr. Steiner's representation that they don't affect any of the issues still pending in the GMO case, subject to that, no objections.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: All right.

MR. MILLS: But I have the same objection with respect to the stipulations and agreements. If the Commission doesn't accept those, we'll have to go into hearing. Then we want to be able to cross-examine.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: Understood. All right.

With those objections pending, and pending Commission approval, the stipulation and agrement that have been filed, I will show GMO Exhibits 13, 22, 26, 27, 38, 39, 40 and 41 admitted.

(Wherein; KCP&L Exhibit Exhibit Nos. GMO-13, GMO-22, GMO-26, GMO-27, GMO-38, GMO-39, GMO-40 and GMO-41 were received into evidence.)

JUDGE PRIDGIN: And Mr. Steiner, any other exhibits you wanted to --

MR. STEINER: Yes. Mr. Wagner asked me if I could offer his testimony into the record, since we've settled his issue, so he wouldn't have to drive down here.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: All right.

MR. STEINER: I'd like to do that at this time.

He has -- I don't know his numbers, but he has in both cases direct, rebuttal and surrebuttal testimony.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: Let me see if I have his numbers handy. I beg the parties' indulgence. I think I can get those pretty quickly.

MR. FISCHER: Judge, I have -- while we're waiting, there's -- on the order establishing the blocks of exhibit numbers, beginning at 2801.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: Mr. Fischer, thank you.

So this would be -- I'm sorry. Mr. Wagner is correct.

MR. FISCHER: He has direct, but he has them in both cases, 355 and 356, so --

JUDGE PRIDGIN: I show both KCPL 2801 and GMO 2801.

MR. FISCHER: Okay.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: And any other exhibits for Mr. Wagner?

MR. STEINER: He has rebuttal and he has surrebuttal.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: Okay. So we'll label KCP&L 2802, GMO 2802; KCP&L 2803, GMO 2803.

Mr. Steiner, you're offering all of those on behalf of Mr. Wagner, with his consent?

MR. STEINER: Right. And I'd also like to reserve an objection if the stipulation isn't approved that I'd like to be able to object, just like Mr. Woodsmall did earlier.

MR. WOODSMALL: You're objecting to your own offer?

MR. STEINER: I am. It's a first.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: I don't think I've heard that one either. But I'll give you the same objection that the

other parties have.

Any other objections on those exhibits?

All right. KCP&L 2801, 2802, 2803 are admitted. GMO 2801, 2802, 2803 are admitted.

(Wherein; Wagner Exhibit Nos. KCP&L 2801, KCP&L 2802, KCP&L 2803, GMO 2801, GMO 2802, and GMO 2803 were received into evidence.)

JUDGE PRIDGIN: Mr. Steiner, anything further?

MR. STEINER: I think I'm done. Thank you very much.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: You're quite welcome.

I'll do the same for Staff, other counsel, any other party.

Whenever --

MS. HERNANDEZ: Appreciate it.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: Whenever you're ready.

Mr. Mills?

MR. MILLS: Sure. I'll go ahead.

Actually, I've got a couple of twists in mine. Yesterday, I offered and I believe you received the direct and surrebuttal testimony of Ted Robertson in the KCPL case.

I inadvertently gave the court reporter the 0356 testimony. So I'd simply like to swap copies with her.

But those were already admitted.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: All right.

MR. MILLS: And then in the KCPL case, the direct testimony of Barbara Meisenheimer on cost of service rate design, I'd like to offer that with this -- with the understanding that that -- that the rate design cost of service stipulation and agreement has not been approved by the Commission, and so the parties, of course, will be able to cross-examine her should that not be approved or for whatever reason fall apart.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: And this is KCPL 403, if I'm not mistaken? Her direct. Correct?

MR. MILLS: This is her direct.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: All right.

MR. MILLS: Yes.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: I show it marked as KCPL 403. And I'm sorry. Are you only offering her direct for now?

MR. MILLS: At this time, that is all -- I'm offering her direct on cost of service rate design. And then -- well, I've misplaced my list here. I would also like to offer her rebuttal on rate design and class cost of service in the 0355 case.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: And I show that as KCPL 405. That's --

MR. MILLS: That's --

JUDGE PRIDGIN: -- not revenue requirement?
MR. MILLS: That's correct.
JUDGE PRIDGIN: All right.
MR. MILLS: And with respect to the -- her direct -- I mean -- I'm sorry -- her rebuttal revenue requirement, it's my understanding that Empire either has objections or a series of voir dire questions for Ms. Meisenheimer. And because of the weather I have reached an agreement with Diana Carter that I will not offer that until sometime when she's able to be here, so she --
JUDGE PRIDGIN: All right.
MR. MILLS: -- can make those objections or do the voir dire. So with your indulgence, we may have to hold that over until the GMO case and then take it up then.
JUDGE PRIDGIN: Okay. So KCPL 403 and KCPL 405 are offered; is that correct?
MR. MILLS: That's correct.
JUDGE PRIDGIN: All right.
Any objections?
MR. WOODSMALL: None, subject to the caveat that he gave.
JUDGE PRIDGIN: Yes. And understood. And if counsel want, I can give a standing objection to all counsel who want it. In fact, I just assume all counsel want it in case the stipulation and agreement is not approved that

everyone would want the right to cross-examine on those exhibits.

MR. STEINER: That would be great if we can have a standing objection on that.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: I will show that noted.

With that noted, KCPL 403 and KCPL 405 are admitted.

(Wherein; OPC Exhibit Nos. KCPL 403 and KCPL 405 were marked for identification.)

(Wherein; OPC Exhibit Nos. KCPL 403 and KCPL 405 were received into evidence.)

JUDGE PRIDGIN: Mr. Mills, anything further?

MR. MILLS: That's all for me. Thank you.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: Ms. Hernandez?

MS. HERNANDEZ: Oh, I'll --

JUDGE PRIDGIN: Mr. Williams?

MS. HERNANDEZ: Mr. Williams.

MR. WILLIAMS: Judge, I don't know how well you kept track. I'm not sure how well we've kept track of the exhibits that have been admitted that Staff prefiled in this case.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: I can go through my notes and let you know what I show as admitted. My notes may not be perfect, but --

Okay. I'm showing -- this may be faster.

I'll just go through as they were offered. KCPL and GMO 201 are admitted. KCPL 202, 203 are admitted. GMO 202 and 203 are admitted. KCPL 210 is admitted. GMO 210 is admitted. KCPL 215 is admitted. KCPL 216 is admitted. KCPL 217 is admitted. GMO 215, 216, 217 are admitted. KCPL 248 and 248 HC are admitted. KCPL 251 HC is admitted. KCPL 250 is admitted.

MR. STEINER: Are these beyond --

MR. WILLIAMS: Those are beyond the prefiled.

MR. STEINER: -- prefiled?

We're just -- I think we're just talking about prefiled exhibits at this time.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: And maybe I miscounted.

MR. STEINER: I think --

JUDGE PRIDGIN: I see. Prefiled 247. My mistake. Okay.

Thank you.

MR. WILLIAMS: Then I would like to offer at this time KCP&L-204, 205, which are Staff construction audits of Iatan 1 and --

JUDGE PRIDGIN: I'm showing 204 is admitted.

MR. WILLIAMS: Okay.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: Okay. 214 is admitted.

MR. WILLIAMS: Okay.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: 205 is admitted. KCPL 227 is

admitted. GMO 226 is admitted. KCPL 213 and GMO 213 are admitted. KCPL 234 and 235 are admitted. GMO 235 and 236 are admitted. KCPL 239 is admitted. GMO 240 is admitted. KCPL 220 and 221 NP and HC are admitted. KCPL 232 is admitted.

GMO 220 NP and HC is admitted. GMO 221 is admitted. GMO 231 is admitted. KCPL 239 NP and HC is admitted. KCPL 240 NP and HC is admitted. GMO 240 NP and HC is admitted. GMO 241 NP and HC is admitted. KCPL 224, 225 and 226 all NP and HC are all admitted.

GMO 224 and 225 NP and HC are admitted. KCPL 246 is admitted. Let's see, your prefiled went to 247. KCPL 228 and 229 NP and HC are admitted. 215, 216 and 217 all KCPL are all admitted. I believe that's all I have.

MS. HERNANDEZ: I probably missed my bingo.

MR. WILLIAMS: Then at this point, I'd like to offer KCP&L 206 through 209, which are executive director status reports.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: Okay. KCPL 206 through 209 are offered. Any objections?

MR. STEINER: No objection.

MR. FISCHER: None.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: All right. Those are admitted.

(Wherein; Staff Exhibit Nos. KCP&L-206,

KCP&L-207, KCP&L-208 and KCP&L-209 were received into evidence.)

MR. WILLIAMS: I'd like to offer Carol Fred's rebuttal and surrebuttal testimony. That's KCP&L 18 and 19 [sic].

JUDGE PRIDGIN: Okay. KCP&L 218 and 219 are offered. Any objections?

Hearing none, they are admitted.

(Wherein; Staff Exhibit Nos. KCP&L-218 and KCP&L-219 were received into evidence.)

MR. WILLIAMS: I'd offer at this time KCP&L-222 and 223, which is Paul Harrison --

MR. STEINER: I think those issues are coming up next week, so --

MR. WILLIAMS: Harrison, the advanced coal tax credit.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: That's the coal tax credits.

MR. WILLIAMS: All right. Then I won't make that offer at this time.

KCP&L-230 and KCP&L-231, Keith Majors' rebuttal and surrebuttal.

MS. HERNANDEZ: I thought I had offered that this morning.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: You probably have. Those numbers sound familiar. And let me verify that.

I probably overlooked those, and let me look. They have been admitted. Thank you.

MR. WILLIAMS: Then KCP&L-232, Aaron Maloney's surrebuttal. I'd offer that testimony.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: Any objection?

Hearing none, it is admitted.

(Wherein; Staff Exhibit No. KCP&L-232 was received into evidence.)

MR. WILLIAMS: KCP&L-233, Amanda McMellon's surrebuttal. I'd offer that testimony.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: Any objection?

Hearing none, it is admitted.

(Wherein; Staff Exhibit No. KCP&L-233 was received into evidence.)

MR. WILLIAMS: KCP&L-236, I offer -- it's the surrebuttal testimony of Brett Prenger.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: Any objections?

236 is admitted.

(Wherein; Staff Exhibit No. KCP&L-236 was received into evidence.)

MR. WILLIAMS: And I will state, I haven't been mentioning whether they're HC or NP where -- but some of these have both.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: All right.

MR. WILLIAMS: I offer at this time KCP&L-237

and KCPL-238, which are the rebuttal and surrebuttal testimony of Arthur Rice.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: Any objections?

Hearing none, they are admitted.

(Wherein; Staff Exhibit Nos. KCP&L-237 and KCP&L-238 were received into evidence.)

MR. WILLIAMS: I offer at this time KCP&L-211, which is Staff's rate design report.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: Any objections?

Hearing none, it is admitted.

(Wherein; Staff Exhibit No. KCP&L-211 was received into evidence.)

MR. WILLIAMS: I offer at this time KCP&L-212, which is the surrebuttal testimony of Daniel Beck.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: Any objections?

No objections. It's admitted.

(Wherein; Staff Exhibit No. KCP&L-212 was received into evidence.)

MR. WILLIAMS: And I know Mr. Scheperle would be rate design. I'd offer at this time KCP&L-241, 242 and 243, Mike Scheperle direct, rebuttal and surrebuttal.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: Any objections?

Those are admitted.

(Wherein; Staff Exhibit Nos. KCP&L-241,

KCP&L-242 and KCP&L-243 were received into evidence.)

MR. WILLIAMS: Offer -- I offer KCP&L-244 and 245, which is Michael Taylor rebuttal and Michael Taylor surrebuttal.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: Objections?

Hearing none, it's admitted -- or they are both admitted. Excuse me.

(Wherein; Staff Exhibit Nos. KCP&L-244 and KCP&L-245 were received into evidence.)

MR. WILLIAMS: Then I also offer KCP&L-247, which is Curt Wells' direct.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: Any objections?

It's admitted.

(Wherein; Staff Exhibit No. KCP&L-247 was received into evidence.)

MR. WILLIAMS: And Judge, I'm not sure I kept up with you on the GMO exhibits.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: And I'm show -- I'm going to show the following -- they'll all be GMO exhibits that I have admitted. 201, 202, 203, 210, 215, 216, 217, 226, 213, 235, 236, 240, 220, 221, 231, 240, 241, 224, 225. I believe that's all I have.

MR. WILLIAMS: And at this time, I'd offer GMO-204 and 205 which are Staff construction audit of Iatan 1 dated 08/06 of 2010 and Staff construction audit of Iatan

1 dated 01/04/2010.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: Any objections?

Hearing none, they are admitted.

(Wherein; Staff Exhibit Nos. GMO-204 and GMO-205 were received into evidence.)

MR. WILLIAMS: And then I would also offer GMO-206, 207, 208, 209, which are executive director's monthly status reports 1 through 4.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: Any objections?

Those are admitted.

(Wherein; Staff Exhibit Nos. GMO-206, GMO-207, GMO-208 and GMO-209 were received into evidence.)

MR. WILLIAMS: I offer GMO-214, which is David Elliott's surrebuttal.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: Objections?

It's admitted.

(Wherein; Staff Exhibit No. GMO-214 was received into evidence.)

MR. WILLIAMS: Offer at this time GMO Exhibits 218 and 219, which are Gay Fred's rebuttal and Gay Fred's surrebuttal testimony.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: Any objections?

They are admitted.

(Wherein; Staff Exhibit Nos. GMO-218 and GMO-219 were received into evidence.)

MR. WILLIAMS: I offer Exhibit GMO-223, which is Charles Hyneman direct testimony.

MR. STEINER: I think he'll be -- I don't know what that testimony is. If it's crossroads, we would wait. But --

MR. WILLIAMS: That's fine.

MR. STEINER: Because he does have crossroads testimony.

MR. WILLIAMS: That's fine.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: Okay. So that's not being offered right now?

MR. WILLIAMS: No. That's -- we'll wait until --

JUDGE PRIDGIN: Okay. Thank you.

MR. WILLIAMS: -- week after next, I guess.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: Okay.

MR. WILLIAMS: Roger, is there anything similar on Keith Majors?

MR. STEINER: Similar on Keith Majors. Right.

MR. WILLIAMS: Okay.

I offer at this time GMO-238 and 239, which are the rebuttal and surrebuttal testimony of Arthur Rice.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: Any objections?

Hearing none, they are admitted.

(Wherein; Staff Exhibit Nos. GMO-238 and GMO-239 were received into evidence.)

MR. WILLIAMS: And I'd offer at this time, I believe, the testimony of Henry Warren, his surrebuttal, which is GMO-247.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: Any objections?

Hearing none, it's admitted.

(Wherein; Staff Exhibit No. GMO-247 was received into evidence.)

MR. WILLIAMS: Could you give me a few minutes to look at some exhibits to see whether I should offer them now or --

JUDGE PRIDGIN: Certainly.

MR. WOODSMALL: Do you want to do mine? I -- because I don't have many, so --

JUDGE PRIDGIN: If it's all right with counsel, it's fine with me.

MR. WILLIAMS: Fine.

MR. WOODSMALL: Can you tell me which KCP&L exhibits that you have? They start with 1201.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: I got to make sure I get your numbers correct.

MR. WOODSMALL: KCP&L 1201. And I think on the GMO side they're 14.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: All right. I'm showing --

excuse me -- KCPL 1209 --

MR. WOODSMALL: Is that a prefiled, or is that --

JUDGE PRIDGIN: I was going to say, I don't have that list. These may be all exhibits that you --

MR. WOODSMALL: Offered --

JUDGE PRIDGIN: -- offered during your -- okay.

Okay. I'm showing KCPL 1201 and 1202 are admitted. I don't -- I can't find my list. I show 1207 is admitted. I don't remember if that's prefiled.

MR. WOODSMALL: 1201 through 1208 are all prefiled.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: Okay. Thank you. I'm showing 1201, 1202, 1207. I believe those are all I have, Mr. Woodsmall.

MR. WOODSMALL: Okay. We'd offer 1203, 1204, 1205, which is Michael Gorman's direct, rebuttal and surrebuttal. He stood cross on that. And we'll just deal with the KCP&L ones now.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: Okay. Any objection to those exhibits?

Okay. Hearing none, 120 -- KCPL -- excuse me -- 1203, 1204, 1205 are admitted.

(Wherein; Industrials Exhibit Nos. KCPL 1203,

KCPL 1204 and KCPL 1205 were received into evidence.)

MR. WOODSMALL: And I would offer Exhibits 1206 and 1208, Mr. Brubaker's direct and surrebuttal, pending the settlement approval.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: With the same objections showing as being standing for testimony that's being filed pursuant to stipulation and agreement, any other objections to those two exhibits?

Okay. KCPL 1206 and 1208 are admitted.

(Wherein; Industrials Exhibit Nos. KCPL 1206 and KCPL 1208 were received into evidence.)

MR. WOODSMALL: On the GMO side, I would offer GMO 1403, 1404 and 1405, Mr. Gorman's direct, rebuttal and surrebuttal.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: Any objections?

Those are admitted.

(Wherein'Industrials Exhibit Nos. GMO 1403, GMO 1404 and GMO 1405 were received into evidence.)

MR. WOODSMALL: And that's all I had, Your Honor.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: Mr. Woodsmall, thank you.

Mr. Williams, when you're ready.

MR. WILLIAMS: Thank you, Judge. I offer GMO Exhibit 212, which is the surrebuttal testimony of Dan Beck.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: Any objections?

It is admitted.

(Wherein; Staff Exhibit No. GMO-212 was received into evidence.)

MR. WILLIAMS: And I also offer Exhibits GMO-245 and GMO-246, which are the rebuttal and surrebuttal testimonies of Michael Taylor.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: Any objection?

Those are admitted.

(Wherein; Staff Exhibit Nos. GMO-245 and GMO-246 were received into evidence.)

MR. WILLIAMS: And I think the remainder, we'll wait until the hearing restarts for GMO.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: Mr. Williams, thank you.

Anything further from counsel?

MR. STEINER: I don't believe so.

MR. WOODSMALL: I was listening to agenda, and they said something about a draft order from you by Wednesday.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: Sure. Fine with me.

All right. There's nothing further from counsel. All right. Thank you very much, counsel. We stand adjourned.

(The hearing was concluded.)

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

I, Lisa M. Banks, CCR within and for the State of Missouri, do hereby certify that the witness whose testimony appears in the foregoing hearing was duly sworn; that the testimony of said witness was taken by me to the best of my ability and thereafter reduced to typewriting under my that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by the parties to the action in which this hearing was taken, further, that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney counsel employed by the parties thereto, nor financially or otherwise interested in the outcome of the action.

_____

Lisa M. Banks, CCR

I N D E X

	PAGE
THE COMPANY'S EVIDENCE	
JOHN WEISENSEE	
Direct Examination by Ms. Cunningham	3618
Cross-Examination by Ms. Hernandez	3620
Questions by Judge Pridgin	3634
Recross Examination by Ms. Hernandez	3637
Redirect Examination by Ms. Cunningham	3640
Further Questions by Judge Pridgin	3647
Further Redirect Examination by Ms. Cunningham	3647
CURTIS BLANC	
Direct Examination by Ms. Cunningham	3650
Cross-Examination by Ms. Hernandez	3652
Redirect Examination by Ms. Cunningham	3658
CURTIS BLANC	
Cross-Examination by Ms. Hernandez	3667
Cross-Examination by Ms. Hernandez (In Camera)	3675
Cross-Examination by Ms. Hernandez (In Camera)	3698
Redirect Examination by Ms. Cunningham	3704
STAFF EVIDENCE	
JOHN ROGERS	
Direct Examination by Ms. Hernandez	3586
Cross-Examination by Mr. Mills	3588
Redirect Examination by Ms. Hernandez	3593
CHARLES HYNEMAN	
Direct Examination by Ms. Hernandez	3597
HENRY E. WARREN	
Direct Examination by Ms. Slack	3601
Cross-Examination by Ms. Mangelsdorf	3603
Cross-Examination by Mr. Fischer	3606
Redirect Examination by Ms. Slack	3608

I N D E X (Continued)

PAGE

STAFF EVIDENCE (Continued)

KEITH MAJORS

Direct Examination by Ms. Hernandez 3661

KAREN LYONS

Direct Examination by Ms. Hernandez 3711

MISSOURI DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES EVIDENCE

ADAM BICKFORD

Direct Examination by Ms. Mangelsdorf 3610

E X H I B I T I N D E X

	Marked	Rcv'd
KANSAS CITY POWER AND LIGHT COMPANY:		
Exhibit No. KCP&L 63 HC Direct testimony of John Weisensee		3619
Exhibit No. KCP&L 63 NP Direct testimony of John Weisensee		3619
Exhibit No. KCP&L 64 HC Rebuttal testimony of John Weisensee		3619
Exhibit No. KCP&L 64 NP Rebuttal testimony of John Weisensee		3619
Exhibit No. KCPL 65 Surrebuttal testimony of John Weisensee		3619
Exhibit No. GMO 42 Direct testimony of John Weisensee		3620
Exhibit No. GMO 43 Rebuttal testimony of John Weisensee		3620
Exhibit No. GMO 44 Surrebuttal testimony of John Weisensee		3620
Exhibit No. KCP&L 7 HC Direct testimony of Curtis Blanc		3651
Exhibit No. KCP&L 7 NP Direct testimony of Curtis Blanc		3651
Exhibit No. KCP&L 8 Rebuttal testimony of Curtis Blanc		3651
Exhibit No. KCP&L 9 Surrebuttal testimony of Curtis Blanc		3651
Exhibit No. KCP&L 13 Direct testimony of Greg Clizer		3723
Exhibit No. KCP&L 14 Rebuttal testimony of Greg Clizer		3723
Exhibit No. KCP&L 23 HC Rebuttal testimony of Ellen Fairchild		3723

E X H I B I T I N D E X (Continued)

	Marked	Rcv'd
KANSAS CITY POWER AND LIGHT: (Continued)		
Exhibit No. KCP&L 23 NP Rebuttal testimony of Ellen Fairchild		3723
Exhibit No. KCP&L 32 Rebuttal testimony of Terry S. Hedrick		3723
Exhibit No. KCP&L 33 Surrebuttal testimony of Terry S. Hedrick		3723
Exhibit No. KCP&L 34 Rebuttal testimony of William Herdegen		3723
Exhibit No. KCP&L 39 Direct testimony of Larry Loos		3723
Exhibit No. KCP&L 40 Rebuttal testimony of Larry Loos		3723
Exhibit No. KCP&L 41 HC Surrebuttal testimony of Larry Loos		3723
Exhibit No. KCP&L 41 NP Surrebuttal testimony of Larry Loos		3723
Exhibit No. KCP&L 42 Direct testimony of George McCollister		3723
Exhibit No. KCP&L 47 HC Direct testimony of Paul Normand		3723
Exhibit No. KCP&L 47 NP Direct testimony of Paul Normand		3723
Exhibit No. KCP&L 48 Rebuttal testimony of Paul Normand		3723
Exhibit No. KCP&L 49 Surrebuttal testimony of Paul Normand		3723
Exhibit No. KCP&L 59 Direct testimony of John Spanos		3723
Exhibit No. KCP&L 60 Rebuttal testimony of John Spanos		3723

E X H I B I T I N D E X (Continued)

	Marked	Rcv'd
KANSAS CITY POWER AND LIGHT: (Continued)		
Exhibit No. KCP&L 61 Surrebuttal testimony of John Spanos		3723
Exhibit No. KCP&L 62 Direct testimony of Kenneth Vogl		3723
Exhibit No. KCP&L 56 Rebuttal testimony of Tim Rush		3723
Exhibit No. GMO 13 Rebuttal testimony of Ellen Fairchild		3727
Exhibit No. GMO 22 Rebuttal testimony of William Herdegan		3727
Exhibit No. GMO 26 Rebuttal testimony of Ronald A. Klote		3727
Exhibit No. GMO 27 Direct testimony of George McCollister		3727
Exhibit No. GMO 38 Direct testimony of John Spanos		3727
Exhibit No. GMO 39 Rebuttal testimony of John Spanos		3727
Exhibit No. GMO 40 Surrebuttal testimony of John Spanos		3727
Exhibit No. GMO 41 Direct testimony of Kenneth Vogl		3727
STAFF OF THE MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION:		
Exhibit No. KCP&L 239 NP Rebuttal testimony of John Rogers		3588
Exhibit No. KCP&L 239 HC Rebuttal testimony of John Rogers		3588
Exhibit No. KCP&L 240 Surrebuttal testimony of John Rogers		3588

E X H I B I T I N D E X (Continued)

	Marked	Rcv'd
STAFF OF THE MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION: (Continued)		
Exhibit No. GMO 240 NP Rebuttal testimony of John Rogers		3588
Exhibit No. GMO 240 HC Rebuttal testimony of John Roger		3588
Exhibit No. GMO 241 NP Surrebuttal testimony of John Rogers		3588
Exhibit No. GMO 241 HC Surrebuttal testimony of John Rogers		3588
Exhibit No. KCP&L 224 NP Direct testimony of Charles Hyneman		3600
Exhibit No. KCP&L 224 HC Direct testimony of Charles Hyneman		3600
Exhibit No. KCP&L 225 NP Rebuttal testimony of Charles Hyneman		3600
Exhibit No. KCP&L 225 HC Rebuttal testimony of Charles Hyneman		3600
Exhibit No. KCP&L 226 NP Surrebuttal testimony of Charles Hyneman		3600
Exhibit No. KCP&L 226 HC Surrebuttal testimony of Charles Hyneman		3600
Exhibit No. GMO 224 NP Rebuttal testimony of Charles Hyneman		3600
Exhibit No. GMO 224 HC Rebuttal testimony of Charles Hyneman		3600
Exhibit No. GMO 225 NP Surrebuttal testimony of Charles Hyneman		3600
Exhibit No. GMO 225 HC Surrebuttal testimony of Charles Hyneman		3600

E X H I B I T I N D E X (Continued)

	Marked	Rcv'd
STAFF OF THE MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION: (Continued)		
Exhibit No. KCP&L 246 Surrebuttal testimony of Henry Warren		3602
Exhibit No. KCP&L 267 remarked as 291 HC Data Request Number 0141.1	3625	3625
Exhibit No. KCP&L 268 remarked 292 HC Data Request Number 0623	3625	3640
Exhibit No. GMO 229 NP Rebuttal testimony of Keith Majors		
Exhibit No. GMO 230 HC/NP Surrebuttal testimony of Keith Majors		
Exhibit No. KCP&L 293 HC Memorandum of Understanding re: Hawthorne 5	3673	3708
Exhibit No. KCP&L 294 HC Company response to Data Request 133	3684(In Camera)	3708
Exhibit No. KCP&L 228 HC Rebuttal testimony of Karen Lyons		3710
Exhibit No. KCP&L 228 NP Rebuttal testimony of Karen Lyons		3710
Exhibit No. KCP&L 229 HC Surrebuttal testimony of Karen Lyons		3710
Exhibit No. KCP&L 229 NP Surrebuttal testimony of Karen Lyons		3710
Exhibit No. KCP&L 215 Direct testimony of Cary Featherstone		3710
Exhibit No. KCP&L 216 Rebuttal testimony of Cary Featherstone		3710
Exhibit No. KCP&L 217 Surrebuttal testimony of Cary Featherstone		3710

E X H I B I T I N D E X (Continued)

	Marked	Rcv'd
STAFF OF THE MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION: (Continued)		
Exhibit No. KCP&L 206 executive director status report		3734
Exhibit No. KCP&L 207 Executive director status report		3734
Exhibit No. KCP&L 208 Executive director status report		3734
Exhibit No. KCP&L 209 Executive director status report		3734
Exhibit No. KCP&L 218 Rebuttal testimony of Carol Fred		3735
Exhibit No. KCP&L 219 Surrebuttal testimony of Carol Fred		3735
Exhibit No. KCP&L 232 Surrebuttal testimony of Erin Maloney		3736
Exhibit No. KCP&L 233 Surrebuttal testimony of Amanda McMellon		3736
Exhibit No. KCP&L 236 Surrebuttal testimony of Brett Prenger		3736
Exhibit No. KCP&L 237 Rebuttal testimony of Arthur Rice		3737
Exhibit No. KCP&L 238 Surrebuttal testimony of Arthur Rice		3737
Exhibit No. KCP&L 211 Staff rate design report		3737
Exhibit No. KCP&L 212 Surrebuttal testimony of Daniel Beck		3737
Exhibit No. KCP&L 241 Direct testimony of Mike Scheperle		3737

E X H I B I T I N D E X (Continued)

	Marked	Rcv'd
STAFF OF THE MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION: (Continued)		
Exhibit No. KCP&L 242 Rebuttal testimony of Mike Scheperle		3737
Exhibit No. KCP&L 243 Surrebuttal testimony of Mike Scheperle		3737
Exhibit No. KCP&L 244 Rebuttal testimony of Michael Taylor		3738
Exhibit No. KCP&L 245 Surrebuttal testimony of Michael Taylor		3738
Exhibit No. KCP&L 247 Direct testimony of Curt Wells		3738
Exhibit No. GMO 204 08/06/2010 construction audit Iatan 1		3739
Exhibit No. GMO 205 01/04/10 construction audit Iatan 1		3739
Exhibit No. GMO 206 Executive director status report		3739
Exhibit No. GMO 207 Executive director status reprot		3739
Exhibit No. GMO 208 Executive director status report		3739
Exhibit No. GMO 209 Executive director status report		3739
Exhibit No. GMO 214 Surrebuttal testimony of David Elliott		3739
Exhibit No. GMO 218 Rebuttal testimony of Gay Fred		3739
Exhibit No. GMO 219 Surrebuttal testimony of Gay Fred		3739

E X H I B I T I N D E X (Continued)

	Marked	Rcv'd
STAFF OF THE MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION: (Continued)		
Exhibit No. GMO 238 Rebuttal testimony of Arthur Rice		3740
Exhibit No. GMO 239 Surrebuttal testimony of Arthur Rice		3740
Exhibit No. GMO 247 Surrebuttal testimony of Henry Warren		3741
Exhibit No. GMO 212 Surrebuttal testimony of Daniel Beck		3743
Exhibit No. GMO 245 Rebuttal testimony of Michael Taylor		3744
Exhibit No. GMO 246 Surrebuttal testimony of Michael Taylor		3744
INDUSTRIALS:		
Exhibit No. KCP&L 1203 Direct testimony of Michael Gorman		3742
Exhibit No. KCP&L 1204 Rebuttal testimony of Michael Gorman		3742
Exhibit No. KCP&L 1205 Surrebuttal testimony of Michael Gorman		3742
Exhibit No. KCP&L 1206 Brubaker direct testimony		3743
Exhibit No. KCP&L 1208 Brubaker surrebuttal testimony		3743
Exhibit No. GMO 1403 Direct testimony of Michael Gorman		3743
Exhibit No. GMO 1404 Rebuttal testimony of Michael Gorman		3743

E X H I B I T I N D E X (Continued)

	Marked	Rcv'd
INDUSTRIALS: (Continued)		
Exhibit No. GMO 1405 Surrebuttal testimony of Michael Gorman		3743
WAGNER:		
Exhibit No. KCP&L 2801 Wagner direct testimony		3729
Exhibit No. KCP&L 2802 Wager rebuttal testimony		3729
Exhibit No. KCP&L 2803 Wagner surrebuttal testimony		3729
Exhibit No. GMO 2801 Wagner direct testimony		3729
Exhibit No. GMO 2802 Wagner rebuttal testimony		3729
Exhibit No. GMO 2803 Wagner surrebuttal testimony		3729
MISSOURI DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES:		
Exhibit No. KCP&L 601 Direct testimony of Adam Bickford		3612
Exhibit No. KCP&L 602 Direct testimony of Adam Bickford HC		3612
Exhibit No. KCP&L 603 Rebuttal testimony of Adam Bickford		3612
Exhibit No. KCP&L 604 Rebuttal testimony of Adam Bickford HC		3612
Exhibit No. KCP&L 605 Surrebuttal testimony of Adam Bickford		3612
Exhibit No. GMO 601 Direct testimony of Adam Bickford		3612

E X H I B I T I N D E X (Continued)

	Marked	Rcv'd
MISSOURI DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES: (Continued)		
Exhibit No. GMO 602 Testimony of Adam Bickford		3612
Exhibit No. GMO 603 Surrebuttal testimony of Adam Bickford		3612
OFFICE OF PUBLIC COUNSEL:		
Exhibit No. KCPL 403 Direct testimony of Barbara Meisenheimer	3732	3732
Exhibit No. KCPL 405 Rebuttal testimony of Barbara Meisenheimer	3732	3732

$

$1 3635:23 3657:4

$1.1 3635:3

$310,000 3637:4

$423,000 3637:2

$6.1 3634:22

$6.7 3665:23

$7 3648:13

$7.2 3635:4,9

$92,000 3636:13,22

$988,000 3635:23 3636:3

0

01/04/10 3754:14

01/04/2010 3739:1

0133 3706:18

0141.1 3752:6

0291 3694:22

0314 3694:18

0355 3730:22

0356 3598:13 3729:25

0623 3752:8

08/06 3738:25

08/06/2010 3754:12

09/03 3640:24

09/23/2010 3640:14,17

1

1 3595:20 3652:20 3719:3 3724:6 3733:20 3738:25 3739:1,8 3754:12,14

10 3624:15 3719:5 3724:11

10:40 3660:9

100 3607:14,22

1000 3581:14

101 3581:18

104595 3582:18

10th 3592:18

11 3595:7 3624:15 3719:2

11:55 3713:22

1100 3582:2

111 3581:6

119 3582:22

11th 3652:18

12 3719:2

120 3742:23

1201 3741:20,23 3742:9,12,15

1202 3742:9,15

1203 3742:17,24,25 3755:15

1204 3742:17,24 3743:1 3755:16

1205 3742:18,24 3743:1 3755:18

1206 3743:3,9,10 3755:19

1207 3742:10,15

1208 3742:12 3743:3,9,11 3755:21

1209 3742:1

123,000 3639:17

12th 3654:15

13 3723:17 3727:4 3748:22 3750:8

130 3580:11

131,000 3639:13

13220 3583:5

133 3752:14

14 3595:13 3712:2,6 3723:17 3724:8 3741:24 3748:23

1403 3743:13,17 3755:22

1404 3743:13,18 3755:24

1405 3743:13,18 3756:4

141.1 3621:4 3652:8

1464 3582:16

15 3595:22 3719:6 3724:3

16 3719:6 3724:3

1613 3582:16

17 3598:6 3712:2,6 3719:7 3724:4

170,000 3639:13

18 3601:18 3615:24 3718:7 3735:4

18,000 3639:12

19 3718:7 3735:4

1st 3595:3 3654:21 3656:15 3669:1,16 3670:8 3693:22

2

2 3593:25 3595:13 3616:6 3626:6 3643:11 3672:19,21 3719:3 3724:6

2.8 3665:7

20 3718:7

200 3580:11 3583:20 3584:2

2000 3693:21 3695:3

2001 3671:16,19,21

2004 3617:15 3671:21,25 3672:7

2005 3665:16,25 3668:16 3689:25 3690:16 3693:19 3694:15,16 3696:20

2006 3665:25 3666:5 3668:13,19,23 3669:7 3670:25 3694:9,12,22 3696:21

2007 3603:20 3627:18 3664:23 3665:1,7,8 3669:1,4,10,14,25 3670:22,25 3693:22 3694:3,8

2008 3627:20 3665:24 3669:17

2009 3595:3 3609:6 3627:6,16,18 3652:18,20 3654:22

3656:15
3669:22
3670:6,9,25

201 3733:1
3738:20

2010 3610:13
3614:23
3615:8,18,24
3617:10,11
3620:16
3621:9,13
3633:4
3636:15
3640:23,24
3646:9
3654:15
3655:12,16
3656:1,2,4
3738:25

2011 3579:6

2012 3607:25
3609:10
3715:25
3716:2

202 3733:2
3738:20

202.586.3409
3581:15

203 3733:2
3738:20

204 3733:21
3754:12

205
3733:19,25
3738:24
3754:13

20585 3581:14

206
3734:17,19
3753:4
3754:15

207 3739:7
3753:6
3754:16

208 3739:7
3753:7
3754:18

209
3734:17,19
3739:7
3753:9
3754:19

21 3718:11

210 3601:21
3602:12,15
3733:3
3738:20

211 3753:21

212 3743:24
3753:22
3755:9

213 3734:1
3738:20

214 3733:23
3754:21

215
3710:4,12,19
,22 3733:4,5
3734:13
3738:20
3752:21

216
3710:4,12,20
,23 3733:4,5
3734:13
3738:20
3752:22

217
3710:4,12,20
,23 3733:4,5
3734:13
3738:20
3752:24

218 3735:6
3739:20
3753:10
3754:22

219 3735:6
3739:20
3753:12
3754:24

22 3718:11
3727:4
3750:10

220 3734:4,6
3738:21

221 3734:4,6
3738:21

223 3735:12

2230 3584:2

224 3599:4,25
3600:3,5,6,7
3734:9,11
3738:21
3751:10,12,1
9,21

225 3599:2,4
3600:1,3,6,7
,8
3734:10,11
3738:21
3751:13,15,2
2,24

226 3599:3
3600:1,6,7
3734:1,10
3738:20
3751:16,18

227 3733:25

228 3709:9
3710:11,18,2
1,22 3734:13
3752:15,16

229 3663:5
3709:10
3710:12,19,2
2 3734:13
3752:9,18,19

23 3720:24
3723:17
3724:15
3748:25
3749:3

230 3582:6
3663:4,5,8
3752:10

231
3663:4,5,8
3734:7
3738:21

232 3734:4
3753:13

233 3753:15

234 3734:2

235 3734:2
3738:21

236 3734:2
3736:18
3738:21
3753:16

237 3753:18

238 3753:19
3755:4

239 3587:21
3588:7,9,10
3734:3,7
3740:22
3750:22,23
3755:6

23rd 3620:16
3621:9
3655:12

24 3660:20
3718:10
3724:15

240
3587:22,23
3588:1,8,10
3734:3,8
3738:21
3750:25
3751:4,6

241 3587:23
3588:8
3734:9
3738:21
3751:7,9
3753:24

242 3737:21
3754:4

243 3737:22
3754:6

244 3754:7

245 3738:3
3754:9
3755:10

246
3602:15,17,2
2,23 3734:12
3752:4
3755:12

247 3733:15
3734:12
3754:10
3755:7

248 3733:5

24th 3665:1

25 3718:10

250 3733:6

251 3733:6

26 3719:4
3727:4
3750:11

265 3660:19

266 3660:22

267 3624:23
3625:3,6,8,1
1 3640:10
3652:5
3660:23,25
3752:6

268 3625:14,15 3639:19,23 3640:1 3643:3 3660:23,25 3752:7
27 3718:16 3727:4 3750:13
275 3639:12
275,000 3639:10
28,000 3639:16
2801 3727:25 3728:6,7 3729:3,4,5,6 3756:6,11
2802 3728:14 3729:3,4,6 3756:8,12
2803 3728:14 3729:3,4,6 3756:9,14
289 3660:20
29 3718:16
290 3660:22
291 3660:23,24 3752:6
292 3660:23,25 3673:8 3752:7
293 3673:12 3708:9,18,20 3752:12
294 3706:18 3708:9,18,21 3752:13
29th 3617:10 3694:15,16

3

3 3590:18,19,23 3719:3 3724:6
3.1 3590:24
300 3580:7
301 3580:23 3581:2
308 3580:19
309 3583:21
30th 3627:19 3668:18,23 3669:14
31 3615:18
310 3637:6
312 3581:10
312.371.2673 3582:23
314.395.8002 3583:10
314.725.8788 3580:12
31st 3627:19 3635:12,22 3636:14,21 3637:2,4 3669:9 3670:6
32 3723:17 3724:17 3749:5
320 3583:5
32459 3582:23
33 3721:5 3723:17 3724:17 3749:6
3321 3582:10
34 3579:8 3723:17 3749:8
3420 3580:15
35 3628:20 3674:8 3697:4 3719:10 3724:17
355 3599:6 3728:5
356 3728:5
3586 3746:18
3588 3746:18 3750:22,23,25 3751:4,6,7,9
3593 3746:19
3597 3746:21
36 3719:10
3600 3751:10,12,13,15,16,18,19,21,22,24
3601 3746:23
3602 3752:4
3603 3746:23
3606 3746:24
3608 3746:24
3610 3747:10
3612 3756:16,18,19,21,22,24 3757:4,5
3618 3746:5
3619 3748:4,5,7,8,10
3620 3746:5 3748:11,13,14
3625 3752:6,7
3629 3628:20
3632 3628:21
3634 3746:6
3637 3746:6
3640 3746:7 3752:7
3647 3746:7,8
3650 3746:10
3651 3748:16,17,19,20
3652 3746:10
3658 3746:11
3661 3747:5
3667 3746:13
3673 3752:12
3675 3674:9 3746:13
3676 3674:9
3684(In 3752:13
3698 3697:5 3746:14
37 3719:10
3702 3697:5
3704 3746:14
3708 3752:12
3710 3752:15,16,18,19,21,22,24
3711 3747:7
3723 3748:22,23,25 3749:3,5,6,8,9,11,12,14,15,17,18,20,21,23,24 3750:4,5,7
3727 3750:8,10,11,13,14,16,17,19
3729 3756:6,8,9,11,12,14
3732 3757:8,10
3734 3753:4,6,7,9
3735 3753:10,12
3736 3753:13,15,16
3737 3753:18,19,21,22,24 3754:4,6
3738 3754:7,9,10
3739 3754:12,13,15,16,18,19,21,22,24
3740 3755:4,6
3741 3755:7
3742 3755:15,16,18
3743 3755:9,19,21,22,24

3756:4
3744 3755:10,12
376 3593:22
38 3727:4 3750:14
39 3723:17 3726:9 3727:4 3749:9 3750:16
3rd 3640:23,24 3655:11

4
4 3579:6 3590:21 3591:11,12,13 3606:10,13 3615:23 3718:14 3739:8
40 3721:11 3723:17 3726:9 3727:5 3749:11 3750:17
400 3581:18
403 3730:11,16 3731:15 3732:6,8,10 3757:8
405 3730:23 3731:16 3732:6,9,11 3757:9
41 3721:12 3723:17 3727:5 3749:12,14 3750:19
412 3582:16
417.864.8800 3580:4
418679 3581:23
42 3619:18,20 3723:17 3724:13,20 3748:11
3749:15
428 3580:7
43 3619:18,20 3718:15 3724:13 3748:13
44 3619:18,21 3724:13,20 3748:14
45 3718:15
4520 3582:2
456 3581:10
46 3718:13
47 3723:18 3749:17,18
475 3582:14
48 3723:18 3749:20
49 3672:18,20 3706:25 3707:11 3721:16 3723:18 3749:21

5
5 3585:14 3591:24,25 3592:6 3594:11 3596:9 3621:25 3652:10 3664:11,22,25 3665:17 3671:13 3672:2,11 3695:2 3707:2 3718:9 3752:12
50 3718:12
53 3712:1,4 3718:12
54 3719:11
55 3719:11
56 3719:11 3723:18 3750:7
57 3719:12
573.443.3141 3581:7
573.634.2266 3580:24 3581:3
573.634.2500 3580:20
573.635.0427 3581:11
573.635.2700 3580:8
573.636.6263 3582:7
573.636.6758 3581:19
573.659.8672 3582:19
573.751.0052 3583:2
573.751.6514 3583:22
58 3719:9
59 3723:18 3749:23

6
6 3593:25 3623:20 3653:10 3707:3 3718:9
60 3723:18 3749:24
601 3580:23 3581:2 3611:19,21 3612:17,18,21,22 3756:16,24
602 3612:22 3756:18 3757:4
603 3611:22 3612:18,22,23 3756:19 3757:5
604 3612:22 3756:21
605 3611:19 3612:17,22 3756:22
61 3721:19 3723:18 3750:4
62 3723:18 3750:5
623 3625:25 3643:4
63 3618:25 3619:2 3719:8,15 3748:4,5
63105 3580:12
63119 3583:10
63130 3583:2
64 3618:25 3619:2 3719:8,15 3748:7,8
64111 3580:16 3582:3
64141-9679 3581:23
64154 3583:13
65 3619:1,2 3719:8,15 3748:10
65101 3580:8,20 3581:19 3582:7
65102 3583:21 3584:3
65102-0456 3581:11
65102-0537 3580:23 3581:2
65110-4595 3582:19
65201 3581:6
65802 3580:3
66101 3582:15
66213 3583:6
66606 3582:11

7
7 3624:13 3666:23 3667:7 3719:22,23 3724:9 3748:16,17

753 3582:14

785.271.9991 3582:11

8

8 3594:19 3624:13 3666:23 3667:7 3719:22,23 3748:19

816.360.5976 3580:16

816.460.2400 3582:3

816.556.2314 3581:24

871 3583:9

89,000 3639:16

899 3583:1

9

9 3595:1 3606:10 3624:15 3666:23 3667:7 3719:22,23 3724:5 3748:20

9:20 3614:4

9:30 3585:5

9005 3583:13

913.321.8884 3582:15

913.338.7700 3583:6

939 3580:3

95 3610:2

96 3606:11 3609:19,22

97 3665:21 3692:16

99 3641:7

9th 3581:6 3665:1

A

Aaron 3736:3

AARP 3583:11

ability 3648:18 3663:15 3745:7

able 3607:7 3633:19 3648:20 3713:19 3726:25 3728:19 3730:8 3731:10

abnormal 3687:18,19,20,21 3696:11,16 3703:5 3706:8

Absolutely 3664:18 3691:17 3706:5,15

abundance 3618:22 3667:4

accept 3726:24

acceptable 3634:8

accepted 3662:12 3667:4 3715:18

according 3589:23

account 3604:4,20

accountant 3667:17,21

accounting 3667:19,20

accounts 3615:5 3622:25 3623:5,7,16 3642:22,24 3652:11

accrued 3636:14

accurate 3587:14 3598:22 3662:8

achieve 3589:22,25 3595:12

acknowledge 3707:4

acquired 3689:14

Act 3588:23 3589:8,15 3590:14 3609:2

action 3607:5 3610:4 3745:9,12

actual 3635:11

actually 3604:19 3653:16 3658:16 3660:20 3693:13 3709:16 3729:20

actuals 3639:10

Adam 3611:2,6,16 3747:9 3756:17,18,20,21,23,24 3757:4,6

add 3635:24

addition 3615:7 3665:12

additional 3628:4 3636:23 3641:24 3654:3 3662:11,21 3689:9,20 3696:19 3705:24

address 3601:13 3661:17 3663:14 3714:10

addressed 3595:21 3665:14 3688:8

addressing 3616:8

adjourn 3714:20

adjourned 3715:2 3744:22

adjusted 3617:14

adjustment 3634:19 3696:15 3712:10

adjustments 3703:12,14,17,23

administer 3711:18

administered 3603:11,21 3605:2

administering 3606:6

administrative 3592:18 3605:24

administry 3605:23

admission 3599:1 3619:20 3720:4

admitted 3588:8 3599:25 3600:1,2,3,4 3602:15,22 3612:20 3618:24 3619:5,6,15,25 3620:2,3 3625:10 3639:25 3651:11,17,18 3663:8 3667:7 3708:19 3710:19,20 3711:10 3717:5,18,22 3718:8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16

3719:2,3,4,5,6,8,9,10,12,15,19 3720:8 3722:9 3723:10,16 3724:4,5,7,8,10,12,14,16,17,21,24 3725:2 3727:5 3729:4 3730:1 3732:7,20,23 3733:2,3,4,5,6,7,21,23,25 3734:1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,24 3735:8 3736:2,6,12,18 3737:4,10,17,24 3738:6,7,13,20 3739:3,10,16,23 3740:25 3741:7 3742:10,11,24 3743:9,16 3744:1,8

admittedly 3694:1

adopt 3593:21 3595:8

advanced 3735:15

advocacy 3647:6

advocates 3637:9 3647:9

affairs 3627:10 3643:22 3644:13 3652:21

affect 3637:13 3647:15 3649:4 3726:18

affected 3648:19 3671:24

afternoon 3715:1

against 3707:23 3717:5

agencies 3582:24 3607:5,6 3609:13,18,21,25

agency 3610:4

agenda 3585:5 3615:22 3744:16

aggregate 3608:11

ago 3608:8

AGP/SIEUA/ MEUA 3580:9

agreeable 3603:25 3633:7,8 3654:11 3709:1

agreed 3604:6,12 3670:19

agreement 3605:5 3615:25 3635:3 3637:25 3638:1,4 3670:13 3688:14 3689:2 3713:14 3716:19,20 3722:21 3723:12 3730:7 3731:9,25 3743:7

agreements 3605:9 3726:23

agrement 3727:3

ahead 3729:19

Air 3582:22

Alberts 3719:3 3724:6

allowed 3638:9

already 3602:15 3663:2,8 3730:1

am 3587:4 3597:24 3601:23 3605:6 3606:18 3611:10,23 3614:11 3657:16 3661:16 3662:15 3668:14 3669:5 3672:15 3705:25 3707:1 3717:12 3728:23 3745:8,10

Amanda 3736:9 3753:15

AMASH 3582:13

Ameren 3581:7

American 3609:1

ammonia 3673:2 3690:9 3691:8,11 3693:25 3707:6

among 3671:21

amortized 3645:17,20

amount 3614:24 3615:3 3622:10,20 3623:10,25 3624:1,5 3635:19,22,25 3637:2,4 3653:11,14,21 3665:7,23

amounts 3603:23 3608:9 3615:5 3621:12 3622:15 3636:23 3668:10 3707:21

analysis 3695:19 3696:3 3703:8,9

analyst 3611:12

ANNETTE 3583:19

announcement 3615:21 3715:6

annual 3607:8 3610:12

annualization 3627:10,12 3644:11

answer 3604:12 3621:21,23 3626:6 3649:11,13 3652:16 3669:11 3670:4 3689:10,20 3690:17 3692:24 3694:23 3703:24

answered 3645:2

answering 3658:19

answers 3587:10,13 3598:19,22 3602:4 3612:10,13 3662:4,7

anticipate 3716:1

anybody 3638:21

anybody's 3628:13

anymore 3659:9

anyone 3717:15

anything
3586:9
3614:9
3616:20
3661:2
3663:24
3666:19
3687:20
3711:3
3712:16
3714:19
3715:12
3717:1
3720:10
3723:6
3729:8
3732:12
3740:17
3744:14

anyway 3696:4

apart 3730:10

apologize
3626:23
3662:20
3672:6
3692:7
3711:20

appear
3612:10
3640:22

appeared
3603:23
3615:6

appears
3623:20
3707:10
3745:5

Application
3579:10,14

applied
3656:15

apply 3644:15

appreciate
3633:21
3729:16

appropriate
3594:15
3596:12
3616:10
3623:7
3660:1
3664:16
3693:17
3704:22
3706:9,12

approval
3579:11,15
3652:13
3715:10
3727:3
3743:4

approve
3595:9
3623:10
3653:18
3716:18

approved
3591:14
3604:16
3623:6
3652:24
3654:17
3656:23
3670:13
3716:18
3722:5,10
3723:13
3728:18
3730:7,9
3731:25

approving
3594:12
3596:9
3615:24

approximately
3598:6
3634:22
3635:4
3646:9
3714:17

April 3627:18
3656:6

Aquila
3603:21

Archibald
3657:15
3659:14

Archibald's
3718:14

area 3695:20

aren't 3714:8

argue 3703:19

ARRA 3609:2

arrangement
3638:13

Arthur
3581:13
3586:22
3737:2
3740:23
3753:18,20
3755:5,6

asset
3604:4,20
3668:9

associated
3594:12
3596:10
3634:23
3665:4,19
3688:23
3694:6
3695:23
3707:23
3722:2

Association
3580:21

assume 3655:9
3657:6,11
3731:24

assumed
3626:12,15,21 3645:1
3705:8

assuming
3585:12
3670:2
3705:11

attempts
3616:17

attended
3608:6

attention
3643:2

attorney
3580:2,6,10,14,15,18,22
3581:1,5,9,13,17,21,22
3582:1,5,9,13,17,21
3583:1,4,8
3745:10

attorney/client
3642:13

attorneys
3647:7

audit 3616:1
3738:24,25
3754:12,14

auditing
3662:13

auditor
3598:2
3667:22

audits
3733:20

August 3595:3
3615:24
3693:19
3694:15

availability
3609:18

available
3609:12,17
3635:16

Ave 3582:14
3583:13

Avenue 3580:3
3581:10,14

average
3695:4

averaging
3703:5

avoided
3594:4

awarded
3672:25

aware 3592:20
3604:24
3605:1,8
3624:21
3668:12
3669:3,19
3672:15
3703:3

B

B&W 3665:6
3672:4,13
3687:24

Babcock
3665:2

backup
3692:19,20
3694:14

bad 3660:21

balance
3623:1

Banks 3579:24
3745:3,15

Barbara
3730:4

3757:9,10
Bardgett 3580:19
based 3623:9 3628:2 3637:21 3647:19 3653:14 3670:25 3705:7
basically 3707:22
basing 3637:24
basis 3641:22 3695:17
Beach 3582:23
Bear 3603:16
became 3646:9
Beck 3737:15 3743:24 3753:23 3755:9
become 3589:7
beg 3727:21
begin 3615:22
beginning 3627:7 3727:25
begins 3590:19
begun 3659:8
behalf 3618:13 3637:9 3644:2 3651:3,13 3728:16
behind 3705:15
belief 3587:15 3598:23 3602:8 3662:9
believe 3585:5,10 3590:7 3592:12 3596:2 3604:4,8,9 3607:3,24 3610:1,3 3637:7,20 3638:15 3640:13 3641:23 3643:6 3644:7 3647:25 3656:15 3657:20 3658:10 3659:12,16 3660:18 3661:14,19 3666:21 3667:3 3671:19 3673:18,19 3703:16 3705:23 3709:11 3711:6 3712:17 3713:19 3715:7 3719:18,25 3724:22 3729:21 3734:14 3738:21 3741:4 3742:15 3744:15
Bell's 3718:9
Bemiston 3580:11
bench 3637:21
beneficial 3591:16
benefit 3637:8 3647:6,8,10,16 3648:1,4
benefitted 3649:10
besides 3587:6
best 3587:14 3598:23 3602:7 3635:17 3636:9 3662:8 3745:6
better 3585:13 3613:12
beyond 3635:15 3733:8,9
Bickford 3585:7 3610:21 3611:2,6,16 3612:25 3613:13 3747:9 3756:17,18,20,21,23,24 3757:4,6
bill 3593:22 3659:20
billed 3656:21
bingo 3734:15
bit 3624:17 3635:23 3636:18 3714:6
black 3665:13 3670:14,20 3704:19 3705:9,12
Blake 3582:14
Blanc 3626:16,19 3627:11 3643:7,20 3644:20 3645:2,5,9 3646:20 3650:1,2,6,17,23,25 3651:2 3653:16 3660:5 3664:11 3666:17 3667:11 3704:11 3708:5 3719:20 3746:9,12 3748:16,18,19,21
Blanc's 3719:5 3724:9
blank 3659:14
Blitz 3580:19
blocks 3727:24
boiler 3671:13,16
boilerplate 3688:17
booked 3614:23 3615:7 3707:22
books 3636:17,22 3668:5,9 3688:20
Boonville 3580:3
borne 3693:5
borrowing 3648:22 3649:15
bottom 3622:14 3626:5 3643:10
Boulevard 3583:9
box 3581:10,23 3582:18 3583:1,21 3584:2 3665:13 3670:14,20 3704:19 3705:9,12
boy 3611:6
break 3614:5 3660:7,10,15 3713:16,21,25 3714:17,25
breakdown 3635:13
Brett 3736:16 3753:17
bridge 3588:20
brief 3614:12
briefing 3634:16
broadcast 3613:25
Broadway

3580:15
broken
3635:15
brought
3647:11
3655:18
Brubaker
3755:20,21
Brubaker's
3743:3
BRUDER
3581:13
Bryan 3583:5
Brydon 3581:9
budget
3603:15,20
3608:23
3609:20
budgeted
3603:13,23
3606:11
budgets
3607:8
built 3617:20
3626:25
3656:18,20
3665:3,18
business
3667:18

C

Cafer
3582:9,10
calendar
3668:16
3669:7,25
3715:25
3716:2
camera
3621:24
3634:1
3674:5
3746:13,14
Camera)3708
3752:13
capacity
3598:1
3611:9
3668:3
capital
3614:25
3615:5
3707:23
Capitol
3580:7
3581:10
CAPT 3582:21
captured
3689:24
3690:15
3695:7,15
CARL 3580:10
Carol 3735:3
3753:11,12
carried
3634:25
carry 3638:1
carrying
3645:11
carryover
3657:4
Carter 3731:9
Cary
3752:21,23,24
case
3585:12,14
3587:19,20
3588:19
3598:10,11,13
3599:1,3,5,12 3603:14,16
3604:24
3605:14
3611:14,18,21,24
3612:2,18
3613:18
3614:8,18,20,22
3615:1,7,9,10,11
3616:4,7,8,9
3617:5,14,15
3618:23
3619:21
3620:18
3621:13
3622:4,16,18,19,22
3627:1,3,7,11,16,24
3628:4,14
3633:10,13,14,17
3634:5,11,15,19,22,24
3635:1,2,8
3636:1,2,4
3637:12
3638:2,5,9,10,12,16
3641:6,7,22
3644:3,5,8,10,13,14,16,20,22,23
3645:4,6,12,15,22
3646:12,16,23
3647:9,14,25
3648:12
3650:10
3656:9,12,13,20,22,23
3657:2,5,7
3659:3,5,23
3662:14,19
3663:20
3665:8,11,12
3666:1,4,5
3668:12,13,16
3669:4,7,20,22,25
3670:12,17,21 3671:1
3687:4,17
3688:2,3,7,8,9,21
3691:9,17,19
3693:21
3694:3,8,18,22 3695:3,18
3703:13,18,23 3704:19,25
3705:1,5,6,7
3706:25
3707:19
3714:22
3715:14
3716:14,20,24 3723:13
3726:19
3729:23
3730:3,22
3731:14,25
3732:21
cases 3587:3
3598:9
3604:7,13,15,21 3623:24
3637:17
3638:6,7
3641:20
3645:19,20
3647:14
3654:3
3661:23
3670:25
3689:25
3690:16
3691:19,25
3692:10
3693:9
3704:13,23
3727:18
3728:5
cash 3648:17
catalyst
3664:24
3673:2,3
3690:9
3694:1
3705:20,25
3707:6,7
catalytic
3664:24
3671:12
catastrophic
3693:15
3695:13
categories
3707:17
caused
3601:19
3611:17
3661:21
caution
3618:22
3667:5
Cave 3583:5
caveat
3731:20
CCR 3579:24
3745:3,15
CDB 3653:16
certain
3579:12,16
3617:19,20
3642:7,15
certainly
3614:16
3633:22
3634:13
3635:21
3638:3
3658:20
3695:17

3713:18
3715:22
3741:13

CERTIFICATE
3745:1

certify
3745:4

cetera
3635:14
3637:16

Chairman
3579:20

challenge
3615:13

chance 3608:4
3647:2

change 3634:6
3636:6
3692:7

changed
3604:5
3672:16

changes
3579:12,16
3598:15
3601:24
3612:6
3661:25
3711:12,14
3712:7

characterization 3690:6
3696:13

charge 3628:6
3636:3

charged
3633:10
3657:22

charges
3579:12
3617:20
3656:20

Charles
3582:5
3597:16,22
3598:7
3740:2
3746:20
3751:11,12,14,15,17,18,20,21,23,24

Chatham
3583:13

check
3622:3,5,7,8,24
3623:10,11
3652:15,18,23 3653:1,3,9
3717:5

checked
3660:17

Chief
3583:15,17

Chuck 3640:12

circled
3653:17

City
3579:7,11
3580:8,16,20,23,24
3581:2,3,11,19,20,22,23,24
3582:3,4,7,8,12,15,19,20
3583:2,13,21
3584:3
3609:25
3618:13
3634:21
3651:3
3748:3
3749:2
3750:3

claim
3665:6,22

claimed
3635:8

claiming
3690:13

clarification
3654:14
3657:3

clarify
3722:19

clarifying
3616:1

clarity
3619:16

class 3722:2
3730:21

classes
3667:19,20

Clay 3610:1

Clayton
3579:20
3580:12

cleaning
3673:2
3707:7

clear 3692:1
3693:25

clearer
3692:13
3705:1

clearly
3659:22

Cline's
3719:2
3724:5

Clizer
3720:16
3748:22,24

close 3594:25

coal 3586:2,3
3664:25
3665:17
3735:15,17

Coffman
3583:8,9

collecting
3604:18

Columbia
3581:6

comes 3635:10
3642:6

comfortable
3638:16

coming
3735:13

Comley
3580:22
3581:1

comment
3606:13
3716:22

Commission
3579:1
3583:20,22
3589:1,15
3590:15
3591:2,15,19
3592:6,11,15
3593:16,20,23
3594:13,17,24
3595:5,7,16,23
3596:8,11,24
3597:25
3601:14
3605:11
3616:2,12,16
3617:3,18
3662:13
3664:21
3670:13,18
3695:8
3715:11
3726:24
3727:3
3730:8
3750:21
3751:3
3752:3
3753:3
3754:3
3755:3

Commissioner
3593:6,8,11
3600:18,19
3608:16,17

commissioners
3579:23
3620:25

Commission's
3616:13

Committee
3592:17

common
3641:16

community
3607:4
3610:4

companies
3623:17
3716:10

company
3579:11,15
3580:17
3581:12,20,22,24
3582:4,8,12
3605:10
3615:12
3617:21
3620:17
3621:12,16
3627:24
3628:4
3633:13
3634:12
3637:8,10

3638:1,14,15
3641:1
3642:6,22
3643:15
3644:2,3
3646:3,7,10,12,16
3648:4,17,20
3655:4,8
3657:17
3658:23
3659:15,18,24 3670:24
3671:2,8
3672:25
3687:25
3688:18,20
3689:6,14
3690:3,16
3693:19
3696:15
3705:23
3706:3,16
3707:5
3708:23
3716:1
3748:3
3752:14

company's
3621:3,6
3626:3,6
3648:5
3656:9
3666:9
3668:4,9
3688:1
3691:13,14
3746:3

comparable
3635:25

compare
3707:22

compares
3594:3

complexity
3648:12

compliance
3594:5
3616:5

complicated
3652:17
3694:2

comply
3662:12

component
3657:6

components
3664:23

comprehensive
3670:14

concerning
3616:7

conclude
3594:13
3596:11

concluded
3638:9
3744:23

conclusion
3619:11

condition
3595:13

conducted
3695:19
3703:8

conferred
3714:3

confidential
3642:15

confidentiality 3623:5

Conrad
3580:6,7

consent
3728:16

consider
3590:5
3607:1
3622:5
3691:6

considerations 3642:14

considered
3688:1

consisting
3665:20

construction
3616:1
3657:23
3733:19
3738:24,25
3754:12,14

consultant
3628:10
3645:8

consultants
3636:18
3659:15,16

consulting
3638:18
3645:1
3656:19

Consumers
3583:11

consumption
3673:2
3707:6

contain
3592:21

contained
3598:18
3602:11
3662:4

continue
3579:12
3610:7,13
3638:14
3654:21
3687:21
3696:8

continued
3654:24
3687:22
3747:1,2
3749:1,2
3750:1,3
3751:1,3
3752:1,3
3753:1,3
3754:1,3
3755:1,3
3756:1,3
3757:1,3

continuing
3659:20

continuity
3659:10

contract
3672:3,11,16

contractor
3657:17,22

contractual
3671:23

contribute
3606:22

COOPER
3580:15

cooperation
3605:4,9

copies
3620:24
3729:25

copy 3589:18

corporation
3594:20
3595:15,18

corporations
3589:22
3595:11,25

corporation-specific
3595:9

correct
3585:8,15
3588:2,3,23,24 3596:15
3598:13
3600:24
3602:16
3606:15
3612:13
3618:14
3621:19
3622:17
3623:22
3626:22
3638:20
3640:25
3641:25
3643:25
3645:18
3646:8
3648:3,8
3649:25
3651:5,8
3652:25
3654:1
3656:1
3657:20
3658:18
3660:14,18
3661:16,25
3664:11
3668:17,24
3669:8,18
3670:1,7,10,22 3672:22
3673:4,5,9
3689:12
3692:16
3694:9
3696:14
3706:2
3709:14,21
3710:8,14
3711:2

3713:6,9
3716:24,25
3717:20,25
3719:14,25
3722:13
3728:3
3730:12
3731:2,16,17
3741:22

corrected
3587:6

correction
3660:16
3711:24

corrections
3587:5
3601:24
3713:1,2

correctly
3654:19
3655:25
3706:1
3714:14

cost
3590:15,23,24
3591:6,8,9,19
3592:7,11,21
3594:1,3,9,13
3596:5,10,14,25 3599:15
3601:21
3602:11
3627:23
3634:21
3648:21
3663:3
3667:25
3668:5
3690:25
3693:11
3722:2
3730:4,6,19,21

cost-effective
3589:22,25

cost-recovery
3596:6

costs
3594:4,5
3615:18
3616:4
3617:18
3627:14
3628:13
3634:23
3635:1,2,12,15 3638:2
3645:11
3647:14,24,25 3648:5,7
3649:10,15
3665:5,10,14,20,21
3666:3,7,8,10 3673:1
3687:3,5,8
3688:23
3689:6,9,20,24
3690:3,13,15,19,21,23
3691:5,11,14,15,23
3692:8,17
3693:3,4,6,8,20,23
3694:4,6,19,24 3695:2,22
3696:4,11,16,20
3703:9,13,18,23 3705:24
3706:3,6
3707:23

Council
3583:11

counsel
3583:15,16,17,18,19
3584:1,4
3586:9
3614:9
3633:4,11,24
3641:12
3643:11
3644:7
3646:6,14,17
3654:17
3658:10
3659:20,21,24 3660:8
3712:25
3713:13
3714:3,19
3715:6
3717:2
3726:15
3729:13
3731:23,24
3741:17
3744:14,21
3745:8,11
3757:7

counsels
3714:13

Counties
3610:1

couple 3608:7
3638:7
3642:13
3674:1
3720:11
3724:23
3729:20

course
3634:15
3730:8

court 3579:25
3611:5
3625:2
3729:24

cover 3616:3

Covering
3670:22

Crawford's
3719:6
3724:11

creates
3591:2

credentials
3661:14

credit 3586:3
3595:19
3648:18,21
3672:4,12,15
3707:22
3735:16

credits
3735:17

criteria
3616:5
3671:23
3672:17

cross 3586:10
3588:13
3603:1
3620:9
3650:16
3663:25
3666:20
3708:24
3742:19

cross-examination
3588:15,17
3603:6
3606:2
3612:25
3613:2
3620:8,12
3651:22
3652:1
3667:11,14
3704:12
3709:2
3710:25
3712:20
3713:5
3746:5,10,13,14,18,23,24

cross-examine
3722:6,11
3726:25
3730:9
3732:1

crossroads
3725:21
3740:4,7

cumulative
3614:22

Cunningham
3582:1
3614:11,14,17 3615:23
3618:6,7,9,21 3619:10,19
3620:1,7
3640:6,8
3646:24
3647:22,23
3649:8,18
3650:1,22,24
3651:9,21
3658:7,9
3660:2
3662:18
3664:3,4,13,16,20
3666:13,19,21
3667:3,8,10
3692:15
3704:8,10
3708:3,14,17,23
3710:17,25
3711:2
3712:13,21
3713:7,8,9
3746:5,7,8,10,11,14

current
3603:10
3614:20
3638:18
3644:5,14,16
3645:4,5
3703:20

currently
3592:21
3617:20
3628:3
3641:6

Curt 3738:11
3754:11

Curtis
3580:11
3650:23
3651:2
3653:16
3746:9,12
3748:16,18,19,21

customer
3595:16,18,19 3596:1
3628:2,6
3657:1
3689:13,15,17

customers
3591:16
3594:19
3595:1
3617:19
3627:23
3628:1
3648:4
3649:9
3666:7,9
3688:22
3690:14,25
3691:1
3693:1,3,5,13,16,21
3694:5
3696:7
3706:10

D

D.C 3581:14

d/b/a 3580:17

damages
3665:3,19
3672:25
3692:13

Dan 3743:24

Daniel
3737:14
3753:23
3755:9

data
3621:3,15
3625:25
3626:3,9
3640:16,17
3641:2,8
3642:25
3643:3
3652:6
3655:11
3706:18
3752:6,8,14

date 3615:4
3617:8
3620:16
3621:6,8
3633:5,7,8
3636:17
3640:13
3641:4
3668:25
3669:16
3670:8
3671:14
3694:13

dated 3654:15
3738:25
3739:1

dates 3620:19

David 3580:6
3739:14
3754:21

DAVIS 3579:21

Davis's
3718:8

day 3725:8

deal 3596:5
3607:4
3714:7
3742:19

DEAN 3580:15

DEARMONT
3583:18

decades
3637:13
3647:15

December
3615:18
3627:19
3635:12,22
3636:14,21
3637:2,4
3639:9,10

dedicated
3606:23

defer 3616:8

define 3594:9

defined
3594:7

Definitely
3610:8

definition
3705:10,12

degree
3667:18

demand
3591:15

demand-side
3589:22,25
3594:13,21,22
3595:2,10,14,24 3596:10

demonstrated
3647:11

Denton 3582:2
3636:25
3639:12,16

department
3581:15
3583:3
3605:3,10,17,20 3611:10
3623:4,6,10,14 3624:19
3652:19,22
3654:16
3747:8
3756:15
3757:3

departments
3624:20

depend
3627:11
3628:7
3656:11

depends
3634:15
3674:2
3691:7

deposited
3605:3

depreciation
3637:16

Deputy
3583:15,16

described
3654:18

describing
3691:11

description
3626:10,13

design
3585:21
3594:12
3596:10,14,16 3724:19
3725:24
3730:5,6,19,21 3737:8,21
3753:21

detail 3615:2
3623:3,15
3624:19
3641:21,24
3642:3,6,8,20

determination
3688:15

determine
3607:7
3622:20

determined
3670:17
3696:5

determining
3667:24

detriment
3648:17

detrimental
3649:16

Deutsch
3580:19

develop
3590:15
3591:19
3592:7,11
3595:17,23

developed
3668:5
3670:24

Diana 3731:9

different
3590:5,8
3628:10,15

3636:11
3657:9
3659:1,11
3707:16,18
3717:16

dire
3731:7,13

direct
3586:19
3587:2
3597:17
3598:8
3599:7,19,20
3601:7,20
3602:4,11
3611:3,17,20,25 3612:1,4
3616:7
3618:9
3650:24
3661:11,22
3662:18,21
3663:2
3666:24
3711:23
3720:15
3721:10,13,15,17,20
3724:11,15,18
3726:7,8,10
3727:18
3728:4
3729:22
3730:4,12,13,17,19
3731:5
3737:22
3738:11
3740:2
3742:18
3743:3,13
3746:5,10,18,21,23
3747:5,7,10
3748:4,6,12,16,18,22
3749:10,16,17,19,23
3750:6,13,15,19
3751:11,12
3752:21
3753:24
3754:11
3755:15,20,23
3756:7,11,17,18,24
3757:9

direction
3745:7

directly
3604:21
3607:4
3640:19

director
3643:21,23
3734:17
3753:5,6,8,9
3754:15,17,18,20

director-regulatory
3626:11

directors
3608:7
3715:11
3716:17

director's
3739:7

disagree
3620:20

disallow
3617:18

disapproved
3652:24

disclosure
3595:23

discovery
3655:19

discuss
3655:19
3660:8
3715:4

discussed
3690:20
3691:19
3694:14
3696:6

discussing
3592:15
3608:8
3706:8

discussion
3704:18

dispute
3714:6

disputes
3655:20

distributed
3605:4

District
3581:12

division
3611:11
3715:10
3716:17

DNR
3605:16,22
3612:21

docket
3594:14
3596:11

document
3621:18,25
3625:13,19,24 3641:11
3672:10
3673:17,22

documentation
3595:17

Dogwood
3580:13

dollar
3622:10

dollars
3648:11,15,16,23

done
3594:24,25
3641:20
3668:7
3729:10

Dority
3581:17,18
3637:3
3639:13,17

DOTTHEIM
3583:15

double-check
3667:1

double-checking
3619:11

DOUG 3580:2

Downey's
3718:11

Dr 3585:6
3600:23,25
3606:3
3610:18
3718:13,16
3724:4

draft 3744:17

drafted
3592:21
3653:3

drafter
3589:4,5,6
3590:5

drafting
3588:25
3592:13
3596:5

dramatic
3695:14

drive 3727:13

DSM 3585:7

due 3594:6
3617:6
3641:4
3654:9
3690:4

duly 3745:5

duplication
3627:14

duplicative
3643:7

duration
3586:24
3598:3

during
3586:23
3615:4
3617:11
3652:22
3660:14
3661:15
3665:5,20
3688:6
3690:23
3691:3
3692:17,22
3694:18,22
3696:9,20
3714:6
3742:7

duties 3645:3
3657:12

E

earlier
3647:5
3673:20
3687:5

3688:10
3689:20
3690:2
3691:19
3719:20
3726:16
3728:20

earliest
3620:16

early 3656:3
3704:11

earnings
3586:3

easier
3705:16

easiest
3717:17

echo 3722:25

economist
3601:16

effect
3596:25
3688:17

effective
3652:19
3668:25
3669:16
3670:8
3706:11

effectively
3615:10
3626:12
3696:23

effects
3594:14
3596:12

Efficiency
3588:23

EIERA
3605:4,11,13
,16,17,22
3606:23
3607:1,13
3608:23

either 3592:7
3627:19
3688:23
3705:2
3709:4
3710:9
3715:25
3716:13
3728:25
3731:6

electric
3579:12,16
3581:12
3589:21
3595:11,15,1
8,24 3596:22
3607:12

elicit 3711:4

eligible
3594:22
3659:17

Ellen 3720:23
3726:1
3748:25
3749:4
3750:9

Elliott
3754:21

Elliott's
3739:14

else 3658:25
3715:16
3720:10
3723:6

emphasize
3636:16

Empire
3581:12
3607:11,12
3731:6

employed
3597:23,24
3611:8,10
3657:18
3659:15
3661:17
3745:8,11

employee
3627:23
3633:18
3646:10
3657:8,25
3659:25
3689:7
3745:10

employees
3617:19,20
3689:1,4,22

employment
3655:23
3656:18

encompassed
3705:8

end-use
3594:6

energy
3580:13,17
3581:15
3588:23
3591:15
3607:11
3611:11

England
3581:9

ensure
3595:11
3618:22
3619:14
3651:10

ensuring
3666:23

entered
3602:13
3663:3
3672:12
3711:5

entire
3621:18
3653:8,18,24
3668:7

entirely
3615:6
3660:1
3665:19

entry 3612:17
3688:19

environmental
3594:4

equally
3644:15

equitable
3627:25

equivalent
3644:12

ER 3607:13

ER-2006-0314
3668:13

ER-2007-0291
3669:4

ER-2009-0089
3669:20
3670:12

ER-2010-0355
3579:12

ER-2010-0356
3579:15

ERIC 3583:18

Erin 3753:14

especially
3704:25

established
3594:23
3595:4

establishing
3727:24

estimate
3634:7,10,20
3635:17
3636:9

et 3635:14
3637:16

evaluate
3620:17
3621:11
3695:22

evaluation
3595:10

event 3690:22
3691:1,2
3695:9
3696:8
3706:9

events
3695:23

eventually
3687:14

everyone
3660:16
3732:1

evidence
3588:11
3600:8
3602:24
3612:23
3619:9
3620:6
3625:12
3640:2
3651:20
3666:16
3708:21
3710:23
3714:12
3723:24
3727:8
3729:7
3732:11
3735:2,10

3736:8,14,20
3737:6,12,19
3738:1,9,15
3739:5,12,18
,25 3741:2,9
3743:1,11,18
3744:3,10
3746:3,16
3747:2,8

evidentiary
3579:5
3615:16

exact 3707:21

exactly
3587:11
3598:10,20
3641:4
3645:25
3662:5

examination
3586:19
3593:14
3597:17
3601:7
3608:21
3611:3
3618:9
3637:23
3640:8
3647:23
3650:24
3658:9
3661:11
3662:19
3704:10
3711:23
3746:5,6,7,8
,10,11,14,18
,19,21,23,24
3747:5,7,10

examined
3668:4

example
3628:8
3648:25
3654:14
3659:13,20
3691:14
3692:12

except
3594:23

exceptions
3705:11

excuse 3617:1
3634:10
3635:8
3650:2
3651:17
3654:25
3715:2
3738:7
3742:1,23

excused
3597:8
3600:22
3610:20
3613:16
3649:23
3660:6
3664:8
3708:6
3712:24

executive
3582:24
3734:17
3739:7
3753:5,6,8,9
3754:15,17,1
8,20

exhibit
3587:20
3588:9
3600:5
3602:23
3611:19,21,2
2 3612:21
3618:25
3619:1,7,16
3620:5,22
3624:22
3625:6,11,15
3640:1,10
3651:10,11,1
9 3652:5,6
3660:14,24
3673:8,12
3706:18
3708:20
3709:7
3710:21
3720:25
3723:19
3727:6,25
3729:5
3732:8,10
3734:25
3735:9
3736:7,13,19
3737:5,11,18
,25
3738:8,14
3739:4,11,17
,24 3740:1
3741:1,8
3742:25
3743:10,17,2
4 3744:2,9
3748:4,5,7,8
,10,11,13,14
,16,17,19,20
,22,23,25
3749:3,5,6,8
,9,11,12,14,
15,17,18,20,
21,23,24
3750:4,5,7,8
,10,11,13,14
,16,17,19,22
,23,25
3751:4,6,7,9
,10,12,13,15
,16,18,19,21
,22,24
3752:4,6,7,9
,10,12,13,15
,16,18,19,21
,22,24
3753:4,6,7,9
,10,12,13,15
,16,18,19,21
,22,24
3754:4,6,7,9
,10,12,13,15
,16,18,19,21
,22,24
3755:4,6,7,9
,10,12,15,16
,18,19,21,22
,24
3756:4,6,8,9
,11,12,14,16
,18,19,21,22
,24
3757:4,5,8,9

exhibits
3588:2,5
3612:17,20
3660:15
3666:23
3714:11
3717:5,22
3718:1,7
3723:10,16
3726:12
3727:4,10
3728:9
3729:2
3732:2,20
3733:12
3738:17,19
3739:20
3741:11,20
3742:5,22
3743:2,8
3744:4

exist 3637:16

existed
3659:22

expect
3693:16
3695:16

expected
3691:5
3693:15
3715:16

expend
3607:7,17

expended
3603:23
3607:2,23
3609:8

expending
3603:13

expends
3607:14

expense
3585:12,14
3604:25
3613:18
3614:8,18,22
3615:1,7
3616:8
3617:13,14
3620:18
3621:13
3627:24
3628:4
3633:10,14
3634:5,11
3635:8
3645:15
3650:10
3656:23
3687:19
3688:1
3693:14
3705:2,13

expensed
3604:9

expenses
3614:20
3615:9
3617:6
3633:17
3638:18
3657:2,8
3665:9
3666:1

3687:16
3688:5
3692:14
3705:5
3706:14,16

expensing
3603:25
3604:6,13

experienced
3690:3

expert 3649:2
3667:24
3668:1,3

expertise
3637:14
3649:7

experts
3637:9
3647:9,11

explain
3593:20

explained
3654:8
3656:14

explicitly
3591:25

extended
3608:23

extends
3607:25

extent
3687:10
3690:20
3703:19

external
3633:10

extra 3706:6

extraordinary
3695:6

F

face 3620:17
3623:1
3624:18
3641:11,16
3642:17,22
3652:23
3653:6,7,11,18,25 3654:7
3655:4

fact 3590:7
3606:9
3615:4
3617:7
3653:17
3658:14
3705:24
3706:15
3707:4
3731:24

factored
3695:12

failed
3671:20
3692:18
3693:19

failure
3691:24
3692:9,12
3693:8,16
3694:4
3695:24

fair 3690:6
3696:12

Fairchild
3720:23
3726:1
3748:25
3749:4
3750:9

fairly 3649:1

fall 3730:10

fallen 3615:6

familiar
3589:7
3605:6
3606:16
3607:13
3657:14
3673:21
3735:25

fast 3672:6

faster
3732:25

Featherstone
3710:3
3711:1
3713:1,11
3752:21,23,24

Featherstone's 3713:3

February
3579:6
3592:18
3665:1

federal
3582:24
3607:20
3609:12,14,17

fee 3653:23

feel 3613:11
3621:23

fees 3638:8
3657:22

file
3579:12,15
3592:17
3626:10
3634:4
3715:24
3716:3

filed 3587:2
3601:20
3603:20
3612:7
3661:22
3727:4
3743:6

filing
3627:13
3688:20
3716:1

filings
3598:19
3603:24
3645:22

fill
3658:23,25
3659:13

filling
3658:11
3659:8,11

fills 3658:18

final 3635:19
3715:10

finalized
3635:1
3715:13

Finally
3646:2

financial
3648:6
3649:7

financially
3745:11

findings
3615:13

fine 3614:2
3650:14,16
3663:23
3740:6,9
3741:17,18
3744:19

finish 3585:7

finished
3585:4
3625:22
3663:15

Finnegan
3580:7

firm 3623:20

firms 3622:3
3623:23
3635:8
3636:11,17
3642:16

first 3585:3
3593:25
3617:11
3621:11
3638:6,7
3639:8
3655:15
3659:9
3664:23
3690:18
3694:14
3696:21
3728:23

Fischer
3581:17,18
3593:4,5
3600:16,17
3606:1,2
3608:14,15
3613:8,9,20
3637:3
3639:13,17
3719:13
3727:23
3728:1,4,8
3734:22
3746:24

five 3614:1
3615:22
3713:16

FL 3582:23

flow 3648:17

follow-up
3606:4
3647:2
3655:10

Force 3582:22
FORD 3583:7
foregoing
3745:5
forgot
3639:19
Forrest
3657:14
forth 3609:6
3717:11
forum 3633:1
3687:1
3703:2
forward
3634:25
3638:2
3661:4
fourth 3638:5
frame 3652:17
frames
3704:13
Fred
3753:11,12
3754:23,24
Fred's 3735:3
3739:20,21
frequency
3645:22
3673:3
3707:7
front 3621:2
3640:16
3643:4
3688:16
3706:19
FTE 3644:9
3646:13,17,21 3659:4,11
fuel 3586:23
3587:6
3665:10,13
3666:3,8
3687:19
3691:13,14
3693:20
3695:1,5,12,20 3696:4
3703:18
3705:24
fuels 3695:21
full 3611:4
3647:13
3648:5
full-time
3633:18
3644:12
3646:10
fully 3647:24
3705:8
3706:16
function
3628:10,15
3654:18
3658:23,24
3659:8
functions
3644:21,24
3645:5,9
3657:9
fund 3610:10
funded
3604:21
funding
3595:4
3605:5,9
3609:6,12,13,14,17,23
3610:12
funds 3603:13
3604:1,7
3605:3
3606:6,11,23
3607:14,17
3609:2,4
3610:2,5
3628:4
future
3638:15
3691:15

G

Garrett
3580:11
Gas 3580:17
3607:11,12
gather
3613:24
Gay 3739:20
3754:23,24
general
3624:18
3628:2,11,12
3642:14
3654:17
3655:15
3703:4
generally
3645:24
3662:12,23
3688:22
3705:21
George
3721:13
3726:7
3749:16
3750:13
gets 3691:8
3703:6
getting
3633:25
3636:18
Giles
3626:12,15
3627:5,11
3628:9
3643:8,14
3644:8,20,25
3645:4,7
3646:20
3718:10
Giles's
3626:21,25
3644:2
given 3648:10
3726:17
glad
3717:10,12
3718:5
GLENDA 3582:9
global
3665:13
3670:14,20
3688:10
3704:19
3705:9,12
GMO 3586:6
3587:3,19,23
3588:8,10
3598:8,11
3599:3,5,12,17,18
3600:3,7
3611:20,21,22
3612:1,18,22
3619:12,14,21 3638:21
3648:15
3661:23
3663:5,10,14,16,20
3709:24,25
3715:14,24
3716:3,14,20
3719:1
3723:7
3724:1,3,5,6,8,9,11,13,15,17,20,25
3725:8
3726:11,19
3727:4
3728:6,14
3729:4,6
3731:14
3733:1,2,3,5
3734:1,2,3,6,7,8,9,11
3738:17,19
3739:19
3741:24
3743:12,13,17,18,23
3744:12
3748:11,13,14
3750:8,10,11,13,14,16,17,19
3751:4,6,7,9,19,21,22,24
3752:9,10
3754:12,13,15,16,18,19,21,22,24
3755:4,6,7,9,10,12,22,24
3756:4,11,12,14,24
3757:4,5
GMO-13 3726:2
3727:6
GMO-204
3738:24
3739:4
GMO-205
3739:5
GMO-206
3739:7,11
GMO-207
3739:12
GMO-208
3739:12
GMO-209
3739:12
GMO-212

3744:2
GMO-214 3739:13,17
GMO-218 3739:24
GMO-219 3739:25
GMO-22 3726:5 3727:7
GMO-223 3740:1
GMO-238 3740:22 3741:1
GMO-239 3741:2
GMO-241 3588:10,11
GMO-245 3744:5,9
GMO-246 3744:5,10
GMO-247 3741:5,8
GMO-26 3726:6 3727:7
GMO-27 3726:7 3727:7
GMO-38 3726:9 3727:7
GMO-39 3727:7
GMO-40 3727:7
GMO-41 3726:10 3727:7
GMO-42 3619:24 3620:5
GMO-43 3619:25 3620:5
GMO-44 3620:2,6
GMO's 3603:10
goal 3589:24 3590:2,3,7,10,11 3595:12 3596:17,21
Goble's 3719:4
3724:8
gone 3719:16
Gorman 3755:15,17,18,23,24 3756:4
Gorman's 3742:18 3743:13
great 3732:3
greater 3579:15 3642:5
Greg 3720:16 3748:22,24
group 3695:21
guess 3585:13 3605:15 3609:24 3634:4 3654:5 3671:4 3687:10,11 3690:12 3691:9 3692:25 3696:17 3704:25 3709:19 3712:10 3715:12 3720:7 3722:25 3740:15
guidance 3617:15
guidelines 3594:23
GUNN 3579:22

H

Hadaway's 3718:16 3724:4
half 3608:10,12 3646:1
hand 3586:12 3597:10 3601:1 3610:22 3618:2 3650:18
handle 3712:14
handled 3623:18 3670:20
handy 3727:21
happen 3695:17
happened 3696:8
happens 3648:5 3690:23 3691:2
Hardin 3635:10,14,18 3639:8,12,15 3647:7
Hardin's 3635:18
Harrison 3735:12,15
HATFIELD 3582:5
haven't 3618:17 3668:7 3716:18 3736:21
having 3637:8 3687:5
Hawthorn 3664:11,22,25 3672:2,11
Hawthorne 3585:14 3665:17 3695:2 3752:12
HC 3587:24 3588:1,7,8,10,11 3599:2,5,6 3600:1,3,5,6,7 3612:1,2 3618:25 3619:5,6,7,8 3621:21 3625:4,6,8,11 3628:17 3633:25 3639:21,23 3640:1
3651:10,17,19 3652:5 3663:4,6 3667:7 3673:12,14 3674:3 3696:25 3708:13,18,20,21 3709:12 3710:8,12,18,19,21,22 3720:23 3721:12,16 3723:17,18,20,21,22 3724:9,12,17 3733:6 3734:4,6,7,8,9,10,11,13 3736:22 3748:4,7,16,25 3749:12,17 3750:23 3751:6,9,12,15,18,21,24 3752:6,7,12,13,15,18 3756:18,21
HC/NP 3752:10
head 3619:13 3627:9 3644:10,13
health 3716:4
Healy 3580:2
hear 3613:25
heard 3587:7 3588:18 3615:16,20 3728:24
hearing 3579:5 3588:7 3599:10 3601:20 3602:22 3612:20 3615:16,20 3616:2,14 3617:6 3619:5,24 3625:10 3639:25 3651:7,16 3661:15 3670:18 3718:1

3726:25
3735:8
3736:6,12
3737:4,10
3738:6
3739:3
3740:25
3741:7
3742:23
3744:12,23
3745:5,9

hearings
3618:17
3619:3
3655:19

heart 3691:9

HEATHER
3581:21

Hecker 3582:6

Hedrick
3721:4
3749:5,7

Heinz 3580:11

held 3598:5
3626:16
3628:20
3658:11,15
3674:8
3697:4

he'll 3740:3

help 3637:18
3647:11

helped 3645:1

helpful
3652:21

Henry
3601:6,12,19
3741:4
3746:22
3752:5
3755:8

Herdegan
3750:10

Herdegen
3721:8
3726:5
3749:8

hereby 3745:4

Hernandez
3583:17
3585:10
3586:17,19
3587:17
3588:3,12
3589:11
3593:14
3597:3,5,15,17 3598:25
3599:9,11,16,18,22
3600:9
3613:5,7,21,24 3614:2
3616:22,24
3617:2
3619:23
3620:10,11,12,21,24
3621:1
3624:21,25
3625:2,5,13,17 3628:16
3633:2
3637:22,23
3639:18,21
3640:3
3647:20
3649:5
3650:4,8,11
3651:15,24,25 3652:1
3661:9,11
3662:24,25
3663:9,19,21
3664:1,9
3666:14,15
3667:13,14
3673:7,10,15,16 3674:4
3687:2
3696:25
3703:7
3704:12
3708:8,11,15
3709:6,11,15,18,22,24
3710:7,11,13
3711:4,5,8,12,16,20,23
3712:10,17,19
3713:2,15,19,23 3714:21
3715:7,16,20,23
3716:6,7,15
3722:19,23,25 3723:3,12
3729:16
3732:14,15,17 3734:15
3735:22
3746:5,6,10,13,14,18,19,21 3747:5,7

he's 3614:10
3619:13
3633:18
3643:21
3663:15
3666:22

high 3580:19
3691:15

higher
3691:23
3692:8
3693:6,8,20
3694:4
3695:1

hired 3658:25
3659:24

hold 3599:16
3731:14

Honor 3587:20
3602:10,20
3614:11
3618:21
3619:10
3624:21
3628:17
3649:5,8
3651:9
3664:13
3697:1
3708:8,15,23
3715:7
3717:3
3722:1,15,19
3743:20

hope 3652:21

hopefully
3585:4

hours 3623:12
3624:7
3653:23
3654:10

HP 3599:25

Huh 3725:11

HUMPHREY
3581:21

hurt 3649:10

Hyneman
3585:6
3597:9,10,22
3598:7
3600:20
3640:12
3740:2
3751:11,12,14,15,17,18,20,21,23,24

H-y-n-e-m-a-n
3597:22

HYNEMAN
3597:16
3746:20

Hyneman's
3599:1
3621:3

I

Iatan
3616:3,5
3645:8
3647:12
3648:25
3657:22
3661:15
3733:20
3738:24,25
3754:12,14

IBEW 3582:16

i-c-k-f-o-r-d
3611:7

I'd 3602:10
3603:15,18
3611:24
3625:3
3627:2
3647:10
3650:17
3715:8
3722:1
3727:15
3728:17,19
3729:25
3730:5
3734:16
3735:3,11
3736:4,10
3737:21
3738:23
3741:3

idea 3705:15

identification 3625:7,16
3661:1
3673:13
3732:9

identify
3622:1

3625:24
3653:21
3654:9
I'll 3587:20
3588:12
3599:16
3600:9
3603:18
3619:15
3627:17
3633:24
3636:10
3639:11
3647:2
3649:12
3696:3
3717:21
3718:25
3720:4
3726:2
3728:25
3729:13,19
3732:15
3733:1
I'm 3585:12
3586:2
3587:25
3589:6
3596:25
3598:10
3599:10
3603:14
3604:23
3605:23
3608:6
3614:13
3616:25
3619:11
3620:4,21
3624:21
3627:7
3628:16
3633:8,12
3634:4,13
3636:6,7
3637:5
3649:2,5
3650:3
3664:11
3667:4
3670:2
3671:6
3672:20,23
3687:10
3689:15
3690:1
3693:11
3703:3
3705:11
3709:24
3711:16,17
3712:3
3718:3,5,17
3720:2,7
3722:6,12
3725:15,23
3728:2
3729:10
3730:11,17,18 3731:5
3732:19,25
3733:21
3738:16,18
3741:25
3742:9,14
immediately
3687:11,14
impact 3649:1
3672:16
3687:12
impactful
3695:15
implement
3592:14
Implementation 3579:13
implemented
3594:6
imply 3592:3
3695:16
important
3614:19
3637:14
improves
3691:8
inadvertently
3729:24
inappropriate
3615:11
3659:22
3690:24
3694:25
in-camera
3628:20
3674:8
3697:4
incentive
3595:16
incentives
3596:1
incidentally
3623:16
include
3618:24
3666:6
3690:24
3716:4
included
3614:19,25
3628:14
3641:12
3656:8,24
3659:7
3671:8
3689:8,22
3694:4
3706:9
includes
3657:2
increase
3648:21
3649:15
increased
3673:2,3
3687:8,16
3689:24
3690:3,9,13,15,19,20
3691:4
3694:6
3695:2
3707:6,7,23
incremental
3594:5
3689:9,20
incur 3688:23
incurred
3615:9
3635:12
3665:9
3666:2
3688:5
3693:19
3705:5,24
3707:5
Independence
3581:14
independent
3595:10
indicate
3621:8
indicated
3615:3,8
3622:24
3640:13
3641:23
3705:23
indicates
3654:16
indication
3623:11
3649:6
3715:20
indirectly
3642:21
individual
3628:5,7
3705:13
indulgence
3727:21
3731:13
Industrials
3742:25
3743:10
3755:14
3756:3
inequitable
3617:18
3627:22,25
3628:1,2,5,12
infer 3722:6
information
3587:14
3598:23
3623:1
3624:8,17
3635:21
3642:7
3643:1
3653:15,21
3654:4,12
3661:18
3662:8
in-house
3633:4
initially
3609:5
3642:17
3692:18
initiated
3595:2
3655:19
inquire
3713:1
in-service
3616:5
3671:23
3672:17
inside
3659:21

3705:3
installed 3694:11
instances 3666:7
Instead 3616:8
insurance 3688:23 3707:14,15,20,25
intended 3687:19
interested 3745:12
interim 3658:21
interject 3594:16
internal 3653:2 3656:18 3657:8 3658:22
interpret 3594:8
interpretation 3589:23,24
interrogatories 3621:3 3640:13
Intervenors 3583:14
introductory 3617:4
Investment 3588:23
invoice 3622:6 3623:20 3653:5,8,10,18,24 3655:14
invoices 3617:7,9,11 3621:11 3622:7 3623:3 3624:10 3636:19 3642:2,9 3655:5,8,16
involved 3653:4 3689:2,5
irrevocable 3672:3,12,14
isn't 3607:19 3657:5 3728:18
isolated 3696:8
issue 3587:7 3615:10 3616:9 3617:6 3648:25 3650:13 3664:22 3691:9,17 3694:1 3703:20 3705:17,18 3707:18 3708:25 3714:10 3715:3,5 3716:20 3722:3 3725:21 3727:13
issues 3585:24 3615:15,19,20 3616:15 3634:16 3637:12,15,17 3647:12 3663:14 3670:16 3688:12 3689:3 3704:23 3705:10,13 3716:4,24 3717:19 3718:20 3720:12 3724:25 3725:1,5,14,16,17 3726:18 3735:13
item 3593:25 3595:13 3640:11
itemized 3705:14
items 3642:15 3705:13
it's 3589:4 3590:3 3597:22 3604:17,21 3607:6 3609:15 3610:3 3611:6 3614:19 3615:16 3622:2 3623:5,6,15 3625:25 3627:22 3636:10 3637:13 3638:3 3643:24 3645:24,25 3646:19 3653:10,11 3654:18 3655:3 3656:25 3659:1 3673:19,23 3687:12,13 3690:25 3692:12,16 3693:14 3694:2 3696:7 3703:4 3705:1,16 3709:12,19,25 3710:5,6,8 3714:4 3715:16,21 3723:13 3728:23 3731:6 3736:15 3737:17 3738:6,13 3739:16 3740:4 3741:7,16,17
I've 3607:7 3623:17 3640:15 3648:10 3656:10 3673:18,23 3709:8 3714:3 3728:24 3729:20 3730:20
Ives 3719:10 3724:15

J

Jackson 3610:1
JACOBS 3580:14
JAIME 3583:16
JAMES 3581:9,17
January 3668:25 3669:16 3693:22
Jarrett 3579:21 3593:6,8,11 3600:18,19 3608:16,17
JEFF 3579:21
Jefferson 3579:7 3580:8,20,23 3581:2,11,19 3582:7,19 3583:2,21 3584:3
Jennifer 3583:17 3625:4 3673:14
job 3626:12,16 3657:11 3658:23
John 3583:4,8,9 3586:18,22 3587:2 3618:8,12,23 3721:17 3726:8 3746:4,17 3748:4,6,7,9,10,12,13,15 3749:23,25 3750:4,15,16,18,22,24,25 3751:5,6,8,9
joining 3659:18
joint 3592:17

3718:20
3725:16,17

Joseph
3582:20

JR 3580:18

judge 3579:19
3585:1,11,17,20,23
3586:2,6,8,15 3587:25
3588:4,14
3589:13
3593:1,4,6,13
3597:5,9,13
3599:7,17,20,23
3600:11,14,16,18,20
3601:3
3602:14,17,19,21
3603:2,5
3606:1
3608:15,19
3610:17,21,24 3612:19
3613:1,5,8,10,15,17,23
3614:1,3,6,13,15
3616:19,23
3617:23
3618:4
3619:4,18,22,24
3620:2,9,25
3624:23
3625:1,8
3628:18
3633:1,23
3634:2
3637:19
3639:3,20,23
3640:4
3647:1,4,19,21 3648:10
3649:12,20,24
3650:2,6,10,14,17,20
3651:14,16,23 3658:5
3660:4,7,11
3661:7
3662:23
3663:7,10,17,23
3664:2,5,10,15,18
3666:13,16
3667:1,6,9,12 3673:9
3674:6
3687:1
3697:2
3703:1
3704:6
3708:4,7,10,13,16,18,22
3709:4,8,14,16,21,23
3710:1,10,15,18,24
3711:3,7,9,17,21
3712:15,18,20,22,25
3713:4,8,10,18,21,24
3714:1,16,22
3715:1,15,19,22
3716:6,9,12,21
3717:1,10,15,20,25
3718:3,22,25
3719:14,18,22,24
3720:6,10,14,17,20,24
3721:2,6,9,22,25
3722:8,14,17
3723:2,4,9,15,25 3724:3
3726:3,11,14,21
3727:1,9,14,20,23
3728:1,6,9,13,24
3729:8,12,17
3730:2,11,14,16,23
3731:1,3,11,15,18,22
3732:5,12,14,16,18,22
3733:13,15,21,23,25
3734:19,23
3735:6,17,24
3736:5,11,17,24
3737:3,9,16,23
3738:5,12,16,18
3739:2,9,15,22
3740:10,14,16,24
3741:6,13,16,21,25
3742:4,7,14,21
3743:5,15,21,23,25
3744:7,13,19
3746:6,7

July 3652:20
3654:21

jumped
3717:11

June 3614:23
3615:8
3617:11
3621:13
3655:16
3668:18
3694:11

jurisdiction
3639:9

K

Kansas
3579:11
3580:16,24
3581:20,22,23,24
3582:3,4,8,12,15 3583:13
3609:25
3618:13
3622:19
3634:21
3651:3
3748:3
3749:2
3750:3

Karen 3711:22
3747:6
3752:15,17,18,20

KARL 3582:1

KC 3586:3

KCP&L 3579:15
3587:3,19,22
3588:9,10
3598:8
3599:1,5,21
3600:1,5,6,7
3602:17,23
3609:19
3611:18,24
3612:17
3616:11
3618:23,25
3619:1,3,7
3620:5
3622:16
3625:6,11,15
3627:1
3638:19,22
3640:1
3651:13,19
3655:23
3657:18
3660:24,25
3661:22
3663:3
3667:25
3668:12
3669:3,19
3672:4,13
3673:1,12
3689:1
3708:20,21
3710:21,22,23 3714:4
3715:24
3716:2
3723:16,19
3726:16
3727:6
3728:13,14
3729:3,5,6
3734:17
3735:4,6
3741:19,23
3742:20
3748:4,5,7,8,16,17,19,20,22,23,25
3749:3,5,6,8,9,11,12,14,15,17,18,20,21,23,24
3750:4,5,7,22,23,25
3751:10,12,13,15,16,18
3752:4,6,7,12,13,15,16,18,19,21,22,24
3753:4,6,7,9,10,12,13,15,16,18,19,21,22,24

3754:4,6,7,9,10 3755:15,16,18,19,21 3756:6,8,9,16,18,19,21,22

KCP&L-13 3723:19

KCP&L-14 3723:20

KCP&L-204 3733:19

KCP&L-206 3734:25

KCP&L-207 3735:1

KCP&L-208 3735:1

KCP&L-209 3735:1

KCP&L-211 3737:8,11

KCP&L-212 3737:14,18

KCP&L-218 3735:9

KCP&L-219 3735:10

KCP&L-222 3735:12

KCP&L-23 3723:20

KCP&L-230 3735:20

KCP&L-231 3735:20

KCP&L-232 3736:3,7

KCP&L-233 3736:9,13

KCP&L-236 3736:15,19

KCP&L-237 3736:25 3737:5

KCP&L-238 3737:6

KCP&L-241 3737:21,25

KCP&L-242 3738:1

KCP&L-243 3738:1

KCP&L-244 3738:2,8

KCP&L-245 3738:9

KCP&L-247 3738:10,14

KCP&L-32 3723:20

KCP&L-33 3723:20

KCP&L-34 3723:21

KCP&L-39 3723:21

KCP&L-40 3723:21

KCP&L-41 3723:21

KCP&L-42 3723:22

KCP&L-47 3723:22

KCP&L-48 3723:22

KCP&L-49 3723:22

KCP&L-56 3723:23

KCP&L-59 3723:23

KCP&L-60 3723:23

KCP&L-61 3723:23

KCP&L-62 3723:23

KCP&L-63 3619:7,8

KCP&L-64 3619:8

KCP&L-65 3619:8

KCP&L-7 3651:19

KCP&L-8 3651:20

KCP&L-9 3651:11,20

KCP&L's 3718:17

KCPL 3588:1,7,19 3598:10 3599:17,25 3601:21 3602:12,15,22 3603:14 3606:15 3607:6 3609:22 3610:12 3611:19 3612:21,22 3618:24 3622:21 3624:23 3639:23 3640:10 3643:3 3660:19,20,22,23 3663:8 3666:23 3667:6,7 3689:4 3706:18,25 3708:18 3709:9,10 3710:1,11,18,19,20 3716:24 3717:22 3718:23 3719:2,3,19 3720:10 3725:8 3728:6 3729:23 3730:3,11,16,23 3731:15 3732:6,8,10 3733:1,2,3,4,5,6,25 3734:1,2,3,4,7,8,9,11,13,14,19 3742:1,9,23,25 3743:1,9,10,11 3748:10 3757:8,9

KCPL/GMO 3603:22 3718:20 3725:1

KCPL-13 3720:16

KCPL-14 3720:16

KCPL-23 3721:1

KCPL-238 3737:1

KCPL-32 3721:4

KCPL-34 3721:8

KCPL-39 3721:11

KCPL-42 3721:14

KCPL-47 3721:16

KCPL-48 3721:16

KCPL-56 3722:16

KCPL-59 3721:17

KCPL-60 3721:19

KCPL-62 3721:21

KCPL-63 3619:5

KCPL-64 3619:6

KCPL-65 3619:6

KCPL-7 3651:10,16,20

KCPL-8 3651:11,17

KCPL-9 3651:18

KCPL's 3603:10 3606:5 3615:15 3647:9

Keith 3661:10,21 3735:20 3740:18,19 3747:4

3752:9,11
Kenneth
3721:20
3726:10
3750:6,19
KENNEY
3579:22
KEVIN 3579:22
3583:17
KINDSCHUH
3583:4
KLIETHERMES
3583:18
Klote 3726:6
3750:12
knowledge
3587:14
3598:23
3602:8
3604:8,14
3623:9
3657:21
3662:8
KS 3582:11,15
3583:6

L

label 3728:13
Lane 3582:22
language
3596:21
3713:17
3714:5
3715:9,13,17
3716:8,11,17
large 3695:7
Larry 3581:17
3721:11
3749:10,11,13,14
last 3585:2
3594:11
3595:22
3611:5
3619:2
3626:25
3627:7,10
3633:13,14
3634:25
3635:1
3636:1
3644:3,5,8,10,13,20,22
3646:23
3649:14
3651:12
3656:8,9,20
3657:2,5
3665:8,12
3666:22
3688:7,8
3691:24
3692:9
3693:9
3704:19
3713:12
3725:8
late 3617:8
later 3641:24
3659:17
3704:23
3722:7
latest 3673:7
law 3579:19
3580:2,6,10,14,15,18,22
3581:1,5,9,13,17,21,22
3582:1,5,9,10,13,17,21
3583:1,4,8
3623:4,20
3624:19
3636:17
3652:18,22
3654:15
3658:24
lawyer
3646:22
3656:22
3658:22
learned
3660:14
least 3725:1
leaves
3619:14
LED 3585:14
3713:12
3714:5,10
3715:3,25
3716:1,3,4
Lee's 3581:3
legal
3583:16,17,18,19 3617:13
3623:3,7,9,14 3624:10
3638:8,18
3641:16
3642:2,6,9,15 3652:11,19
3653:10,12
3655:6,7
legislation
3590:3
3593:22
lengthy
3704:2
less 3590:11
let's 3587:21
3590:22
3639:7
3660:8
3663:2
3671:11
3734:12
letter
3672:4,12,14
letting
3717:4
level 3610:13
3617:5
3637:14
3642:8,20
Lewis 3581:5
3584:1
3593:15
liability
3668:9
life
3687:9,13,22
3691:5
Light 3579:11
3581:20,22,24
3582:4,8,12
3618:14
3634:22
3639:15
3651:4
3748:3
3749:2
3750:3
lighting
3585:14
3713:12
3714:5
3715:3,25
3716:4
likely 3636:6
line 3606:10
3617:14
3640:19
3672:18,21
3687:25
3688:20
lines 3707:2
3712:2,5
Lisa 3579:24
3745:3,15
list
3650:5,10,11
3717:11,12
3720:18,20
3730:20
3742:5,10
listed
3595:19
3622:12
3722:21
listening
3744:16
litigated
3707:19
litigating
3689:2
little
3614:21
3624:17
3635:23
3636:18
3652:16
3714:6
live 3662:18
3725:5
LLC 3579:25
3580:13
3582:10
3583:9
LLP 3581:5
3582:2,6
3583:5
local 3609:18
Locals
3582:16
long 3601:17
3623:6
3628:14
3644:23
3645:16
3672:10
3687:20
3706:11
longer
3654:15
3659:17

longstanding
3616:13
long-term
3687:12
3690:25
3691:11
Loos 3721:11
3749:10,11,13,14
Louis 3583:10
low 3609:18
lowered
3672:1,9
low-income
3585:7
3603:10
3609:19
3610:7,10,14
LUMLEY
3580:10
lunch
3714:6,9,17,20 3715:4
Lyons
3708:7,22
3709:9
3710:2,25
3711:14,17,22 3712:23
3747:6
3752:15,17,18,20
Lyons's
3709:9

M

ma'am 3664:15
Madison
3581:18
3583:20
3584:2
main 3582:2
3635:13
maintain
3648:18,20
maintained
3623:4
3624:19
maintenance
3690:3
3691:5
3703:9,13
majority
3614:24
3665:4
Majors
3649:25
3650:3
3661:3,4,10,12,21 3664:7
3735:20
3740:18,19
3747:4
3752:9,11
Maloney
3753:14
Maloney's
3736:4
managing
3668:8
Mangelsdorf
3583:1
3593:2,3
3600:14,15
3603:5,6
3611:1,3
3612:16,24
3746:23
3747:10
March 3607:25
3609:10
3656:7
3665:1
3669:9
3670:5
marginal
3649:1
mark 3580:22
3581:1
3625:3
3639:21
3673:11
marked
3599:2,3,4
3624:22
3625:7,16
3640:10
3643:3
3652:4
3673:13
3709:12
3710:8,9
3730:16
3732:9
3748:2
3749:2
3750:2
3751:2
3752:2
3753:2
3754:2
3755:2
3756:2
3757:2
material
3621:21
3654:9
Matter
3579:10,14
matters
3645:8
3649:7
may 3592:1,3
3595:8
3613:15
3617:2
3618:5
3621:20,21
3633:4
3634:6
3650:21
3652:18
3654:15
3656:1,2,6
3660:5
3664:20
3715:9
3719:16
3726:15
3731:13
3732:23,25
3742:5
maybe 3608:12
3713:16
3733:13
McCarty
3582:6
MCCLOWERY
3583:19
McCollister
3721:13
3726:7
3749:16
3750:13
McMellon
3753:15
McMellon's
3736:9
MCNEILL
3582:21
mean 3626:1
3636:20
3644:25
3645:16
3648:23
3658:18
3689:16
3695:7
3707:15
3725:14
3731:5
measures
3594:6
mechanism
3591:1
3592:7
3596:14
3615:13
3703:4
mechanisms
3590:15,23
3591:9,20
3592:11,22
3596:5,6
3597:1
MEEIA
3588:20,22
3589:21,24
3590:14
3592:14
meet 3592:16
3671:22
meeting
3608:7
3615:22
MEGHAN
3583:19
Meisenheimer
3730:4
3731:8
3757:9,10
memorandum
3672:1,9
3673:19
3752:12
mention
3590:23
3609:24
3634:14
3638:11
mentioned
3606:10,21,22 3614:15
3633:5
3646:19
3647:15
3648:9,13
3666:3

3707:14
mentioning 3736:22
Metcalf 3583:5
Meyers 3718:15
MGE 3617:15
mic 3589:12
Michael 3581:5 3582:13 3738:3 3742:18 3744:6 3754:8,9 3755:11,12,15,17,18,23,24 3756:4
mid 3627:6
middle 3624:2
mid-year 3646:9
MIEC 3583:7
Mike 3737:22 3753:24 3754:5,6
million 3634:22 3635:4,5,9,23 3648:13,16 3657:4 3665:7,23 3671:25 3672:8
Mills 3584:1 3588:16,17 3589:14 3592:24 3593:1 3600:12,13 3603:3,4 3613:3,4 3723:14,15 3725:12 3726:22 3729:18,19 3730:3,13,15,18,25 3731:2,4,12,17 3732:12,13 3746:18
mind 3592:3 3687:13 3691:18 3717:3
mine 3729:21 3741:14
mini 3616:20 3617:24 3664:14 3666:14
minute 3622:2 3627:17
minutes 3613:23 3614:1 3615:22 3741:11
miscounted 3733:13
misnumbered 3660:15
misnumbering 3660:19
misplaced 3730:20
missed 3734:15
missing 3720:7
Missouri 3579:2,7,15 3580:17,21 3581:7 3582:20 3583:3,11,22 3588:23 3592:17 3596:22 3597:24 3605:2,10,11,13,17 3607:11 3610:3 3611:10 3622:16,18,22 3645:19 3646:22 3745:4 3747:8 3750:21 3751:3 3752:3 3753:3 3754:3 3755:3 3756:15 3757:3
mistake 3733:16
mistaken 3730:12
MJMEUC 3580:4
MO 3580:3,8,12,16,20,23 3581:2,6,11,19,23 3582:3,7,19 3583:2,10,13,21 3584:3
model 3695:5,12
modification 3594:12 3596:10,16
moment 3613:22 3628:18 3635:6 3646:24 3657:5 3672:20 3674:6 3697:2
Monday 3587:7
monetary 3595:16 3596:1
money 3606:7,20 3607:20,22 3608:2
Monroe 3580:23 3581:2
monthly 3655:18 3739:8
months 3636:24
morning 3585:1,4 3586:20 3593:8,10 3597:18,19 3601:8,9 3603:7,8 3614:17 3617:2 3620:13,14 3633:21 3652:2,3 3660:11 3661:12,13 3667:15,16 3714:1 3735:23
Morrison 3582:6 3636:12 3639:12,16
motions 3634:17
move 3589:11 3598:25 3612:16 3613:18 3619:19 3664:10 3715:14 3720:4
moved 3585:25 3586:4 3658:23,24
MPS 3639:8,11

N

NATHAN 3583:16
Natural 3583:3 3605:3,10,18 3611:11 3747:8 3756:15 3757:3
nature 3614:25 3642:15
necessarily 3591:3 3636:20
necessary 3595:11
neither 3745:8
Newman 3580:22 3581:1
Nielsen's 3718:13
night 3585:3
nod 3585:9

none 3588:7
3596:4
3602:22
3612:20
3619:5
3625:10
3639:25
3651:16
3712:21
3725:14
3731:20
3734:22
3735:8
3736:6,12
3737:4,10
3738:6
3739:3
3740:25
3741:7
3742:23

non-recurring
3666:7
3695:8

non-unanimous
3615:24
3722:3

nor 3745:8,11

normal
3609:14
3693:14
3695:17

normalization
3687:18
3690:22
3693:12,24
3696:6,18
3706:7

normalize
3690:21
3691:1
3696:24
3703:13

normalized
3665:11
3666:4
3687:11,15,21,23 3691:24
3692:9
3693:8,24
3694:19,23
3696:22

normally
3627:4

Normand
3721:16
3722:2
3749:17,19,20,22

North 3580:3

Nos 3588:9
3600:5
3612:21
3619:7
3620:5
3651:19
3660:24
3708:20
3710:21
3723:19
3727:6
3729:5
3732:8,10
3734:25
3735:9
3737:5,25
3738:8
3739:4,11,24
3741:1
3742:25
3743:10,17
3744:9

note 3611:24
3614:19
3615:21
3628:19
3674:7
3697:3
3722:1

noted 3723:4
3732:5,6

notes 3660:18
3663:7
3720:7
3732:22,23

nothing
3656:18
3667:10
3691:12
3703:5
3711:10
3744:20

notified
3594:19

November
3617:10

NP 3587:24
3588:1,7,8,9,10
3599:2,5,6,25
3600:1,3,4,6,7,8
3612:1,2
3618:25
3619:1,5,6,8
3651:10,17,20 3663:4,5,6
3667:7
3709:12
3710:12,18,19,22 3720:23
3721:12,16
3723:17,18,20,21,22
3724:9,11,17
3734:4,6,7,8,9,10,11,13
3736:22
3748:5,8,17
3749:3,14,18
3750:22
3751:4,7,10,13,16,19,22
3752:9,16,19

numerous
3618:17

O

oath 3666:17
3711:18

object 3649:6
3728:19

objecting
3728:21

objection
3599:24
3602:21
3612:19
3619:4,22,23,24 3639:24
3662:18,20
3663:12,15
3708:14,16,17 3710:16,17
3712:13
3716:22
3722:4,10,18
3723:1,11
3726:16,22
3728:18,25
3731:23
3732:4
3734:21
3736:5,11
3742:21
3744:7

objections
3588:6
3625:9
3651:14
3721:25
3726:20
3727:2
3729:2
3731:7,12,19
3734:20
3735:7
3736:17
3737:3,9,16,17,23
3738:5,12
3739:2,9,15,22 3740:24
3741:6
3743:5,7,15,25

obtaining
3689:2

obvious
3649:17

occasions
3618:17
3651:7
3707:14

occupation
3586:24
3661:17

occur 3691:3
3693:16
3696:9

occurred
3694:22
3695:2
3696:20

occurs
3690:22

o'clock
3714:18,23

offer 3587:18
3599:12
3602:10
3625:3
3639:19
3663:1,18
3710:11
3714:12
3720:12
3726:12
3727:12
3728:22
3730:5,21
3731:9
3733:18
3734:17
3735:3,11,19

3736:4,10,15,25
3737:7,13,21
3738:2,10,23
3739:6,13,19
3740:1,22
3741:3,12
3742:17
3743:2,13,23
3744:4

offered
3588:5
3595:14,24
3599:8,21
3602:13
3625:8
3639:23
3667:2,4
3708:9
3710:6,16
3711:10
3729:21
3731:16
3733:1
3734:20
3735:7,22
3740:11
3742:6,7

offering
3602:17
3721:22,24
3725:22,25
3728:15
3730:17,19

offers
3595:16
3599:24

offhand
3627:20

Office
3582:10
3584:1,4
3757:7

officer
3649:7

offset 3688:1
3706:14

oh 3625:5
3626:18
3633:18
3641:17
3648:7
3658:7
3689:19
3696:1
3709:24
3711:16
3732:15

OK 3653:16

okay
3585:9,20,23,24 3586:8
3587:17
3588:7
3589:5,10,18
3590:2,4,9,22
3591:1,4,12,17,22,25
3592:3,9,13,20,24
3596:13,20
3598:15
3599:23
3600:11
3602:14,19
3605:25
3618:20
3619:13
3620:21
3621:10,22
3622:2
3623:19
3624:6,12
3625:1,21,23
3626:10,23
3627:25
3628:18
3633:9,20
3634:9,13
3637:1
3638:23
3639:5
3640:15,21,24 3641:1,10
3643:2,6,10
3644:1,18
3645:10
3646:2,12,15
3647:1
3649:18
3650:14,17
3651:6
3652:10
3653:6,9,14,20,25
3654:5,20
3655:3,25
3656:5,17,25
3657:11
3661:2,20,25
3662:7,25
3663:7,9,22
3664:20
3666:17
3667:24
3668:4,15,22
3669:3,9,13,19,24
3670:5,11,23
3671:8,11
3672:7
3673:6,10
3687:3
3690:10
3692:1,4
3694:8
3696:2,10,15
3703:8,12,17
3704:22
3705:19
3706:21,24
3707:2,10
3708:2
3709:6,15,22
3710:10,18
3711:3,16
3714:16
3715:15,19,21,23
3716:9,12,16
3718:7,22,24
3719:17
3720:9,14,22
3721:9
3722:8,14,23
3723:2,6,9
3724:23
3725:18,23,25 3728:8,13
3731:15
3732:25
3733:16,22,23,24 3734:19
3735:6
3740:10,14,16,21
3742:8,9,14,17,21,23
3743:9

O'Keefe
3580:11

ones 3639:14
3642:2
3742:20

one-time
3690:22,25
3691:2
3696:8

ongoing
3687:6
3691:13

OPC 3732:8,10

opening
3614:12
3616:24
3664:14
3666:14
3692:15

openings
3616:20
3617:24

Operations
3579:15

opinion
3592:10
3641:15
3689:23
3704:22

opportunity
3621:17
3625:18

orally
3662:22

order
3585:13,18
3592:16
3615:24
3616:1
3617:16
3666:5
3671:1
3727:24
3744:17

ordered
3666:6

organization
3605:18

original
3650:9

originally
3687:25

others
3659:14

otherwise
3745:12

OTT 3583:16

outage
3664:23,25
3665:4,5,10,16,19,20
3666:2
3694:14,15,21 3695:4
3696:21,22

3705:20,25
3707:5,13

outage-related
3665:13
3666:8

outages
3692:18,23
3694:7
3695:2,11

outcome
3745:12

outside
3617:13
3637:18
3646:6
3656:19,21
3659:14,16,20,23 3705:4

Overland
3583:6

overlap
3657:10,24
3659:6,21

overlooked
3650:3
3720:1
3736:1

overrule
3649:12

oversight
3595:8

P

P.C 3581:18

P.O
3581:10,23
3582:18
3583:1,21
3584:2

PA 3582:14

package
3609:16

page 3605:22
3606:10
3615:23
3621:17,19,25
3622:1,9,15
3623:19
3624:2,13,16
3626:5
3652:10
3653:10,12
3654:5
3672:18,20
3706:25
3707:11
3712:1,3
3746:2
3747:1

pages 3628:20
3674:8
3697:4

paid 3624:5
3653:14
3659:7,9,24,25 3666:8
3689:21
3690:14
3693:3

paragraph
3688:13
3706:22

paraphrased
3591:18

Pardon 3598:4

Park 3583:6

partially
3604:20

participants
3595:24

participate
3594:20,22
3595:2,3
3668:1,6

participated
3696:3

participation
3595:14

particular
3603:9
3607:9
3621:15
3623:11
3628:8
3634:23
3638:4,16
3646:21
3647:10
3653:3
3670:17
3688:14
3705:17

particularly
3623:2
3637:11,17
3638:4,12
3642:1
3645:8
3648:15

parties
3605:14
3670:12,19
3704:23
3705:16
3714:9
3715:4
3716:13
3727:21
3729:1
3730:8
3745:9,11

party 3605:16
3718:6
3729:14

past 3695:9

Paul 3721:15
3735:12
3749:17,19,20,22

pay 3617:19
3623:1
3627:23
3628:1,2,6
3666:11
3689:6
3693:17
3696:8
3706:4,6,10

payable
3622:25
3623:6,7,16
3642:22,24
3652:12
3653:21

paying 3628:3
3657:1
3659:21
3693:22

payment
3623:8
3652:24
3653:19
3654:9
3689:17

payments
3657:25

payroll
3627:10,12
3633:17
3644:11
3687:20

PC 3580:22
3581:1
3582:18

penalized
3691:2

pending
3663:18,22
3715:9
3722:4
3723:11
3726:19
3727:2
3743:4

people
3659:2,11

per 3671:25
3672:8
3696:19

percent
3606:11,13
3607:14,23
3609:19,22
3610:2
3641:7
3665:21
3692:16

perfect
3717:12
3732:24

perform
3644:21
3645:5

performance
3672:2,10
3689:3

performed
3623:12
3636:21
3644:24
3645:3
3653:22
3659:12,16

performing
3628:15
3645:9

period 3595:4
3607:24
3609:7,10
3615:18
3616:5
3627:15
3659:3
3664:25
3668:18,21,22

3669:9,12,13
3670:3,5
3696:19
3704:15

periods
3657:10
3691:20

permanent
3692:21

permission
3592:4

permitted
3591:14
3614:11
3649:11
3662:19,22
3664:14

PERRY 3581:13

person
3659:8,9,10

personally
3668:7
3695:21
3696:1

person's
3659:7

Peterson
3580:7

ph 3658:14

phase
3616:9,14

pick 3687:20

picture
3648:6

piece 3672:15

pieces
3619:20

placed
3671:13

places
3593:23

plan 3579:13
3603:15,21
3638:5,6,7
3645:21

plant 3664:25
3691:6

Platt 3610:1

please
3585:12
3586:13,16,21
3597:11,14,20,21
3601:1,4,10,11,15
3610:22,25
3611:4
3617:2
3618:2,10
3628:18
3633:25
3635:6
3646:24
3650:18,25
3661:5,8
3664:21
3672:20
3674:6
3697:2
3711:18

point 3592:10
3607:23
3614:22
3615:14
3628:19
3641:7
3659:8
3674:7
3687:23
3691:15
3694:17
3696:19
3697:3
3734:16

policy
3590:20
3623:2
3688:18

portion
3608:4
3610:5
3635:18
3712:12

position
3597:2
3598:5
3601:17
3604:5
3615:15
3616:11
3626:10,11,13 3627:5
3643:16
3644:2,12
3645:11
3646:14,18,21
3658:11,15,19,25
3659:1,5
3666:9

posting
3672:3,11

potential
3716:4

power 3579:11
3581:20,22,24
3582:4,8,12
3618:14
3634:21
3639:15
3651:4
3665:5,10,14,20 3666:3,8
3673:1
3690:19
3692:14,17,22
3693:4,11,20
3694:2,6
3695:20,22
3696:4,11,16,20 3703:23
3705:24
3748:3
3749:2
3750:3

practice
3616:13

precise
3703:4

precisely
3605:24

preference
3714:13,17

prefiled
3711:9
3717:4,7,24
3718:4,8
3719:19
3732:20
3733:9,10,12,15 3734:12
3742:2,11,13

premarked
3611:19,21

Prenger
3736:16
3753:17

prepare
3598:12

prepared
3598:7
3611:16,17
3612:3
3626:14
3661:21

preparing
3592:16

presented
3642:9

president
3643:14,20,24

Presiding
3579:19

pretty
3648:11
3649:16
3717:12
3727:22

prevents
3616:11

previous
3626:25

previously
3606:22
3615:20
3618:17
3626:16
3651:6
3658:11,15
3666:3

Pridgin
3579:19
3585:1,11,17,20,23
3586:2,6,8,15 3587:25
3588:4,14
3589:13
3593:1,4,6,13
3597:5,9,13
3599:7,17,20,23
3600:11,14,16,18,20
3601:3
3602:14,17,19,21
3603:2,5
3606:1
3608:15,19
3610:17,21,24 3612:19
3613:1,5,8,10,15,17,23

3614:1,3,6,13,15
3616:19,23
3617:23
3618:4
3619:4,18,22,24 3620:2,9
3624:23
3625:1,8
3628:18
3633:1,23
3634:2
3637:19
3639:20,23
3640:4
3647:1,4,19,21
3649:12,20,24
3650:2,6,10,14,17,20
3651:14,16,23 3658:5
3660:4,7,11
3661:7
3662:23
3663:7,10,17,23
3664:2,5,10,15,18
3666:13,16
3667:1,6,9,12 3673:9
3674:6
3687:1
3697:2
3703:1
3704:6
3708:4,7,10,13,16,18,22
3709:4,8,14,16,21,23
3710:1,10,15,18,24
3711:3,7,9,17,21
3712:15,18,20,22,25
3713:4,8,10,18,21,24
3714:1,16,22
3715:1,15,19,22
3716:6,9,12,21
3717:1,10,15,20,25
3718:3,22,25
3719:14,18,22,24
3720:6,10,14,17,20,24
3721:2,6,9,22,25
3722:8,14,17
3723:2,4,9,15,25 3724:3
3726:3,11,14,21
3727:1,9,14,20
3728:1,6,9,13,24
3729:8,12,17
3730:2,11,14,16,23
3731:1,3,11,15,18,22
3732:5,12,14,16,22
3733:13,15,21,23,25
3734:19,23
3735:6,17,24
3736:5,11,17,24
3737:3,9,16,23
3738:5,12,18
3739:2,9,15,22
3740:10,14,16,24
3741:6,13,16,21,25
3742:4,7,14,21
3743:5,15,21,25
3744:7,13,19
3746:6,7

primarily
3672:25
3690:19

primary
3589:4,5,6
3590:4
3603:12
3635:13
3647:16

prior 3594:11
3596:9
3637:17
3641:20
3657:11
3688:20
3704:13,24

privilege
3642:13

Pro 3583:14

probable
3594:4

probably
3613:25
3633:6
3636:2
3641:8
3663:11
3674:4
3710:6
3714:12
3734:15
3735:24
3736:1

problem
3603:12
3606:5
3704:3
3711:21

problems
3603:9

procedural
3615:25

procedure
3605:7
3638:16
3653:2
3654:7

procedures
3595:9

proceed
3660:13
3666:16

proceeding
3615:14
3619:12
3666:22

PROCEEDINGS
3579:4

proceeds
3687:24
3688:6,24
3689:13
3690:18
3691:10
3692:16,23
3707:14,15,16,19,20,25

process
3592:14
3596:3
3634:20
3642:7,21
3654:13,18,24,25 3655:1
3668:2,6
3690:22
3693:12
3696:5,6,18
3703:5
3704:20
3706:7

procurement
3689:13

profess
3667:20

program
3594:6
3595:3,14,15
3610:7

programs
3591:13,14
3594:21,23
3595:2,11,24,25 3603:10
3605:2
3606:17

progresses
3634:15

project
3657:23

projects
3616:3

promulgate
3589:16
3593:17,24
3594:14

promulgating
3596:12

propose
3714:10

proposed
3596:14
3703:12,14,17,22

protect
3693:13
3696:7

provide
3590:24
3595:7,23,25
3634:13
3635:21
3638:23
3641:1,16,17

3642:8,22,24
provided
3595:18
3615:2
3622:21,23
3623:5,15
3638:17
3641:21
3642:3,4,11,18 3644:8
3654:2,4
3655:22
provides
3655:4
providing
3616:12
3642:5
provisions
3595:10
3637:1
3671:23
prudence
3616:1
3617:7
3621:11
3637:12,17
3647:12
3648:25
prudent
3617:5
PSC 3605:13
public 3579:1
3583:20,22
3584:1,4
3587:25
3597:24
3601:14
3605:11
3633:1
3639:20
3687:1
3703:2
3710:4
3750:21
3751:3
3752:3
3753:3
3754:3
3755:3
3757:7
purchase
3665:10,14
3666:3,8
3673:1
3690:19
3692:14
3693:20
3694:2,6
3695:20,22
3696:4,11,16,20 3703:23
3705:24
purpose
3615:17
3693:11
purposes
3623:5
3695:20
3703:10
pursuant
3605:4
3645:21
3743:7
putting
3634:20

Q

qualified
3693:2
quantify
3695:22
question
3585:14
3593:15,18
3606:4
3608:22,25
3609:3
3636:12,25
3637:3
3643:7
3644:4,9
3646:15
3647:7,22
3648:1
3649:15
3658:7,19
3671:2,7
3672:5
3687:12
3689:9,11
3690:1,12
3692:7,24
3693:7
3694:21
3695:25
3696:3,25
3703:22
3707:12,21
questioning
3596:17
questions
3586:25
3587:9
3592:24
3593:3,7,12
3597:3
3598:18
3600:13,19
3602:2,3
3603:4
3608:17
3610:16
3612:9,14
3613:4,7,9,11 3621:20
3628:17
3633:20,24
3634:2
3636:10,11
3637:20,21
3639:18
3640:3,7
3641:11
3643:8
3644:1,6
3645:2,10,13
3646:3
3647:4
3658:3
3661:2
3662:3,11
3664:4,5
3671:11
3674:1,2
3704:8,12,21
3705:20,21
3706:17
3707:13
3709:3,4
3731:7
3746:6,7
quick 3647:2
quicker
3663:11
quickly
3719:25
3720:3
3727:22
quite 3729:12
quote
3614:24,25
3616:2,6
3673:24

R

raise 3586:12
3597:10
3600:25
3610:21
3615:19
3616:14
3618:1
3650:18
3663:15
3691:16
rare 3705:11
rate
3585:11,14,21 3594:12
3596:9,13,16
3604:7,13,15
3611:13
3613:18
3614:8,18,20,22
3615:1,7,9
3616:4,8
3617:5,14,15
3620:18
3621:13
3622:4,16,18,19,22
3623:13,24
3624:7
3627:16,24
3628:4
3633:9,14
3634:5,11
3635:7
3644:3,8,10
3645:5,12,15,22 3647:25
3650:10
3654:3
3656:9,20,22,23
3657:1,2,7
3659:3,5
3665:8,11,12
3666:1,4,5
3668:12,13
3669:3,4,19,22 3687:17
3688:7,21
3689:25
3690:16
3691:19,24
3692:9
3693:9,21
3694:3,8
3695:3
3703:13,18,23
3704:13,19,23 3706:11
3724:19
3725:24
3730:5,6,19,

21 3737:8,21
3753:21
ratemaking
3668:1
3688:15
3695:20
3696:5
3703:10
ratepayers
3637:8
3647:6
3649:4,16
3706:6
rates 3604:19
3617:20
3626:25
3627:23
3628:3
3633:11
3656:8,24
3657:5
3666:6
3668:25
3669:16
3670:8
3687:14
3689:8,18,22
,25
3690:14,15,2
5 3696:12
3703:6
3706:11
rather 3592:1
rating
3648:18,21
Rcv'd 3748:2
3749:2
3750:2
3751:2
3752:2
3753:2
3754:2
3755:2
3756:2
3757:2
re 3752:12
reached
3670:12
3731:8
reading
3596:4
3717:4
ready 3586:17
3597:9,15
3601:5
3611:1
3613:17
3616:23
3618:6
3649:24
3650:22
3661:9
3664:19
3666:16
3708:7,22
3729:17
3743:22
real 3687:11
3691:8
3706:3
really
3620:19
3644:24
3666:22
re-ask 3692:7
reason
3642:17,23
3690:21
3730:10
reasonable
3617:5
3633:15
reasonablenes
s 3621:12
reasons
3642:13
rebuild
3671:13,20
rebuttal
3587:2,18,19
,21,23
3598:8,12
3599:1,3,4
3611:17,25
3661:22
3663:4,5
3666:24
3672:18
3706:25
3707:3
3709:9,13
3710:7
3720:15,23
3721:3,7,10,
15,18
3722:16
3724:15,18,1
9
3726:1,4,6,8
3727:18
3728:11
3730:21
3731:5
3735:4,21
3737:1,22
3738:3
3739:20
3740:23
3742:18
3743:13
3744:5
3748:7,9,13,
19,24,25
3749:4,5,8,1
1,20,25
3750:7,9,10,
12,16,22,24
3751:5,6,14,
15,20,21
3752:9,15,17
,23
3753:11,18
3754:5,8,23
3755:5,11,17
,24
3756:8,13,20
,21 3757:10
recall 3627:5
3643:8,12
3645:12
3655:18
3658:12
3667:19
3704:11,18,2
1 3705:20
3706:21
recalled
3720:3
receipt
3654:10
receive
3647:16
3706:13
received
3588:11
3595:19
3600:8
3602:24
3607:18,19
3612:23
3617:7,9
3619:9
3620:6,17
3623:14
3625:12
3640:2
3651:20
3652:23
3653:1
3655:11
3665:7,23
3688:6
3692:16
3705:7
3708:21
3710:23
3723:24
3727:8
3729:7,21
3732:11
3735:1,10
3736:8,14,20
3737:6,12,19
3738:1,9,15
3739:5,12,18
,25 3741:2,9
3743:1,11,18
3744:3,10
receives
3610:1,4
recharacteriz
e 3688:19
recognize
3673:17
recollection
3641:24
recommend
3617:5,13
recommendatio
n 3610:9
recommendatio
ns 3617:12
recommended
3604:3
recommending
3610:11
recommends
3617:17
reconcile
3616:14
reconciliatio
n 3616:3
reconsiderati
on 3634:17
record 3585:2
3586:21
3588:22
3597:21
3601:11
3612:18
3614:4,7
3618:11,24

3619:15
3643:12
3651:1,12
3652:11
3660:9,12
3661:15
3666:24
3706:22
3709:1
3713:20
3714:2,4,23,
24 3715:2,8
3720:13
3727:12

recorded
3636:22
3659:4
3687:25

recording
3706:14

records
3668:5,9
3717:6

recover
3633:19
3665:3,18
3692:17

recovered
3617:21
3633:11
3645:15
3648:5,7
3659:4

recovering
3647:24

recovery
3590:15,23,2
4
3591:6,8,9,1
3,19
3592:7,11,21
3594:13
3596:5,11,14
3597:1
3609:1
3633:16
3638:10
3646:13,17
3647:14
3649:10
3656:23
3659:17
3660:1
3665:9
3666:1

recross
3637:21,23
3647:2,19
3746:6

recurring
3687:3
3691:6

redact
3642:12

redacting
3642:7

redirect
3593:13,14
3608:20,21
3640:5,8
3647:3,21,23
3658:6,9
3704:7,10
3746:7,8,11,
14,19,24

reduced
3745:7

reduction
3664:24
3671:12

referred
3624:18

referring
3591:23
3603:14
3606:9
3607:9

reflect
3615:18

reflected
3657:5
3696:12
3703:6

reflects
3666:24

regard
3614:18
3619:3
3642:5
3645:11
3647:17,24
3704:19
3705:12
3707:13

regarding
3591:6
3593:16
3596:25
3610:9
3616:1

regards
3644:1

regulatory
3579:13,19
3598:2
3601:16
3603:15
3604:4,20
3627:10
3638:5
3643:21
3644:10,13
3645:21
3646:13,17,2
2 3652:20
3658:16,22,2
4

reimburse
3673:1
3706:16

reimbursed
3666:10

reimbursement
3665:2,17
3692:13
3705:2,6

reject
3616:16

rejected
3722:5

related
3606:20
3644:4
3704:12
3707:13
3745:8

relates
3596:13

relative
3745:10

release
3672:3,11

relitigate
3615:20
3704:23

remain
3587:13

remainder
3636:4
3744:11

remained
3687:24

remaining
3606:14
3608:5
3715:3

remarked
3660:25
3752:6,7

remarks
3617:4

remember
3593:15,17
3596:17
3608:8
3627:15,20
3639:7
3641:13
3644:3,4
3646:4
3655:7,21,25
3671:14
3696:10
3719:24
3742:11

remembering
3705:25

remove
3688:19,24
3693:14
3696:16

removed
3614:23
3615:3
3693:11,13,2
4 3694:19,23
3696:23,24
3706:8
3712:2

reoffer
3712:11

repeat
3646:15
3672:5
3724:21

repetitive
3617:18

replace
3664:23

replaced
3692:20

replacement
3665:5,20
3692:17,22
3693:4

replacements
3673:3

3707:8
replied 3602:4
report 3599:15 3601:22 3602:12 3617:15 3663:3 3737:8 3753:5,6,8,9,21 3754:15,18,20
REPORTED 3579:24
reporter 3611:5 3625:3 3729:24 3745:1
REPORTER'S 3615:21 3628:19 3674:7 3697:3
REPORTING 3579:25
reports 3641:5 3734:18 3739:8
representation 3726:18
representatives 3623:7
reprot 3754:17
request 3621:4,15 3622:3,5,8,24 3623:10,11 3625:25 3626:3,9 3640:16 3641:2,8 3643:4 3652:7,18,23 3653:1 3655:11 3706:18 3752:6,8,14
requested 3617:11 3621:12 3628:4 3634:11 3635:10 3646:13,16 3653:21 3654:3 3655:5,8 3694:20
requesting 3627:24
requests 3622:8 3642:25 3652:15 3653:9
require 3589:21 3590:14 3591:1,17,19 3592:6 3595:17 3621:21
required 3589:16 3593:17,24 3594:9,10,18 3595:3,8 3662:12,13
requirement 3590:2,6,8,12,17 3592:4,5,17 3595:20 3596:18 3688:2 3731:1,6
requirements 3589:8 3596:2 3670:25
requires 3592:10 3662:17 3688:18
research 3611:11
reserve 3663:14 3728:18
resolve 3714:5,11 3715:5
resolving 3688:11
resource 3594:1,3,9 3603:21 3609:1
Resources 3583:3 3605:3,11,18 3611:11 3747:8 3756:15 3757:3
respect 3621:24 3696:21,22 3726:23 3731:4
respective 3670:24
respond 3642:25
responded 3655:12
response 3616:12 3621:3,7 3626:3,6 3640:12,14,17 3641:2,4,8 3643:11 3752:14
responsibilities 3626:12,16,21
responsible 3668:8
restarts 3744:12
resubmit 3663:19
result 3665:10 3666:2 3705:25 3707:5
resulted 3665:6,12,22 3666:5
resulting 3591:15
results 3716:3
resume 3660:9
Retailers 3580:21
retentions 3672:3,11
retired 3626:13 3643:17 3644:25
revenue 3670:24 3688:2 3731:1,5
reversed 3626:17,20
review 3615:3,8 3617:8 3625:18 3653:5,17,20 3673:25 3674:1 3713:16 3716:4
reviewed 3653:8,24 3654:16
reviewing 3654:25
revisions 3612:6
Rice 3737:2 3740:23 3753:18,20 3755:5,6
Riggins 3654:17
right-hand 3653:12
rights 3722:6,11
risk 3695:14
ROBERT 3579:20,22 3583:12
Roberts 3718:12
Robertson 3729:22
Roger 3581:22 3654:16 3658:25 3740:17 3751:6

Rogers
3585:3,5
3586:10,22
3587:2
3588:18
3593:9
3597:6
3750:22,24,25 3751:5,8,9

R-o-g-e-r-s
3586:22

ROGERS
3586:18
3746:17

Rogers's
3587:18

role 3588:25
3589:3,4,7
3646:3
3658:21

roles 3645:1

Ron 3726:6

Ronald
3579:19
3750:12

Rosa 3582:23

roughly
3633:6
3665:21

routinely
3654:2

rule
3594:9,10,15
3596:12

rulemaking
3594:17,18,24 3595:5
3596:3

rules 3588:20
3589:1,16
3590:5
3592:14,15,16,17,18,20
3593:17,21,24 3594:7
3595:8,17,21,23 3596:24

run 3668:5

Rush 3719:13
3722:16
3750:7

Rush's
3724:18

Ruth 3580:22
3581:1

S

safer 3710:6

salaried
3657:25

salaries
3689:21

salary
3626:25
3628:3
3633:17
3656:8
3659:7

Sand 3582:22

Santa 3582:23

SARAH
3583:1,18

savings
3589:22
3590:1
3591:15

schedule
3615:25
3695:4

scheduling
3660:8

Scheperle
3737:20,22
3753:24
3754:5,6

Schiff
3635:10,14,18
3639:7,11,15
3647:7

Schnitzer's
3719:9

SCHWARZ
3580:18

SCR 3664:25
3665:3
3671:15,17,18,24 3672:17
3687:3
3689:3
3690:4
3692:2
3694:1
3695:23

Se 3583:14

seat 3586:16
3597:14
3601:4
3610:25
3618:5
3650:21
3661:8

second
3654:14
3659:10
3665:16
3692:20
3694:21
3696:22

section
3590:17,18,19,21,23
3591:4,11,12,13,22,24,25
3592:6,9
3593:25
3594:2,11,19,21
3595:1,7,12,13,15,22,25
3596:9

seek 3665:9
3666:1

seeks 3616:8

seemed
3608:11

seems 3621:8
3633:12
3639:8

seen 3615:12
3673:18

selective
3664:24
3671:12

Senate
3593:22

senior
3579:19
3626:11
3643:21,23

sense 3705:1

sent 3640:22

sentence
3591:18
3594:11

separate
3609:13,15
3671:4

separated
3622:15

September
3620:16
3621:9
3627:19
3640:23,24
3655:11,12
3656:15
3668:23
3669:14
3670:8
3694:16

series 3731:7

serves 3610:1

service
3579:1,12,16
3583:20,22
3597:24
3599:15
3601:14,22
3602:12
3605:11
3663:3
3667:25
3668:5
3671:13
3722:2
3730:4,7,19,22 3750:21
3751:3
3752:3
3753:3
3754:3
3755:3

services
3622:16,21,23 3623:12
3624:1,6
3636:21
3641:16
3642:6
3643:7
3644:8
3653:12
3654:10,11
3655:6,7
3659:12,16

session
3628:20
3674:8
3697:4

setting
3615:25

settled
3627:3

3656:13
3717:19
3720:12
3725:1,19
3727:13

settlement
3664:22
3665:6,13,15,22
3670:15,20
3688:6,8,10,24 3689:2,13
3690:18
3691:10
3692:23
3704:20,24
3705:9,12,14
3706:14,15
3707:16,19
3715:9,17
3716:8
3743:4

settlements
3595:9
3666:10

several
3605:6
3642:1
3648:15
3651:7
3707:14
3724:24

severe
3695:14

shaking
3619:13

shareholders
3647:8,16
3648:1
3649:9
3706:13

SHAYLA
3582:21

sheet 3623:1
3624:1,8
3643:10
3653:11,15,18,25

sheets
3620:17
3624:18
3641:11,16
3642:18,22
3652:23
3653:6,8
3654:7
3655:4

she's 3731:10

shifting
3692:1

short 3614:5
3616:22
3617:4
3660:10
3713:16,25
3714:25

shortly
3652:20

Shots
3658:14,17

showing
3587:25
3641:6
3663:7
3732:25
3733:21
3741:25
3742:9,15
3743:6

sic 3593:15
3673:9
3735:5

sides 3652:12

Siemens
3665:18,23

signatories
3605:8

significant
3637:12
3648:11,17,24 3649:1

similar
3602:3
3607:6
3654:6
3740:18,19

simply 3653:2
3663:11
3720:7
3723:12
3729:25

sir
3586:13,15
3597:6,13
3601:3
3610:18,24
3618:4
3635:20
3649:22
3650:20
3661:5,7
3666:18

situation
3628:8

situations
3638:8

Sixth 3582:10

skilled
3637:9

skills
3653:23

skimming
3720:7

skip 3586:25
3653:9

Slack 3583:19
3601:5,7
3602:10,16,18,20,25
3608:21
3610:15
3613:5,6
3746:23,24

slip 3671:21
3672:1,8

slow 3636:18

small
3610:4,5

Smith 3581:5

SNR 3582:2
3636:25
3639:12,16

somehow
3722:5

someone's
3628:3

sometime
3627:6
3731:10

somewhat
3704:2

somewhere
3608:11

sorry 3586:2
3596:25
3605:24
3614:13
3616:25
3620:4
3637:5
3650:3
3669:23
3672:20
3689:15
3690:1
3709:24
3711:17
3712:3
3718:3
3720:25
3725:20
3728:2
3730:17
3731:5

sort 3648:18
3713:14

sought 3659:4
3665:2,17
3694:5

sound 3735:25

Southern
3580:17

Southwest
3582:10

Spanos
3721:17,18
3726:8
3749:23,25
3750:4,15,16,18

speak 3604:2

specific
3593:23
3608:9
3609:2,3,7,11 3620:19
3622:23
3670:16
3705:10

specifically
3591:10
3608:25
3615:23
3649:9
3705:14

spell 3586:21
3597:20
3611:5

spending
3607:25

spent
3606:7,11,20
3608:1,5

spot 3658:16

spring 3656:3

Springfield
3580:3
St 3582:20
3583:10
staff
3583:17,22
3585:3
3588:9
3596:8
3600:5
3602:23
3603:25
3604:2,6,12
3613:24
3614:19,22,23 3615:2,4,8
3616:7,8
3617:4,7,9,11,12,13,17
3620:17
3621:10
3624:18,22
3625:6,11,15,25 3635:3
3637:25
3638:1,15
3640:1
3641:12,16,17,20,23
3642:8
3643:11
3644:7
3650:15
3652:4
3654:2
3655:8,15
3658:10
3660:15,24
3670:24
3671:3,9
3673:12
3689:24
3690:13,15
3691:14
3708:20
3710:21
3714:4
3716:18
3723:1
3729:13
3732:20
3733:19
3734:25
3735:9
3736:7,13,19
3737:5,11,18,25
3738:8,14,24,25
3739:4,11,17,24 3741:1,8
3744:2,9
3746:16
3747:2
3750:21
3751:3
3752:3
3753:3,21
3754:3
3755:3
Staff's
3597:2
3604:4
3610:9
3615:13
3616:11,17
3638:11
3639:19
3708:24
3737:8
stage 3592:13
stand 3585:5
3614:8
3619:14
3661:3
3709:2
3714:9
3744:22
standard
3672:1
3690:4
standards
3662:13
3672:8,15
standing
3731:23
3732:4
3743:6
stands
3586:10
3663:24
3666:19
start 3741:20
started
3614:22
3656:16
3693:21
starting
3614:21
state 3579:2
3582:14
3586:20
3590:20
3596:22
3597:20
3601:10
3606:19
3607:4,6
3611:4
3618:10
3637:24
3650:25
3714:13
3736:21
3745:3
stated
3586:24
3590:3
3616:2
3624:1
3633:3
3655:3
3661:14
3687:5
3690:2
statement
3614:12
3620:15
3622:21
3637:25
states
3582:22
3596:9
3672:24
3688:14
stating
3623:25
status
3655:19
3734:18
3739:8
3753:5,6,8,9
3754:15,17,18,20
statute
3593:16
3594:11
3596:3,21
stay 3639:11
Steiner
3581:22
3585:16,19,22,25
3586:5,7
3619:17
3620:23
3625:4
3633:3,12
3650:12,15
3654:16
3655:1,22
3657:8,11
3658:11,16,18,25
3659:13,19
3663:13
3673:14
3714:15
3716:10,16,25
3717:3,14,16,21,23
3718:1,19,24
3719:17,20,23
3720:4,9,11,15,18,22,25
3721:3,7,10,24
3722:15,22,24 3723:7,25
3724:2,23
3725:7,11,13,17,19,21,24
3726:4,12,13
3727:9,11,15
3728:11,15,17,23
3729:8,10
3732:3
3733:8,10,14
3734:21
3735:13
3740:3,7,19
3744:15
Steiner's
3646:3
3657:6
3726:17
Steinmeier
3582:17,18
step 3597:6
3610:18
3613:15
3649:21
3660:5
step-up
3692:21
STEVE 3583:15
stick 3718:22
stimulus
3607:20
3609:6,15,16
Stinson
3582:6
3636:12

3639:12,16
stipulate
3624:12
3654:6
stipulated
3688:10
stipulation
3615:25
3670:12
3688:13
3722:3,4,10,20 3723:12
3727:3
3728:18
3730:7
3731:25
3743:7
stipulations
3717:8
3726:23
stockholders
3604:22
stood 3742:19
stopped
3659:25
stopping
3687:10
Street
3580:23
3581:2,6,18
3582:2,6,10
3583:20
3584:2
strike
3712:12
stringent
3590:11
structure
3605:24
STUART 3580:6
studying
3594:14
3596:11
Subdivision
3595:20
subject
3692:6
3722:3
3726:19
3731:20
submitted
3621:15
3641:9
subsection
3595:20
subsequently
3594:22
3615:9
substantial
3607:20
substantially
3587:10
3598:19
3662:5
substantive
3615:15
sufficient
3718:18
Sugar 3582:22
suggest
3610:6
suggesting
3606:5
Suite
3580:7,11,23
3581:2,18
3582:2
3583:5
sum 3594:3,5
Summit 3581:3
supervision
3612:4
support
3689:13,15,17
supporting
3615:2
suppose
3625:14
3663:21
supposedly
3725:9
sure 3598:10
3625:5
3627:7
3633:12
3636:8
3638:25
3646:20
3647:13
3653:24
3671:6
3673:15
3688:11
3691:1
3692:6
3693:10
3695:10
3696:7
3720:2
3729:19
3732:19
3738:16
3741:21
3744:19
surrebuttal
3587:2,18,19,22,23
3598:8,12
3599:2,4,6
3601:22
3602:4,12,14
3611:18,20
3661:22
3663:4,5
3666:25
3709:9
3710:9
3712:1
3721:4,11,15,18 3724:18
3727:18
3728:12
3729:22
3735:4,21
3736:4,10,16
3737:1,14,22
3738:4
3739:14,21
3740:23
3741:4
3742:19
3743:3,14,24
3744:5
3748:10,15,21
3749:7,13,14,22
3750:4,18,25
3751:8,9,17,18,23,24
3752:5,11,18,20,24
3753:12,14,15,17,20,23
3754:6,9,21,24
3755:6,8,9,12,18,21
3756:4,10,14,23 3757:6
surrebuttals
3726:9
SUSAN 3582:1
suspect
3688:11
SW 3581:14
swap 3729:25
Swearengen
3581:9
switching
3586:23
3587:6
sworn
3586:10,12,14 3597:11,12
3601:1,2
3610:22,23
3614:10
3617:25
3618:2,3
3650:18,19
3661:5,6
3711:19
3745:5
system
3664:24
3671:12

T

table 3615:6
takeover
3603:22
talking
3628:9
3648:10,24
3671:15
3692:11
3693:11
3696:18
3703:20,25
3717:23
3733:11
tariff
3595:10
3716:1,2
tax 3586:2,3
3595:19
3735:16,17
Taylor 3738:3
3744:6
3754:8,9
3755:11,12
Ted 3729:22
tender

3588:12
3600:9
3602:25
3612:24
3620:7
3651:21
3667:11

ten-minute
3713:16

term 3687:15

terms 3587:1
3623:3
3638:17
3645:16
3648:17
3655:14
3656:18,19

Terry 3579:21
3721:4
3749:5,7

test
3594:1,3,9
3627:18
3656:10,11
3659:3
3665:8,25
3668:15
3669:6,24
3670:22
3671:1
3672:1,8
3687:4
3688:6,12
3690:24
3691:3,20
3693:14
3694:8,18,22
3696:9,19,20
,21 3704:15
3705:3,4,6,7

testified
3618:16
3651:6
3688:9

testifies
3586:18
3597:16
3601:6
3611:2
3650:23
3661:10
3711:22

testify
3614:8
3691:4

testifying
3611:13
3619:12
3651:13

testimonies
3602:5
3718:8
3719:19
3725:4
3744:6

testimony
3586:23
3587:1
3588:18
3598:16
3599:2,12
3601:21,22,2
5 3602:11
3604:3
3606:10
3611:17,18,2
0,25
3612:1,3,7,1
0 3618:23
3619:15,20
3634:4,7
3638:12
3650:13
3655:4,11,21
3662:1,4,21
3666:24
3670:17
3672:18,24
3687:17
3690:2,8
3695:11
3696:10
3706:1,25
3707:3,4,10
3708:25
3711:6,13,15
,25 3712:1
3713:3
3717:4,8,18,
24 3718:4
3720:16,23
3721:4,7,11,
13
3722:16,20
3724:4,5,6,8
,10,11,13,25
3726:1,4,6,1
0 3727:12,19
3729:22,25
3730:4
3735:4
3736:4,10,16
3737:2,14
3739:21
3740:2,4,8,2
3 3741:4
3743:6,24
3745:4,6
3748:4,6,7,9
,10,12,13,15
,16,18,19,21
,22,24,25
3749:4,5,7,8
,10,11,13,14
,16,17,19,20
,22,23,25
3750:4,6,7,9
,10,12,13,15
,16,18,19,22
,24,25
3751:5,6,8,9
,11,12,14,15
,17,18,20,21
,23,24
3752:5,9,11,
15,17,18,20,
21,23,24
3753:11,12,1
4,15,17,18,2
0,23,24
3754:5,6,8,9
,11,21,23,24
3755:5,6,8,9
,11,12,15,17
,18,20,21,23
,24
3756:4,7,8,1
0,11,13,14,1
7,18,20,21,2
3,24
3757:4,6,9,1
0

tests 3671:21

thank 3586:15
3588:4,14
3589:13
3592:25
3593:1,5,12
3597:4,5,6,1
3
3600:11,17,2
0 3601:3
3603:2
3605:25
3608:13,15,1
7,19
3610:15,17,1
8,24 3612:25
3613:1,9,10,
13,14
3614:6,14,17
3616:18,19
3617:22,23
3618:4,7
3619:21
3620:11,23
3633:23
3637:7,19
3639:6
3640:4,6
3646:25
3647:18,20
3649:18,20,2
1 3650:20
3651:23,25
3658:2,4,5
3660:2,4,5
3661:7
3664:1,4,6,7
,17,18,20
3666:12,13
3667:8,12
3704:1,5,6,8
3705:19
3707:12
3708:2,5
3709:17
3712:18,19,2
2,23
3713:9,10,11
,23
3714:21,23
3722:13,17
3723:5
3726:3
3728:1
3729:10
3732:13
3733:17
3736:2
3740:14
3742:14
3743:21,23
3744:13,21

that's
3585:16,19
3588:3
3592:24
3594:8
3605:12,15,2
1 3606:13,15
3608:14
3611:19,21
3613:20
3617:1
3619:19
3622:3
3623:17
3624:5
3626:22
3633:6,15,20
3635:9,15,24
3636:14,16,2

2 3638:12,20
3639:18
3640:3,25
3641:19
3642:23
3643:24
3646:8,11,25
3647:15
3648:3
3649:16,19
3650:14,16
3651:5,8
3652:21
3653:2,17
3657:20
3658:3,18,19
,20
3659:23,25
3660:2,20
3663:22,23
3668:17
3669:8
3670:7,10
3673:5
3688:17,25
3689:11
3690:11
3691:1,17
3693:10,12,1
3 3695:16
3696:17
3704:4
3705:15
3706:2
3707:18
3708:3
3709:12,19,2
5 3710:1
3711:6,8
3712:13,17
3714:15
3716:5,7,15,
25 3719:15
3720:16,24
3722:5,13,20
3724:2,9,10,
20,22
3725:1,22
3726:13
3730:24,25
3731:2,17
3732:13
3734:14
3735:4,17
3738:22
3740:6,9,10,
12 3742:11
3743:6,19

thereafter
3652:20
3745:7

therefore
3616:16
3633:13
3666:9

thereof
3594:14
3596:12

there's
3595:6
3609:7
3610:6
3611:25
3612:2
3635:22
3644:23
3645:3
3648:15
3649:6
3652:12
3657:10
3663:11
3688:14
3708:25
3709:11
3711:10
3713:2
3717:7,14,16
,18 3720:11
3724:23,24
3727:24
3744:20

thereto
3745:11

they'll
3613:25
3738:19

they're
3603:22
3605:20
3624:18
3628:3
3636:3
3648:24
3689:8
3707:18
3708:13
3736:22
3741:24

they've
3588:19
3604:9
3607:17,19
3608:1
3615:6
3641:21
3644:24
3667:3

third 3706:22

THOMAS
3580:18

THOMPSON
3583:17

Thursday
3592:19

TIGER 3579:25

Tim 3719:13
3722:16
3750:7

timely
3590:24
3641:1,22
3642:11

title 3601:15
3643:23

today 3587:10
3598:16,20
3602:3
3612:11
3633:11
3634:11
3636:10
3648:10
3651:4
3657:1
3662:1
3687:24
3719:21

TODD 3580:14

top 3621:8
3622:9,12
3653:12

Topeka
3582:11

total
3594:1,2,9
3622:10,20
3634:11,21
3635:4
3636:21

toward
3656:22

towards
3622:14
3624:2
3626:5
3643:10
3653:11

track 3732:19

traditional
3616:4

training
3667:17,22

TRANSCRIPT
3579:4

transfer
3638:10

transferred
3606:25
3615:5
3635:2
3636:1

transformer
3665:16,18
3666:2
3691:24
3692:4,9,12,
18,19,20,21
3693:7,8,16,
19
3694:4,11,14
3695:24
3707:13,24

transition
3645:2

treasurer
3649:2

treated
3604:24
3691:10

treatment
3688:15

trial 3601:20

tried
3585:15,17,2
1 3615:10
3715:14
3716:14
3724:25
3725:15

TRIPP 3581:5

true 3587:13
3598:22
3602:7
3607:19,22
3612:13
3655:17
3658:20
3662:7
3668:15
3669:6,24

true-up
3615:4,9,11,

17,19 3616:2,4,9,13 3617:6 3627:3,18 3634:4,6,19,20 3636:6 3656:13,14,16 3668:20,22 3669:11,13 3670:5 3691:23 3704:15

True-ups 3656:11

try 3585:12 3716:19,20,24

trying 3608:6 3634:4 3659:19

turn 3623:19 3643:2 3652:10 3706:24

Tuxedo 3583:9

twice 3617:19 3628:6,14

twists 3729:20

type 3609:11 3623:18 3653:23 3688:19 3704:15

typewriting 3745:7

typical 3623:16 3688:17

typically 3623:4,17

U

U.S 3581:15

Uh-huh 3591:7 3691:21

Uhlig 3582:14

ultimately 3649:4

unable 3716:13

unanticipated 3695:7

undergraduate 3667:18

understand 3605:19 3634:3 3636:5 3655:13 3668:2 3689:16 3690:1 3695:10 3710:24 3713:5,13 3714:8 3716:12,23

understanding 3596:20 3604:17 3605:12,15 3609:9 3615:17 3626:24 3633:9 3634:6 3654:23,24 3656:25 3661:16 3662:16,21 3670:11,23 3672:2,7,9 3673:19 3707:20 3712:12 3714:4 3716:15,17 3722:12 3730:6 3731:6 3752:12

understood 3585:2 3667:6 3671:7 3715:2 3727:1 3731:22

undertake 3596:3 3642:7

undertaken 3594:17 3596:8

Union 3580:17

unit 3665:17 3671:13 3672:2,11 3687:9,12,13,22

United 3582:22

unless 3591:14 3628:14 3642:25 3655:4 3709:3

unreasonably 3691:15

unusual 3666:6 3695:8

update 3615:17 3627:13,15,19 3668:18,21 3669:9,12 3670:2 3691:22

upon 3654:10

usually 3705:15

utilities 3589:25 3590:25 3596:22 3604:18,19 3605:1,6 3606:16,19,21 3607:3,9 3638:13

utility 3594:4,7,19 3604:22 3605:10 3607:1,2 3609:13

utilized 3609:19

V

vacated 3658:17

Valley 3610:3

value 3705:17

various 3622:15 3635:15

vast 3614:23

vendor 3653:3 3665:3,18

vendors 3635:14,16 3638:25 3639:2

verify 3603:19 3663:10 3710:5 3720:2,6 3735:25

version 3588:1 3612:1,2

versions 3587:24 3588:1 3599:3 3709:18

versus 3596:14,18 3627:13

vice 3643:14,20,24

Vicky 3658:14

view 3590:4

virtue 3695:4

Vogl 3721:20 3726:10 3750:6,19

voir 3731:7,13

Volume 3579:8 3628:20 3674:8 3697:4

W

Wager 3756:8

wages 3689:7

Wagner 3583:12 3727:11 3728:2,10,16 3729:5 3756:5,7,10,11,13,14

wait 3725:3 3740:5,12 3744:12

waiting
3727:24

waived
3722:9,11

waiving
3708:24
3709:1
3722:6

Warren 3585:6
3600:23,25
3601:6,12,19
3606:3
3610:18
3741:4
3746:22
3752:5
3755:8

Washington
3581:14

wasn't 3650:8
3656:17

weather
3607:5
3731:8

weatherizatio n 3585:7
3603:10,20
3604:1,7,16, 19 3605:2
3606:6,17,20 ,24
3607:5,8,15, 20 3608:7
3609:12,14,1 9,22,25
3610:7,10,12 ,14

web 3605:22

we'd 3663:14
3717:9
3720:12
3742:17

Wednesday
3744:18

week 3586:1
3724:24
3725:8,10,12 ,13,15
3735:14
3740:15

weeks 3608:7
3725:5

Weisensee
3613:19
3614:7
3617:25
3618:1,12,16 ,23 3634:3
3640:9
3641:15
3647:5
3649:6,21
3652:12
3654:6
3655:22
3656:14
3657:3
3748:4,6,7,9 ,10,12,13,15

W-e-i-s-e-n-s-e-e
3618:12

WEISENSEE
3617:1
3618:8
3746:4

Weisensee's
3619:11
3655:10
3719:8,16
3724:13,21

welcome
3667:9
3713:24
3722:14
3729:12

we'll
3585:11,12
3586:24
3600:23
3614:3
3634:1
3639:21
3653:9
3664:10
3674:1
3713:19,21
3716:19,20
3718:20
3726:24
3728:13
3740:12
3742:19
3744:12

Wells 3738:11
3754:11

we're 3597:9
3610:11
3628:9
3633:1,25
3634:19,20
3637:12
3641:7
3648:10,24
3660:12
3671:15
3692:1,11
3703:1,20,25
3714:2,24
3716:16
3717:23
3718:21
3725:22,24
3727:23
3733:11

we've 3635:3
3637:15,18
3638:4,8
3641:20,21
3644:11
3645:19
3646:22
3647:11
3694:5
3706:8
3711:5
3727:12
3732:19

whatever
3627:13
3648:25
3656:21
3717:17
3730:10

Whenever
3664:19
3729:15,17

Wherein
3588:9
3600:5
3602:23
3612:21
3619:7
3620:5
3625:6,11,15
3640:1
3651:19
3660:24
3673:12
3708:20
3710:21
3723:19
3727:6
3729:5
3732:8,10
3734:25
3735:9
3736:7,13,19
3737:5,11,18 ,25
3738:8,14
3739:4,11,17 ,24 3741:1,8
3742:25
3743:10
3744:2,9

Wherein'Industrials
3743:17

whether
3593:16
3596:24
3607:13
3627:11,12
3634:16
3648:1
3649:9
3658:10
3736:22
3741:11

whom
3611:8,13

whose 3745:4

Wilcox 3665:3

William
3582:17,18
3654:17
3721:8
3726:4
3749:8
3750:10

Williams
3583:16
3732:16,17,1 8
3733:9,18,22 ,24 3734:16
3735:3,11,15 ,18
3736:3,9,15, 21,25
3737:7,13,20
3738:2,10,16 ,23
3739:6,13,19
3740:1,6,9,1 2,15,17,21
3741:3,10,18
3743:22,23
3744:4,11,13

windfall
3706:13

wish 3594:20
3726:12

withdraw
3663:19
witness
3585:3
3586:14
3588:12
3593:10
3597:8,12
3600:10,22
3601:2
3602:25
3610:20,23
3613:14,16,19 3618:3,13
3619:3
3620:8
3649:14,23
3650:19
3651:12,22
3660:6,13
3661:6
3664:8
3703:3
3708:6,24
3709:5
3711:19
3712:24
3713:12
3745:4,6
witnesses
3650:11
3708:24
3711:4,11
3714:8
3720:11
Woodsmall
3580:6
3722:1,13
3723:3,11
3725:3,10,16,18,20,23
3726:17
3728:19,21
3731:20
3741:14,19,23
3742:2,6,12,16,17
3743:2,12,19,21 3744:16
work 3633:13
3644:2
3653:22
worked 3622:3
3623:12,17,23 3624:7
working
3637:9
3642:16
3647:9
3709:20
3714:5
works 3668:2
written
3638:4

Y

Yesterday
3729:21
yet 3594:17
3599:13
3602:13
3625:20
3716:18
3717:8,18
you'll
3586:12
3597:10
3599:12
3600:25
3610:21
3618:1
3624:12
3661:4
yourself
3709:3
you've
3618:16
3651:6
3690:12
3696:2

Z

ZOBRIST
3582:1