STATE OF MISSOURI 1 2 PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION 3 4 5 TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS 6 Hearing 7 June 8, 2016 Jefferson City, Missouri 8 Volume 6 9 10 In the Matter of The) Empire District Electric) 11 Company for Authority to) File Tariffs Increasing) Case No. ER-2016-0023 Rates for Electric Service) 12 Provided to Customers in) 13 The Company's Missouri) Service Area) 14 15 KIM S. BURTON, Presiding, REGULATORY LAW JUDGE. 16 DANIEL Y HALL, Chairman 17 STEPHEN M. STOLL, WILLIAM P. KENNEY, SCOTT T. RUPP, 18 MAIDA J. COLEMAN, 19 COMMISSIONERS. 20 21 REPORTED BY: KELLENE K. FEDDERSEN, CSR, RPR, CCR NO. 838 22 MIDWEST LITIGATION SERVICES 23 24 25

```
Page 132
```

1 **APPEARANCES:** 2 PAUL A. BOUDREAU, Attorney at Law DEAN L. COOPER, Attorney at Law 3 L. RUSSELL MITTEN, Attorney at Law DIANA C. CARTER, Attorney at Law Brydon, Swearengen & England, P.C. 4 312 East Capitol P.O. Box 456 5 Jefferson City, MO 65102-0456 6 (573) 635-7166 paulb@brydonlaw.com 7 rmitten@brydonlaw.com FOR: The Empire District Electric 8 Company. 9 STUART CONRAD, Attorney at Law 10 Finnegan, Conrad & Peterson 3100 Broadway 1209 Penntower Officer Center 11 Kansas City, MO 64111 12 (816)753-1122 stucon@fcplaw.com 13 FOR: Midwest Energy Users 14 Association. 15 DAVID WOODSMALL, Attorney at Law Woodsmall Law Office 16 807 Winston Court Jefferson City, MO 65101 (573)797-0005 17 david.woodsmall@woodsmalllaw.com 18 FOR: MECG. 19 MARC ELLINGER, Attorney at Law STEPHANIE BELL, Attorney at Law 20 Blitz, Bardgett & Deutsch 21 308 East High Street, Suite 301 Jefferson City, MO 65101-3237 22 (573) 634 - 2500Sbell@bbdlc.com 23 FOR: City of Joplin. 24 25

```
1
     ALEXANDER ANTAL, Attorney At Law
 2
            Missouri Division of Energy
            P.O. Box 1157
            Jefferson City, MO 65102
 3
 4
                  FOR: Division of Energy
 5
     CYDNEY MAYFIELD, Deputy Public Counsel
            Office of the Public Counsel
            P.O. Box 2230
 6
            200 Madison Street, Suite 650
 7
            Jefferson City, MO 65102-2230
            (573)751-4857
 8
                  FOR: Office of the Public Counsel
                          and the Public.
 9
10
     KEVIN A. THOMPSON, Chief Staff Counsel
     JAMIE MYERS, Legal Counsel
     NICOLE MERS, Legal Counsel
11
     JACOB WESTEN, Senior Counsel
12
            Missouri Public Service Commission
            P.O. Box 360
13
            200 Madison Street
            Jefferson City, MO 65102
14
            (573)751-3234
15
                  FOR: Staff of the Missouri Public
                          Service Commission.
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

Page 134 1 PROCEEDINGS 2 (WHEREUPON, the hearing began at 3 9:37 a.m.) 4 JUDGE BURTON: The time is now 9:37 a.m. on June 8, 2016. Let's go ahead and go 5 6 on the record in the matter of the Empire District 7 Electric Company's request for authority to implement a general rate increase for electric 8 service, File No. ER-2016-0023. 9 10 This is the continuation of the evidentiary hearing that began last week, and the 11 Commission has set this date specifically just to 12 13 hear some statements from the parties as well as 14 perhaps from any witnesses that might be needed for 15 some clarification. 16 At this point I understand that all 17 parties have provided their addresses and entered 18 their appearance on the record, but for 19 clarification of who's actually present and 20 participating in person or telephonically, I would 21 ask that we redo that now. So on behalf of the 22 Empire District Electric Company? MS. CARTER: Diana Carter for the 23 Empire District Electric Company, and Scott Keith 24 25 is on the telephone as a witness for Empire.

JUDGE BURTON: Thank you. On behalf 1 2 of the Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission? 3 4 MR. THOMPSON: Thank you, Judge. Kevin Thompson, Jacob Westen, Nicole Mers, Jamie 5 6 Myers for the Staff of the Missouri Public Service 7 Commission. There are various Staff technical 8 experts in the room as well. JUDGE BURTON: Thank you. On behalf 9 10 of the Office of the Public Counsel? MS. MAYFIELD: Your Honor, good 11 12 morning. Cydney Mayfield for Office of the Public Counsel. And our witness Geoff Marke, as 13 14 previously noted, is out on bereavement leave. So if the Commissioners would like to address any 15 16 questions to Dr. Marke, I will be able to at least 17 e-mail those to him and hopefully we can get a 18 response, but he is not able to be here in person 19 today. 20 JUDGE BURTON: On behalf of the City 21 of Joplin? 22 MR. COMLEY: Marc Ellinger and 23 Stephanie Bell on behalf of the City of Joplin. Our witness Leslie Haase is not available today due 24 25 to prior events in Joplin. However, I'm not sure

that there would be any questions presented to her 1 2 regarding this particular discussion. 3 JUDGE BURTON: Midwest Energy Users 4 Association? MR. CONRAD: Stuart W. Conrad, and I 5 6 have previously provided my address and phone to 7 the reporter in an earlier process. If you might, 8 when the appropriate time comes, I do have a 9 statement. 10 JUDGE BURTON: All right. Thank you. Midwest Energy Consumers Group? 11 MR. WOODSMALL: David Woodsmall on 12 behalf of MECG. I would note MECG filed testimony 13 of three witnesses that were out of town. Based 14 upon some communications yesterday, it was my 15 16 understanding, maybe inappropriately, that the 17 Commissioners had questions for the attorneys, so I 18 told them not to come. But I think I can probably 19 answer all the questions about what's in our 20 testimony, and if we want to take it off line, I 21 can get answers, too. 22 JUDGE BURTON: Thank you. And on 23 behalf of the Missouri Division of Energy? 24 MR. ANTAL: On behalf of the Division 25 of Energy, Alex Antal. We also have with us today

1	Mr. Martin Hyman who filed testimony in this case.
2	JUDGE BURTON: Thank you. I would
3	ask everyone present to please remember to turn
4	your electric devices on silent, including your
5	phones or iPads.
6	Also, I understand that we've had a
7	little bit of some concerns, let's say, because
8	we are at unknown territories right now. This is
9	part of a hearing for a rate case request where the
10	parties have stated that they've reached an
11	agreement of all the issues and it's a unanimous
12	agreement.
13	However, nothing is drafted, written
14	and actually presented to the Commission for its
15	approval. There has been discussion about having a
16	hearing or at least a presentation by the parties
17	on the final Stipulation & Agreement once it is
18	submitted to the Commission. That would be on June
19	29th.
20	At this point, I think we just wanted
21	to get some clarification on behalf of the
22	Commission about some policy perspectives and
23	clarification on some certain issues that might be
24	in the settlement agreement and might impact the
25	Commission's decisions.

1	So I realize that some parties have
2	expressed and I believe all the parties have
3	expressed concerns that this is all part of the
4	highly confidential agreement that is being
5	discussed, and if we need to go in camera to
6	address any of those issues that are dealing with
7	particulars, I'm more than welcome to do that.
8	But I also ask the parties to be
9	considerate of those that are watching and
10	observing, and we want to make this an open
11	procedure. So if it is just a question of tell us
12	what your perspective is on this general issue from
13	a policy perspective, please let me know if we can
14	have that open to the public and available.
15	Now, at this point I believe,
16	Mr. Conrad, you wanted to add a statement?
17	MR. CONRAD: Yes, probably prefaced
18	by a question. A document was submitted yesterday.
19	That submission was without our permission. Has
20	that document been provided to the Commissioners?
21	JUDGE BURTON: Yes, it has.
22	MR. CONRAD: That deepens my concern
23	because, frankly, you are correct that this is
24	uncharted territory. A settlement takes some
25	period of time to draft. That document contains
1	

none of the protected -- protective language that 1 2 we would insist be there. It was, as I mentioned, 3 submitted without our permission, and that's -- I think that's all -- I'm concerned -- I'll go one 4 further. 5 6 I am concerned that a document that 7 you have acknowledged has been submitted to the 8 Commissioners says A in a final settlement 9 document, when it is prepared and signed and properly submitted says B and somebody on the panel 10 says, why doesn't it say A? That's my concern. 11 12 And that, unfortunately, has 13 happened. Already there have been -- has been at least one unilateral change to the document prior 14 to its submission to you. I'll at an appropriate 15 16 time, if it's more than necessary, speak up. 17 I apologize for not being there. I 18 got word of this continuation of the hearing 19 yesterday afternoon late, and too late to turn 20 around and come back to Jefferson City. 21 So one question that I will have, I 22 guess, when we get through with whatever activity 23 we have for today, what about tomorrow? What about Friday? 24 25 JUDGE BURTON: And I think we were

1	going to be addressing that at the conclusion of
2	today, and we'll see how that goes. I do note we
3	understand your concerns, and the Commission is
4	aware that anything that was provided or reviewed
5	is highly confidential and not a formal settlement.
6	And I think if we just proceed through this
7	process
8	MR. CONRAD: It is on the record, and
9	you have indicated that we are on the record now,
10	and as far as I'm aware, no no repealer has been
11	provided to the Constitutional provision that
12	requires a final administrative order to be
13	supported by competent and substantial evidence on
14	the whole record. And I'm not aware of any change
15	that's been made to that provision.
16	JUDGE BURTON: And I don't believe
17	that we are actually at that stage yet. The
18	Commission set these dates, last week and this
19	week, to review testimony and the positions of the
20	parties on the application for a general rate
21	increase. The parties are aware that the
22	Commission can review any potential agreement once
23	it's submitted.
24	MR. CONRAD: That's right, when it's
25	submitted properly.
I	

1	JUDGE BURTON: That's correct. At
2	that point the Commission will consider that. That
3	does not, however, prevent the Commission from
4	asking the questions they might have about the
5	issues, and if it is something that goes into
6	highly confidential issues or positions, we can
7	address it at that time by going in camera, and you
8	can state any objections you might have,
9	Mr. Conrad.
10	Now, at this point I would ask,
11	because we do have people who are participating
12	through the phone for this hearing, that everyone
13	who is participating identify for the record their
14	name just so that we can identify who might not
15	have access to highly confidential information if
16	it comes up.
17	Mr. Conrad, we already know that
18	you're on the line and Mr. Keith, I believe, from
19	Empire.
20	MR. KEITH: That's correct. Scott
21	Keith, Empire.
22	JUDGE BURTON: Is there anyone else
23	on the phone line?
24	MR. SWEAT: Hi. This is Charlie
25	Sweat, a representative of Magnatar Capital. We're

not here today speaking, but we are just 1 2 participants in the call. 3 MR. POLSON: Jim Polson, Bloomberg 4 News. 5 JUDGE BURTON: Okay. Mr. Sweat and 6 Mr. Polson, I hope you would understand that we 7 would ask that you not actually participate on this 8 phone conversation because I believe that I will 9 hear a motion very shortly objecting to your participation with highly confidential information. 10 MR. WOODSMALL: Well, to be clear 11 12 what participation means, not only not speaking but 13 not hearing, just being off the line completely. MR. SWEAT: To be clear, this is 14 Charlie Sweat with Magnetar. We're not wanting to 15 16 receive any non-public information. So if that's 17 going to be revealed on this call, we need to log 18 off. 19 MR. POLSON: With all due respect, I'd rather do it after I get told to by an order. 20 21 JUDGE BURTON: Mr. Polson, this is 22 the judge. 23 MR. POLSON: Yes, Judge. 24 JUDGE BURTON: And this line is going 25 to be designated for parties, their witnesses or

1 their attorneys. So at this time I'd ask that you 2 disconnect from the phone line. 3 MR. POLSON: Thank you, Judge. I 4 will do so. JUDGE BURTON: To clarify, would all 5 6 parties who are participating in this hearing 7 through the phone line please identify themselves. 8 MR. CONRAD: Stu Conrad. MR. KEITH: Scott Keith. 9 10 JUDGE BURTON: Okay. Thank you. 11 Now, we are still open and available for access to 12 the viewing public. At this point I would remind the Commission that any information that you're 13 14 going to be hearing today is not part of the final settlement or stipulation that is being submitted 15 16 for approval by the Commission, and that we are 17 looking at a potential date of the June 29th 18 true-up date for any questions the Commission might 19 have about that potential settlement if it is submitted. 20 21 MR. WOODSMALL: And taking it just 22 one step further, I've never seen it happen, but it 23 can happen that going from this to an executed settlement may fall apart. In that case we have to 24 25 have a hearing. So anything that's said today is

1	not competent and substantial evidence, so you
2	can't rely upon it. That said, I want to give you
3	all the information we can, but you just can't rely
4	upon it if things fall apart.
5	JUDGE BURTON: That's noted. And
6	just to state this, I will ask the parties while
7	we're on the record, what kind of time frame are we
8	looking at for the parties to have something formal
9	submitted to the Commission for review?
10	MS. CARTER: The goal is for the end
11	of next week to have the stipulation drafted,
12	circulated, and hopefully executed.
13	JUDGE BURTON: So by Friday, June
14	17th?
15	MS. CARTER: Yes.
16	JUDGE BURTON: So that if we need to
17	revise the schedule if something does fall apart
18	and we need to actually hear testimony on the
19	record of any still-disputed issues, we would be
20	available the 27th through the July 1st dates,
21	June 27th through the 1st of July?
22	MR. WOODSMALL: I think that's
23	correct. And to give you some comfort in all this,
24	if one thing falls apart, it doesn't mean
25	everything's going to fall apart. I don't think
I	

		Page 145
1	there's any way we're going back to a situation	
2	where we're trying every issue. So if things did	
3	fall apart, we will get it done within that time	
4	period.	
5	JUDGE BURTON: That being said, all	
6	parties agree that they would be available for the	
7	dates that I just stated if we needed to have an	
8	evidentiary hearing?	
9	MR. THOMPSON: Yes.	
10	MS. MAYFIELD: Yes.	
11	MR. ANTAL: Yes.	
12	JUDGE BURTON: Now, at this point we	
13	are still on camera, and I know that there's been	
14	some questions that might come up about just policy	
15	issues. So I'm going to allow the Commission to	
16	ask any questions, and remind the Commission and	
17	remind the parties to let me know if we need to go	
18	in camera. We'll start with you, Chairman.	
19	CHAIRMAN HALL: All right. Good	
20	morning. I do not intend to ask any questions	
21	about the pending settlement, about a submission of	
22	a term sheet or the ultimate settlement that the	
23	parties intend, currently intend to submit. I have	
24	some very specific questions about volumetric rate	
25	design, and those are the questions that I	
1		

intend -- those are the guestions I have for 1 2 attorneys and possibly for witnesses. I don't know 3 what other Commissioners may have planned, but 4 that's my intent. So what I'd like to start with, I 5 6 guess, and Mr. Thompson, I'll look to you, and I --7 I think that you may want to put a witness on, because what I want to ask about is what are the 8 9 policy reasons that have led us to having declining 10 block rates currently part of tariffs? And it's my understanding that we also have similar declining 11 block rates with our other electric utilities. 12 MR. THOMPSON: I understand, sir. 13 14 I'll find the appropriate witness and we'll put that witness on if the Judge will gave me --15 16 JUDGE BURTON: I would ask if there 17 are any other parties that --18 MR. WOODSMALL: I don't have a 19 witness. Just a clarification. When you talk 20 about volumetric rate design, do you mean for all 21 classes or just residential class or --22 CHAIRMAN HALL: All classes. 23 MR. THOMPSON: Staff calls Sarah Kliethermes. 24 25 MR. WOODSMALL: And, your Honor, is

it your intent that this is testimony, evidence on 1 2 the record? JUDGE BURTON: This is. So there 3 4 will be an opportunity for any cross-examination based on questions from the Bench. 5 6 (Witness sworn.) 7 SARAH KLIETHERMES testified as follows: 8 JUDGE BURTON: Would you please state 9 and spell your name for the record. 10 THE WITNESS: Sarah Kliethermes, S-a-r-a-h, K-l-i-e-t-h-e-r-m-e-s. 11 JUDGE BURTON: And would you please 12 13 state your job position and employer. THE WITNESS: Regulatory Economist 3, 14 Missouri Public Service Commission Staff. 15 16 JUDGE BURTON: Thank you. QUESTIONS BY CHAIRMAN HALL: 17 18 Q. Good morning. 19 Good morning. Α. 20 Q. So my concern is the existence of 21 declining block rates that are currently in place in Empire's tariffs, and I -- my understanding is 22 23 that we've got level rates in the summer and 24 declining rates in the winter for, I believe, all 25 classes.

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS 6/8/2016

On an energy-alone basis --1 Α. 2 Q. Correct. 3 -- I would agree with that for Α. 4 Empire, yes. 5 Q. What is the policy rationale for 6 declining block rates? 7 Α. And this would be where there's --8 With Empire. Not in general, but Q. 9 with Empire. 10 Α. Sure. This is --11 And they may be the same. I don't Q. 12 know. This would be where there's a need to 13 Α. step apart from residential and the other classes. 14 The other classes, with the exception of 15 16 commercial, do have demand charges. So there's a whole other slew of implications with how that cost 17 18 causation plays out. 19 But simply addressing our 20 residential, on page 33 of the CCOS report, we 21 actually had a bit of this discussion, but I can 22 attempt to summarize by saying that if your intent 23 is to recover what are generally considered demandrelated costs from the initial block during the 24 25 winter and year round and -- sorry. If I can start

1 over. I completely botched that. 2 The intent is to not recover 3 demand-related costs through the non-summer rates 4 in the second block, is the general cost causation intent. I also include some discussion, and I do 5 6 not recall the page of the testimony, that for 7 Empire in particular in that they're moving towards 8 a dual-peaking or winter-peaking setup, that it may 9 make some sense to looking to seasonal rates. And 10 in that case what we would be looking at is using flat blocks for the summer months and the winter 11 months, and it would be the fall and spring seasons 12 13 where you may still have declining block. 14 Q. Okay. Does that very roundabout explanation 15 Α. 16 help at all? 17 I think you've explained mechanically ο. 18 why they are in place, but I still don't understand 19 what is the -- what policy are you trying to 20 further with those declining rates? 21 Well, we're --Α. 22 I mean, obviously inclining rates, ο. 23 inclining block rates, one purpose of that is to 24 promote conservation. I understand that, based on 25 written testimony provided by the Division of

1 Energy, that at least one basis for having declining block rates was to address fairness 2 3 issues related to customers, perhaps low-income 4 customers that in the winter use a lot of 5 electricity to heat their houses. And the concern 6 that the Division set forth was that if we went to 7 level, it would adversely impact those individuals. 8 I mean, what is the policy reasons 9 from Staff's position that support having a 10 declining block rate for residential customers? Frankly, we think that needs to be 11 Α. 12 examined, and that's why we recommended that Empire 13 look at moving to seasonal rates where you would not have a declining block in the winter peak 14 15 season. 16 Q. Well, we already have seasonal rates. 17 Well, we have summer and non-summer Α. 18 rates. 19 Q. Right. 20 Α. What we recommend be examined is 21 using peak summer month and peak winter month would 22 have one set of rates, and then spring and fall 23 would have a different set of rates. And that is an approach used in some jurisdictions. 24 25 Q. But that's a separate issue from

1 whether to have level, inclining or declining 2 within those seasons. 3 We would recommend going level or Α. 4 inclining with those seasons. 5 Q. Well, why not -- why not go to level 6 in this rate case? 7 Frankly, we don't have enough Α. information. 8 9 Q. What information do you need? I 10 understand why you would need information to put 11 together inclining block rates. That's clear. I 12 get that, which is exactly why in our last rate 13 case with Missouri American the Commission ordered 14 the parties to put together information on 15 inclining block rates for the next rate case. 16 Α. Sure. 17 **0**. But we also ordered level block rates 18 in that case. The additional information I would 19 Α. want would be some of what you mentioned earlier 20 21 with the customer impact associated with that. 22 JUDGE BURTON: Would you please hold 23 on one moment? Who just entered the phone line? 24 (Inaudible.) 25 THE REPORTER: I didn't hear what he

Page 152 said. 1 2 JUDGE BURTON: Could you please 3 restate your name and who you represent? MR. BANK: Yeah. This is Will Bank. 4 I'm a utility analyst based in New York. 5 JUDGE BURTON: Okay. The Commission 6 7 is not allowing parties to participate on the phone 8 line who are not actually witnesses, attorneys or 9 parties to this case, but you are allowed to observe it through the video stream that is on the 10 Commission's website. 11 12 MR. BANK: Okay. Great. 13 JUDGE BURTON: And have you disconnected? 14 MR. CONRAD: Your Honor, I didn't 15 hear two beeps? There we go. 16 17 THE WITNESS: I'm afraid I've lost my 18 train of thought. BY CHAIRMAN HALL: 19 20 Q. What additional information does 21 Staff need before it could recommend implementing 22 level block rates? And I believe you started off 23 by saying customer impact. 24 Α. Yes. We would be concerned with 25 customer impact, and frankly, we would prefer

1	noticing up customer impact ahead of the rate case.
2	That's not necessarily information we need. It's
3	just as a practice something that we would like to
4	give customers a heads up that it could be coming
5	down the pike.
6	And specifically information that I
7	would want is a little bit more operating history
8	in the SPP to see what these market prices are
9	doing, if you know, how Empire's load,
10	particularly residential load is relating to market
11	prices. Just some more information on what that
12	general market price is, because you can come to
13	inclining block through two different ways. One of
14	them is entirely cost based. One of them is
15	entirely policy based.
16	To be blunt, I think we prefer that
17	the policy information comes from your side of the
18	DS and the cost information come from our side. So
19	certainly given guidance on the policy side, we can
20	do more narrow research on that.
21	Sorry. One other issue. And this is
22	something that I I do not have any information
23	on but is something we've been trying to look into.
24	Other states that do have more inclining block, we
25	do have inclining block for GMO/MPS in the summer.
1	

1	But one of the things that I'm concerned about is
2	the way that this would interact with the FAC and
3	MEEIA and pre-MEEIA. I don't know that those are
4	problems, but I do know those are things that we do
5	differently in this state than other states may do
6	them. And I would really want to make sure we're
7	not causing some unintended consequences there.
8	Q. I guess I understand that the last
9	issue as it would relate to inclining block rates.
10	I'm not quite sure I understand how those that
11	last issue would relate to implementing level block
12	rates.
13	A. Well, it's a question of degrees. I
14	mean, for Empire, their rates are further apart.
15	It's .11 sorry, .12254 to .0996. So you've
16	got you know, you've got a 3 cent or 2 cent
17	wiggle room there, 2 and a half cent wiggle room.
18	So the same implications that come up, you know,
19	whether you're moving from flat to inclining or
20	from declining to flat, we are talking about an
21	almost 3 cent change there. So that's something we
22	wouldn't want to we want to be have a good
23	idea of what the impact would be before we do it.
24	Q. So my understanding of your testimony
25	is that Staff believes that there is a public

1 policy rationale for moving towards level block 2 rates, possibly inclining block rates, but the information is lacking for Staff to make that 3 4 recommendation in this rate case? Yes. And I mean, I -- that comment 5 Α. 6 about what Staff would do, to be very clear, was me 7 speaking for me. We do not have a Staff position 8 on what we'll do in the next case because we don't 9 have the next case yet. And I think time of use 10 and varying seasons are also things that are worth 11 looking at in that context. 12 Q. Do you have any comments on the concept raised by the Division of Energy that one 13 14 way to minimize rate shock going towards level block rates would be to do -- I don't remember the 15 16 exact percentages, but it would be a movement 17 towards level block rates but not complete level 18 block rates. Does that concept resonate with you? 19 Yes, and that's something that we've Α. 20 done in other cases is we are -- we are moving some 21 of these declining blocks closer with other 22 utilities as -- what we have looked at in exploring 23 that relationship is the summer energy charge --I'm sorry, the summer cost of energy versus the 24 25 non-summer cost of energy through the integrated

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS 6/8/2016

markets. That's something that would -- from a 1 2 cost basis, that would be one of the main things I 3 would look at in reducing or increasing that 4 differential. Signs point towards reducing rather than increasing that differential, to be clear. 5 6 Q. This is probably a question that 7 you're going to say I don't know, but I'll ask it 8 anyway. Are you aware of parties that would be 9 opposed to that movement? 10 Α. I don't know. Do you mean in the --11 I'm sorry. Let me -- do you mean from -- to go to 12 completely flat in this case or in general over the 13 next case or over some period of time? A movement in this case towards 14 ο. 15 minimizing the differential, reducing. 16 Α. I suspect there would be parties that 17 have a position on that. Depending on the severity 18 of that move would be whether or not Staff has a 19 position on that. I don't know that we would 20 outright oppose it if it's something the Commission 21 wants from a Staff perspective, but there are 22 parties who may. 23 ο. So I'll -- I'm going to ask the other 24 parties present what their position on that 25 might -- what their position is on that and I guess

1 give the parties the opportunity to put witnesses 2 on to explain that or just simply provide it to us 3 as counsel for that party. 4 All right. So you mentioned right at 5 the beginning that -- of your testimony that it was 6 important to distinguish residential from 7 nonresidential in this discussion. 8 Α. Yes. 9 ο. Could you explain why that is? 10 Α. Well, with the exception of 11 commercial, the other -- and small heating, which 12 is essentially a subset of commercial, the other 13 classes have a billing demand comp-- I'm sorry, a 14 demand component, both billing and facilities for the most part, and they generally also have an 15 hours use rate design. There's a few of the larger 16 17 classes that do not have the hours use. They still 18 have the block design. 19 And then there is a class that has on 20 peak and off peak and shoulder and non-shoulder 21 rates. So if you're -- if you're using -- in my 22 view, from a cost causation standpoint only, 23 inclining and flat block rates are an imprecise tool to achieve ends that are better reached 24 25 through time of use or demand billing. You're

Page 158 trying to accomplish the same thing. You're trying 1 2 to reduce excessive energy use. 3 That's not to say that there's a --4 you know, that inclining block are inherently bad in that respect. It's just that if what you're 5 6 trying to do is to reduce inefficient energy use, 7 there are more precise ways of getting there. And for commercial and industrial customers, that has 8 9 typically been through demand billing. CHAIRMAN HALL: I think -- I think 10 that's all I have. I'll give other Commissioners 11 12 an opportunity to ask any other questions. Then I'll -- then we'll go from there. 13 COMMISSIONER STOLL: I have no 14 questions, your Honor. Thank you. 15 16 CHAIRMAN KENNEY: I have no 17 questions. Thank you. 18 OUESTIONS BY COMMISSIONER RUPP: 19 Q. Good morning. 20 Α. Good morning. 21 Your comment just to the Chairman, Q. 22 you said there are other ways that are more 23 efficient to achieve that goal. In your opinion, 24 what are they? 25 Assuming that the metering technology Α.

is there, in my opinion time of use is kind of the 1 2 gold standard, if the metering technology is there and if the customers understand it. 3 COMMISSIONER RUPP: Thank you. 4 5 JUDGE BURTON: Any cross based on the 6 questions from OPC? 7 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. MAYFIELD: 8 I'm going to try to articulate this. ο. 9 I don't have my expert with me, and I will not 10 claim to be an expert on this. You made some 11 statement about, on the flat block rates, a 12 question directed by the Chairman, that this might 13 impact low-income residential ratepayers, I 14 believe. Do you have an opinion on whether or not 15 moving to a flat block rate design in this case 16 would impact low-income residential ratepayers? 17 I don't believe I spoke to class Α. 18 specifically -- or I'm sorry, economic class 19 specifically, but yes, this would have an unequal impact on customers using over 600 kWh, which for 20 21 Empire is -- the average customer usage is, I 22 believe, 1,086 kWh. 23 ο. Is there a particular season, whether 24 it would be fall, winter, summer or spring, that 25 that differential would be most prominent?

Page 160 Moving to flat or inclining block 1 Α. 2 would have a disproportionate impact on customers 3 who either use space heat or who have fairly 4 inefficient heating that requires a lot of HVAC to circulate the alternate heating source. 5 6 Q. Are there a number or significant 7 number of space heating customers in Empire's 8 service territory? 9 Α. Based on their load shape year round, 10 it is my understanding that Empire has for the state of Missouri an above average percentage of 11 customers or an above average volume of electric 12 13 use that is related to either electric space heating or HVAC circulation of other heating 14 15 sources. 16 MS. MAYFIELD: Thank you. No further 17 questions, your Honor. 18 JUDGE BURTON: Division of Energy. 19 MR. ANTAL: No questions. Thanks. 20 JUDGE BURTON: Commissioner Rupp has 21 a question. 22 OUESTIONS BY COMMISSIONER RUPP: 23 Q. This is an education question for 24 myself. Explain the difference between demand 25 based pricing and dynamic pricing, if there is one.

1	A. Okay. There's demand base people
2	will call lots of things both of those terms.
3	Those are terms you tend to hear at conventions and
4	not in cases. Demand-based pricing can refer to
5	just the multi-part rate where you do have a demand
6	charge. Dynamic pricing tends to refer to what is
7	called real-time pricing as opposed to time of use
8	pricing, although dynamic pricing can refer to
9	either time of use or real-time pricing.
10	The short version on that is time of
11	use is where you have one or two or three sets of
12	rates, and depending on the time of day and the day
13	of the week you get charged one or the other, and
14	you know what they are in advance. Dynamic pricing
15	or real-time pricing tends to be where those prices
16	are based on market and they are whatever they are,
17	kind of like surge pricing on Uber or something.
18	Q. Thank you. I was trying to figure
19	out the difference between the two.
20	JUDGE BURTON: MEUA?
21	MR. CONRAD: I don't have any
22	questions for this witness on this issue on her
23	on her testimony before the Commission.
24	JUDGE BURTON: Thank you. City of
25	Joplin?

	Page 162	
1	MR. ELLINGER: No questions, Judge.	
2	JUDGE BURTON: MECG?	
3	MR. WOODSMALL: No questions.	
4	JUDGE BURTON: Empire?	
5	MS. CARTER: I do not have any	
6	questions but would very much appreciate the	
7	opportunity to make just a brief statement when the	
8	time is right for that and offer Scott Keith as a	
9	witness.	
10	JUDGE BURTON: Staff?	
11	MR. THOMPSON: I have no questions.	
12	JUDGE BURTON: Thank you. You're	
13	excused. Now Empire's counsel has indicated that	
14	she would like to offer some statements and offer	
15	Mr. Keith for testimony. Are there any other	
16	parties that would like to offer a witness or	
17	provide statements to the Commission?	
18	MR. WOODSMALL: Not a witness, your	
19	Honor, but maybe just a couple really brief	
20	statements.	
21	JUDGE BURTON: Anyone else?	
22	MR. ANTAL: The Division of Energy	
23	will make Mr. Hyman available if the Commissioners	
24	have questions on on any questions about	
25	volumetric rates, but he doesn't need to appear if	
1		

there's no questions. 1 2 JUDGE BURTON: Ms. Carter, would you 3 like to go next? 4 MS. CARTER: Thank you. We're in a different situation here than with water usage, and 5 6 we need to be careful to balance the interests of 7 energy efficiency with affordability for the 8 heating customers in Empire's territory. 9 In terms of the block rates, we're 10 just talking about one step of around 2 to 3 cents. As Ms. Kliethermes said, it's at the 600 kilowatt 11 12 mark, and the average usage is around 1,000. It's 13 just a 2 to 3 cent discount at that one break, which puts the effective rate per kilowatt hour 14 between 9 and 11 cents, which is still in excess of 15 16 avoided costs and should in no way encourage 17 inefficient consumption at that level. 18 From Empire's standpoint, this helps 19 their heating customers and is necessary for 20 affordability purposes particularly for those 21 heating customers, many of which are low income, 22 when there is extreme weather. This helps with 23 affordability when there's a spike in the weather. No matter how much you want to conserve energy, 24 25 when it's very cold, you need your heat. And no

1 matter what your desires are on energy efficiency, 2 we want people to be able to be afford heat in the 3 winter, especially when there's unexpected cold 4 weather, and that's what this is designed to do. Empire's blocks have changed over 5 6 time, is my understanding. It's just that one step 7 at 600 kilowatt hours at this time. And Mr. Keith 8 is very familiar with this and fairly passionate, I 9 think, about the customer protection standpoint on 10 why that's there at this time. COMMISSIONER KENNEY: Good morning. 11 In cities like Joplin where they have -- do a 12 13 majority of Empire's customers in those areas use 14 natural gas for heat source and more the electrical use for heat source is usually in the rural areas 15 16 or out source cities? MS. CARTER: I do not know how that 17 divides up within the city of Joplin versus the 18 19 rest of Empire's territory. Mr. Keith might have 20 information for you. 21 COMMISSIONER KENNEY: I just know the 22 more the rural areas have to rely more on propane or electric for heat sources. 23 24 MS. CARTER: Yes. 25 COMMISSIONER KENNEY: But cities

1	usually have natural gas. It's more of I was
2	just curious if you had the numbers. Thank you.
3	COMMISSIONER RUPP: So what I'm
4	hearing you saying is that you like the way that
5	your tariff is designed because you believe it
6	helps the low-income people in the winter?
7	MS. CARTER: It helps heating
8	customers.
9	COMMISSIONER RUPP: Helps heating
10	customers. And then explain to me your position on
11	the low-income rate tariff and providing low-income
12	rate for are you in support of
13	MS. CARTER: You have changed the
14	topic from what the Judge had given us a heads up
15	on. In terms of this case, much like there had not
16	been study on changing the residential block rates,
17	there also had not been discussion until here at
18	the very end when we were in settlement discussions
19	about a low-income rate. And so there has been no
20	study on how that would be designed here.
21	The parties have certainly discussed
22	it since we were last before you, and if that's
23	something that the Commission orders, Empire will
24	work with the parties to try to find the best way
25	to do that. It's Empire's position we could come

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS 6/8/2016

1	up with a much better program if we had more time
2	to design it, perhaps looking into another case.
3	Also, assuming the settlement is
4	finalized as we plan now, you'll see part of the
5	DSM component is a low-income program that was
6	discussed on the stand by Dr. Marke, I believe,
7	that would perhaps combine some of the low-income
8	and energy efficiency issues in line with what you
9	had questioned about, Commissioner Rupp.
10	COMMISSIONER RUPP: So if you were
11	going to if you were going to institute a
12	low-income program, wouldn't it be beneficial to do
13	it at a time where you were changing your
14	volumetric rates in the winter to help offset that
15	increased cost?
16	MS. CARTER: Off the top of my head,
17	I would think that would make sense for those to go
18	hand in hand, and in both cases we'd want further
19	study to make sure we design that correctly and
20	didn't have an accidental negative outcome.
21	COMMISSIONER RUPP: In the absence of
22	doing that, if you left your winter rates the same,
23	where is the incentive for the individual to do any
24	type of improvement to their home, any type of
25	conservation, any type of energy efficiency if no
1	
1	matter how much I consume I'm going to be paying
----	---
2	the same and at the same time I'm going to be given
3	a discount because I'm low income? Is there an
4	incentive there for them to change any type of
5	their usage behavior?
6	MS. CARTER: I'm not sure I
7	understand the question. I will answer that the
8	best I can. We do have low-income weatherization
9	right now, and that will continue and possibly ramp
10	up the amount of funding for that to go hand in
11	hand again, that we want people to weatherize in
12	order to reduce their costs that way.
13	Many low-income individuals don't
14	have the ability to do what is necessary to make
15	their dwelling more energy efficient. It's
16	expenses that aren't affordable for the low income.
17	It's things that need to be done by landlords more
18	so than renters, which is the common situation for
19	people in the low-income category.
20	And again, all of those issues are
21	being looked at as part of the DSM discussion that
22	should come out of this case.
23	COMMISSIONER RUPP: Among the
24	testimony that was filed I think was something like
25	77 percent of the people that were potentially low
I	

1	income owned their own home and there's only like
2	23 percent that were renters. So that being said,
3	if you have a low-income program and you don't
4	change your volumetric rates, is it not just a
5	subsidy from all the other ratepayers in perpetuity
6	for people unless they change some type of behavior
7	or they change something in their own home?
8	MS. CARTER: I would agree, and
9	depending on your definition of subsidy that we
10	discussed last time we were before you, I think any
11	low-income rate is a subsidy under my definition of
12	subsidy.
13	COMMISSIONER RUPP: And I believe
14	that Dr. Marke brought up the success of some
15	programs that exist in this country and some
16	jurisdictions that would remove people from the
17	perpetual need for accessing some type of subsidy
18	through enhanced weatherization, enhanced financing
19	of things that would not just continue the pattern
20	of perpetual usage in one certain class.
21	MS. CARTER: Yes. And I think when
22	we present the final settlement agreement to you
23	and you're able to question us on that later in the
24	month, you'll see more specifics of what we are
25	looking at in that regard to come out of this case.

1	COMMISSIONER RUPP: Thank you.
2	JUDGE BURTON: All right. At this
3	time I believe, Mr. Woodsmall, you wanted to
4	provide some statements as well?
5	MR. WOODSMALL: Yeah. Just real
6	briefly, your Honor. Ms. Kliethermes discussed the
7	distinction between residential and nonresidential,
8	and maybe it's more appropriately to say industrial
9	classes, those classes that have a demand charge.
10	Like I say, the difference between the
11	distinction is raised because of the existence of a
12	demand charge, and in general the demand charge is
13	designed to collect fixed costs; that is, those
14	costs that don't vary with usage.
15	You've seen this issue both in this
16	case and in the last case where some discussion
17	about how much of the fixed cost is collected in
18	energy charges, that is that the demand charges
19	aren't collecting the full amount of fixed costs,
20	that fixed costs are being collected in the energy
21	charge.
22	And we had some information about
23	that not only in our direct testimony but in our
24	surrebuttal testimony. So there is an issue about
25	using energy charges properly in the demand classes

1	to collect, what are you collecting there.
2	The other thing I would note for the
3	industrial classes is there's a declining block,
4	and there is, I think, a policy reason behind that.
5	For Empire, the declining block occurs at 360 hours
6	of use per every kilowatt of demand. And that's
7	designed to reflect an energy break for those
8	industrial customers that have usage off peak.
9	If you think about it, 360 hours is
10	more or less the first shift that would occur for
11	any industrial customer. If you are operating just
12	at 40 hours a week or something a little more, over
13	the course of a month you're going to get 360 hours
14	of usage. Well, if you work if you have your
15	factory running on weekends or on midnight shift,
16	energy prices are cheaper. So there is a declining
17	block at 360 hours of usage.
18	I hope that came across somewhat more
19	coherently than I thought it did. But that's the
20	reason for the declining usage for the industrial
21	classes. It's called an hours use rate structure.
22	JUDGE BURTON: Any questions from the
23	Commission?
24	CHAIRMAN HALL: Well, I believe I
25	believe Ms. Carter wanted to put Mr. Keith on, and

so I think we should do that. I may have a couple 1 2 questions for Mr. Keith. 3 MS. CARTER: Yes. If there are 4 Commissioner questions for Mr. Keith, we would offer him as a witness. 5 6 JUDGE BURTON: Mr. Keith, are you 7 available? 8 MR. KEITH: Yes, I am. 9 (Witness sworn.) SCOTT KEITH testified as follows: 10 JUDGE BURTON: Would you please state 11 12 and spell your name for the record. THE WITNESS: My name is Scott Keith, 13 S-c-o-t-t, K-e-i-t-h, and I work for Empire as 14 Director of Planning and Regulatory. 15 16 JUDGE BURTON: Thank you. QUESTIONS BY CHAIRMAN HALL: 17 18 ο. Good morning, Mr. Keith. This is 19 Daniel Hall, Missouri Commission. 20 I'll start off with -- well, 21 actually, Ms. Carter, do you have some preliminary 22 questions for Mr. Keith? 23 MS. CARTER: I do not. Thank you. 24 BY CHAIRMAN HALL: 25 Q. It was represented to us by your

1 attorney that you may be able to explain the policy 2 rationale for the existing declining block rates on 3 the residential class. Is that correct? 4 Α. I can try for you, Mr. Chairman. The declining block rates have been around for a long 5 6 time. I'm sure Empire going back in time had more 7 step, deeper decline than we currently have, and it 8 was really trying to recover costs that were fixed 9 in the up-front blocks and more of the variable 10 costs towards the end of the blocks. Over the years the rates have 11 essentially turned into a customer charge with a 12 13 flat energy charge. The only remnant of a decline we have is the 2 or 3 cent break in the non-summer 14 months for basically heating purposes. They're 15 16 essentially flat right now. 17 Okay. So the only policy rationale ο. 18 that I heard in that is affordability for those 19 customers that use electricity to heat their homes, 20 or was there another policy rationale in there as 21 well? 22 Α. Well, in the early days it was more 23 of a fixed cost recovery up front, and things have kind of moved away from that over the years so that 24 25 we just have this minimal step in the rate that

Page 173 only occurs in basically the winter months. 1 2 Q. Well, the winter months -- the winter 3 months is eight months a year. So it's two-thirds 4 of the year. I understand, but it was there for --5 Α. 6 we didn't want to have a discount in the summer. 7 So they were flat in the summer, and then the 8 discount took place in these non-summer months. 9 ο. Would Empire be opposed to some 10 version of the Division of Energy's proposal to 11 continue that trend that you alluded to and 12 reducing by 10 percent or some amount the 13 differential between those two blocks in the winter 14 season? Well, without -- I don't recall his 15 Α. 16 specific proposal, but let me answer that this way: If the rates become even, say, flatter or even 17 18 inverted, what happens is the rate stability 19 suffers from both the company's perspective and the customers'. 20 21 So from a customer standpoint, in 22 extreme weather the bill's even higher than it 23 would have been. And from the company's standpoint, in mild weather when we normalize these 24 25 rates and base them on normalized usage, normal

weather -- as you know, weather's never normal. So 1 2 you end up with weather extremes, and depending on 3 which way they go, they either hurt the company or 4 the customer. So the more -- the more margin or fixed cost recovery that's pushed off to the tail 5 6 block, the less stable the rates become. 7 Well, then, why has Empire been party Q. 8 to this movement towards levelizing the blocks? Well, it occurs in rate cases, and 9 Α. 10 they can't control a lot of the movement and have agreed to it. 11 12 Q. So if you had your way, you'd go back 13 to the way it was with much more significant differential between the blocks in the winter 14 15 season? 16 Α. Well, I can't say that --17 ο. Why not? 18 Α. -- that I'm proposing anything like 19 that. All I'm saying is --20 Q. Well, you're providing a policy 21 rationale for going back to that, though. 22 Α. Well, yes. I mean, heating's 23 important. Heating covers the big load void that we would have. If we didn't have those heating 24 25 customers, we'd have a capacity available that

wouldn't be used. 1 2 Q. Now you're getting into a different 3 policy rationale. Actually, that's one that I 4 personally can't support. 5 Are you aware of -- okay. So you've 6 discussed a trend in Empire's block rates over the 7 years towards -- towards levelizing the block 8 rates. Is that a trend that exists nationally, if 9 you're aware? 10 Α. Oh, yes, I think it does. We've had that experience in at least three of the states we 11 sell electricity in right now, Kansas, Missouri and 12 13 Arkansas, the trend has been away from declining block rates. 14 15 0. So from your perspective, what is the 16 policy rationale supporting that trend? Well, there's -- depends on the 17 Α. 18 state. I've heard it promotes conservation, 19 promotes energy efficiency, you know, among other 20 things, is one of the rationales used. 21 So bottom line is that Empire would 0. 22 not support a continuation of that trend in this 23 rate case? 24 Would not support the trend to Α. 25 eliminate the step?

1 ο. Well, what I'm alluding to is the 2 Division of Energy's proposal, and it was a 3 concept, it wasn't a proposal, a concept of 4 reducing that differential by some percentage, and 5 I believe it was 10 percent. Is that a proposal, 6 if you have the authority to say so, that the 7 company might find acceptable? 8 Α. Well, possibly. It would depend on 9 what it was coupled with. For example, if it was 10 coupled with a customer charge change, say an increase in the customer charge, possibility. 11 12 One thing I might add is our tail 13 block right now is well above avoided cost. So to 14 the extent this pricing leads people to use less or maybe install devices that use less, like energy 15 16 efficiency type devices, we're not really avoiding 17 the 9 or 10 cents that they're seeing off their 18 bill. We're only avoiding maybe 4 and a half, 19 5 cents. 20 So that differential in pricing 21 actually is a subsidy for that use -- or for that 22 discontinuance of use. 23 ο. Moving on to the nonresidential 24 classes, Mr. Woodsmall presented some arguments in 25 support of the declining block rates with regards

Page 177 1 to those classes. Does the company have a perspective on that as well? 2 I think Mr. Woodsmall, I think his 3 Α. 4 explanation was correct, that the demand charges are there to cover capacity or fixed cost type 5 6 recovery, and the energy charges historically have 7 been blocked on hours worth of use. And the companies that have higher load factors or more 8 9 usage per month in hours used get a break on the 10 energy pricing. CHAIRMAN HALL: I don't believe I 11 have any further questions of this witness. Thank 12 13 you. COMMISSIONER STOLL: I have no 14 15 questions. 16 COMMISSIONER KENNEY: I have no 17 questions. Thank you. 18 COMMISSIONER COLEMAN: No questions. JUDGE BURTON: I'll see if the 19 20 parties have any cross-examination based on the 21 questions from the Commission. Division of Energy? 22 MR. ANTAL: Judge, I don't have any 23 questions for Mr. Keith. There has been some discussion of statements Division of Energy made in 24 25 their testimony, and if it's appropriate, I'd like

to clarify our position. 1 2 JUDGE BURTON: That's fine. 3 MR. ANTAL: Mr. Hyman in his direct 4 testimony provided an example of what the bill impact would be under a 10 percent shift towards a 5 6 uniform block rates and a 50 percent shift towards 7 uniform block rates. He stated that the Division 8 of Energy could support a shift up to 10 percent if 9 the Commission wanted to make a shift towards uniform block rates. 10 It was our recommendation that the 11 Commission open up a working case to more generally 12 investigate moving toward uniform block rates. And 13 I think that could be both beneficial for Empire, 14 you know, perhaps because they have a lot of 15 16 heating customers on electricity, but generally 17 could apply to all electric utilities in the state. 18 It's my understanding that there are other electric 19 utilities that have declining block rates. So just for clarification, our 20 21 recommendation is to open up a working docket to 22 discuss this further, to get that additional 23 analysis that Ms. Kliethermes was suggesting along with other data that would be valuable in designing 24 25 more nuanced rates. But I'll just leave it at

1 that. Thank you. JUDGE BURTON: Thank you. 2 3 Mr. Conrad, did you have any questions? MR. CONRAD: I did not with respect 4 to the Commissioner's questions. 5 6 MR. WOODSMALL: No questions. Thank 7 you. 8 JUDGE BURTON: Joplin? MR. ELLINGER: No questions. Thank 9 10 you. JUDGE BURTON: Office of Public 11 12 Counsel? 13 MS. MAYFIELD: No questions, your Honor. 14 15 JUDGE BURTON: Staff? 16 MR. WESTEN: No questions. Thank 17 you. 18 JUDGE BURTON: I believe that the 19 Commission does have some questions for Mr. Woodsmall, but there's also been offered the 20 21 testimony of Mr. Hyman from the Division of Energy. 22 MR. ANTAL: He's available if any of 23 the Commissioners have questions for him. JUDGE BURTON: Does the Commission 24 25 care to hear from Mr. Hyman on any additional?

1 CHAIRMAN HALL: I don't believe. 2 JUDGE BURTON: Okay. Chairman, did 3 you have any questions for Mr. Woodsmall? 4 CHAIRMAN HALL: Actually, no, I don't. Thank you. 5 6 JUDGE BURTON: Are there any other 7 attorneys who would like to make statements to the Commission? 8 9 MS. MAYFIELD: Your Honor, if I may 10 briefly. Dr. Marke is not available, and this question is an issue on rate design that he brought 11 up in his rebuttal and surrebuttal testimony, as 12 you heard from Ms. Kliethermes. The move to a 13 level block rate in this case without further 14 analysis may provide or have negative impacts 15 16 particularly to some of the low-income ratepayers 17 which, according to Dr. Marke's testimony, there 18 are a substantial number of within Empire's service 19 territory. 20 I would encourage this Commission to 21 take under consideration DE's proposal to open up a 22 working docket, to examine this not just for Empire 23 but, as Dr. Marke's testimony points out, to a number of over electric utilities here within the 24 25 state.

1	So without him being available maybe					
2	to answer some more questions for some of our					
3	low-income ratepayers, I would just put that					
4	statement out there.					
5	I do understand the struggle that					
6	this Commission has with looking at energy					
7	efficiency and ways to balance the need to					
8	encourage energy efficiency and conservation with					
9	the impacts to low-income ratepayers. Oftentimes					
10	the move to energy efficiency does not always					
11	coincide with an equal balance in that respect. So					
12	I would just put that out there.					
13	JUDGE BURTON: Thank you,					
14	Ms. Mayfield. Any questions from the Commission					
15	for Ms. Mayfield?					
16	COMMISSIONER RUPP: Dr. Marke talked					
17	about the PACE program. What are the initials for					
18	that program?					
19	MS. MAYFIELD: I believe it is just					
20	P-A-C-E, and I do believe that he has some					
21	information within his, I think it's his					
22	surrebuttal testimony, regarding that. And as part					
23	of the DSM discussion moving forward, I believe					
24	that is a topic that will be a part of that group.					
25	COMMISSIONER RUPP: So it is P-A-C-E,					

1 not P-A-Y-S? 2 MS. MAYFIELD: I'm looking at DE 3 because I think DE might have better answer for this. 4 5 MR. ANTAL: Commissioner, I believe 6 there are two separate programs. There's one 7 called PACE, and there's one called PAYS. 8 Honestly, I was confused about which one you guys 9 were talking about the other day when Mr. Hyman was 10 on the stand. COMMISSIONER RUPP: I can't find 11 anything about P-A-Y-S. I was curious on --12 13 MR. ANTAL: I do not have any specific information about PAYS. I can certainly 14 try to make that available. I think maybe there 15 16 might be -- I'm getting an indication that 17 Mr. Hyman may have some information about PAYS and 18 the difference between PAYS and PACE, if you'd like 19 to have him come up to the stand. 20 COMMISSIONER RUPP: Unfortunately to 21 my fellow Commissioners, I would like an answer to 22 that question. 23 JUDGE BURTON: All right. 24 MR. ANTAL: Then Division of Energy 25 would call Mr. Martin Hyman.

1 (Witness sworn.) 2 MARTIN HYMAN testified as follows: 3 JUDGE BURTON: Would you please have 4 a seat and state and spell your name. THE WITNESS: Martin Hyman, 5 6 H-y-m-a-n. 7 JUDGE BURTON: Any preliminary 8 questions from the Division of Energy? MR. ANTAL: No preliminary questions. 9 10 QUESTIONS BY COMMISSIONER RUPP: 11 Can you please explain the Q. 12 differences between the PAYS and the PACE program? 13 Α. Okay. So the best of my knowledge, and this is not an area where I am as familiar with 14 the material, but P-A-Y-S, PAYS refers to on-bill 15 16 financing. It's a specific type of on-bill financing. Basically, there's -- the customer gets 17 18 some sort of improvement, and then they pay off 19 that improvement as part of their electric bill. 20 Under the PAYS program, I believe 21 there are various provisions that protect the 22 utility, and basically any sort of bill repayment would be transferred to the next owner of the 23 property if there was a transfer. 24 25 PACE, P-A-C-E, is what you see in

		Page 184
1	this state to some extent on the CNI side, and that	
2	is literally property assessing energy financing.	
3	So the improvement ends up having an impact on your	
4	property tax. I think I'm not sure, but I think	
5	you can recover PACE in a similar way to PAYS.	
6	But that's the primary difference.	
7	One refers to property taxes. The other refers to	
8	a specific type of on-bill financing, which you do	
9	see in Kentucky coops, I believe have it.	
10	Q. So with the PAYS, P-A-Y-S program,	
11	it's the financing through the utility bill, and	
12	PACE, P-A-C-E, it's financing that is attached to	
13	the deed and it is repaid through the property tax	
14	and then that transfers to whatever homeowner that	
15	they sell the home?	
16	A. Yeah.	
17	Q. How is the PAYS program, how is the	
18	burden not burden the opportunity to continue	
19	the repayment for the investment that was made in	
20	the home, how is that transferred to a new	
21	homeowner? Is it just through the utility bill?	
22	A. Yeah. It just stays with the	
23	property through the utility bill, is my	
24	understanding.	
25	Q. It's tied to the meter?	

1 Α. Yeah. Yeah. 2 Q. Interesting. Thank you. 3 JUDGE BURTON: Any other questions 4 from the Commission? Any questions from the parties? All right. Thank you, Mr. Hyman. You're 5 6 excused. 7 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 8 JUDGE BURTON: Why don't we take a 9 quick five-minute recess and we'll come back on at -- let's make it ten minutes. We'll come back 10 at 10:55. 11 (A BREAK WAS TAKEN.) 12 JUDGE BURTON: Let's go ahead and go 13 back on the record. I believe that the Commission 14 has some additional questions it might have for 15 16 parties and, if need be, any witness they might 17 have available if they aren't able to clarify. 18 CHAIRMAN HALL: Thank you. I guess 19 I'll address this question to Mr. Thompson, and then perhaps other attorneys for other clients can 20 21 chime in. It's my understanding that PURPA has 22 asked state public commissions to consider adopting 23 certain ratemaking standards, one of which is elimination of declining block rates. Are you 24 25 familiar with that guideline?

1 MR. THOMPSON: I am not personally 2 familiar with it. CHAIRMAN HALL: Well, it was 3 contained in actually Dr. Marke's testimony, 4 page 44 of his rebuttal. Are there any attorneys 5 6 in the room that can speak to that? 7 MR. WOODSMALL: Your Honor, I can't 8 provide you any conclusions or positions, but I'll 9 tell you that when those suggestions were made, the Commission opened up a number of dockets. This is 10 about 2007, and I could probably find those PURPA 11 12 dockets. So there were dockets specific to each 13 point, and comments were provided, and I don't know what came of those, but we could find those dockets 14 and those comments that were made back then. 15 16 MR. THOMPSON: I'm told, 17 Mr. Chairman, that Staff considered that. 18 CHAIRMAN HALL: Pardon? MR. THOMPSON: I'm told that Staff 19 20 did consider that guidance. 21 CHAIRMAN HALL: Does that guidance, 22 in fact, exist in PURPA? That's question one. 23 JUDGE BURTON: Is this something perhaps the parties would like to provide, if there 24 25 are issues with witnesses not being available to

Page 187 talk about this or lawyers need to provide 1 2 research --CHAIRMAN HALL: This shouldn't be 3 witnesses. This should be counsel. 4 MS. MAYFIELD: Chairman, are you 5 6 asking if the Public Utility Regulatory Policies 7 Act of 1978, PURPA, directs state commissions to look at inclining block rates? Is that the 8 9 question? 10 CHAIRMAN HALL: That's question one. 11 I thought that was the softball. MS. MAYFIELD: Specifically, I mean, 12 I've had to Google this, son I apologize, but it 13 does state that, it says ending promotional rate 14 structures. I don't know if it specifically states 15 16 the use of inclining block rates, but the law states ending promotional rate structures. 17 18 CHAIRMAN HALL: Well, I'm looking at 19 the testimony from your witness. 20 MS. MAYFIELD: Yes. On page 44 of 21 his, one of the ways to end these promotional rate 22 structures, if you dig down into the actual, the 23 guidance into the law, is the use of an inclining block rate as one of the tools that a state 24 commission can look at. 25

CHAIRMAN HALL: Can or should? 1 2 MS. MAYFIELD: I don't have that in 3 front of me it's can or should. It just says 4 encouraged. It doesn't say shall or should. JUDGE BURTON: Would any other party 5 6 like to respond? 7 MR. THOMPSON: I'm advised that the 8 federal guidance is not mandatory. It is more 9 encouragement, and that Staff, duly encouraged, 10 did, in fact, consider those items that it was urged to consider. 11 If you want details on how Staff did 12 13 that or how Staff weighed various factors in reaching its position, we would have to put a 14 witness on. 15 16 CHAIRMAN HALL: So PURPA does direct 17 all state commissions to consider eliminating 18 declining block rates. Is that a true statement of the law? 19 20 MR. THOMPSON: I believe that it is. 21 CHAIRMAN HALL: And my second 22 question is, is there, in fact, a national trend 23 towards elimination of declining block rates? 24 MR. WOODSMALL: I can't say there's a 25 national trend. I've done cases -- again,

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS 6/8/2016

		Page 189
1	obviously my focus when I get into cases in other	
2	jurisdictions is entirely on industrial rate	
3	design, but I've done cases in five or six	
4	jurisdictions, and on the industrial side, it's my	
5	understanding that they all had declining blocks.	
6	I don't know about residential.	
7	CHAIRMAN HALL: How about on the	
8	residential side? And that may be a witness as	
9	opposed to a counsel, or maybe counsel.	
10	MR. WESTEN: Commissioner,	
11	essentially what I've been handed is Geoff Marke's	
12	rebuttal testimony, and it is just a restatement of	
13	the considerations that PURPA has required, and	
14	those six ratemaking standards are basing rates	
15	on again, I just want to be clear that I am	
16	reading from the rebuttal testimony of Geoff Marke.	
17	You can see this at page 44, lines 1 through 8 of	
18	his testimony. And those are basing rates on costs	
19	of service by class, along with eliminating	
20	declining block rates, introducing time of day	
21	rates, introducing seasonal rates, introducing	
22	interruptible rates, offering customers cost	
23	effective load management techniques, these are	
24	standards that can be considered by public service	
25	commissions in the 50 states.	

1 CHAIRMAN HALL: All right. Let me 2 cut to the chase from my perspective. I believe that there is a national trend towards elimination 3 of declining block rates at least in the 4 residential. I'm not sure about in the 5 nonresidential. 6 7 I believe the testimony earlier this 8 morning was even within Empire's specific 9 experience, there has been a trend towards 10 narrowing the differential between the blocks, eliminating blocks, i.e. a movement towards level 11 12 block rates. That is a trend that I think makes --13 and I'm speaking for myself. I think that is a 14 trend that makes good public policy sense from the 15 16 perspective of conservation and energy efficiency. 17 I am very sympathetic to the position 18 of the Division of Energy that that is something 19 that should be the subject of a working docket 20 going forward. I guess my personal position at 21 this point would be, instead of ordering a working 22 docket, would be some type of direction to the 23 parties to put together -- to put together analysis, numbers and potentially tariffs in the 24 next rate case that continues this trend towards 25

elimination of declining block rates. 1 2 I would also say that, from my 3 perspective, I would be very open to any agreement 4 amongst the parties towards a reduction in the differential in the block rates for residential 5 6 customers during the winter months. I am, of 7 course, not directing any such agreement. I'm 8 simply saying I would be open to some reduction, 9 and I'm also open to facilitating discussion and 10 potential agreement at the next rate case as to a further elimination of the differential between 11 12 blocks. Thank you. 13 14 JUDGE BURTON: Thank you. COMMISSIONER STOLL: Since we're kind 15 16 of stating positions at this point, I agree with 17 the Chairman on the first part. I think this needs 18 to be looked at in the next rate case, and if the 19 parties could analyze what kind of -- what are the 20 options and what is the most appropriate way to do 21 this, that would be good. 22 As far as the reducing the 23 differential in the declining block rate at this time, if the parties want to do that, you know, 24 25 that's the agreement, that's fine with me. I'm not

1 necessarily a proponent of that. Just saying, you 2 know, it's up to you to come to an agreement in the 3 stip. So I guess I can state that, and I think 4 that's it. COMMISSIONER KENNEY: Thank you. 5 I 6 do concur with the Chairman that this is something 7 that should be looked at in the future, and I agree 8 it would be nice if the parties want to get 9 together and do that. 10 I think the testimony that's been brought forth in this case by Division of Energy, 11 Office of Public Counsel, Empire is that it's not a 12 good idea at this point to change that differential 13 because I think that it would have a negative 14 effect on those customers who need that lower price 15 16 energy for all the testimony that's been put forth at this time. 17 18 However, I do agree that it's 19 something we should look at, and I think that all of our companies and parties should get together 20 21 and look at it for a future situation if that's the 22 direction we should go. 23 COMMISSIONER RUPP: I'm going to disagree with pretty much everybody but for 24 25 different reasons. Having an eight-month winter

1	rate is eight months. I mean, that's predominantly
2	the entire year. We could have a working docket,
3	but let's be honest, that's just kicking the can
4	down the road. I'm only going to be here for four
5	more years. I would strongly advocate that the
6	Staff get together and push for inclining block
7	rates in this rate case.
8	COMMISSIONER COLEMAN: I tell you,
9	five opinions on this is pretty interesting because
10	I'm kind of in the middle on the topics both. I
11	like the idea of the Department of Energy's
12	suggestion of a working docket, but at the same
13	time like the idea of it coming forth in the next
14	rate case. I just think the issue needs to be
15	dealt with. This is the time to do that.
16	I haven't really decided on the
17	differential. I'm still mulling over that. So
18	that's where I am right now.
19	COMMISSIONER STOLL: Judge, I would
20	like to add that any decision I make in the future
21	will be based on the competent and substantial
22	evidence in the record at that time. I just wanted
23	to make that clear.
24	COMMISSIONER RUPP: You can make that
25	clear for all of us.

1	COMMISSIONER STOLL: I think
2	everybody feels the same way.
3	MR. WOODSMALL: Your Honor, can I
4	throw in a comment? I've heard, and I'm sure we've
5	all heard, the suggestions that were made, and it's
6	been my experience that parties are always willing
7	to consider what the Commissioners want, desire
8	policy-wise. The sooner that comes in a case, the
9	easier it is to implement.
10	I think Ms. Kliethermes raised some
11	concerns about whether we have data available
12	currently to even make these things happen. So we
13	have a data problem. But even more concerning is
14	the lack of time. So I'm sure we will sit down and
15	talk about what we heard from the Commission, but I
16	hope if it doesn't happen, the Commission won't
17	take that as we ignored them.
18	I just don't know if it's possible
19	given the information and the time, if it can get
20	done in this case. So I don't know if other
21	parties want to echo that or have other thoughts.
22	CHAIRMAN HALL: Duly noted.
23	JUDGE BURTON: Would any other party
24	like to make a statement?
25	I would again reiterate that any

materials that were provided yesterday through the 1 2 counsel --3 MR. CONRAD: Do you have mute on? 4 JUDGE BURTON: No. MR. CONRAD: I keep hearing a double 5 6 beep. 7 JUDGE BURTON: We are not hearing a 8 double beep. Did you want to offer any statement? 9 MR. CONRAD: Do you want me to 10 reproduce it? Beep beep. JUDGE BURTON: Mr. Conrad, while 11 you're on, did you want to provide a statement to 12 the Commission? 13 MR. CONRAD: Well, yeah. I think 14 it's inappropriate for the Commissioners or part of 15 16 them to inject themselves into the negotiations of 17 this stipulation. That's what I had expressed concern about before. And I would frankly, if you 18 19 need to, object to that. 20 JUDGE BURTON: Okay. Thank you, 21 Mr. Conrad. As I was stating, any materials that 22 were provided are being treated as highly 23 confidential. They are not part of the record and will not be considered. 24 25 MR. CONRAD: No, ma'am. You said you

Page 196 were on the record earlier. 1 2 JUDGE BURTON: Any of the documents 3 that were provided yesterday by the counsel --4 MR. CONRAD: You provided them to the Commission. You already said that. 5 6 JUDGE BURTON: That is correct, sir. 7 MR. CONRAD: Was that a document filed in EFIS? 8 9 JUDGE BURTON: No, it was not. 10 MR. CONRAD: So it was provided to you privately and you unilaterally made the 11 decision to submit it to the Commission? 12 13 JUDGE BURTON: As I was stating, that document is not part of the record and will be 14 destroyed at the request of the parties. 15 All right. Are there any additional 16 17 matters we need to address? All right. So it's my 18 understanding that we are looking at June 17th for 19 having a formal Stipulation & Agreement by the parties that will address all issues and the 20 21 admission of testimony that was prefiled. 22 MR. THOMPSON: Thank you, Judge. 23 MS. CARTER: That is our goal. 24 JUDGE BURTON: Okay. 25 MR. CONRAD: What about tomorrow?

- 1	
1	JUDGE BURTON: Thank you, Mr. Conrad.
2	I was just about to get there. That being said,
3	although the Commission has hearing dates scheduled
4	for tomorrow, June 9th, and Friday, June 10th, we
5	are going to go ahead and cancel those dates
6	because right now it does not appear that we need
7	those dates.
8	We still have the 29th, which was
9	previously scheduled as the true-up date, but
10	hearing no objections, I believe we had the motion,
11	we will consider that date currently as still being
12	on if we need to have that for presentation on any
13	final Stipulation & Agreement that's provided by
14	the parties.
15	And again, I am reiterating, if we do
16	not have a Stipulation & Agreement on all issues
17	that are contested, that the parties keep their
18	schedules for June 27 through July 1st available
19	for an evidentiary hearing.
20	All right. That being said, we are
21	off the record.
22	(WHEREUPON, the hearing concluded at
23	11:16 a.m.)
24	
25	
I	

1 CERTIFICATE 2 STATE OF MISSOURI) 3) ss. COUNTY OF COLE 4) 5 I, Kellene K. Feddersen, Certified Shorthand Reporter with the firm of Midwest 6 7 Litigation Services, do hereby certify that I was personally present at the proceedings had in the 8 9 above-entitled cause at the time and place set 10 forth in the caption sheet thereof; that I then and 11 there took down in Stenotype the proceedings had; 12 and that the foregoing is a full, true and correct transcript of such Stenotype notes so made at such 13 14 time and place. 15 Given at my office in the City of Jefferson, County of Cole, State of Missouri. 16 17 18 19 Kellene K. Feddersen, RPR, CSR, CCR 20 21 22 23 24 25

Page	199
1 ago	1))

	1	I	I	1 450 177
A	advised 188:7	136:24,25	Association	Bank 152:4,4,12
a.m 134:3,5	advocate 193:5	145:11 160:19	132:14 136:4	Bardgett 132:20
197:23	afford 164:2	162:22 177:22	assuming 158:25	base 161:1
ability 167:14	affordability	178:3 179:22	166:3	173:25
able 135:16,18	163:7,20,23	182:5,13,24	attached 184:12	based 136:14
164:2 168:23	172:18	183:9	attempt 148:22	147:5 149:24
172:1 185:17	affordable	anyway 156:8	attorney 132:2,2	152:5 153:14
above-entitled	167:16	apart 143:24	132:3,3,9,15,19	153:15 159:5
198:9	afraid 152:17	144:4,17,24,25	132:20 133:1	160:9,25
absence 166:21	afternoon 139:19	145:3 148:14	172:1	161:16 177:20
acceptable 176:7	agree 145:6	154:14	attorneys 136:17	193:21
access 141:15	148:3 168:8	apologize 139:17	143:1 146:2	basically 172:15
143:11	191:16 192:7	187:13	152:8 180:7	173:1 183:17
accessing 168:17	192:18	appear 162:25	185:20 186:5	183:22
accidental	agreed 174:11	197:6	authority 131:11	basing 189:14,18
166:20	agreement	appearance	134:7 176:6	basis 148:1 150:1
accomplish	137:11,12,17	134:18	available 135:24	156:2
158:1	137:24 138:4	APPEARANC	138:14 143:11	beep 195:6,8,10
achieve 157:24	140:22 168:22	132:1	144:20 145:6	195:10
158:23	191:3,7,10,25	application	162:23 171:7	beeps 152:16
acknowledged	192:2 196:19	140:20	174:25 179:22	began 134:2,11
139:7	197:13,16	apply 178:17	180:10 181:1	beginning 157:5
Act 187:7	ahead 134:5	appreciate 162:6	182:15 185:17	behalf 134:21
activity 139:22	153:1 185:13	approach 150:24	186:25 194:11	135:1,9,20,23
actual 187:22	197:5	appropriate	197:18	136:13,23,24
add 138:16	Alex 136:25	136:8 139:15	average 159:21	137:21
176:12 193:20	ALEXANDER	146:14 177:25	160:11,12	behavior 167:5
additional	133:1	191:20	163:12	168:6
151:19 152:20	allow 145:15	appropriately	avoided 163:16	believe 138:2,15
178:22 179:25	allowed 152:9	169:8	176:13	140:16 141:18
185:15 196:16	allowing 152:7	approval 137:15	avoiding 176:16	142:8 147:24
address 135:15	alluded 173:11	143:16	176:18	152:22 159:14
136:6 138:6	alluding 176:1	area 131:13	aware 140:4,10	159:17,22
141:7 150:2	alternate 160:5	183:14	140:14,21	165:5 166:6
185:19 196:17	American 151:13	areas 164:13,15	156:8 175:5,9	168:13 169:3
196:20	amount 167:10	164:22	<u> </u>	170:24,25
addresses 134:17	169:19 173:12	arguments	B 139:10	176:5 177:11
addressing 140:1	analysis 178:23	176:24	b 139.10 back 139:20	179:18 180:1
148:19	180:15 190:24	Arkansas 175:13	145:1 172:6	181:19,20,23
administrative	analyst 152:5	articulate 159:8	174:12,21	182:5 183:20
140:12	analyze 191:19	asked 185:22	174.12,21 185:9,10,14	184:9 185:14
admission	answer 136:19	asking 141:4	185.9,10,14	188:20 190:2,7
196:21	167:7 173:16	187:6	bad 158:4	197:10
adopting 185:22	181:2 182:3,21	assessing 184:2	balance 163:6	believes 154:25
advance 161:14	answers 136:21	associated	181:7,11	Bell 132:20
adversely 150:7	Antal 133:1	151:21	101.7,11	135:23
	1	1	1	1

Page 200

I				1 age 200
Bench 147:5	blocks 149:11	162:21 163:2	137:1,9 143:24	172:4 177:11
beneficial 166:12	155:21 164:5	169:2 170:22	149:10 151:6	180:1,2,4
178:14	172:9,10	171:6,11,16	151:13,15,18	185:18 186:3
bereavement	173:13 174:8	177:19 178:2	152:9 153:1	186:17,18,21
135:14	174:14 189:5	179:2,8,11,15	155:4,8,9	187:3,5,10,18
best 165:24 167:8	190:10,11	179:18,24	156:12,13,14	188:1,16,21
183:13	191:12	180:2,6 181:13	159:15 165:15	189:7 190:1
better 157:24	Bloomberg 142:3	182:23 183:3,7	166:2 167:22	191:17 192:6
166:1 182:3	blunt 153:16	185:3,8,13	168:25 169:16	194:22
big 174:23	botched 149:1	186:23 188:5	169:16 175:23	change 139:14
bill 176:18 178:4	bottom 175:21	191:14 194:23	178:12 180:14	140:14 154:21
183:19,22	BOUDREAU	195:4,7,11,20	190:25 191:10	167:4 168:4,6,7
184:11,21,23	132:2	196:2,6,9,13,24	191:18 192:11	176:10 192:13
bill's 173:22	Box 132:5 133:2	197:1	193:7,14 194:8	changed 164:5
billing 157:13,14	133:6,12		194:20	165:13
157:25 158:9	break 163:13	<u> </u>	cases 155:20	changing 165:16
bit 137:7 148:21	170:7 172:14	C 132:3 134:1	161:4 166:18	166:13
153:7	177:9 185:12	198:1,1	174:9 188:25	charge 155:23
Blitz 132:20	brief 162:7,19	call 142:2,17	189:1,3	161:6 169:9,12
block 146:10,12	briefly 169:6	161:2 182:25	category 167:19	169:12,21
147:21 148:6	180:10	called 161:7	causation 148:18	172:12,13
148:24 149:4	Broadway	170:21 182:7,7	149:4 157:22	176:10,11
149:13,23	132:10	calls 146:23	cause 198:9	charged 161:13
150:2,10,14	brought 168:14	camera 138:5	causing 154:7	charges 148:16
151:11,15,17	180:11 192:11	141:7 145:13	CCOS 148:20	169:18,18,25
152:22 153:13	Brydon 132:4	145:18	CCR 131:22	177:4,6
153:24,25	burden 184:18	cancel 197:5	198:19	Charlie 141:24
154:9,11 155:1	184:18	capacity 174:25	cent 154:16,16	142:15
155:2,15,17,18	BURTON	177:5	154:17,21	chase 190:2
157:18,23	131:15 134:4	Capital 141:25	163:13 172:14	cheaper 170:16
158:4 159:11	135:1,9,20	Capitol 132:4	Center 132:11	Chief 133:10
159:15 160:1	136:3,10,22	caption 198:10	cents 163:10,15	chime 185:21
163:9 165:16	137:2 138:21	care 179:25	176:17,19	circulate 160:5
170:3,5,17	139:25 140:16	careful 163:6	certain 137:23	circulated
172:2,5 174:6	141:1,22 142:5	Carter 132:3	168:20 185:23	144:12
175:6,7,14	142:21,24	134:23,23	certainly 153:19	circulation
176:13,25	143:5,10 144:5	144:10,15	165:21 182:14	160:14
178:6,7,10,13	144:13,16	162:5 163:2,4	Certified 198:5	cities 164:12,16
178:19 180:14	145:5,12	164:17,24	certify 198:7	164:25
185:24 187:8	146:16 147:3,8	165:7,13	Chairman	city 131:8 132:5
187:16,24	147:12,16	166:16 167:6	131:16 145:18	132:11,16,21
188:18,23	151:22 152:2,6	168:8,21 170:25 171:3	145:19 146:22	132:23 133:3,7
189:20 190:4	152:13 159:5		147:17 152:19	133:13 135:20
190:12 191:1,5	160:18,20	171:21,23 196:23	158:10,16,21	135:23 139:20
191:23 193:6	161:20,24	case 131:11	159:12 170:24	161:24 164:18
blocked 177:7	162:2,4,10,12	Case 131.11	171:17,24	198:15
	I	I	I	I

Page	201
1 upe	-01

				8
claim 159:10	182:19 185:9	160:22 164:11	component	188:10,11,17
clarification	185:10 192:2	164:21,25	157:14 166:5	194:7 197:11
134:15,19	comes 136:8	165:3,9 166:9	concept 155:13	considerate
137:21,23	141:16 153:17	166:10,21	155:18 176:3,3	138:9
146:19 178:20	194:8	167:23 168:13	concern 138:22	consideration
clarify 143:5	comfort 144:23	169:1 171:4	139:11 147:20	180:21
178:1 185:17	coming 153:4	177:14,16,18	150:5 195:18	considerations
class 146:21	193:13	181:16,25	concerned 139:4	189:13
157:19 159:17	COMLEY	182:5,11,20	139:6 152:24	considered
159:18 168:20	135:22	183:10 189:10	154:1	148:23 186:17
172:3 189:19	comment 155:5	191:15 192:5	concerning	189:24 195:24
classes 146:21,22	158:21 194:4	192:23 193:8	194:13	Constitutional
147:25 148:14	comments	193:19,24	concerns 137:7	140:11
148:15 157:13	155:12 186:13	194:1	138:3 140:3	consume 167:1
157:17 169:9,9	186:15	Commissioner's	194:11	Consumers
169:25 170:3	commercial	179:5	concluded	136:11
170:21 176:24	148:16 157:11	Commissioners	197:22	consumption
177:1	157:12 158:8	131:19 135:15	conclusion 140:1	163:17
clear 142:11,14	commission	136:17 138:20	conclusions	contained 186:4
151:11 155:6	131:2 133:12	139:8 146:3	186:8	contains 138:25
156:5 189:15	133:15 134:12	158:11 162:23	concur 192:6	contested 197:17
193:23,25	135:3,7 137:14	179:23 182:21	confidential	context 155:11
clients 185:20	137:18,22	194:7 195:15	138:4 140:5	continuation
closer 155:21	140:3,18,22	commissions	141:6,15	134:10 139:18
CNI 184:1	141:2,3 143:13	185:22 187:7	142:10 195:23	175:22
coherently	143:16,18	188:17 189:25	confused 182:8	continue 167:9
170:19	144:9 145:15	common 167:18	Conrad 132:9,10	168:19 173:11
coincide 181:11	145:16 147:15	communications	136:5,5 138:16	184:18
cold 163:25	151:13 152:6	136:15	138:17,22	continues 190:25
164:3	156:20 161:23	comp 157:13	140:8,24 141:9	control 174:10
Cole 198:4,16	162:17 165:23	companies 177:8	141:17 143:8,8	conventions
COLEMAN	170:23 171:19	192:20	152:15 161:21	161:3
131:18 177:18	177:21 178:9	company 131:11	179:3,4 195:3,5	conversation
193:8	178:12 179:19	132:8 134:22	195:9,11,14,21	142:8
collect 169:13	179:24 180:8	134:24 174:3	195:25 196:4,7	COOPER 132:2
170:1	180:20 181:6	176:7 177:1	196:10,25	coops 184:9
collected 169:17	181:14 185:4	company's	197:1	correct 138:23
169:20	185:14 186:10	131:13 134:7	consequences	141:1,20
collecting 169:19	187:25 194:15	173:19,23	154:7	144:23 148:2
170:1	194:16 195:13	competent	conservation	172:3 177:4
combine 166:7	196:5,12 197:3	140:13 144:1	149:24 166:25	196:6 198:12
come 136:18	Commission's	193:21	175:18 181:8	correctly 166:19
139:20 145:14	137:25 152:11	complete 155:17	190:16	cost 148:17 149:4
153:12,18	Commissioner	completely	conserve 163:24	153:14,18
154:18 165:25	158:14,18	142:13 149:1	consider 141:2	155:24,25
167:22 168:25	159:4 160:20	156:12	185:22 186:20	156:2 157:22
	1	1	1	1

				1 age 202
166:15 169:17	176:11 183:17	decline 172:7,13	designated	176:22
172:23 174:5	customers	declining 146:9	142:25	discount 163:13
176:13 177:5	131:12 150:3,4	146:11 147:21	designed 164:4	167:3 173:6,8
189:22	150:10 153:4	147:24 148:6	165:5,20	discuss 178:22
costs 148:24	158:8 159:3,20	149:13,20	169:13 170:7	discussed 138:5
149:3 163:16	160:2,7,12	150:2,10,14	designing 178:24	165:21 166:6
167:12 169:13	163:8,19,21	151:1 154:20	desire 194:7	168:10 169:6
169:14,19,20	164:13 165:8	155:21 170:3,5	desires 164:1	175:6
172:8,10	165:10 170:8	170:16,20	destroyed 196:15	discussion 136:2
189:18	172:19 174:25	172:2,5 175:13	details 188:12	137:15 148:21
counsel 133:5,5,8	178:16 189:22	176:25 178:19	Deutsch 132:20	149:5 157:7
133:10,10,11	191:6 192:15	185:24 188:18	devices 137:4	165:17 167:21
133:11 135:10	customers'	188:23 189:5	176:15,16	169:16 177:24
135:13 157:3	173:20	189:20 190:4	Diana 132:3	181:23 191:9
162:13 179:12	cut 190:2	191:1,23	134:23	discussions
187:4 189:9,9	Cydney 133:5	deed 184:13	difference	165:18
192:12 195:2	135:12	deepens 138:22	160:24 161:19	disproportionate
196:3		deeper 172:7	169:10 182:18	160:2
country 168:15	D	definition 168:9	184:6	distinction 169:7
County 198:4,16	D 134:1	168:11	differences	169:11
couple 162:19	Daniel 131:16	degrees 154:13	183:12	distinguish 157:6
171:1	171:19	demand 148:16	different 150:23	District 131:10
coupled 176:9,10	data 178:24	157:13,14,25	153:13 163:5	132:8 134:6,22
course 170:13	194:11,13	158:9 160:24	175:2 192:25	134:24
191:7	date 134:12	161:1,5 169:9	differential	divides 164:18
Court 132:16	143:17,18	169:12,12,18	156:4,5,15	Division 133:2,4
cover 177:5	197:9,11	169:25 170:6	159:25 173:13	136:23,24
covers 174:23	dates 140:18	177:4	174:14 176:4	149:25 150:6
cross 159:5	144:20 145:7	demand- 148:23	176:20 190:10	155:13 160:18
cross-examinat	197:3,5,7	Demand-based	191:5,11,23	162:22 173:10
147:4 159:7	David 132:15	161:4	192:13 193:17	176:2 177:21
177:20	136:12	demand-related	differently 154:5	177:24 178:7
CSR 131:22	david.woodsm	149:3	dig 187:22	179:21 182:24
198:19	132:17	Department	direct 169:23	183:8 190:18
curious 165:2	day 161:12,12	193:11	178:3 188:16	192:11
182:12	182:9 189:20	depend 176:8	directed 159:12	docket 178:21
currently 145:23	days 172:22	depending	directing 191:7	180:22 190:19
146:10 147:21	DE 182:2,3	156:17 161:12	direction 190:22	190:22 193:2
172:7 194:12	DE's 180:21	168:9 174:2	192:22	193:12
197:11	dealing 138:6	depends 175:17	Director 171:15	dockets 186:10
customer 151:21	dealt 193:15	Deputy 133:5	directs 187:7	186:12,12,14
152:23,25	DEAN 132:2	design 145:25	disagree 192:24	document 138:18
153:1 159:21	decided 193:16	146:20 157:16	disconnect 143:2	138:20,25
164:9 170:11	decision 193:20	157:18 159:15	disconnected	139:6,9,14
172:12 173:21	196:12 decisions 127:25	166:2,19	152:14	196:7,14
174:4 176:10	decisions 137:25	180:11 189:3	discontinuance	documents 196:2
	I	l	I	I

	1	1	1	1 uge 205
doing 153:9	167:15	164:13,19	equal 181:11	160:24 165:10
166:22	EFIS 196:8	165:25 175:6	ER-2016-0023	172:1 183:11
double 195:5,8	eight 173:3 193:1	180:18 190:8	131:11 134:9	explained 149:17
Dr 135:16 166:6	eight-month	employer 147:13	especially 164:3	explanation
168:14 180:10	192:25	encourage	essentially	149:15 177:4
180:17,23	either 160:3,13	163:16 180:20	157:12 172:12	exploring 155:22
181:16 186:4	161:9 174:3	181:8	172:16 189:11	expressed 138:2
draft 138:25	electric 131:10	encouraged	events 135:25	138:3 195:17
drafted 137:13	131:12 132:8	188:4,9	everybody	extent 176:14
144:11	134:7,8,22,24	encouragement	192:24 194:2	184:1
DS 153:18	137:4 146:12	188:9	everything's	extreme 163:22
DSM 166:5	160:12,13	ends 157:24	144:25	173:22
167:21 181:23	164:23 178:17	184:3	evidence 140:13	extremes 174:2
dual-peaking	178:18 180:24	energy 132:13	144:1 147:1	
149:8	183:19	133:2,4 136:3	193:22	F
due 135:24	electrical 164:14	136:11,23,25	evidentiary	F 198:1
142:19	electricity 150:5	150:1 155:13	134:11 145:8	FAC 154:2
duly 188:9	172:19 175:12	155:23,24,25	197:19	facilitating 191:9
194:22	178:16	158:2,6 160:18	exact 155:16	facilities 157:14
dwelling 167:15	eliminate 175:25	162:22 163:7	exactly 151:12	fact 186:22
dynamic 160:25	eliminating	163:24 164:1	examine 180:22	188:10,22
161:6,8,14	188:17 189:19	166:8,25	examined 150:12	factors 177:8
	190:11	167:15 169:18	150:20	188:13
E	elimination	169:20,25	example 176:9	factory 170:15
E 134:1,1 198:1,1	185:24 188:23	170:7,16	178:4	fairly 160:3
e-mail 135:17	190:3 191:1,11	172:13 175:19	exception 148:15	164:8
earlier 136:7	Ellinger 132:19	176:15 177:6	157:10	fairness 150:2
151:20 190:7	135:22 162:1	177:10,21,24	excess 163:15	fall 143:24 144:4
196:1	179:9	178:8 179:21	excessive 158:2	144:17,25
early 172:22	Empire 131:10	181:6,8,10	excused 162:13	145:3 149:12
easier 194:9	132:8 134:6,22	182:24 183:8	185:6	150:22 159:24
East 132:4,21	134:24,25	184:2 190:16	executed 143:23	falls 144:24
echo 194:21	141:19,21	190:18 192:11	144:12	familiar 164:8
economic 159:18	148:4,8,9 149:7	192:16	exist 168:15	183:14 185:25
Economist	150:12 154:14	Energy's 173:10	186:22	186:2
147:14	159:21 160:10	176:2 193:11	existence 147:20	far 140:10
education 160:23	162:4 165:23	energy-alone	169:11	191:22
effect 192:15	170:5 171:14	148:1	existing 172:2	Feddersen
effective 163:14	172:6 173:9	England 132:4	exists 175:8	131:22 198:5
189:23	174:7 175:21	enhanced 168:18	expenses 167:16	198:19
efficiency 163:7	178:14 180:22	168:18	experience	federal 188:8
164:1 166:8,25	192:12	entered 134:17	175:11 190:9	feels 194:2
175:19 176:16	Empire's 147:22	151:23	194:6	fellow 182:21
181:7,8,10	153:9 160:7	entire 193:2	expert 159:9,10	figure 161:18
190:16	162:13 163:8	entirely 153:14	experts 135:8	File 131:11 134:9
efficient 158:23	163:18 164:5	153:15 189:2	explain 157:2,9	filed 136:13
	l	I	l	l

137:1 167:24	frankly 138:23	163:3 166:17	176:18	165:7,9 172:15
196:8	150:11 151:7	167:10 174:3	Hall 131:16	174:23,24
final 137:17	152:25 195:18	174:12 185:13	145:19 146:22	178:16
139:8 140:12	Friday 139:24	185:13 192:22	147:17 152:19	heating's 174:22
143:14 168:22	144:13 197:4	197:5	158:10 170:24	help 149:16
197:13	front 172:23	goal 144:10	171:17,19,24	166:14
finalized 166:4	188:3	158:23 196:23	177:11 180:1,4	helps 163:18,22
financing 168:18	full 169:19	goes 140:2 141:5	185:18 186:3	165:6,7,9
183:16,17	198:12	going 140:1	186:18,21	Hi 141:24
184:2,8,11,12	funding 167:10	141:7 142:17	187:3,10,18	High 132:21
find 146:14	further 139:5	142:24 143:14	188:1,16,21	higher 173:22
165:24 176:7	143:22 149:20	143:23 144:25	189:7 190:1	177:8
182:11 186:11	154:14 160:16	145:1,15 151:3	194:22	highly 138:4
186:14	166:18 177:12	155:14 156:7	hand 166:18,18	140:5 141:6,15
fine 178:2 191:25	178:22 180:14	156:23 159:8	167:10,11	142:10 195:22
Finnegan 132:10	191:11	166:11,11	handed 189:11	historically
firm 198:6	future 192:7,21	167:1,2 170:13	happen 143:22	177:6
first 170:10	193:20	172:6 174:21	143:23 194:12	history 153:7
191:17		190:20 192:23	194:16	hold 151:22
five 189:3 193:9	<u> </u>	193:4 197:5	happened 139:13	home 166:24
five-minute	G 134:1	gold 159:2	happens 173:18	168:1,7 184:15
185:9	gas 164:14 165:1	good 135:11	head 166:16	184:20
fixed 169:13,17	general 134:8	145:19 147:18	heads 153:4	homeowner
169:19,20	138:12 140:20	147:19 154:22	165:14	184:14,21
172:8,23 174:5	148:8 149:4	158:19,20	hear 134:13	homes 172:19
177:5	153:12 156:12	164:11 171:18	142:9 144:18	honest 193:3
flat 149:11	169:12	190:15 191:21	151:25 152:16	Honestly 182:8
154:19,20	generally 148:23	192:13	161:3 179:25	Honor 135:11
156:12 157:23	157:15 178:12	Google 187:13	heard 172:18	146:25 152:15
159:11,15	178:16	Great 152:12	175:18 180:13	158:15 160:17
160:1 172:13	Geoff 135:13	group 136:11	194:4,5,15	162:19 169:6
172:16 173:7	189:11,16	181:24	hearing 131:6	179:14 180:9
flatter 173:17	getting 158:7	guess 139:22	134:2,11 137:9	186:7 194:3
focus 189:1	175:2 182:16	146:6 154:8	137:16 139:18	hope 142:6
follows 147:7	give 144:2,23	156:25 185:18	141:12 142:13	170:18 194:16
171:10 183:2	153:4 157:1	190:20 192:3	143:6,14,25	hopefully 135:17
foregoing 198:12	158:11	guidance 153:19	145:8 165:4	144:12
formal 140:5	given 153:19	186:20,21	195:5,7 197:3	hour 163:14
144:8 196:19	165:14 167:2	187:23 188:8	197:10,19,22	hours 157:16,17
forth 150:6	194:19 198:15	guideline 185:25	heat 150:5 160:3	164:7 170:5,9
192:11,16	GMO/MPS	guys 182:8	163:25 164:2	170:12,13,17
193:13 198:10	153:25		164:14,15,23	170:21 177:7,9
forward 181:23	go 134:5,5 138:5	$\frac{\mathrm{H}}{\mathrm{H}}$	172:19	houses 150:5
190:20	139:4 145:17	H-y-m-a-n 183:6	heating 157:11	hurt 174:3
four 193:4	151:5 152:16	Haase 135:24	160:4,5,7,14,14	HVAC 160:4,14
frame 144:7	156:11 158:13	half 154:17	163:8,19,21	Hyman 137:1
	I	l	I	I

				8
162:23 178:3	157:23 158:4	intend 145:20,23	135:21,23,25	150:24 168:16
179:21,25	160:1 187:8,16	145:23 146:1	161:25 164:12	189:2,4
182:9,17,25	187:23 193:6	intent 146:4	164:18 179:8	
183:2,5 185:5	include 149:5	147:1 148:22	judge 131:15	K
	including 137:4	149:2,5	134:4 135:1,4,9	K 131:22 198:5
<u> </u>	income 163:21	interact 154:2	135:20 136:3	198:19
i.e 190:11	167:3,16 168:1	interesting 185:2	136:10,22	K-e-i-t-h 171:14
idea 154:23	increase 134:8	193:9	137:2 138:21	K-l-i-e-t-h-e-r
192:13 193:11	140:21 176:11	interests 163:6	139:25 140:16	147:11
193:13	increased 166:15	interruptible	141:1,22 142:5	Kansas 132:11
identify 141:13	increasing	189:22	142:21,22,23	175:12
141:14 143:7	131:11 156:3,5	introducing	142:24 143:3,5	keep 195:5
ignored 194:17	indicated 140:9	189:20,21,21	143:10 144:5	197:17
impact 137:24	162:13	inverted 173:18	144:13,16	Keith 134:24
150:7 151:21	indication	investigate	145:5,12	141:18,20,21
152:23,25	182:16	178:13	146:15,16	143:9,9 162:8
153:1 154:23	individual	investment	147:3,8,12,16	162:15 164:7
159:13,16,20	166:23	184:19	151:22 152:2,6	164:19 170:25
160:2 178:5	individuals 150:7	iPads 137:5	152:13 159:5	171:2,4,6,8,10
184:3	167:13	issue 138:12	160:18,20	171:13,18,22
impacts 180:15	industrial 158:8	145:2 150:25	161:20,24	177:23
181:9	169:8 170:3,8	153:21 154:9	162:1,2,4,10,12	Kellene 131:22
implement 134:8	170:11,20	154:11 161:22	162:21 163:2	198:5,19
194:9	189:2,4	169:15,24	165:14 169:2	KENNEY
implementing	inefficient 158:6	180:11 193:14	170:22 171:6	131:17 158:16
152:21 154:11	160:4 163:17	issues 137:11,23	171:11,16	164:11,21,25
implications	information	138:6 141:5,6	177:19,22	177:16 192:5
148:17 154:18	141:15 142:10	144:19 145:15	178:2 179:2,8	Kentucky 184:9
important 157:6	142:16 143:13	150:3 166:8	179:11,15,18	Kevin 133:10
174:23	144:3 151:8,9	167:20 186:25	179:24 180:2,6	135:5
imprecise 157:23	151:10,14,19	196:20 197:16	181:13 182:23	kicking 193:3
improvement	152:20 153:2,6	items 188:10	183:3,7 185:3,8	kilowatt 163:11
166:24 183:18	153:11,17,18	J	185:13 186:23	163:14 164:7
183:19 184:3	153:22 155:3		188:5 191:14	170:6
inappropriate	164:20 169:22	J 131:18	193:19 194:23	KIM 131:15 kind 144:7 159:1
195:15	181:21 182:14	Jacob 133:11	195:4,7,11,20	
inappropriately 136:16	182:17 194:19	135:5 Jamia 122:10	196:2,6,9,13,22	161:17 172:24
Inaudible 151:24	inherently 158:4	Jamie 133:10 135:5	196:24 197:1	191:15,19 193:10
incentive 166:23	initial 148:24	Jefferson 131:8	July 144:20,21	Kliethermes
167:4	initials 181:17	132:5,16,21	197:18	146:24 147:7
inclining 149:22	inject 195:16	132.3,10,21	June 131:7 134:5	140.24 147.7
149:23 151:1,4	insist 139:2	139:20 198:16	137:18 143:17	169:6 178:23
151:11,15	install 176:15	Jim 142:3	144:13,21	180:13 194:10
151:11,15	institute 166:11	job 147:13	196:18 197:4,4	know 138:13
154:9,19 155:2	integrated	Joplin 132:23	197:18	141:17 145:13
101.9,19100.2	155:25	50Pm 152.25	jurisdictions	1 11.1/ 1 ⁻ TJ.1J
	1	1	1	1

Page	206
I ugo	200

145:17 146:2	levelizing 174:8	lower 192:15	187:20 188:2	month 150:21,21
148:12 153:9	175:7		mean 144:24	168:24 170:13
154:3,4,16,18	line 136:20	M	146:20 149:22	177:9
156:7,10,19	141:18,23	M 131:17	150:8 154:14	months 149:11
158:4 161:14	142:13,24	ma'am 195:25	155:5 156:10	149:12 172:15
164:17,21	143:2,7 151:23	Madison 133:6	156:11 174:22	173:1,2,3,3,8
174:1 175:19	152:8 166:8	133:13	187:12 193:1	191:6 193:1
178:15 186:13	175:21	Magnatar	means 142:12	morning 135:12
187:15 189:6	lines 189:17	141:25	MECG 132:18	145:20 147:18
191:24 192:2	literally 184:2	Magnetar 142:15	136:13,13	147:19 158:19
194:18,20	Litigation 131:22	MAIDA 131:18	162:2	158:20 164:11
knowledge	198:7	main 156:2	mechanically	171:18 190:8
183:13	little 137:7 153:7	majority 164:13	149:17	motion 142:9
kWh 159:20,22	170:12	management	MEEIA 154:3	197:10
	load 153:9,10	189:23	mentioned 139:2	move 156:18
L	160:9 174:23	mandatory 188:8	151:20 157:4	180:13 181:10
L 132:2,3	177:8 189:23	Marc 132:19	Mers 133:11	moved 172:24
lack 194:14	log 142:17	135:22	135:5	movement
lacking 155:3	long 172:5	margin 174:4	meter 184:25	155:16 156:9
landlords 167:17	look 146:6	mark 163:12	metering 158:25	156:14 174:8
language 139:1	150:13 153:23	Marke 135:13,16	159:2	174:10 190:11
larger 157:16	156:3 187:8,25	166:6 168:14	MEUA 161:20	moving 149:7
late 139:19,19	190:197:0,25	180:10 181:16	middle 193:10	150:13 154:19
law 131:15 132:2	looked 155:22	189:16	midnight 170:15	155:1,20
132:2,3,3,9,15	167:21 191:18	Marke's 180:17	Midwest 131:22	159:15 160:1
132:15,19,20	192:7	180:23 186:4	132:13 136:3	176:23 178:13
133:1 187:16	looking 143:17	189:11	136:11 198:6	181:23
187:23 188:19	144:8 149:9,10	market 153:8,10	mild 173:24	mulling 193:17
lawyers 187:1	155:11 166:2	153:12 161:16	minimal 172:25	multi-part 161:5
leads 176:14	168:25 181:6	markets 156:1	minimize 155:14	mute 195:3
leave 135:14	182:2 187:18	Martin 137:1	minimizing	Myers 133:10
178:25	196:18	182:25 183:2,5	156:15	135:6
led 146:9	lost 152:17	material 183:15	minutes 185:10	155.0
left 166:22	lot 150:4 160:4	materials 195:1	Missouri 131:1,8	Ν
Legal 133:10,11	174:10 178:15	195:21	131:13 133:2	N 134:1
Leslie 135:24	lots 161:2	matter 131:10	133:12,15	name 141:14
let's 134:5 137:7	low 163:21 167:3	134:6 163:24	135:2,6 136:23	147:9 152:3
185:10,13	167:16,25	164:1 167:1	147:15 151:13	171:12,13
193:3	low-income	matters 196:17	160:11 171:19	183:4
level 147:23	150:3 159:13	Mayfield 133:5	175:12 198:2	narrow 153:20
150:7 151:1,3,5	159:16 165:6	135:11,12	198:16	narrowing
151:17 152:22	165:11,11,19	145:10 159:7	MITTEN 132:3	190:10
154:11 155:1	166:5,7,12	160:16 179:13	MO 132:5,11,16	national 188:22
155:14,17,17	167:8,13,19	180:9 181:14	132:21 133:3,7	188:25 190:3
163:17 180:14	168:3,11	181:15,19	132:21 155:5,7	nationally 175:8
190:11	180:16 181:3,9	182:2 187:5,12	moment 151:23	natural 164:14
	100.10101.5,9	, í		

	1	1	1	1 450 207
165:1	normalize	172:17 175:5	P.C 132:4	177:20 185:5
necessarily 153:2	173:24	180:2 183:13	P.O 132:5 133:2	185:16 186:24
192:1	normalized	195:20 196:24	133:6,12	190:23 191:4
necessary 139:16	173:25	on-bill 183:15,16	PACE 181:17	191:19,24
163:19 167:14	note 136:13	184:8	182:7,18	192:8,20 194:6
need 138:5	140:2 170:2	once 137:17	183:12,25	194:21 196:15
142:17 144:16	noted 135:14	140:22	184:5,12	196:20 197:14
144:18 145:17	144:5 194:22	OPC 159:6	page 148:20	197:17
148:13 151:9	notes 198:13	open 138:10,14	149:6 186:5	party 157:3
151:10 152:21	noticing 153:1	143:11 178:12	187:20 189:17	174:7 188:5
153:2 162:25	nuanced 178:25	178:21 180:21	panel 139:10	194:23
163:6,25	number 160:6,7	191:3,8,9	Pardon 186:18	passionate 164:8
167:17 168:17	180:18,24	opened 186:10	part 137:9 138:3	pattern 168:19
181:7 185:16	186:10	operating 153:7	143:14 146:10	PAUL 132:2
187:1 192:15	numbers 165:2	170:11	157:15 166:4	paulb@brydo
195:19 196:17	190:24	opinion 158:23	167:21 181:22	132:6
197:6,12		159:1,14	181:24 183:19	pay 183:18
needed 134:14	0	opinions 193:9	191:17 195:15	paying 167:1
145:7	O 134:1	opportunity	195:23 196:14	PAYS 182:7,14
needs 150:11	object 195:19	147:4 157:1	participants	182:17,18
191:17 193:14	objecting 142:9	158:12 162:7	142:2	183:12,15,20
negative 166:20	objections 141:8	184:18	participate 142:7	184:5,10,17
180:15 192:14	197:10	oppose 156:20	152:7	peak 150:14,21
negotiations	observe 152:10	opposed 156:9	participating	150:21 157:20
195:16	observing 138:10	161:7 173:9	134:20 141:11	157:20 170:8
never 143:22	obviously 149:22	189:9	141:13 143:6	pending 145:21
174:1	189:1	options 191:20	participation	Penntower
new 152:5	occur 170:10	order 140:12	142:10,12	132:11
184:20	occurs 170:5	142:20 167:12	particular 136:2	people 141:11
News 142:4	173:1 174:9	ordered 151:13	149:7 159:23	161:1 164:2
nice 192:8	offer 162:8,14,14	151:17	particularly	165:6 167:11
Nicole 133:11	162:16 171:5	ordering 190:21	153:10 163:20	167:19,25
135:5	195:8	orders 165:23	180:16	168:6,16
non-public	offered 179:20	outcome 166:20	particulars 138:7	176:14
142:16	offering 189:22	outright 156:20	parties 134:13,17	percent 167:25
non-shoulder	office 132:15	owned 168:1	137:10,16	168:2 173:12
157:20	133:5,8 135:10	owner 183:23	138:1,2,8	176:5 178:5,6,8
non-summer	135:12 179:11		140:20,21	percentage
149:3 150:17	192:12 198:15	<u> </u>	142:25 143:6	160:11 176:4
155:25 172:14	Officer 132:11	P 131:17 134:1	144:6,8 145:6	percentages
173:8	offset 166:14	P-A-C-E 181:20	145:17,23	155:16
nonresidential	Oftentimes 181:9	181:25 183:25	146:17 151:14	period 138:25
157:7 169:7	Oh 175:10	184:12	152:7,9 156:8	145:4 156:13
176:23 190:6	okay 142:5	P-A-Y-S 182:1	156:16,22,24	permission
normal 173:25	143:10 149:14	182:12 183:15	157:1 162:16	138:19 139:3
174:1	152:6,12 161:1	184:10	165:21,24	perpetual 168:17
	I	I	I	I

168:20	149:19 150:8	168:22 198:8	159:25	179:11 185:22
perpetuity 168:5	153:15,17,19	presentation	promote 149:24	187:6 189:24
person 134:20	155:1 170:4	137:16 197:12	promotes 175:18	190:15 192:12
135:18	172:1,17,20	presented 136:1	175:19	PURPA 185:21
personal 190:20	174:20 175:3	137:14 176:24	promotional	186:11,22
personally 175:4	175:16 190:15	Presiding 131:15	187:14,17,21	187:7 188:16
186:1 198:8	policy-wise 194:8	pretty 192:24	propane 164:22	189:13
perspective	Polson 142:3,3,6	193:9	properly 139:10	purpose 149:23
138:12,13	142:19,21,23	prevent 141:3	140:25 169:25	purposes 163:20
156:21 173:19	143:3	previously	property 183:24	172:15
175:15 177:2	position 147:13	135:14 136:6	184:2,4,7,13,23	push 193:6
190:2,16 191:3	150:9 155:7	197:9	proponent 192:1	pushed 174:5
perspectives	156:17,19,24	price 153:12	proposal 173:10	put 146:7,14
137:22	156:25 165:10	192:15	173:16 176:2,3	151:10,14
Peterson 132:10	165:25 178:1	prices 153:8,11	176:5 180:21	157:1 170:25
phone 136:6	188:14 190:17	161:15 170:16	proposing	181:3,12
141:12,23	190:20	pricing 160:25	174:18	188:14 190:23
142:8 143:2,7	positions 140:19	160:25 161:4,6	protect 183:21	190:23 192:16
151:23 152:7	141:6 186:8	161:7,8,8,9,14	protected 139:1	puts 163:14
phones 137:5	191:16	161:15,17	protection 164:9	
pike 153:5	possibility	176:14,20	protective 139:1	$\left \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{Q} \\ \mathbf{Q} \\$
place 147:21	176:11	177:10	provide 157:2	question 138:11
149:18 173:8	possible 194:18	primary 184:6	162:17 169:4	138:18 139:21
198:9,14	possibly 146:2	prior 135:25	180:15 186:8	154:13 156:6
plan 166:4	155:2 167:9	139:14	186:24 187:1	159:12 160:21
planned 146:3	176:8	privately 196:11	195:12	160:23 167:7
Planning 171:15	potential 140:22	probably 136:18	provided 131:12	168:23 180:11
plays 148:18	143:17,19	138:17 156:6	134:17 136:6	182:22 185:19 186:22 187:9
please 137:3	191:10	186:11	138:20 140:4	180.22 187.9
138:13 143:7	potentially	problem 194:13	140:11 149:25	questioned 166:9
147:8,12	167:25 190:24	problems 154:4	178:4 186:13	questioned 100.9 questions 135:16
151:22 152:2	practice 153:3	procedure	195:1,22 196:3	136:1,17,19
171:11 183:3	pre-MEEIA	138:11	196:4,10	141:4 143:18
183:11	154:3	proceed 140:6	197:13	145:14,16,20
point 134:16	precise 158:7	proceedings	providing 165:11	145:24,25
137:20 138:15	predominantly 193:1	131:5 198:8,11	174:20	146:1 147:5,17
141:2,10 143:12 145:12		process 136:7	provision 140:11	158:12,15,17
	prefaced 138:17	140:7 program 166:1,5	140:15	158:18 159:6
156:4 186:13 190:21 191:16	prefer 152:25 153:16	166:12 168:3	provisions 183:21	160:17,19,22
190.21 191.16	prefiled 196:21	181:17,18	public 131:2	161:22 162:1,3
points 180:23	preliminary	181:17,18	133:5,5,8,9,12	162:6,11,24,24
Policies 187:6	171:21 183:7,9	183.12,20	133:15 135:2,6	163:1 170:22
policy 137:22	prepared 139:9	programs 168:15	135:10,12	171:2,4,17,22
138:13 145:14	present 134:19	182:6	133:10,12	177:12,15,17
146:9 148:5	137:3 156:24	prominent	147:15 154:25	177:18,21,23
140.7 140.3	157.5 150.24	Prominent	177.15 154.25	

	1	I	I		
179:3,5,6,9,13	155:2,2,15,17	150:20 151:3	relationship	181:11	
179:16,19,23	155:18 157:21	152:21	155:23	respond 188:6	
180:3 181:2,14	157:23 159:11	recommendation	rely 144:2,3	response 135:18	
183:8,9,10	161:12 162:25	155:4 178:11	164:22	rest 164:19	
185:3,4,15	163:9 165:16	178:21	remember 137:3	restate 152:3	
quick 185:9	166:14,22	recommended	155:15	restatement	
quite 154:10	168:4 172:2,5	150:12	remind 143:12	189:12	
	172:11 173:17	record 134:6,18	145:16,17	revealed 142:17	
R	173:25 174:6	140:8,9,14	remnant 172:13	review 140:19,22	
R 134:1 198:1	175:6,8,14	141:13 144:7	remove 168:16	144:9	
raised 155:13	176:25 178:6,7	144:19 147:2,9	renters 167:18	reviewed 140:4	
169:11 194:10	178:10,13,19	171:12 185:14	168:2	revise 144:17	
ramp 167:9	178:25 185:24	193:22 195:23	repaid 184:13	right 136:10	
rate 134:8 137:9	187:8,16	196:1,14	repayment	137:8 140:24	
140:20 145:24	188:18,23	197:21	183:22 184:19	145:19 150:19	
146:20 150:10	189:14,18,20	recover 148:23	repealer 140:10	157:4,4 162:8	
151:6,12,15	189:21,21,22	149:2 172:8	report 148:20	167:9 169:2	
153:1 155:4,14	190:4,12 191:1	184:5	RÉPORTED	172:16 175:12	
157:16 159:15	191:5 193:7	recovery 172:23	131:21	176:13 182:23	
161:5 163:14	rationale 148:5	174:5 177:6	reporter 136:7	185:5 190:1	
165:11,12,19	155:1 172:2,17	redo 134:21	151:25 198:6	193:18 196:16	
168:11 170:21	172:20 174:21	reduce 158:2,6	represent 152:3	196:17 197:6	
172:25 173:18	175:3,16	167:12	representative	197:20	
174:9 175:23	rationales 175:20	reducing 156:3,4	141:25	rmitten@bryd	
180:11,14	reached 137:10	156:15 173:12	represented	132:7	
187:14,17,21	157:24	176:4 191:22	171:25	road 193:4	
187:24 189:2	reaching 188:14	reduction 191:4	reproduce	room 135:8	
190:25 191:10	reading 189:16	191:8	195:10	154:17,17	
191:18,23	real 169:5	refer 161:4,6,8	request 134:7	186:6	
193:1,7,14	real-time 161:7,9	refers 183:15	137:9 196:15	round 148:25	
ratemaking	161:15	184:7,7	required 189:13	160:9	
185:23 189:14	realize 138:1	reflect 170:7	requires 140:12	roundabout	
ratepayers	really 154:6	regard 168:25	160:4	149:15	
159:13,16	162:19 172:8	regarding 136:2	research 153:20	RPR 131:22	
168:5 180:16	176:16 193:16	181:22	187:2	198:19	
181:3,9	reason 170:4,20	regards 176:25	residential	running 170:15	
rates 131:12	reasons 146:9	Regulatory	146:21 148:14	Rupp 131:18	
146:10,12	150:8 192:25	131:15 147:14	148:20 150:10	158:18 159:4	
147:21,23,24	rebuttal 180:12	171:15 187:6	153:10 157:6	160:20,22	
148:6 149:3,9	186:5 189:12	reiterate 194:25	159:13,16	165:3,9 166:9	
149:20,22,23	189:16	reiterating	165:16 169:7	166:10,21	
150:2,13,16,18	recall 149:6	197:15	172:3 189:6,8	167:23 168:13	
150:22,23	173:15	relate 154:9,11	190:5 191:5	169:1 181:16	
151:11,15,17	receive 142:16	related 148:24	resonate 155:18	181:25 182:11	
152:22 154:9	recess 185:9	150:3 160:13	respect 142:19	182:20 183:10	
154:12,14	recommend	relating 153:10	158:5 179:4	192:23 193:24	

Page	210	
1 ugo	210	

				1 450 210
rural 164:15,22	sense 149:9	sir 146:13 196:6	ss 198:3	134:13 162:14
RUSSELL 132:3	166:17 190:15	sit 194:14	stability 173:18	162:17,20
	separate 150:25	situation 145:1	stable 174:6	169:4 177:24
S	182:6	163:5 167:18	Staff 133:10,15	180:7
S 131:15 134:1	service 131:2,12	192:21	135:2,6,7	states 153:24
S-a-r-a-h 147:11	131:13 133:12	six 189:3,14	146:23 147:15	154:5 175:11
S-c-o-t-t 171:14	133:15 134:9	slew 148:17	152:21 154:25	187:15,17
Sarah 146:23	135:2,6 147:15	small 157:11	155:3,6,7	189:25
147:7,10	160:8 180:18	softball 187:11	156:18,21	stating 191:16
saying 148:22	189:19,24	somebody	162:10 179:15	195:21 196:13
152:23 165:4	Services 131:22	139:10	186:17,19	stays 184:22
174:19 191:8	198:7	somewhat	188:9,12,13	Stenotype 198:11
192:1	set 134:12 140:18	170:18	193:6	198:13
says 139:8,10,11	150:6,22,23	son 187:13	Staff's 150:9	step 143:22
187:14 188:3	198:9	sooner 194:8	stage 140:17	148:14 163:10
Sbell@bbdlc.c	sets 161:11	sorry 148:25	stand 166:6	164:6 172:7,25
132:22	settlement	153:21 154:15	182:10,19	175:25
schedule 144:17	137:24 138:24	155:24 156:11	standard 159:2	Stephanie 132:20
scheduled 197:3	139:8 140:5	157:13 159:18	standards 185:23	135:23
197:9	143:15,19,24	sort 183:18,22	189:14,24	STEPHEN
schedules 197:18	145:21,22	source 160:5	standpoint	131:17
Scott 131:18	165:18 166:3	164:14,15,16	157:22 163:18	still-disputed
134:24 141:20	168:22	sources 160:15	164:9 173:21	144:19
143:9 162:8	setup 149:8	164:23	173:24	stip 192:3
171:10,13	severity 156:17	space 160:3,7,13	start 145:18	stipulation
season 150:15	shape 160:9	speak 139:16	146:5 148:25	137:17 143:15
159:23 173:14	sheet 145:22	186:6	171:20	144:11 195:17
174:15	198:10	speaking 142:1	started 152:22	196:19 197:13
seasonal 149:9	shift 170:10,15	142:12 155:7	state 131:1 141:8	197:16
150:13,16	178:5,6,8,9	190:14	144:6 147:8,13	STOLL 131:17
189:21	shock 155:14	specific 145:24	154:5 160:11	158:14 177:14
seasons 149:12	short 161:10	173:16 182:14	171:11 175:18	191:15 193:19
151:2,4 155:10	Shorthand 198:6	183:16 184:8	178:17 180:25	194:1
seat 183:4	shortly 142:9	186:12 190:8	183:4 184:1	stream 152:10
second 149:4	shoulder 157:20	specifically	185:22 187:7	Street 132:21
188:21	side 153:17,18,19	134:12 153:6	187:14,24	133:6,13
see 140:2 153:8	184:1 189:4,8	159:18,19	188:17 192:3	strongly 193:5
166:4 168:24	signed 139:9	187:12,15	198:2,16	structure 170:21
177:19 183:25	significant 160:6	specifics 168:24	stated 137:10	structures
184:9 189:17	174:13	spell 147:9	145:7 178:7	187:15,17,22
seeing 176:17	Signs 156:4	171:12 183:4	statement 136:9	struggle 181:5
seen 143:22	silent 137:4	spike 163:23	138:16 159:11	Stu 143:8
169:15	similar 146:11	spoke 159:17	162:7 181:4	Stuart 132:9
sell 175:12	184:5	SPP 153:8	188:18 194:24	136:5
184:15	simply 148:19	spring 149:12	195:8,12	stucon@fcpla
Senior 133:11	157:2 191:8	150:22 159:24	statements	132:12
Senior 133:11	1 0	150:22 159:24	·	132:12

Page	211	
1 age	<u> </u>	

Г				1 450 211
study 165:16,20	sure 135:25	134:20	196:22 197:1	time 134:4 136:8
166:19	148:10 151:16	tell 138:11 186:9	Thanks 160:19	138:25 139:16
subject 190:19	154:6,10	193:8	thereof 198:10	141:7 143:1
submission	166:19 167:6	ten 185:10	thing 144:24	144:7 145:3
138:19 139:15	172:6 184:4	tend 161:3	158:1 170:2	155:9 156:13
145:21	190:5 194:4,14	tends 161:6,15	176:12	157:25 159:1
submit 145:23	surge 161:17	term 145:22	things 144:4	161:7,9,10,12
196:12	surrebuttal	terms 161:2,3	145:2 154:1,4	162:8 164:6,7
submitted	169:24 180:12	163:9 165:15	155:10 156:2	164:10 166:1
137:18 138:18	181:22	territories 137:8	161:2 167:17	166:13 167:2
139:3,7,10	suspect 156:16	territory 138:24	168:19 172:23	168:10 169:3
140:23,25	Swearengen	160:8 163:8	175:20 194:12	172:6,6 189:20
143:15,20	132:4	164:19 180:19	think 136:18	191:24 192:17
144:9	Sweat 141:24,25	testified 147:7	137:20 139:4	193:13,15,22
subset 157:12	142:5,14,15	171:10 183:2	139:25 140:6	194:14,19
subsidy 168:5,9	sworn 147:6	testimony 136:13	144:22,25	198:9,14
168:11,12,17	171:9 183:1	136:20 137:1	146:7 149:17	today 135:19,24
176:21	sympathetic	140:19 144:18	150:11 153:16	136:25 139:23
substantial	190:17	147:1 149:6,25	155:9 158:10	140:2 142:1
140:13 144:1		154:24 157:5	158:10 164:9	143:14,25
180:18 193:21	$\frac{T}{T}$	161:23 162:15	166:17 167:24	told 136:18
success 168:14	T 131:18 198:1,1	167:24 169:23	168:10,21	142:20 186:16
suffers 173:19	tail 174:5 176:12	169:24 177:25	170:4,9 171:1	186:19
suggesting	take 136:20	178:4 179:21	175:10 177:3,3	tomorrow
178:23	180:21 185:8	180:12,17,23	178:14 181:21	139:23 196:25
suggestion	194:17	181:22 186:4	182:3,15 184:4	197:4
193:12	TAKEN 185:12	187:19 189:12	184:4 190:13	tool 157:24
suggestions	takes 138:24	189:16,18	190:14 191:17	tools 187:24
186:9 194:5	talk 146:19 187:1	190:7 192:10	192:3,10,14,19	top 166:16
Suite 132:21	194:15	192:16 196:21	193:14 194:1	topic 165:14
133:6	talked 181:16	Thank 135:1,4,9	194:10 195:14	181:24
summarize	talking 154:20	136:10,22	Thompson	topics 193:10
148:22	163:10 182:9	137:2 143:3,10	133:10 135:4,5	town 136:14
summer 147:23	tariff 165:5,11	147:16 158:15	145:9 146:6,13	train 152:18
149:11 150:17	tariffs 131:11	158:17 159:4	146:23 162:11	transcript 131:5
150:21 153:25	146:10 147:22	160:16 161:18	185:19 186:1	198:13
155:23,24	190:24	161:24 162:12	186:16,19	transfer 183:24
159:24 173:6,7	tax 184:4,13	163:4 165:2	188:7,20	transferred
support 150:9	taxes 184:7	169:1 171:16	196:22	183:23 184:20
165:12 175:4	technical 135:7	171:23 177:12	thought 152:18	transfers 184:14
175:22,24	techniques	177:17 179:1,2	170:19 187:11	treated 195:22
176:25 178:8	189:23	179:6,9,16	thoughts 194:21	trend 173:11
supported	technology	180:5 181:13	three 136:14	175:6,8,13,16
140:13	158:25 159:2	185:2,5,7,18	161:11 175:11	175:22,24
supporting	telephone 134:25	191:13,14	throw 194:4	188:22,25
175:16	telephonically	192:5 195:20	tied 184:25	190:3,9,13,15
	1	I	I	I

Page	212

	_		_	1 450 212
190:25	178:18 184:24	V	165:4,24	willing 194:6
true 188:18	185:21 189:5	valuable 178:24	167:12 173:16	Winston 132:16
198:12	196:18	variable 178.24	174:3,12,13 winter 147	
true-up 143:18	unequal 159:19	various 135:7	184:5 191:20 148:25 14	
197:9	unexpected	183:21 188:13	194:2 150:4,14,2	
try 159:8 165:24	164:3	vary 169:14	ways 153:13	159:24 164:3
172:4 182:15	unfortunately	varying 155:10	158:7,22 181:7	165:6 166:14
trying 145:2	139:12 182:20	version 161:10	187:21	166:22 173:1,2
149:19 153:23	uniform 178:6,7	173:10	we'll 140:2	173:2,13
158:1,1,6	178:10,13	versus 155:24	145:18 146:14	174:14 191:6
161:18 172:8	unilateral 139:14	164:18	155:8 158:13	192:25
turn 137:3	unilaterally	video 152:10	185:9,10	winter-peaking
139:19	196:11	view 157:22	we're 141:25	149:8
turned 172:12	unintended	viewing 143:12	142:15 144:7	witness 134:25
two 152:16	154:7	void 174:23	145:1,2 149:21	135:13,24
153:13 161:11	unknown 137:8	volume 131:8	154:6 163:4,9	146:7,14,15,19
161:19 173:13	up-front 172:9	160:12	176:16,18	147:6,10,14
182:6	urged 188:11	volumetric	191:15	152:17 161:22
two-thirds 173:3	usage 159:21	145:24 146:20	we've 137:6	162:9,16,18
type 166:24,24	163:5,12 167:5	162:25 166:14	147:23 153:23	171:5,9,13
166:25 167:4	168:20 169:14	168:4	155:19 175:10	177:12 183:1,5
168:6,17	170:8,14,17,20		194:4	185:7,16
176:16 177:5	173:25 177:9	W	weather 163:22	187:19 188:15
183:16 184:8	use 150:4 155:9	W 136:5	163:23 164:4	189:8
190:22	157:16,17,25	want 136:20	173:22,24	witnesses 134:14
typically 158:9	158:2,6 159:1	138:10 144:2	174:1,2	136:14 142:25
	160:3,13 161:7	146:7,8 151:20	weather's 174:1	146:2 152:8
$\frac{\mathbf{U}}{\mathbf{U}}$	161:9,11	153:7 154:6,22	weatherization	157:1 186:25
Uber 161:17	164:13,15	154:22 163:24	167:8 168:18	187:4
ultimate 145:22	170:6,21	164:2 166:18	weatherize	Woodsmall
unanimous	172:19 176:14	167:11 173:6	167:11	132:15,15
137:11	176:15,21,22	188:12 189:15	website 152:11	136:12,12
uncharted	177:7 187:16	191:24 192:8	week 134:11	142:11 143:21
138:24 understand	187:23	194:7,21 195:8	140:18,19	144:22 146:18
134:16 137:6	Users 132:13	195:9,12	144:11 161:13	146:25 162:3
140:3 142:6	136:3	wanted 137:20	170:12	162:18 169:3,5
140.3 142.0	usually 164:15	138:16 169:3	weekends 170:15	176:24 177:3
140.13 149.18	165:1	170:25 178:9	weighed 188:13	179:6,20 180:3
154:8,10 159:3	utilities 146:12	193:22	welcome 138:7	186:7 188:24
167:7 173:5	155:22 178:17	wanting 142:15	went 150:6	194:3
181:5	178:19 180:24	wants 156:21	Westen 133:11	word 139:18
understanding	utility 152:5 183:22 184:11	wasn't 176:3	135:5 179:16 189:10	work 165:24 170:14 171:14
136:16 146:11	183:22 184:11 184:21,23	watching 138:9		
147:22 154:24	184.21,23	water 163:5	wiggle 154:17,17 WILLIAM	working 178:12 178:21 180:22
160:10 164:6	107.0	way 145:1 154:2	131:17	190:19,21
100.10 101.0		155:14 163:16	131.17	190.19,21
	•	•	•	

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS 6/8/2016

T				rage
193:2,12	197:18	132:17		
worth 155:10	17/.10	132.17		
177:7	2	6		
wouldn't 154:22	2 154:16,17	6 131:8		
166:12 175:1	163:10,13	600 159:20		
	172:14	163:11 164:7		
written 137:13	200 133:6,13	64111 132:11		
149:25	200 155.0,15 2007 186:11	650 133:6		
<u> </u>	2016 131:7 134:5	65101 132:16		
	2010 131.7 134.3 2230 133:6	65101-3237		
Y	2230 133.0 23 168:2	132:21		
Y 131:16	27 197:18	65102 133:3,13		
yeah 152:4 169:5	27th 144:20,21	65102-0456		
184:16,22	· ·			
185:1,1 195:14	29th 137:19	132:5 65102-2230		
vear 148:25	143:17 197:8			
160:9 173:3,4	3	133:7		
193:2	$\frac{1}{3}$ 147:14 154:16	7		
years 172:11,24	154:21 163:10	77 167:25		
175:7 193:5	163:13 172:14	77 107.23		
yesterday 136:15	301 132:21	8		
138:18 139:19	308 132:21	8 131:7 134:5		
195:1 196:3	3100 132:10	189:17		
York 152:5	312 132:4	807 132:16		
I UIK 132.3	31 2 132.4 33 148:20	816)753-1122		
Ζ	360 133:12 170:5	132:12		
	170:9,13,17	838 131:22		
0	170.9,13,17			
0996 154:15	4	9		
1	4 176:18	9 163:15 176:17		
	40 170:12	9:37 134:3,5		
1 189:17	44 186:5 187:20	9th 197:4		
1,000 163:12	189:17			
1,086 159:22	456 132:5			
10 173:12 176:5				
176:17 178:5,8	5			
10:55 185:11	5 176:19			
10th 197:4	50 178:6 189:25			
11 154:15 163:15	573)634-2500			
11:16 197:23	132:22			
1157 133:2	573)635-7166			
1209 132:11	132:6			
12254 154:15	573)751-3234			
17th 144:14	133:14			
196:18	573)751-4857			
1978 187:7	133:7			
1st 144:20,21	573)797-0005			
	I	Ι		