Exhibit No.:

Issue:

Rate Case Expense,

Depreciation Expense

Witness:

Leslie Rose

Sponsoring Party:

MoPSC Staff

Type of Exhibit: Case No.: Direct Testimony SR-2013-0016

Date Testimony Prepared:

March 28, 2013

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION REGULATORY REVIEW DIVISION UTILITY SERVICES - AUDITING

DIRECT TESTIMONY

OF

LESLIE ROSE

EMERALD POINTE UTILITY COMPANY

CASE NO. SR-2013-0016

Stall Exhibit No. 7

Date 5.9.13 Reporter SE

File No. SR 2013.0016

Jefferson City, Missouri March 2013

1	TABLE OF CONTENTS OF					
2	DIRECT TESTIMONY					
3	OF					
4	LESLIE ROSE					
5	EMERALD POINTE UTILITY COMPANY					
6	CASE NO. SR-2013-0016					
7	BACKGROUND OF WITNESS					
8	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY 1					
9	RATE CASE EXPENSE					
10	DEPRECIATION EXPENSE					
11						

1		DIRECT TESTIMONY						
2	OF							
3		LESLIE ROSE						
4		EMERALD POINTE UTILITY COMPANY						
5		CASE NO. SR-2013-0016						
6	Q.	Please state your name and business address.						
7	A.	Leslie Rose, 111 N. 7 th Street, Suite 105, St. Louis, MO 63101.						
8	Q.	By whom are you employed and in what capacity?						
9	A.	I am employed by the Missouri Public Service Commission ("Commission") as a						
10	Utility Regu	latory Auditor I in the Auditing Unit of the Utility Services Department, Regulatory						
12	Review Div	OUND OF WITNESS						
13	Q.	Please describe your educational background and work experience.						
14	A.	I attended Maryville University, St. Louis, Missouri, and received a Bachelor of						
15	Science des	gree in Business Administration in 2006 and a Bachelor of Science degree in						
16	Accounting	in 2012. I have been employed by the Commission since June 4, 2012, within the						
17	Auditing Ur	uit.						
18	Q.	Have you previously filed testimony before this Commission?						
19	Α.	No, I have not.						
20	EXECUTIV	VE SUMMARY						
21	Q.	What is the purpose of your direct testimony in this proceeding?						

A. My direct testimony will address two of the updates made to the Commission Staff's ("Staff's") accounting schedules that were originally filed as part of the Emerald Pointe Utility Company ("Company" or "Emerald Pointe") /Staff Partial Agreement Regarding Disposition. The updated accounting schedules are being filed concurrently with Staff's direct testimony. The updated items are: (1) Rate Case Expense; and (2) Depreciation Expense.

RATE CASE EXPENSE

- Q. Has Staff updated the amount of rate case expense included in Staff's cost of service calculation?
- A. Yes. Based upon recently obtained invoices, Staff has updated its recommendation for rate case expense to include additional amounts incurred by Emerald Pointe related to its current rate case.
- Q. What amount of rate case expense has Staff included in its updated cost of service?
- A. Based on information provided to Staff, a normalized amount of \$1,135 for each of the water and sewer systems, a total of \$2,269, has been included for an ongoing annual expense level related to rate case expense. This updated amount includes the cost of customer notices for the local public hearing recently ordered by the Commission. As well, Staff was provided with additional invoices pertaining to rate case expense from Emerald Pointe; therefore, Staff updated the expense level based on this new data as well.

DEPRECIATION EXPENSE

Q. Has Staff updated the amount of depreciation expense included in Staff's cost of service calculation?

5

6

- A. Yes, Staff has updated its accounting schedules based upon an updated depreciation schedule provided by Mr. Arthur W. Rice of Staff's Engineering and Management Services Unit. The update resulted in an additional \$991 of depreciation expense being included in Staff's cost of service calculation.
 - Q. Does this conclude your direct testimony?
 - A. Yes, it does.

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of the Increase in Sewer Opera Emerald Pointe Utility Comp	ating l			Case No. SR-2013-0016	
		AFFIDA	VIT OF LES	SLIE ROSE	
STATE OF MISSOURI) COUNTY OF ST. LOUIS)	SS.			
foregoing Direct Testimony the above case; that the an	in ques swers i	tion and a	nswer form, egoing Direc	t she has participated in the preparation of to, consisting of 3 pages to be presented of Testimony were given by her; that she has such matters are true and correct to the be	in nas

of her knowledge and belief.

day of March 2013.

LISA K. HANNEKEN
Notary Public - Notary Seal
State of Missouri
Commissioned for Franklin County
My Commission Expires: April 27, 2014
Commission Number: 10967138