

Exhibit No.:  
Issue(s): Accounting Standards for  
Demand-Side Management  
Initiatives  
Witness: Stephen M. Ditman  
Sponsoring Party: Union Electric Company  
Type of Exhibit: Surrebuttal Testimony  
Case No.: EO-2012-0142  
Date Testimony Prepared: May 4, 2012

Filed  
July 31, 2015  
Data Center  
Missouri Public  
Service Commission

**MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION**

**Case No. EO-2012-0142**

**SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY**

**OF**

**STEPHEN M. DITMAN**

**ON**

**BEHALF OF**

**UNION ELECTRIC COMPANY  
d/b/a Ameren Missouri**

St. Louis, Missouri  
May, 2012

*Staff* Exhibit No. 717  
Date 7-21-15 Reporter TM  
File No. EO-2015-0055

**SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY**

**OF**

**STEPHEN M. DITMAN**

**CASE NO. EO-2012-0142**

1           **Q.     Please state your name and business address.**

2           A.     My name is Stephen M. Ditman. My business address is:

3 PricewaterhouseCoopers, 800 Market Street, Suite 1900, St. Louis, MO 63101.

4           **Q.     By whom and in what capacity are you employed?**

5           A.     I am employed by PricewaterhouseCoopers as an assurance partner.

6           **Q.     Please describe your educational background and qualifications.**

7           A.     I have a Bachelor of Science degree from the University of Illinois at  
8 Champaign-Urbana, and I am a licensed Certified Public Accountant in the State of Missouri.

9           **Q.     Please describe your employment history.**

10          A.     I began my career with Price Waterhouse (PW) in St. Louis, MO, in June  
11 1980. After nine years in the St. Louis office, I spent two years in the PW National Office  
12 Accounting Services group from 1989 - 1991. I was admitted as a partner in the assurance  
13 practice in June 1991 in St. Louis. I am currently the Missouri Market Assurance Leader for  
14 PricewaterhouseCoopers (PwC).

15          **Q.     Please describe your duties and responsibilities as assurance engagement**  
16 **partner for Ameren Corporation and subsidiaries, and how they pertain to services for**  
17 **Union Electric Company d/b/a Ameren Missouri.**

18          A.     I am the PwC engagement partner for the audits of the financial statements of  
19 Ameren Corporation and its subsidiary registrants, including Ameren Missouri.

Surrebuttal Testimony of  
Stephen M. Ditman

1           **Q.    Have you previously testified in proceedings before the Missouri Public**  
2 **Service Commission (“MPSC” or “Commission”) or before another regulatory body**  
3 **regarding ratemaking or accounting issues affecting public utilities?**

4           A.    No.

5           **Q.    What is the purpose of your surrebuttal testimony?**

6           A.    The purpose of my surrebuttal testimony is to explain the standards that  
7 govern a public utility's (and/or its holding company parent's) accounting and financial  
8 reporting for demand-side management initiatives. I also explain the role of an independent  
9 auditor, and the legal requirements relating to that role. In those contexts, I will evaluate the  
10 demand-side management mechanism proposed by the Company in this case, as well as the  
11 demand-side management mechanism proposed by the Commission's Staff in this case.

12           **Q.    Have you reviewed the Company's proposal and the Staff's proposal?**

13           A.    Yes, I have. I have reviewed the portions of the Company's January 20, 2012  
14 *2012-2015 Energy Efficiency Plan* (“MEEIA Report”) that pertain to the throughput  
15 disincentive, and how the sums relating to the throughput disincentive are proposed by the  
16 Company to be reflected in rates, and I have reviewed rebuttal testimony filed by Staff  
17 witness Mark Oligschlaeger, which also describes the Company's proposal and the Staff's  
18 alternate proposal. I have also reviewed the surrebuttal testimony being filed today by  
19 Company witness Lynn M. Barnes.

20           **Q.    Do you have general familiarity with the issues presented by the**  
21 **Company's proposal versus the Staff's proposal in the context of accounting for**  
22 **demand-side initiatives and financial reporting?**

Surrebuttal Testimony of  
Stephen M. Ditman

1           A.     Yes. While I don't know the details of the entire MEEIA filing, from an  
2 accounting standpoint, the key issue between the two proposals relates to whether or not  
3 revenue is recognized in the Company's financial statements.

4           **Q.     Please summarize your basic conclusions.**

5           A.     As Ms. Barnes explains in her surrebuttal testimony, the mechanism proposed  
6 by the Company will allow it to record revenues for the portion of the net shared benefits the  
7 Company proposes to retain from the implementation of the demand-side programs  
8 contained in the filing made in this case necessary to remove the "throughput disincentive"  
9 caused by demand-side management programs. This is because the Company's proposal  
10 satisfies the conditions necessary to record those revenues under the applicable and binding  
11 accounting and financial reporting standards. By contrast, the Staff's proposed regulatory  
12 asset approach will not allow the Company to record such revenues because the Staff's  
13 approach does not satisfy those conditions. Consequently, the Company's earnings are not  
14 impacted by the throughput disincentive created by the demand-side programs under the  
15 Company's proposal, but under the Staff's proposal the Company's earnings are reduced by  
16 an amount equal to the throughput disincentive.

17           **Q.     Please explain the standards (legal and accounting) that govern the**  
18 **accounting for these programs and that govern financial reporting in that context.**

19           A.     The Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) requires all publicly traded  
20 companies (like Ameren and its subsidiaries) to adhere to Generally Accepted Accounting  
21 Principles (GAAP) in the United States of America to insure the comparability and  
22 consistency of financial information that is relied on by investors and creditors. Accounting  
23 Standards Codifications (ASC), which are issued by the Financial Standards Accounting

Surrebuttal Testimony of  
Stephen M. Ditman

1 Board (FASB), are the highest form of guidance in the GAAP hierarchy and must be  
2 followed. The SEC has also addressed the issues of when revenues can be recognized in the  
3 financial statements. Notably, the SEC Staff has issued Accounting Bulletin 13 (SAB 13),  
4 entitled "Revenue Recognition." SAB 13 addresses the accounting literature on revenue  
5 recognition, inclusive of both broad conceptual discussions as well as certain industry-  
6 specific guidance (i.e., guidance like a specific ASC applicable to a particular area).  
7 Specifically SAB 13 states, "*If a transaction is within the scope of specific authoritative*  
8 *literature that provides revenue recognition guidance, that literature should be applied.*  
9 *However, in the absence of authoritative literature addressing a specific arrangement or a*  
10 *specific industry, the [SEC] staff will consider the existing authoritative accounting*  
11 *standards as well as the broad revenue recognition criteria specified in the FASB's*  
12 *conceptual framework that contain basic guidelines for revenue recognition.*" I have  
13 attached SAB 13 to my testimony as Schedule SMD-1.

14 **Q. Does specific authoritative literature exist for demand-side initiatives?**

15 A. Yes. ASC 980-605-25, entitled "Alternative Revenue Programs," is the  
16 standard that must be followed for a demand-side initiative. This is because ASC 980-605-  
17 25 specifically addresses the recognition of revenues from alternative revenue programs  
18 including programs designed to adjust billings to compensate the utility for demand-side  
19 management initiatives. Consequently, it dictates the accounting for a mechanism such as  
20 the Company's proposed demand-side investment mechanism (DSIM) and for our purposes,  
21 the throughput disincentive portion of the DSIM. I have attached ASC 980-605-25 to my  
22 testimony as Schedule SMD-2.

23 **Q. Why do such standards exist?**

Surrebuttal Testimony of  
Stephen M. Ditman

1           A.     GAAP are the set of rules, methods, processes and procedures used by  
2 companies across all industries in order to prepare standardized financial statements. Such  
3 standards exist to provide accurate and consistent financial information, across companies, to  
4 investors, creditors and others who rely on reporting companies' financial statements. GAAP  
5 allows the aforementioned parties to make meaningful comparisons.

6           **Q.     What are the duties of an independent auditor regarding ensuring that a**  
7 **utility follows those standards?**

8           A.     As Ameren Corporation's and its subsidiaries' independent auditor (including  
9 Ameren Missouri), our duty is to issue an opinion on whether the financial statements  
10 included within the annual report (10-K) are free from material misstatement and in  
11 conformity with GAAP. Our audits of the financial statements include examining, on a test  
12 basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing  
13 the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and  
14 evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. Moreover, for Ameren Corporation  
15 we issue an opinion on the Company's internal control over the financial reporting process.

16           **Q.     What are the consequences if the independent auditor does not ensure**  
17 **that a utility follows those standards?**

18           A.     As an independent auditor, we are regulated by the Public Company  
19 Accounting Oversight Board (PCAOB) and must abide by its standards. These standards are  
20 the set of standards against which the quality of audits are performed and judged. If an  
21 independent auditor did not comply with such standards, sanctions could be imposed by the  
22 PCAOB.

1           **Q.     What are the consequences if the Company does not follow the**  
2 **standards?**

3           A.     The Company would not obtain an unqualified opinion on its financial  
4 statements. An unqualified opinion provides the independent auditor's (such as PwC)  
5 judgment that the Company's financial records and statements are fairly and appropriately  
6 presented in accordance with GAAP. Without such unqualified opinion there are potential  
7 consequences from the SEC, investors, and others who rely on the Company's financial  
8 statements.

9           **Q.     Please describe the standards of ASC 980-605-25, "Alternative Revenue**  
10 **Programs".**

11          A.     As described in Ms. Barnes' testimony, and as noted above, the applicable  
12 GAAP standard governing the recognition of revenues from alternative revenue programs,  
13 including programs designed to adjust billings to compensate the utility for demand-side  
14 management initiatives, is ASC 980-605-25. ASC 980-605-25 concludes that current  
15 revenue recognition is appropriate when all of the following conditions are met, even though  
16 cash collection will occur in the future through the billing surcharges mechanism: 1) The  
17 program is established by an order from the utility's regulatory commission that allows for  
18 automatic adjustment of future rates. Verification of the adjustment to future rates by the  
19 regulator would not preclude the adjustment being considered automatic. 2) The amount of  
20 additional revenues for the period is objectively determinable and is probable of recovery.  
21 3) The additional revenues will be collected within twenty-four months following the end of  
22 the annual period in which they are recognized.

23          **Q.     Please apply those standards to the Company's DSM proposal.**

Surrebuttal Testimony of  
Stephen M. Ditman

1           A.     The Company's proposal satisfies all three conditions set forth in ASC 980-  
2     605-25, which would allow it recognize revenue on its statement of income. The first  
3     condition would be met upon the program being established by an order from the Company's  
4     regulatory commission (the Commission), as the recovery occurs automatically each month  
5     through its inclusion in the base rates being charged to customers as the program proceeds.  
6     Second, the amount is objectively determinable because of the deemed energy savings from  
7     the use of the Technical Resource Manual (TRM), and would be probable of recovery  
8     because recovery would be occurring through base rates based upon a Commission order.  
9     Finally, the third condition set forth in ASC 980-605-25 is also met as the throughput  
10    disincentive will be collected month-by-month. The Company's proposal would meet all  
11    three of the ASC 980-605-25 conditions necessary for revenues to be recorded in accordance  
12    with GAAP.

13           **Q.     Please apply those standards to the Staff's DSM proposal.**

14           A.     The Staff's proposal fails to satisfy the three conditions set forth in ASC 980-  
15    605-25, which would preclude the Company from recognizing revenues on its statement of  
16    income and which would preclude the Company from recognizing a regulatory asset on its  
17    balance sheet. As noted, the Company is required to abide by GAAP standards, and a  
18    departure from such standards would prevent PwC from issuing an unqualified opinion on  
19    the overall Ameren Missouri and Ameren Corporation's financial statements. The first  
20    condition of ASC 980-605-25, as previously discussed, would not meet the automatic  
21    adjustment criteria as it is my understanding that the Commission is precluded from entering  
22    an order that binds a future commission. Thus, an order that only approves a regulatory asset  
23    would not meet the automatic adjustment criteria.



Surrebuttal Testimony of  
Stephen M. Ditman

1           The Staff's proposal also precludes the recognition of revenues and the recordation of  
2 a regulatory asset because of the second criteria of ASC 980-605-25. The second condition  
3 requires the revenue to be objectively determinable. Mr. Oligschlaeger's proposal specifically  
4 references the benefit as an 'estimate' that is contingent upon the completion of evaluation,  
5 measurement and verification. As I understand it, this means that the deemed energy savings  
6 reflected in the TRM will not be used for the final calculations but rather are dependent on  
7 the EMV. Consequently, pursuant to the second criteria, the aforementioned 'estimate',  
8 contingent upon completion of an EMV at a later date, would not meet the authoritative  
9 guidance's objectively determinable criteria.

10           Finally, the last condition requires that revenues will be collected within 24 months.  
11 As described in Ms. Barnes' testimony, the Staff's proposal doesn't allow for collection until  
12 sometime after the three-year program period is complete. This would also preclude  
13 recording any earnings.

14           In summary, the Staff's proposal would preclude the Company and Ameren  
15 Corporation from recognizing revenue in its GAAP financial statements.

16           **Q.     Does this conclude your surrebuttal testimony?**

17           **A.     Yes, it does.**

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION  
OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of Union Electric Company d/b/a Ameren )  
Missouri's Filing to Implement Regulatory Changes ) File No. EO-2012-0142  
Furtherance of Energy Efficiency as allowed by MEEIA. )

AFFIDAVIT OF STEPHEN M. DITMAN

STATE OF MISSOURI )  
) ss  
CITY OF ST. LOUIS )

Stephen M. Ditman, being first duly sworn on his oath, states:

1. My name is Stephen M. Ditman. I am and assurance partner for PricewaterhouseCoopers.

2. Attached hereto and made a part hereof for all purposes is my Surrebuttal Testimony on behalf of Union Electric Company, d/b/a AmerenUE, consisting of 8 pages (and Schedules 1 through 2), all of which have been prepared in written form for introduction into evidence in the above-referenced docket.


2. I hereby swear and affirm that my answers contained in the attached testimony to the questions therein propounded are true and correct.

  
Stephen M. Ditman

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 4th day of May, 2012.

  
Notary Public

My commission expires: 2/17/2013





## U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission

### Codification of Staff Accounting Bulletins

### Topic 13: Revenue Recognition

#### A. Selected Revenue Recognition Issues

1. Revenue recognition - general
2. Persuasive evidence of an arrangement
3. Delivery and performance
  - a. Bill and hold arrangements
  - b. Customer acceptance
  - c. Inconsequential or perfunctory performance obligations
  - d. License fee revenue
  - e. Layaway sales arrangements
  - f. Nonrefundable up-front fees
  - g. Deliverables within an arrangement
4. Fixed or determinable sales price
  - a. Refundable fees for services
  - b. Estimates and changes in estimates
  - c. Contingent rental income
  - d. Claims processing and billing services

#### B. Disclosures

#### A. Selected Revenue Recognition Issues

##### 1. Revenue recognition – general

The accounting literature on revenue recognition includes both broad conceptual discussions as well as certain industry-specific guidance.<sup>1</sup> If a transaction is within the scope of specific authoritative literature that provides revenue recognition guidance, that literature should be applied. However, in the absence of authoritative literature addressing a specific arrangement or a specific industry, the staff will consider the existing authoritative accounting standards as well as the broad revenue recognition criteria specified in the FASB's conceptual framework that contain basic guidelines for revenue recognition.

Based on these guidelines, revenue should not be recognized until it is realized or realizable and earned.<sup>2</sup> Concepts Statement 5, *Recognition and Measurement in Financial Statements of Business Enterprises*, paragraph 83(b) states that "an entity's revenue-earning activities involve delivering or producing goods, rendering services, or other activities that constitute its ongoing major or central operations, and revenues are considered to have been earned when the entity has substantially accomplished what it must do to be entitled to the benefits represented by the revenues" [footnote reference omitted]. Paragraph 84(a) continues "the two conditions (being realized or realizable and being earned) are usually met by the time product or merchandise is delivered or services are rendered to customers, and revenues from manufacturing and selling activities and gains and losses from sales of other assets are commonly recognized at time of sale (usually meaning delivery)" [footnote reference omitted]. In

addition, paragraph 84(d) states that "If services are rendered or rights to use assets extend continuously over time (for example, interest or rent), reliable measures based on contractual prices established in advance are commonly available, and revenues may be recognized as earned as time passes."

The staff believes that revenue generally is realized or realizable and earned when all of the following criteria are met:

- Persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists,<sup>3</sup>
- Delivery has occurred or services have been rendered,<sup>4</sup>
- The seller's price to the buyer is fixed or determinable,<sup>5</sup> and
- Collectibility is reasonably assured.<sup>6</sup>

Some revenue arrangements contain multiple revenue-generating activities. The staff believes that the determination of the units of accounting within an arrangement should be made prior to the application of the guidance in this SAB Topic by reference to the applicable accounting literature.<sup>7</sup>

## 2. Persuasive evidence of an arrangement

### Question 1

**Facts:** Company A has product available to ship to customers prior to the end of its current fiscal quarter. Customer Beta places an order for the product, and Company A delivers the product prior to the end of its current fiscal quarter. Company A's normal and customary business practice for this class of customer is to enter into a written sales agreement that requires the signatures of the authorized representatives of the Company and its customer to be binding. Company A prepares a written sales agreement, and its authorized representative signs the agreement before the end of the quarter. However, Customer Beta does not sign the agreement because Customer Beta is awaiting the requisite approval by its legal department. Customer Beta's purchasing department has orally agreed to the sale and stated that it is highly likely that the contract will be approved the first week of Company A's next fiscal quarter.

**Question:** May Company A recognize the revenue in the current fiscal quarter for the sale of the product to Customer Beta when (1) the product is delivered by the end of its current fiscal quarter and (2) the final written sales agreement is executed by Customer Beta's authorized representative within a few days after the end of the current fiscal quarter?

**Interpretive Response:** No. Generally the staff believes that, in view of Company A's business practice of requiring a written sales agreement for this class of customer, persuasive evidence of an arrangement would require a final agreement that has been executed by the properly authorized personnel of the customer. In the staff's view, Customer Beta's execution of the sales agreement after the end of the quarter causes the transaction to be considered a transaction of the subsequent period.<sup>8</sup> Further, if an arrangement is subject to subsequent approval (e.g., by the management committee or board of directors) or execution of another agreement, revenue recognition would be inappropriate until that subsequent approval or agreement is complete.

# 980-605-25 Recognition

## > Alternative Revenue Programs

**25-1** Traditionally, regulated utilities whose rates are determined based on cost of service invoice their customers by applying approved base rates (designed to recover the utility's allowable costs including a return on shareholders' investment) to usage. Some regulators of utilities have also authorized the use of additional, alternative revenue programs. The major alternative revenue programs currently used can generally be segregated into two categories, Type A and Type B.

**25-2** Type A programs adjust billings for the effects of weather abnormalities or broad external factors or to compensate the utility for demand-side management initiatives (for example, no-growth plans and similar conservation efforts). Type B programs provide for additional billings (incentive awards) if the utility achieves certain objectives, such as reducing costs, reaching specified milestones, or demonstratively improving customer service.

**25-3** Both types of programs enable the utility to adjust rates in the future (usually as a surcharge applied to future billings) in response to past activities or completed events.

**25-4** Once the specific events permitting billing of the additional revenues under Type A and Type B programs have been completed, the regulated utility shall recognize the additional revenues if all of the following conditions are met:

1. a. The program is established by an order from the utility's regulatory commission that allows for automatic adjustment of future rates. Verification of the adjustment to future rates by the regulator would not preclude the adjustment from being considered automatic.
2. b. The amount of additional revenues for the period is objectively determinable and is probable of recovery.
3. c. The additional revenues will be collected within 24 months following the end of the annual period in which they are recognized.