FILED December 28, 2017 Data Center Missouri Public Service Commission

PXhi

Exhibit No.: Issue(s): Witness: Sponsoring Party: Type of Exhibit: Case Nos.:

Report on Class Cost of Service; Overview of The Staff's Filing; Policy Jamie S. Myers MoPSC Staff Direct Testimony GR-2017-0215 GR-2017-0216 September 22, 2017

# **MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION**

Date Testimony Prepared:

## **COMMISSION STAFF DIVISION**

#### DIRECT TESTIMONY

### OF

## **JAMIE S. MYERS**

SPIRE MISSOURI INC. d/b/a SPIRE LACLEDE GAS COMPANY and MISSOURI GAS ENERGY GENERAL RATE CASE

> CASE NOS. GR-2017-0215 and GR-2017-02165 Exhibit No 207 Date D-K-17\_Reporter A-F File No 2017-02165 (P-2017-2016)

> > Jefferson City, Missouri September 2017

1	TABLE OF CONTENTS OF
2	DIRECT TESTIMONY
3	OF
4	JAMIE S. MYERS
5 6 7	SPIRE MISSOURI INC. d/b/a SPIRE LACLEDE GAS COMPANY and MISSOURI GAS ENERGY GENERAL RATE CASE
8	CASE NOS. GR-2017-0215 & GR-2017-0216
9	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY 1
10	CLASS COST-OF-SERVICE STUDY 1
11	CCOS REPORT2
12	ORGANIZATION OF CCOS REPORT 4
13	TARIFFS
14	
1	
i	
İ	
i	

1		DIRECT TESTIMONY				
2	OF					
3	JAMIE S. MYERS					
4 5 6	SPIRE MISSOURI INC. d/b/a SPIRE LACLEDE GAS COMPANY and MISSOURI GAS ENERGY GENERAL RATE CASE					
7		CASE NOS. GR-2017-0215 & GR-2017-0216				
8	Q.	Please state your name and business address.				
9	А.	My name is Jamie S. Myers. My business address is 200 Madison Street,				
10	Jefferson Cit	ty, MO 65101.				
11	Q.	By whom are you employed and in what capacity?				
12	A.	I am employed by the Missouri Public Service Commission ("Commission") as				
13	Commission Staff Deputy Director.					
14	Q.	Have you provided your educational background and work experience in this file?				
15	А.	Yes. My educational and work experience is included in my Direct Testimony				
16	filed on September 8, 2017, in this case with Staff's Direct Cost of Service Report.					
17	EXECUTIV	<u>E SUMMARY</u>				
18	Q.	What is the purpose of this direct testimony?				
19	. A.	The purpose of this testimony is to sponsor Staff's Class Cost-of-Service/Rate				
20	Design Repo	rt ("CCOS Report"), which is filed concurrently with this direct testimony.				
21	CLASS COS	ST-OF-SERVICE STUDY				
22	Q.	Did Staff perform a CCOS Study in this case?				
23	А.	Yes. Staff performed a separate CCOS Study for Spire Missouri's LAC and				
24	MGE divisio	ns. Staff's CCOS Studies are designed to determine what rate of return is produced				

Direct Testimony of Jamie S. Myers

.

.

1	by each customer class on that class's currently tariffed rates, for recovery of any newly						
2	determined revenue requirement amount. Staff's recommended interclass revenue responsibility						
3	shifts are designed to reasonably bring each class closer to producing the system-average rate of						
4	return used in determining Staff's recommended revenue requirement. Staff's recommended						
5	intra-class shifts will, where appropriate, redesign the rates that collect a particular class's						
6	revenues to better align that class's method of recovering revenue with the cost-causation for that						
7	class as indicated by the CCOS Studies.						
8	CCOS REPORT						
9	Q. What are Staff's rate design recommendations in these cases?						
10	A. Staff's rate design recommendations in these cases are:						
11 12 13	• Consolidate LAC's three Commercial & Industrial General Service classes into one General Service Class with one customer charge level and a flat volumetric rate per therm;						
14 15 16	• Consolidate MGE's Small and Large General Service classes into one General Service Class with one customer charge level and a flat volumetric rate per ccf, retaining the use of ccf for volumetric rates;						
17 18 19	• Set a Residential customer charge for MGE of \$20 with a flat rate of \$0.1359 per ccf, and set a Residential customer charge for LAC of \$26.00 with a flat rate of \$0.16338 per therm;						
20 21	• Eliminate the Residential, C1, C2, and C3 Seasonal Air Conditioning customer classes for LAC <sup>1</sup> ;						
22 23	• Remove tariff language that allows MGE to reduce rates at its sole discretion as found on MGE's Tariff Sheet No. 43.						
24 25 26 27	• For LAC, Staff recommends that any increase resulting from this case up to the amount of Staff's currently recommended revenue requirement be determined as an equal percent increase applicable to each class; however, the portions of that increase that would be applicable to the Large Volume Transport and Interruptible						

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> MGE does not have seasonal air conditioning classes.

#### Direct Testimony of Jamie S. Myers

20

1 2 3 4	classes should be applied to the General Service class in addition to the portion applicable to the General Service class. Any increase beyond Staff's currently recommended revenue requirement should be applied as an equal percentage to all rate schedules after the above-described adjustments are made.					
5 6 7	• For MGE, Staff recommends that \$700,000 of revenue responsibility be shifted to the Large Volume class from the Residential class, prior to the application of any increase resulting from this case on an equal percentage basis.					
8	Q. Does Staff have a rate recommendation for LAC?					
9	A. Yes. Incorporating Staff's rate design and interclass shifts as described above for					
10	the LAC division results in the below rates:					
11						
	Rate Design Recommendation (LAC)	Customer Charge	Volumetric			
	Residential	\$ 26.00	\$ 0.16338			
	General Service	\$ 48.52	\$ 0.14048			
	Large Volume, LV Transport, Interruptible	No Increase based on cu	urrent Revenue Requirement			
	Unmetered Lighting Service, General L.P.					
12	and Vehicular Fuel	Equal % increase to each rate element				
13 14	<ul><li>Q. Does Staff have a rate recommendation for MGE?</li><li>A. Yes. Incorporating Staff's rate design and interclass shifts as described above for</li></ul>					
15	the MGE division results in the below rates:					
16	Defe Desta Deservation 1/2 (MCD)					
	Rate Design Recommendation (MGE)	Customer Charge	Volumetric			
	Residential	<u>\$</u> 20.00	\$ 0.13859			
	General Service	\$ 37.50	\$ 0.11606			
	Large Volume	Equal % increase to each rate element				
17	Unmetered Lighting Service	Equal % increase	to each rate element			
18 19	Q. Did Staff prepare any alterna	tive rate design proposals	?			

prepared an inclining block Residential rate design for both MGE and LAC as shown in the table

Direct Testimony of Jamie S. Myers

1 below, with the volumetric charge per ccf and therm to increase for usage beyond 50 ccf and

2 50 therm, respectively.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

21

<b>Rates - Incline Option</b>	Custon	er Charge	First	t Block	Seco	nd Block
Residential (MGE)	\$	20.00	\$	0.12473	\$	0.15149
Residential (LAC)	\$	26.00	\$	0.14704	\$	0.17824

### ORGANIZATION OF CCOS REPORT

- Q. How is Staff's CCOS Report organized?
- A. The CCOS Report is organized by topic as follows:
  - I. Executive Summary
  - II. Class Cost-of-Service and Rate Design Overview
  - III. Staff's Class Cost-of-Service Studies
- IV. Rate Design
  - V. Lost and Unaccounted for ("L&U") Gas Applicable to Large Transportation Customers
- VI. Tariff Changes
  - VII. LAC/MGE Natural Gas Energy Efficiency Collaboratives

## 16 TARIFFS

Q. Does Staff's CCOS Report address any issues other than Staff's Class Cost of
Service Studies and Rate Design recommendations?

A. Yes, the CCOS Report also addresses Staff's recommendations regarding certain
tariff issues for LAC and MGE.

Q. Does this conclude your direct testimony?

22 A. Yes.

#### BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

#### **OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI**

In the Matter of Laclede Gas Company's Request to Increase Its Revenues for Gas Service	) ) )	Case No. GR-2017-0215
In the Matter of Laclede Gas Company d/b/a Missouri Gas Energy's Request to Increase Its Revenues for Gas Service	) ) )	Case No. GR-2017-0216

#### **AFFIDAVIT OF JAMIE S. MYERS**

STATE OF MISSOURI	)	
	)	SS.
COUNTY OF COLE	)	

**COMES NOW JAMIE S. MYERS** and on her oath declares that she is of sound mind and lawful age; that she contributed to the foregoing Direct Testimony and that the same is true and correct according to her best knowledge and belief.

Further the Affiant sayeth not.

yus JAMIE S. MYERS

JURAT

Subscribed and sworn before me, a duly constituted and authorized Notary Public, in and for the County of Cole, State of Missouri, at my office in Jefferson City, on this  $2/\frac{st}{2}$  day of September 2017.

JESSICA LUEBBERT Notary Public - Notary Seal State of Missouri **Commissioned for Cole County** My Commission Expires: February 19, 2019 Commission Number: 15633434

Notary Public